



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

### About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>





THE  
**ALPHEUS FELCH HISTORICAL LIBRARY**

—  
BEQUEATHED  
TO THE  
**UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN**  
BY THE  
**HON. ALPHEUS FELCH.**

1893.

AP  
2  
.A68



# THE ARENA.

1895

EDITED BY B. O. FLOWER.

VOL. XI.

PUBLISHED BY  
ARENA PUBLISHING CO.,  
BOSTON, MASS.  
1895.

**COPYRIGHTED, 1895,  
BY THE ARENA PUBLISHING CO**

---

**The PINKHAM PRESS, 289 Congress St., Boston.**

ILLUSTRATIONS.

---

PROFESSOR F. MAX MÜLLER . . . . .	Opposite Page	1
OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES . . . . .	“ “	41
H. W. LONGFELLOW . . . . .	“ “	145
EMPEROR OF JAPAN . . . . .		177
EMPRESS OF JAPAN . . . . .		179
MR. SHEBATA SAITO . . . . .		180
PRIME MINISTER, COUNT ITO . . . . .		183
JAPANESE CABINET MINISTERS . . . . .		184
THE ARCH OF TRIUMPH . . . . .	Opposite Page	184
COUNT GENERAL YAMAMURA AND FOUR OF HIS MAJOR GENERALS .		187
SOCIAL PURITY WRITERS . . . . .	Opposite Page	192
THE COUNTESS OF ABERDEEN . . . . .	“ “	289
HON. W. J. BRYAN, M. C. . . . .	“ “	321
WILLIS J. ABBOT . . . . .	“ “	330





# CONTENTS.

---

	PAGE
<b>The Real Significance of the World's Parliament of Religions,</b>	
Prof. F. MAX MÜLLER	1
<b>Guy de Maupassant . . . . .</b>	Count LEO N. TOLSTOI 15
<b>David A. Wells' Downfall,</b>	
THE PRESIDENT OF THE OLDEST BANK IN MISSOURI	27
<b>The Religion of Holmes' Poems . . . . .</b>	Rev. M. J. SAVAGE 41
<b>Oliver Wendell Holmes (Poem) . . . . .</b>	BUSHROD W. JAMES 55
<b>Wellsprings and Feeders of Immorality . . . . .</b>	B. O. FLOWER 56
<b>The Fate of Major Rogers: A Buddhistic Mystery,</b>	
HEINRICH HENSOLDT, Ph. D.	71
<b>If Christ Should Come To-day (Poem) . . . . .</b>	JAMES G. CLARK 79
<b>William Penn and Peter the Great . . . . .</b>	HENRY LATCHFORD 80
<b>A Woman in the Camp: A Christmas Sketch . . . . .</b>	HAMLIN GARLAND 90
<b>A "Fin-de-Siècle" Vision (Poem) . . . . .</b>	MARGARET STEWART SIBLEY 98
<b>Cinch: A Christmas Story . . . . .</b>	WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE 100
<b>Symposium: The Abolition of War . . . . .</b>	118
Rev. H. C. VROOMAN	Prof. THOMAS E. WILL, A. M.
<b>The Religion of Longfellow's Poetry . . . . .</b>	Rev. W. H. SAVAGE 145
<b>Christian Missions in India as Viewed by an Eastern Scholar,</b>	
VIRCHAND R. GANDHI, B. A.	157
<b>Lust Fostered by Legislation . . . . .</b>	B. O. FLOWER 167
<b>Our Little Neighbor in the East . . . . .</b>	HELEN H. GARDENER 176
<b>Symposium: America's Shame . . . . .</b>	192
AARON M. POWELL	HELEN H. GARDENER
FRANCES E. WILLARD	A. H. LEWIS, D. D.
O. EDWARD JANNEY, M. D.	WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE
EMILY BLACKWELL, M. D.	
<b>Present Political Aspects . . . . .</b>	RICHARD J. HINTON 216
<b>Experimental Telepathy,</b>	
THE SECRETARY OF THE AMERICAN PSYCHICAL SOCIETY	227
<b>Politics as a Career . . . . .</b>	W. D. MCCrackAN, A. M. 233
<b>The Coming Industrial Order . . . . .</b>	JAMES G. CLARK 238
<b>She Played, an Innocent Darling (Poem) . . . . .</b>	JAMES H. WEST 250
<b>A Drama in Tatters: A Story . . . . .</b>	WALTER BLACKBURN HARTE 251
<b>The Dignity of Labor: A Story of To-Day . . . . .</b>	ADELINE KNAPP 258
<b>The Sweating System in Philadelphia . . . . .</b>	Rev. F. M. GOODCHILD 261
<b>The Century of Sir Thomas More (Second Paper) . . . . .</b>	B. O. FLOWER 266
<b>Charity, Old and New . . . . .</b>	Rev. HARRY C. VROOMAN 274
<b>Penology in Europe and America . . . . .</b>	SAMUEL J. BARROWS 289
<b>The Dynamics of Mind . . . . .</b>	HENRY WOOD 302

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>The Italy of the Century of Sir Thomas More . . . . B. O. FLOWER</b>	<b>310</b>
<b>The President's Currency Plan . . . . Hon. W. J. BRYAN, M. C.</b>	<b>321</b>
<b>The Chicago Populist Campaign . . . . WILLIS J. ABBOT</b>	<b>330</b>
<b>The Coming Triennial Meeting of the Council of Women of the United States at Washington . . . . THE COUNTESS OF ABERDEEN</b>	<b>338</b>
<b>An Open Letter to Senator John Sherman . . . . GEORGE W. PEPPERELL</b>	<b>345</b>
<b>The New Woman of the New South . . . . JOSEPHINE K. HENRY</b>	<b>353</b>
<b>The Attitude of Southern Women on the Suffrage Question, . . . . ANNAH ROBINSON WATSON</b>	<b>363</b>
<b>Sexual Purity and the Double Standard . . . . J. BELLANGEE</b>	<b>370</b>
<b>Bimetallism and Legislation . . . . C. S. THOMAS</b>	<b>378</b>
<b>The Hour (Poem) . . . . A. L. MUZZEY</b>	<b>390</b>
<b>Aunt Angeline's Triumph . . . . WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE</b>	<b>391</b>
<b>Social Conditions as Feeders of Immorality . . . . B. O. FLOWER</b>	<b>399</b>
<b>Gambling and Speculation (Symposium) . . . .</b>	<b>413</b>
Rev. C. H. HAMLIN	Rev. H. C. VROOMAN.



Yours truly  
F. MacDuff

# THE ARENA.

No. LXI.

---

DECEMBER, 1894.

---

## THE REAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PARLIAMENT OF RELIGIONS.\*

---

BY PROF. F. MAX MULLER.

---

**THERE** are few things which I so truly regret having missed as the great Parliament of Religions held in Chicago as a part of the Columbian Exhibition. Who would have thought that what was announced as simply an auxiliary branch of that exhibition could have developed into what it was, could have become the most important part of that immense undertaking, could have become the greatest success of the past year, and I do not hesitate to say, could now take its place as one of the most memorable events in the history of the world?

As it seems to me, those to whom the great success of this œcumenical council was chiefly due, I mean President Bonney and Dr. Barrows, hardly made it sufficiently clear at the beginning what was their real purpose and scope. Had they done so, every one who cares for the future of religion might have felt it his bounden duty to take part in the Congress. But it seemed at the first glance that it would be a mere show, a part of the great show of industry and art. But instead of a show it developed into a reality, which, if I am not greatly mistaken, will be remembered, aye, will bear fruit, when everything else of the mighty Columbian Exhibition has long been swept away from the memory of man.

Possibly, like many bright ideas, the idea of exhibiting all the religions of the world grew into something far grander

---

\* The substance of this masterly paper was read by Professor Müller in Oxford, England, a few months ago. — EDITOR.

than even its authors had at first suspected. Even in America, where people have not yet lost the faculty of admiring, and of giving hearty expression to their admiration, the greatness of that event seems to me not yet fully appreciated, while in other countries vague rumors only have as yet reached the public at large of what took place in the Religious Parliament at Chicago. Here and there, I am sorry to say, ridicule also, the impotent weapon of ignorance and envy, has been used against what ought to have been sacred to every man of sense and culture; but ridicule is blown away like offensive smoke; the windows are opened, and the fresh air of truth streams in.

It is difficult, no doubt, to measure correctly the importance of events of which we ourselves have been the witnesses. We have only to read histories and chronicles written some hundreds of years ago by eye witnesses and by the chief actors in certain events, to see how signally the observers have failed in correctly appreciating the permanent and historical significance of what they saw and heard, or of what they themselves did. Everything is monumental and epoch-making in the eyes of ephemeral critics, but History must wait before she can pronounce a valid judgment, and it is the impatience of the present to await the sober verdict of History which is answerable for so many monuments having been erected in memory of events or of men whose very names are now unknown, or known to the stones of their pedestals only.

But there is one fact in connection with the Parliament of Religions which no sceptic can belittle, and on which even contemporary judgment cannot be at fault. Such a gathering of representatives of the principal religions of the world has never before taken place; it is unique, it is unprecedented; nay, we may truly add, it could hardly have been conceived before our own time. Of course even this has been denied, and it has been asserted that the meeting at Chicago was by no means the first realization of a new idea upon this subject, but that similar meetings had taken place before. Is this true or is it not? To me it seems a complete mistake. If the Religious Parliament was not an entirely new idea, it was certainly the first realization of an idea which has lived silently in the hearts of prophets, or has been uttered now and then by poets only, who are free to

dream dreams and to see visions. Let me quote some lines of Browning's, which certainly sound like true prophecy:—

“ Better pursue a pilgrimage  
Through ancient and through modern times,  
To many peoples, various climes,  
Where I may see saint, savage, sage  
Fuse their respective creeds in one  
Before the general Father's throne.” \*

Here you have no doubt the idea, the vision of the Religious Parliament of the World; but Browning was not allowed to see it. *You* have seen it, and America may be proud of having given substance to Browning's dream and to Browning's desire, if only it will see that what has hitherto been achieved must not be allowed to perish again.

To compare that Parliament with the Council of the Buddhist King Asoka, in the third century before Christ, is to take great liberties with historical facts. Asoka was no doubt an enlightened sovereign, who preached and practised religious toleration more truly than has any sovereign before or after him. I am the last person to belittle his fame; but we must remember that all the people who assembled at his Council belonged to one and the same religion, the religion of Buddha, and although that religion was even at that early time (242 B. C.) broken up into numerous sects, yet all who were present at the Great Council professed to be followers of Buddha only. We do not hear of Gainas nor Agîvikas or Brahmans, nor of any other non-Buddhist religion being represented at the Council of Pataliputra.

It is still more incongruous to compare the Council of Chicago with the Council of Nicæa. That Council was no doubt called an œcumenical council, but what was the *Οἰκουμένη*, the inhabited world, of that time, 325 A. D., compared with the world as represented at the Columbian Exhibition of last year? Nor was there any idea under Constantine of extending the hand of fellowship to any non-Christian religion. On the contrary the object was to narrow the limits of Christian love and toleration, by expelling the followers of Arius from the pale of the Christian church. As to the behavior of the bishops assembled at Nicæa, the less that is said about it the better; but I doubt whether the members of the Chicago Council, including bishops, arch-

---

\* Christmas Eve and Easter Day, XIX.



bishops and cardinals, would feel flattered if they were to be likened to the fathers assembled at Nicæa.

One more religious gathering has been quoted as a precedent of the Parliament of Religions at Chicago; it is that of the Emperor Akbar; but although the spirit which moved the Emperor Akbar (1542–1605) to invite representatives of different creeds to meet at Delhi, was certainly the same spirit which stirred the hearts of those who originated the meeting at Chicago, yet not only was the number of religions represented at Delhi much more limited, but the whole purpose was different. Here I say again, I am the last person to try to belittle the fame of the Emperor Akbar. He was dissatisfied with his own religion, the religion founded by Mohammed; and for an emperor to be dissatisfied with his own religion and the religion of his people, augurs, generally, great independence of judgment and true honesty of purpose. We possess full accounts of his work as a religious reformer, from both friendly and unfriendly sources: from Abufazl on one side, and from Badáoní on the other (Introduction to "The Science of Religion," p. 209 *et seq.*).

Akbar's idea was to found a new religion, and it was for that purpose that he wished to become acquainted with the prominent religions of the world. He first invited the most learned ulemahs to discuss certain moot points of Islam, but we are told by Badáoní that the disputants behaved very badly, and that one night, as he expresses it, the neck of the ulemahs swelled up, and a horrid noise and confusion ensued. The emperor announced to Badáoní that all who could not behave, and who talked nonsense, should leave the hall, upon which Badáoní remarked that in that case they would *all* have to leave (l. c., p. 221). Nothing of this kind happened at Chicago, I believe. The Emperor Akbar no doubt did all he could to become acquainted with other religions, but he certainly was not half so successful as was the president of your religious congress in assembling around him representatives of the principal religions of the world. Jews and Christians were summoned to the imperial court, and requested to translate the Old and the New Testament. We hear of Christian missionaries, such as Rodolpho Aquaviva, Antonio de Monserrato, Francisco Enriques and others; nay, for some time a rumor was spread that the emperor himself had actually been converted to Christianity.

Akbar appointed a regular staff of translators, and his library must have been very rich in religious books. Still he tried in vain to persuade the Brahmans to communicate the Vedas to him or to translate them into a language which he could read. He knew nothing of them, except possibly some portions of the Atharva-veda, probably the Upanishads only. Nor was he much more successful with the Zend Avesta, though portions of it were translated for him by one Ardshiv. His minister, Abufazl, tried in vain to assist the emperor in gaining a knowledge of Buddhism; but we have no reason to suppose that the emperor ever cared to become acquainted with the religious systems of China, whether that of Confucius or that of Lao-tze. Besides, there was in all these religious conferences the restraining presence of the emperor and of the powerful heads of the different ecclesiastical parties of Islam. Abufazl, who entered fully into the thoughts of Akbar, expressed his conviction that the religions of the world have all one common ground (l. c., p. 210). "One man," he writes (p. 211), "thinks that he worships God by keeping his passions in subjection; another finds self-discipline in watching over the destinies of a nation. The religion of thousands consists in clinging to a mere idea; they are happy in their sloth and unfitness of judging for themselves. But when the time of reflection comes, and men shake off the prejudices of their education, the threads of the web of religious blindness break, and the eye sees the glory of harmoniousness." "But," he adds, "the ray of such wisdom does not light up every house, nor could every heart bear such knowledge." "Again," he says, "although some are enlightened, many would observe silence from fear of fanatics, who lust for blood, though they look like men. And should any one muster sufficient courage, and openly proclaim his enlightened thoughts, pious simpletons would call him a madman, and throw him aside as of no account, whilst the ill-starred wretches would at once think of heresy and atheism, and go about with the intention of killing him."

This was written more than three hundred years ago, by a minister of Akbar, a contemporary of Henry VIII.; but if it had been written in our own days, in the days of Bishop Colenso and Dean Stanley, it would hardly have been exaggerated, barring the intention of killing such "madmen as openly declare their enlightened thoughts"; for burning here-

tics is no longer either legal or fashionable. How closely even the emperor and his friends were watched by his enemies we may learn from the fact that in some cases he had to see his informants in the dead of night, sitting on a balcony of his palace, to which his guest had to be pulled up by a rope! There was no necessity for that at Chicago. Your Parliament at Chicago had not to consider the frowns or smiles of an emperor like Constantine; it was encouraged, not intimidated, by the presence of bishops and cardinals; it was a free and friendly meeting, nay, I may say a brotherly meeting, and what is still more — for even brothers will sometimes quarrel — it was a harmonious meeting from beginning to end. All the religions of the world were represented at your Congress, far more completely and far more ably than in the palace at Delhi, and I repeat once more, without fear of contradiction, that the Parliament of Religions at Chicago stands unique, stands unprecedented in the whole history of the world.

There are, after all, not so many religions in the world as people imagine. There are only eight great historical religions which can claim that name on the strength of their possessing sacred books. All these religions came from the East; three from an Aryan, three from a Semitic source, and two from China. The three Aryan religions are the *Vedic*, with its modern offshoots in India, the *Avestic* of Zoroaster in Persia, and the religion of *Buddha*, likewise the offspring of Brahmanism in India. The three great religions of Semitic origin are the *Jewish*, the *Christian* and the *Mohammedan*. There are, besides, the two Chinese religions, that of *Confucius* and that of *Lao-tze*, and that is all; unless we assign a separate place to such creeds as Gainism, a near relative of Buddhism, which was ably represented at Chicago, or the religion of the Sikhs, which is after all but a compromise between Brahmanism and Mohammedanism.

All these religions were represented at Chicago; the only one that might complain of being neglected was Mohammedanism. Unfortunately the Sultan, in his capacity of Khalif, was persuaded not to send a representative to Chicago. One cannot help thinking that both in his case and in that of the Archbishop of Canterbury, who likewise kept aloof from the Congress, there must have been some unfortunate misapprehension as to the real objects of that meeting. The present

Sultan is an enlightened and intelligent Mohammedan, and could hardly have wished that his religion should be left without any authoritative representative, in a general gathering of all the religions of the world. It was different with the Episcopalian Church of England, for although the Archbishop withheld his sanction, his church was ably represented both by English and American divines.

But what surprised everybody was the large attendance of representatives of all the other religions of the world. There were Buddhists and Shintoists from Japan, followers of Confucius and Lao-tze from China, there was a Parsee to speak for Zoroaster, there were learned Brahmans from India to explain the Veda and Vedânta. Even the most recent phases of Brahmanism were ably and eloquently represented by Mozoomdar, the friend and successor of Keshub Chunder Sen, and the modern reformers of Buddhism in Ceylon had their powerful spokesman in Dharmapâla. A brother of the King of Siam came to speak for the Buddhism of his country. Judaism was defended by learned rabbis, while Christianity spoke through bishops and archbishops, nay, even through a cardinal who is supposed to stand very near the papal chair. How had these men been persuaded to travel thousands of miles, to spend their time and their money in order to attend a Congress, the very character and object of which were mere matters of speculation?

Great credit no doubt is due to Dr. Barrows and his fellow-laborers; but it is clear that the world was really ripe for such a Congress, nay, was waiting and longing for it. Many people belonging to different religions had been thinking about a universal religion, or at least about a union of the different religions, resting on a recognition of the truths shared in common by all of them, and on a respectful toleration of what is peculiar to each, unless it offended against reason or morality. It was curious to see, after the meeting was over, from how many sides voices were raised, not only expressing approval of what had been done, but regret that it had not been done long ago. And yet I doubt whether the world would really have been ready for such a truly œcumenical council at a much earlier period. We all remember the time, not so very long ago, when we used to pray for Jews, Turks and infidels, and thought of all of them as true sons of Belial. Mohammed was looked upon as the

arch enemy of Christianity, the people of India were idolaters of the darkest die, all Buddhists were atheists, and even the Parsees were supposed to worship the fire as their god.

It is due to a more frequent intercourse between Christians and non-Christians that this feeling of aversion toward and misrepresentation of other religions has of late been considerably softened. Much is due to honest missionaries, who lived in India, China, and even among the savages of Africa, and who could not help seeing the excellent influence which even less perfect religions may exercise on honest believers. Much also is due to travellers who stayed long enough in countries such as Turkey, China or Japan to see in how many respects the people there were as good, nay, even better, than those who call themselves Christians. I read not long ago a book of travels by Mrs. Gordon, called "Clear Round." The author starts with the strongest prejudices against all heathens, but she comes home with the kindest feelings towards the religions which she has watched in their practical working in India, in Japan and elsewhere.

Nothing, however, if I am not blinded by my own paternal feelings, has contributed more powerfully to spread a feeling of toleration, nay, in some cases, of respect for other religions, than has the publication of the "Sacred Books of the East." It reflects the highest credit on Lord Salisbury, at the time secretary of state for India, and on the university of which he is the chancellor, that so large an undertaking could have been carried out, and I am deeply grateful that it should have fallen to my lot to be the editor of this series, and that I should thus have been allowed to help in laying the solid foundation of the large temple of the religion of the future — a foundation which shall be broad enough to comprehend every shade of honest faith in that Power which by nearly all religions is called *Our Father*, a name only, it is true, and it may be a very imperfect name; yet there is no other name in human language that goes nearer to that forever unknown Majesty in which we ourselves live and move and have our being.

But although this feeling of kindness for and the desire to be just to non-Christian religions has been growing up for some time, it never before found such an open and solemn recognition as at Chicago. That meeting was not intended, like that under Akbar at Delhi, for elaborating a new religion,

but it established a fact of the greatest significance, namely, that there exists an ancient and universal religion, and that the highest dignitaries and representatives of all the religions of the world can meet as members of one common brotherhood, can listen respectfully to what each religion had to say for itself, nay, can join in a common prayer and accept a common blessing, one day from the hands of a Christian archbishop, another day from a Jewish rabbi, and again another day from a Buddhist priest (Dharmapâla). Another fact, also, was established once for all, namely, that the points on which the great religions differ are far less numerous, and certainly far less important, than are the points on which they all agree. The words, "that God has not left Himself without a witness," became for the first time revealed as a fact at your Congress.

Whoever knows what human nature is will not feel surprised that every one present at the Religious Parliament looked on his own religion as the best, nay, loved it all the same, even when on certain points it seemed clearly deficient or antiquated as compared with other religions. Yet that predilection did not interfere with a hearty appreciation of what seemed good and excellent in other religions. When an old Jewish rabbi summed up the whole of his religion in the words, "Be good, my boy, for God's sake," no member of the Parliament of Religions would have said No; and when another rabbi declared that the whole law and the prophets depend on our loving God and loving our neighbor as ourselves, there are few religions that could not have quoted from their own sacred scriptures more or less perfect expressions of the same sentiment.

I wish indeed it could have been possible at your Parliament to put forward the most essential doctrines of Christianity or Islam, for example, and to ask the representatives of the other religions of the world, whether their own sacred books said Yes or No to any of them. For that purpose, however, it would have been necessary, no doubt, to ask each speaker to give chapter and verse for his declaration, — and here is the only weak point that has struck me and is sure to strike others in reading the transactions of the Parliament of Religions. Statements were put forward by those who professed to speak in the name of Buddhism, Brahmanism, Christianity and Zoroastrianism — by followers of these reli-

gions who happened to be present — which, if the speakers had been asked for chapter and verse from their own canonical books, would have been difficult to substantiate, or, at all events, would have assumed a very modified aspect. Perhaps this was inevitable, particularly as the rules of the Parliament did not encourage anything like discussion, and it might have seemed hardly courteous to call upon a Buddhist archbishop to produce his authority from the Tripitake or from the nine Dharmas.

We know how much our own Christian sects differ in the interpretation of the Bible, and how they contradict one another on many of their articles of faith. Yet they all accept the Bible as their highest authority. Whatever doctrine is contradicted by the Bible they would at once surrender as false; whatever doctrine is not supported by it they could not claim as revealed. It is the same with all the other so-called book-religions. Whatever differences of opinion may separate different sects, they all submit to the authority of their own sacred books.

I may therefore be pardoned if I think that the Parliament of Religions, the record of which has been assembled in forty silent volumes, is in some respects more authoritative than the Parliament that was held at Chicago. At Chicago you had, no doubt, the immense advantage of listening to living witnesses; you were *making* the history of the future — my Parliament in type records only the history of the past. Besides, the immense number of hearers, your crowded hall joining in singing sacred hymns, nay, even the magnificent display of color by the representatives of Oriental and Occidental creeds — the snowy lawn, the orange and crimson satin, the vermilion brocade of the various ecclesiastical vestments so eloquently described by your reporters — all this contributed to stir an enthusiasm in your hearts which I hope will never die. If there are two worlds, the world of deeds and the world of words, you moved at Chicago in the world of deeds. But in the end what remains of the world of deeds is the world of words, or, as we call it, *History*, and in those forty volumes you may see the history, the outcome, or, in some cases, the short inscription on the tombstones of those who in their time have battled for truth, as the speakers assembled at Chicago have battled for truth, for love, and for charity to our neighbors.



I know full well what may be said against all sacred books. Mark, first of all, that not one has been written by the founder of a religion; secondly, that nearly all were written hundreds, in some cases thousands, of years after the rise of the religion which they profess to represent; thirdly, that even after they were written, they were exposed to dangers and interpolations; and fourthly, that it requires a very accurate and scholarlike knowledge of their language and of the thoughts of the time when they were composed, in order to comprehend their true meaning. All this should be honestly confessed; and yet there remains the fact that no religion has ever recognized an authority higher than that of its sacred book, whether for the past or the present or the future. It was the absence of this authority, the impossibility of checking the enthusiastic descriptions of the supreme excellence of every single religion, that seems to me to have somewhat interfered with the usefulness of that great œcumenical meeting at Chicago.

But let us not forget, therefore, what has been achieved by your Parliament in the world of deeds. Thousands of people from every part of the world have for the first time been seen praying together, "Our Father, which art in heaven," and have testified to the words of the prophet Malachi, "Have we not all *one* Father, hath not *one* God created us?" They have declared that "in every nation he that feareth God and worketh righteousness is acceptable to Him." They have seen with their own eyes that God is not far from each one of those who seek God, if haply they may feel after Him. Let theologians pile up volume upon volume of what they call theology; religion is a very simple matter, and that which is so simple and yet so all-important to us, the living kernel of religion, can be found, I believe, in almost every creed, however much the husk may vary. And think what that means! It means that above and beneath and behind all religions there is one eternal, one universal religion, a religion to which every man, whether black or white or yellow or red, belongs or may belong.

What can be more disturbing and distressing than to see the divisions in our own religion, and likewise the divisions in the eternal and universal religion of mankind? Not only are the believers in different religions divided from each other, but they think it right to hate and to anathematize

each other on account of their belief. As long as religions encourage such feelings none of them can be the true one.

And if it is impossible to prevent theologians from quarrelling, or popes, cardinals, archbishops and bishops, priests and ministers, from pronouncing their anathemas, the true people of God, the universal laity, have surely a higher duty to fulfil. Their religion, whether formulated by Buddha, Mohammed or Christ, is before all things practical, a religion of love and trust, not of hatred and excommunication.

Suppose that there are and that there always will remain differences of creed, are such differences fatal to a universal religion? Must we hate one another because we have different creeds, or because we express in different ways what we believe?

Let us look at some of the most important articles of faith, such as *miracles*, *the immortality of the soul*, and *the existence of God*. It is well known that both Buddha and Mohammed declined to perform miracles, nay, despised them if required as evidence, in support of the truth of their doctrines. If, on the contrary, the founder of our own religion appealed, as we are told, to his works in support of the truth of his teaching, does that establish either the falsehood or the truth of the Buddhist, the Mohammedan or the Christian religion? May there not be truth, even without miracles? Nay, as others would put it, may there not be truth, even if resting apparently on the evidence of miracles only? Whenever all three religions proclaim the same truth, may they not all be true, even if they vary slightly in their expression, and may not their fundamental agreement serve as stronger evidence even than all miracles?

Or take a more important point, the belief in the immortality of the soul. Christianity and Mohammedanism teach it, ancient Mosaism seems almost to deny it, while Buddhism refrains from any positive utterance, neither asserting nor denying it. Does even that necessitate rupture and excommunication? Are we less immortal because the Jews doubted and the Buddhists shrank from asserting the indestructible nature of the soul?

Nay, even what is called *atheism* is, often, not the denial of a Supreme Being, but simply a refusal to recognize what seem to some minds human attributes, unworthy of the Deity. Whoever thinks that he can really deny Deity, must

also deny humanity; that is, he must deny himself, and that, as you know, is a logical impossibility.

But true religion, that is, practical, active, living religion, has little or nothing to do with such logical or metaphysical quibbles. Practical religion is life, is a new life, a life in the sight of God; and it springs from what may truly be called a new birth. And even this belief in a new birth is by no means an exclusively Christian idea. Nicodemus might ask, How can a man be born again? The old Brahmins, however, knew perfectly well the meaning of that second birth. They called themselves Dvi-ga, that is Twice-born, because their religion had led them to discover their divine birthright, long before *we* were taught to call ourselves the children of God.

In this way it would be possible to discover a number of fundamental doctrines, shared in common by the great religions of the world, though clothed in slightly varying phraseology. Nay, I believe it would have been possible, even at Chicago, to draw up a small number of articles of faith, not, of course, thirty-nine, to which all who were present could have honestly subscribed. And think what that would have meant! It rests with us to carry forth the torch that has been lighted in America, and not to allow it to be extinguished again, till a beacon has been raised lighting up the whole world and drawing towards it the eyes and hearts of all the sons of men in brotherly love and in reverence for that God who has been worshipped since the world began, albeit in different languages and under different names, but never before in such unison, in such world-embracing harmony and love, as at your great Religious Council at Chicago.

In conclusion let me say that I am a very old showman at Oxford University, and I may say truly that there are no strangers that I like so much to conduct personally over Oxford as the Americans. They seem to know what to look for, — they want to see the colleges of Locke, of Adam Smith, of Shelley, of Stanley, and they thoroughly enjoy what they see. They feel at home at Oxford, and they speak of it as their own university, as the glorious nursery of those men whose example has made America as great as she is. They have come on what they call a pilgrimage to England — and it is quite right that the land of their fathers should be to them a holy land. After all, the glories of England are

theirs — their fathers fought its battles by land and by sea; their fathers made it a home of freedom; their fathers, when freedom of word and thought and deed seemed threatened for a while, protested, and migrated to found a New England on the other side of the Atlantic.

But blood is thicker than water, thicker even than the Atlantic. With every year the old feeling of brotherhood asserts itself more strongly between Americans and Englishmen, between the Old and the New England. I have many friends in America, not one who is not a friend of England, not one who does not feel that in the struggle for political and religious freedom which looms in the future, Englishmen and Americans should always stand shoulder to shoulder, should form one united people. Whatever may be said against England — and a good deal has been said against her by what I heard an American ambassador call, the other day, “the mischievous boy of the family,” always the most popular with mothers, sisters and cousins, if not with fathers and aunts — but whatever has been or may be said against England, can you imagine what the world would be without England? And do you believe that New England, Young England, would ever stand by with folded arms to see Old England touched, so long as a drop of Saxon blood was left in the veins of her soldiers and sailors?

Here, too, as in the Parliament of Religions of Chicago, it would be easy to show that the points on which Americans and Englishmen differ are nothing as compared to those on which they agree. Take one instance only. If England and America were to say once for all that there shall be no war without previous arbitration, and that whatever country objects to this article of international faith, shall for the time be excluded from all international amenities, shall be *taboo* politically and financially, the world might breathe again more freely, the poor would be allowed again to eat their bread in peace, we should have peace on earth, goodwill towards men; we should have what the First Parliament of the World's Religions proclaimed as “the true glory to God.” We are all members of the great parliament of the world; let us show that we can be above party, above country, above creed, and that we owe allegiance to truth only, and to that voice of conscience which is the “real presence” in the universal communion of mankind.

## GUY DE MAUPASSANT.\*

---

BY COUNT L. N. TOLSTOI.

---

IF I am not mistaken, it was in 1881 that Turgenief, who was staying with me at the time, brought forth a small book from his trunk, and handed it to me; the book was called "*Maison Tellier*."

"Read it when you have nothing else to do!" he said, quite carelessly; in the same way that, about a year before, he had given me a number of *Russkoe Bogatstvo*, with an article by Garshin, who was just beginning to write. It was evident on both occasions that Turgenief was trying not to influence me in any way, but wished me to form an independent opinion. "He is a young French writer," he continued; "you will find him not at all bad. He knows your books and — thinks very highly of them," this as a bribe. "In his life and character he reminds me of Drujénin. Like Drujénin, he is a good son, a faithful friend, and a strong sympathizer with the working classes. And his relations with the fair sex remind me of Drujénin, too." And Turgenief told me some wonderful, almost incredible, stories of this side of Maupassant's character.

That very period, 1881, was the most fateful epoch of the rebuilding of my inner life; and while this rebuilding was going on, the activities which are generally called "artistic," and to which I had formerly dedicated all my powers, not only lost for me the high value I had once set on them, but grew even repellent to me; precisely because of the disproportionate space they had filled in my own life, and in the lives of the rich in general. And so, at that time, I took no interest at all in works like this which Turgenief had lent me. But I did not want to disappoint him, and so read the book.

The first story, "*Maison Tellier*," showed me, beyond all doubt, that its author possessed a true talent, in spite of

---

\* Translated by Charles Johnston.

the looseness and insignificance of his theme. The author clearly had the peculiar gift, the talent of concentrating his mind on one subject or another, which gives to its possessor the power of seeing something new, something invisible to others, in what he is looking at. And without doubt Guy de Maupassant could see things invisible to others. Yet, as far as I could judge from this one book, in spite of his talent he lacked the chiefest of the three qualities necessary for the production of a true work of art. *These three conditions are: a true, a moral attitude towards his subject; clear expression, or, what is the same thing, beauty of form; and, thirdly, sincerity — unfeigned love or unfeigned hatred for what he depicts.*

Of these three conditions, Guy de Maupassant possessed the two last only, and was utterly devoid of the first. He had no true, no moral attitude towards his subject. From what I had read, I decided that Guy de Maupassant possessed this talent; that he could intend his mind on things, and thus discern qualities unseen by others; that he also possessed beauty of form — he could say clearly, simply and beautifully whatever he had to say; that he also possessed the indispensable condition of effectiveness — sincerity. He did not feign love and hatred. He loved and hated sincerely. But unhappily lacking the first, the chiefest condition of true work — the right moral attitude, the discernment between good and evil — he loved and painted things that are not worthy of love; and did not love or paint things that are worthy of love. In this little book, he describes with rich detail, and evident relish, the ways in which men and women seduce each other, and even adds some hardly intelligible impurities, as in "*La Femme de Paul*"; while at the same time he describes the village toilers not only callously but even repellently, as mere animals.

A total failure to understand the life and hopes of the toilers, while depicting them as repulsive animals, moved only by lust, wrath and greed, is a capital defect in French writers generally. Guy de Maupassant does not escape this defect; in this, as in his other books, he ever describes the masses of France as coarse, vulgar animals, worthy only of ridicule. I cannot, of course, claim to know the masses of France better than the French writers know them; but though I am a Russian, and have never lived among the

masses in France, I confidently affirm that the French writers err in describing the French people as they do, and that the French people cannot be what the French writers say they are. If the France we know, with her really great men, with the endowments they have dedicated to science, art, civilization and the moral progress of the world, really exists, then the working masses who have sustained and still sustain this gifted France on their shoulders, cannot be made up of mere animals, but must be made up of men, with great moral qualities. And so I do not believe the pictures of them in novels like "*La Terre*," and the stories of Guy de Maupassant, just as I could not believe in a fine palace without foundations.

And so the general impression of the book Turgenieff had given me left me completely indifferent to the young French writer. And, still more, I felt so disgusted at the time with "*Une Partie de Campagne*," "*La Femme de Paul*," and "*L'Histoire d'une Fille de Ferme*," that I never even noticed the two really excellent stories, "*Le Papa de Simon*," and "*Sur l'Eau*" — a wonderful description of night. It seemed to me that, in our days, there are so many people who possess a true talent prostituted to falseness. So I told Turgenieff, and then forgot all about Guy de Maupassant.

The first work of his I saw after this was "*Une Vie*," which somebody advised me to read. This book totally changed my opinion of Guy de Maupassant; and afterwards I always read with great interest whatever appeared over his signature. "*Une Vie*" is an excellent novel; not only is it beyond comparison Guy de Maupassant's best, but I think it would not be wrong to say that it is the best French novel after Hugo's "*Les Misérables*."

"*Une Vie*," besides showing a true talent, a true power of concentration on a subject, so as to reveal new and unseen relations, also unites in itself the three essential conditions of a true work of art: a true, a moral attitude towards the subject; beauty of form; and sincerity — unfeigned love for what the author describes. The author sees that the meaning of life transcends the adventures of profligates of either sex. The contents of the book, as its title shows, comprehend "A Life"; a life, innocent and ruined; a gracious woman ever open to all good influences, but brought to utter ruin by the very grossness of animal instincts which, in



former days, were, in the opinion of the author, the central, dominant facts of life. But in this book, all the sympathies of the author are on the side of what is really good.

The style of his first stories is also excellent, but here it reaches such heights of perfection as have never, in my opinion, been reached by any writer of French prose. But, best of all, the author truly and sincerely loves the kindly family he describes, and really hates the coarse sensualist who destroys its happiness and peace. And this sincerity is the root of that vividness which pervades the whole work. The easy-going, good-hearted mother; the noble, weak, sympathetic father, and the still more sympathetic daughter in her simplicity and great openness to all that is good; their mutual relations; their first journey; their servants and neighbors; the stingy, sensual, trivial and impudent bridegroom, who deceives the innocent girl with his commonplace idealization of the most brutal sides of human character; the wedding; Corsica, with its charmingly described nature; then their country life; the coarse unfaithfulness of the husband; his usurping all proprietary rights over their property; his collisions with his father-in-law; the retiring timidity of the good, and the triumph of the impudent; their relations with their neighbors; all this is life itself, with all its complex variety; and not only is it admirably and vividly described—it is pervaded by a sincere, pathetic tone, in which the reader shares, even against his will. You feel that the author loves this woman; and not for her outer beauty, but for her soul, for all that is good in her. He pities her, and suffers with her; and this feeling of his is communicated to the reader. And the questions, "Why, with what object, is this gracious being ruined; and is this possibly right?" arise in the reader's heart, and force him deeper into the import and sense of human life.

The next novel of Guy de Maupassant's which I read was "*Bel-Ami*." "*Bel-Ami*" is distinctly an impure book. The author clearly gives way to his inclination to describe what attracts him in an unworthy way; and so often loses the original negative attitude towards his hero, and goes over bodily to his side. But, in a more general way, "*Bel-Ami*," as well as "*Une Vie*," is based on serious thought and earnest feeling. In "*Une Vie*," this fundamental thought is perplexity at the cruel purposelessness with which an excel-

lent woman is made to suffer through the vulgar sensuality of a man. In "*Bel-Ami*," it is more than perplexity. It is indignation at the success and happiness of a coarse animal, who succeeds, and wins a high social position through this very sensuality; and indignation at the profligacy of the atmosphere in which the hero wins success. In the first story, the author seems to ask: With what object, for what fault is this beautiful being ruined; why did it happen? In the second, he seems to answer these questions: everything pure and good in our society is doomed to ruin, because this society is immoral, mad and chaotic. The last scene of the novel, the wedding of a triumphant rascal, decorated with the *Legion l'Honneur*, in a fashionable church, with a pure young girl, the daughter of a once blameless mother whom he had seduced; a wedding which received an episcopal blessing, and was acknowledged universally as a thing to be respected, expresses this fundamental thought with unusual power. In this novel, you see that the author is dealing earnestly with life, in spite of its being encumbered with impure detail, which, unhappily, seems to delight him.

Read the conversation of the old poet with Duroy, after they have left the Walters' dinner party, if I mistake not:—

"She holds me already, *la quense*," he says, of Death; "she has loosened my teeth, torn out my hair, crippled my limbs, and she is ready to swallow me; I am already in her power. She lingers, playing with me, like a cat with a mouse, and knowing that there is no escape for me. Fame and wealth—what profit is in them? when you cannot buy with them a woman's love. A woman's love is the one thing worth living for; and Death robs us of it; of love, and then of health, of strength, of our very life; and this for us all, and nothing gained."

This is the meaning of the old poet's words; but Duroy is the successful suitor of every woman that pleases him; he is so full of lust, energy and force, that he, hearing, hears not, and understanding, understands not, the old poet's words. He certainly hears and understands, but the springs of self-indulgent life pulsate in him so strongly that this self-evident truth fails to touch him, though foreshadowing his own end.

This interior contradiction, together with its ironic purpose, forms the chief meaning of *Bel-Ami*. The same thought

illuminates the excellent description of the consumptive journalist's death. The author asks himself what this life is, how to explain the eternal contradiction between the love of life and the knowledge of inevitable death. But he gives no answer to this question. He seems to seek, to wait for, a solution ; but gives no decision in either sense. But still his moral attitude towards life remains true in this novel.

But in the stories that follow, this moral attitude towards life becomes confused ; the valuation of the incidents of life begins to be uncertain, obscured, and at last altogether dislocated. In "*Mont Oriol*," Guy de Maupassant seems to join the motives of the two preceding novels, and to go over their contents once more. This story is rich in descriptions of a fashionable health resort, with its hygienic activities ; full of admirable humor. But we see the same Paul, as cruel and as worthless as the husband in "*Une Vie*"; the same sweet, weak, lovely, sympathetic woman, deceived and ruined ; the same heartless triumph of worthless vulgarity as in "*Bel-Ami*." The leading thought is certainly the same, but the author's moral attitude is considerably lower. His notions of good and evil become very uncertain. In spite of all his intellectual striving to be dispassionate and objective, the profligate Paul evidently has his sympathy, and consequently the story of Paul's passion, and his successful attempts to seduce, rings quite false. The reader does not quite know what the author intends, and whether he wishes to paint Paul's meanness and moral bankruptcy, his indifferent desertion and insults towards his victim, for the sole reason that, when pregnant with his child, she loses her grace and beauty ; or wishes to show how easy and pleasant it is to live the life of this profligate.

In the stories that follow, "*Pierre et Jean*," and "*Fort Comme la Mort*," the author's moral attitude towards his creations is still more uncertain ; and in "*Notre Cœur*" it seems to be altogether lost. All these stories are stamped with indifference, haste and unreality, and, above all, with the lack of that right moral attitude towards life, which was so clearly present in the earlier story, "*Une Vie*."

This deterioration seems to have begun exactly at the period when Guy de Maupassant's reputation as a fashionable writer was established ; when he was led into the strong temptation belonging to our time ; the temptation which is likely to come

to any successful writer, and the more so, if the writer is so attractive as Guy de Maupassant. On one side, the success of his first novels; the praises of the critics; the flattery of society and especially of women; on another, increasing gains and still more rapidly increasing wants; and, lastly, the importunity of publishers, who cease to judge the quality of what the author offers them, ready to accept anything bearing a lucrative signature;—all this intoxicates the author. He gives way, and though still as perfect, or even more perfect in style, and even taking delight in his descriptions, he loves what he describes from mere whim, and not because it is good and worthy of all love; or hates what he describes from mere whim, and not because it is evil and worthy of hate.

The motive in "*Une Vie*" is this: here is a human being, kindly, bright, sympathetic, open to all good influences; and for some reason, this human being is sacrificed first to her vulgar, worthless, stupid, sensual husband, and then to her son who is no better. Why is this being led to ruin without ever having given anything to the world? This is the question Guy de Maupassant puts, and, to all appearances, he leaves it unanswered. But the whole story, all our compassion for the victim, all our abhorrence for the causes of her ruin, are an answer to this question. If even one man could enter into, and express, her sorrows, they are justified. Such was the meaning of Job's answer to his friends, who said that none would understand his sorrow. You have learned suffering and understood it; this is its justification. And the author has seen and understood this suffering, and has unveiled its mysteries to others. The suffering is justified by the fact that, once it has been understood by mankind, its source will be inevitably removed, sooner or later.

The story that followed, "*Bel-Ami*," no longer raises the question of the suffering of the innocent; the question it raises is: Why should the unworthy win wealth and fame? What, then, are this wealth and this fame, and how are they acquired? This question also contains its solution within itself; its solution is the negation of everything that the mob values most highly. The theme of "*Bel-Ami*," is still serious; but the author's moral attitude towards his subject is far more infirm. In "*Une Vie*," the sensual blots which disfigure the story were few and far between; but in "*Bel-*

*Ami*," these blots spread and expand, till whole chapters are darkened by them, without disturbing the author's complacency.

In "*Mont-Oriol*," the question, Why should a gracious woman suffer, and a brutal seducer triumph? is no longer put. The author seems really to assume that this is exactly as it should be; moral requirements are hardly felt at all; but impure, sensual descriptions are frequent, though quite uncalled for by any artistic necessity or fitness. The author's moral attitude towards his subject is perfectly false; and, as a striking example of his doing violence to the laws of beauty, one may cite the very detailed description of the appearance of the heroine in her bath. This description is perfectly unnecessary; quite unconnected with either the exterior or the interior theme of the novel. Tiny bubbles cover pink flesh. What of that? asks the reader. Nothing! replies the author; I describe this because I like this kind of description.

In the two stories that follow, "*Pierre et Jean*," and "*Fort Comme la Mort*," there is no moral basis at all. Both are built upon profligacy, deceit and lying; leading the persons of the story to tragic complications.

Then in "*Notre Cœur*," the situation is perfectly monstrous, impossible and immoral. Here the chief characters make no attempt at resistance; they give themselves up heart and soul to the shallowest sensual pleasures; and the author seems to sympathize with them sincerely. The only conclusion the reader can possibly draw is, that there is nothing in life worth living for but sexual indulgence, no aim but its most extended enjoyment.

I shall touch on his short stories—his chief achievement and title to fame—later on; but in all his novels after "*Bel-Ami*," Guy de Maupassant is evidently enslaved by the false theory that reigned in his circle in Paris and still reigns everywhere: the theory that an artistic creation demands no defined sense of good and evil; that, on the contrary, a true artist is bound to ignore all moral questions, and that in this ignoring lies the artist's chief merit. This theory holds it to be the artist's duty to represent actuality—what actually is, or what is beautiful—that is, what pleases him or may serve as material for "science"; but that it is no part of his duty to discern between moral and immoral, good

and evil. In compliance with this verdict of elect spirits, Guy de Maupassant wrote his novels under the curious belief that whatever his circle believed to be beautiful, was that true Beautiful which all art must serve.

Guy de Maupassant grew up and formed himself among those who believed that feminine beauty and feminine passion were finally and universally acknowledged by the best minds as the only true subject of real art. This theory, in all its terrible inanity, enslaved Guy de Maupassant as soon as he became a fashionable writer; and, as could have been foretold, this false ideal led him into a whole series of mistakes, in work that grew steadily weaker and weaker.

And here we come to the radical difference between a novel and a short story. The theme of a novel, interior and exterior, is the description of a whole life, or even many lives; hence the writer of a novel must clearly discern between good and evil in life — a discernment which Guy de Maupassant never possessed. Quite the opposite, for it was blazoned on the banner of his school that he must ignore this very discernment. Had he been one of the throng of talentless prophets of sensuality, he would have depicted evil as good in perfect contentment, and his novels would have been complete and interesting for readers who shared his views. But Guy de Maupassant was not talentless; he had the true talent — the power of discerning reality — and therefore, in spite of himself, depicted reality, and saw evil in what he tried to depict as good. And this is why in all his novels but "*Une Vie*" his sympathies are so uncertain; sometimes depicting evil as good; sometimes seeing evil in evil, and good in good; and continually changing from one to the other. And this uncertainty is fatal to the wholeness of impression, fatal to the illusion.

With the exception of his early novels, or, to speak more exactly, with the exception of his earliest one, all his novels are weak as such; and, had he left us nothing but his novels, his life would be valuable only as a striking example of a brilliant gift ruined by the false surroundings in which it developed, and the false theories of men without love for, and therefore without understanding of, art.

But, happily, Guy de Maupassant wrote short stories also, in which he did not comply with a false theory; in which he did not aim at fine writing, but simply recorded what touched

his heart or repelled his moral sense. And so, in the best of these short stories, you can trace the development of his moral sense, and the gradual and unconscious dethronement of all that formerly constituted for him the whole aim and meaning of life. And the wonderful characteristic of all true talent is, that, unless the author does violence to his own better nature, a true talent will teach its possessor and lead him on the road of moral unfolding, making him love the truly lovable, and hate what is worthy of hatred. An artist is an artist only in so far as he can see things, not as he wishes to see them, but as they really are. The possessor of a true talent may err, but the true talent, when given free scope — as Guy de Maupassant's was, in his short stories — will unveil and reveal the truth as it really is; will compel love for it if it be lovable, and hatred, if it be worthy of hate. What befell Balaam will befall every true artist, when, under outward influences, he seeks to represent what should not be represented; seeking to bless the accursed, he cursed, and seeking to curse the blessed, he blessed. Unwillingly, he does not what he would, but what he should.

And so with Guy de Maupassant. There was hardly another writer who felt so sincerely that the sole end and aim of life is woman, and who described woman and woman's love so powerfully and passionately from every side; and yet who showed the dark reverses of the picture so clearly and truly, though sincerely seeking to exalt his ideal, and show in it the true end and happiness of life. The deeper he penetrated into life with this ideal, the more completely all veils were torn away, leaving bare the dark consequences and still darker realities. Guy de Maupassant wished to hymn the praises of passion, but the deeper he penetrated, the deeper grew his loathing. He loathes passion for the calamities and sufferings that follow in its wake; for its many disappointments; and, most of all, because passion counterfeits true love — a counterfeit which brings the more suffering the more credulous was its victim.

The moral progress of Guy de Maupassant's life is written in ineffaceable characters through the whole series of his delicious short stories and his best books, "*Sur l'Eau*" and "*Une Vie*." This growth is to be traced not only in the dethronement of sexual passion — the more significant that it is involuntary — but also in Guy de Maupassant's increasing



demands from life, in a moral sense. He begins to perceive the chasm between man and beast not in sexual passion alone, but in the whole fabric of life.

He sees that the material world, such as it is, is not the best of all possible worlds; that it might be far other; that it does not satisfy the demands of reason and love. He begins to perceive that another world exists; or, at least, he realizes the soul's longings for this other world. And this thought is strikingly expressed in "*Horla*." He is tortured by the material world's unreason and ugliness; by its lack of love, its separation. I know no other cry of despair that goes straighter to the heart, coming from one who from chaos had found conscience, than the expression of this thought in the charming story, "Solitude." The fact that tortured Guy de Maupassant most keenly, and to which he returns again and again, is this very loneliness, this consciousness of a spiritual barrier shutting him off from all mankind; a barrier that grows more palpable as physical intercourse grows closer. What makes him suffer so? What is he longing for? What could break down the barrier, and bring this utter loneliness to an end? What but love? Yet not the *mirage* of woman, of sexual passion; but true love—pure, spiritual and divine. And this Guy de Maupassant thirsts for. This true love, long clearly recognized as the salvation of life, is the goal of his struggles from the toils he feels drawn round him. He has not yet found the name of what he seeks; nor will he name it with the lips alone, through fear of bringing pollution to the shrine. Yet unnamed as is his impulse, his horror of loneliness is so intense that it is communicated to the reader, who is far more touched by this upward struggle than by all the idyls of passion that have flowed eloquent from Guy de Maupassant's lips. The tragedy of his life is in the fact that, though plunged in a life and tide of moral chaos, the power and luminousness of his talent was making for his liberation from this chaos; his release was definitely sure; he was already breathing the free air. Yet, having spent his strength in the struggle, he failed in the last needed effort, and perished unreleased.

According to the thought that surrounded him, in which he was formed, and which the young lust of his passionate nature strengthened and confirmed, life was for indulgence alone, and the chiefest indulgence was sexual love; and this



false tendency gained force and color from his wonderful power of depicting passion and communicating it to others.

But the more he bent his eyes on this indulgence, the more there struggled to the light elements foreign and hostile to passion and beauty; woman grew strangely repellent; then the pains of pregnancy, of childbirth; the unwelcome children; then deceit, cruelty, moral suffering; then — old age, and — death. Then again — is this “beauty” real beauty? Of what use is it? This ideal of his might hold, if we could bind the wings of time; but life hurries on, — and what does this mean? The hurry of life means this — thin and grizzled hair, toothlessness, wrinkles, tainted breath; even long before the end all becomes ugly and repellent; visible paint, sweat, foulness, hideousness. Where, then, is the god of my idolatry? Where is beauty? Beauty is all, and is — vanished. Nothing is left. Life is gone. Nor is it only that life has gone from where you beheld it. You yourself begin to lag behind. You yourself grow weak, dull, decrepit. Others cull the sweets before your eyes.

And even this is not all. You begin to see the glimmer of another life; something different; another communion with life, and with mankind; a communion with no place for these deceits; a communion not to be destroyed, but ever true and ever beautiful. But this may not be. It is but the gleam of an oasis, where we know no oasis is, but sand only. Guy de Maupassant has reached the tragic hour of struggle between the lies around him, and the truth he was beginning to see. The throes of the new birth were close at hand. And these throes are expressed in his most excellent works, and more than all in his short stories. Had he not been doomed to death in the birth-struggle, he would have given us great evangels; yet even what he gave us in his pain is much already. Therefore let us thank this strong, truthful writer for what he has given.

# DAVID A. WELLS' "DOWNFALL."

BY GEORGE WILSON.\*

(a) In the *Forum* for October, 1893, David A. Wells invites attention to his feat of causing the "Downfall" of certain "Financial Fallacies," which before would not down, but continued to trouble "many intelligent persons anxious to know the truth." Under this modest title he set forth "the appreciation of gold fallacy" and four other fallacies. He says it is doubtful if there has ever been a controverted economic or social question in which so much of error of assertion and assumption is involved, as has characterized the theories and statements of the advocates of silver.

Thereafter he tries to liken his opponents to Rev. John Jasper, the negro preacher in Richmond, Va., who says "The sun do move" and "The earth do stand still." Like the opposing general of whom Lee said, "He seemed not to be aware of his situation," Mr. Wells seems not to see that in claiming that gold is the "standard of value," that it has stood still and other things have moved, he is taking exactly the position in finance that Jasper does in physics. As there is no physical constant in the universe, so there is no price-constant in the market. Those who think there is a "standard of value" have not mastered the subject.

(b) In general, when dollars are few we must give much of other commodities for a given quantity of them; when plenty, less. There is therefore a *prima facie* case against the enemies of constitutional coinage. He tries to clear them thus:—

Nobody, furthermore, has ever yet risen to explain the motive which has impelled the sellers of merchandise all over the world during the last thirty years, to take lower prices for their goods in the face of an unexampled abundance of capital and low rates of interest, except upon the issue of the struggle between supply and demand.

That "assertion and assumption" has a radical fault; it is not true. The abundance of money is relative. In proportion to the enormous increase of new country to develop, money was seldom scarcer. In proportion to the increase of drafts on the future in the shape of deferred obligations, now constantly maturing, the world has never as urgently needed all the gold

---

\* President of the oldest bank in Missouri.

and silver it could get for use as money. Our issue-bank currency and much of the English is paid for twice. We are taxed to create it and we pay interest on it when we borrow it. To suppose that value can be made out of nothing is not to understand the law of the conservation of energy.

Nowhere in the Union has production for the time named been carried on with money at low rates of interest. The same is true of South and Central America, Australia, Canada and Mexico. The chief cause of the trouble of the Jews in Russia is that they have plundered Russian producers by outrageous rates of interest.

When commodities are falling in price on the hands of sellers of them any rate of interest paid on money to carry on such business is a loss. Money naturally abundant and at a low rate of interest, as Mr. Wells seems to recognize, would not allow the disproportionately low prices to which he confesses. Men like Mr. Wells form opinions of interest rates from reading that the Bank of England rate to-day is two per cent and New York's rate perhaps three per cent. But at the same time our cotton crop is produced at an average of, say, fifteen per cent. His statement not being true, he is left with a *prima facie* case against the gold fiatists.

(c) Here is the meat of his case. He says:—

In all that has been written or spoken on this subject, on either side of the Atlantic, that has fallen under my observation, no one has ever named a single commodity and satisfactorily proved or even attempted to prove that its decline was due to the appreciation of gold. And the reason for such default is that it cannot be done. On the other hand not a single commodity that has notably declined in price within that time can be named in respect to which clear, abundant and specific evidence cannot be adduced in proof that its decline has been due to decreased cost of production or distribution or to changes in supply and demand occasioned by wholly fortuitous circumstances.

Did he forget silver bullion; or did he think demonetization "wholly fortuitous"?

It is not a matter of reasonable doubt that within the last thirty or forty years man has attained such a greater control over the forces of nature and has so compassed their use, that he has been able to do far more work in a given time and produce far more product, measured by quantity in ratio to a given amount of labor, than ever before. How great has been the average saving in the world's work of production and distribution cannot be accurately stated; but few investigators place it at less than forty per cent, and in some great branches of industry it has certainly amounted to seventy or eighty per cent. We have here, therefore, a natural, all-sufficient, and non-disputable cause of the remarkable decline in prices under consideration and also of its continuation.

That is his case: cheap commodities and dear money are caused by over-supply of the first, compared with the demand

for them. It is positively amusing to think that with all Mr. Wells' pretensions as an economist he should think that any answer.

Elsewhere he says that all true money is a commodity. How does it happen that the other commodities have increased so much beyond demand, by man's ingenuity, energy, control of the forces of nature, etc., and the commodity, money, has not? Oh! here's the rub. It was increasing, though not in proportion to the other commodities that are exchanged by it, and just-there the fiatists interfered to reduce the supply of it. The same railroads that opened up new wheat fields in Nebraska, Kansas and the two Dakotas and new cotton fields in Arkansas, Texas and other states, also opened up new mines of the two money metals in the Rocky Mountain states and territories beyond them. He mainly agrees with us as to the going apart of gold on one hand and the mass of commodities on the other. But his assertion that it is from "fortuitous circumstances" is grossly and flatly false, and in one of his details he helps us to show it. He says the copper tea kettle now worth seventy-five cents was in 1860 worth two dollars and a half, "which, the commercial world is agreed, has been wholly due to the extraordinary productiveness of new American mines and new methods of mining and smelting."

In the ores of Colorado that are treated at Central and Black Hawk, Colorado, and elsewhere, there are gold, silver and copper blended in nature's laboratory. When separated, the gold and copper can be used for any purpose for which man has found them useful. The natural right to use gold as money according to immemorial Aryan custom-law is left, but the same right so to use silver is taken from the individual by fiat. If we could quote the price of the copper by a volume of money made up of the gold and silver the price of a copper tea kettle would not be as low as it is, if the other factors did not change. This anti-natural, unconstitutional, fiat treatment of silver has cut off one of its uses and it, too, has thereby declined as quoted in gold. The copper regions of Lake Superior are also silver producing regions. The same is true of Mexico and South America. The difference in the treatment of silver and copper has affected the product of those countries. Mr. Wells says that it can be proved by "clear, abundant and specific evidence" that this fiat change in supply and demand is "*wholly due* to fortuitous circumstances."

The condition in the Union now is exactly as if a hundred men, each with a commodity to trade, had met as before in a market. The commodities must all be converted into money, but the one who had before all the gold and silver money he could get, now only has the share that he can get out of a volume restricted to

gold. Each commodity owner therefore tries to sell all he has and buy less than usual of what the others have, because money is scarcer and dearer and a certain fixed sum of it must be taken home to pay his debts. Hence there is, of course, except as to money, a greater supply than demand; *but from an unnatural cause — the fiat restriction of the volume of money.* The necessity, in the case of each producer, for raising more of products whose price is falling, in order to get a certain *sum* to pay debts and taxes; and the need of doing without other commodities and applying most of the product to paying liabilities — this factor in causing what he treats as over production, Mr. Wells ignores. In between alleged over-production of low-priced wheat and cotton let a natural supply of metal money go, and the money prices of both will rise

This completely answers Mr. Wells' claim that improvement in production and distribution, control of the forces of nature, etc., alone have made low prices. But he angrily says that to ask for the retention of that natural equality among commodities, including gold and silver, is "rascally." If his theory of money is true, that Congress can make and unmake money, it is absurd to say that remonetizing silver is "rascally." His "few thoughtful minds" in Europe have decided that issue bankers and gold miners have a royal prerogative. He sees no rascality in limiting money by fiat after the world has made immeasurable contracts to pay money. Whence do governments get power to make and unmake money? He does not say. But the power to make and unmake money at will is tacitly assumed for Congress by him, as by the greenbackers. That is, at one end of his political economy he is a liberal or believer in natural rights; at the other a fiatist. He may properly be called an economic freak, a double-headed economic prodigy. Had he learned the principles of the science of money he would have seen that they are a part of the science of sociology and come under the same rule — that of the natural rights of man as opposed to the violent interference school. He has always professed to be with Bentham, Hume, Adam Smith, John Stuart Mill and the liberal school in political economy, and now in a detail of political economy — finance — he appears among the champions of the fiat school. This is indeed a "down-fall." He has not learned the elementary fact that money is an evolution, not the creature of government. Yet he has always taught that the evolution of commerce ought to go on upon natural lines unrestrained by fiat.

Man's right to use as money all the gold and all the silver he can get is as indefeasible as his right to use as food all the wheat and beef that he or others can produce. Fiat can no more, justly, limit the amount of the two money metals that he may

use as money than it can limit the uses to which he may put wheat, or the quantity of it that he may use as food. The free coinage of the two money metals as under the law of 1792 is only the natural right of every one to the unrestricted use of gold and silver. There is no more right to restrict the use of silver as money than to restrict its use as spoons. It was immemorial Aryan custom-law, not statute, that made them "money"; as the law-merchant and not statute first made money contracts negotiable.

(d) Mr. Wells says:—

Again, if the appreciation of gold has been the cause of the decline of prices under consideration, the inference is irresistible that everything for sale, or exchangeable for money, ought to have experienced its influence; and that something of correspondence as respects time and degree in resulting price movements would have been recognized. And this is exactly what the advocates of silver claim has occurred. Thus in speeches recently made by the secretary and representative of the Manufacturers' Club of Philadelphia—Mr. Heber Clark—he is reported as saying that "The fact that prices of practically all commodities have steadily declined demonstrates that some one large force operating with equal pressure everywhere and simultaneously must be thrusting them downward. . . . That fact is the appreciation of gold." But nothing of the kind as thus asserted has happened. The decline in prices, though extensive, has fallen far short of embracing all commodities, and has not been manifested simultaneously.

Mr. Wells says also:—

There is, further, no foundation for the assertion that there has been anything like a simultaneous decline in prices due to the appreciation of gold and no one can name any two commodities whose price experiences during the period of decline have harmonized either in respect to time or degree.

That is to say, he requires the constitutionalists to prove that variations in supply and demand from other causes, making one thing rise and another fall at the same time, or one fall faster or more than another, have ceased by gold appreciation; to prove that under gold appreciation they fluctuate or fall in harmony: otherwise there has been no gold appreciation. He says we say this is the case. The language of the one witness that he quotes warrants no such construction as he puts on it. If, as Mr. Wells says, the fall in the case of each commodity can be shown to be from changes in supply and demand, cheapened production, distribution, etc., why has not the fall been parallel as to amount and synchronous in the cases of the commodities that he agrees have fallen? Evidently because they were not all acted upon by exactly the same influences in the same degree at the same time. Should gold appreciation, if a fact, have pulled them all into line and made them do it? Here is a fair application of Mr. Wells' logic on this point; if at the time fiat is lessening the money

supply an abundant wheat crop in the summer is followed by a fall in wheat prices there is not "simultaneously" a fall in the price of cotton, though the corresponding cotton crop will come in some five months later than wheat, or when it comes it is a short crop, then gold appreciation had nothing to do with any fall in either case. And here is another: when self-binders were introduced their influence was to cheapen wheat, and if gold appreciation had then been depressing prices, corn (though still cut, gathered and shucked by hand) ought to have fallen simultaneously and in the same degree.

Mr. John Henry Newman, member of the London Chamber of Commerce and author of "The World's Exchanges of Standard Metals," etc., one of the gold fiatists endorsed by Professor Sumner, says, "No two substances can be exchanged for any length of time on parallel lines of quantities or values." He thinks that a natural law. Mr. Wells thinks it would disappear under gold appreciation. He says in effect that we say this has happened. One would suppose that the constitutionalists, or Mr. Clark for them, alleged that demonetization wiped out all other influences "which vary with time, place and circumstance" (to quote Mr. Wells). Nobody, so far as I know, says that because this fiat treatment of money has resulted as it must result, all other factors that tend to affect prices cease to act as usual; but that is what Mr. Wells virtually charges the constitutional coinage party with saying and that is what he tries to answer. He fights a straw man of his own make.

There is an equal downward pressure everywhere, as Mr. Clark says, but it meets in some cases resisting factors, in others helping factors, all of various degrees of strength and coming at different times. Hence the results of gold appreciation cannot make the fall of any one product perfectly rhythmical nor all of them harmonious. But unless the latter be the case there has been no gold appreciation, according to Mr. Wells. Mr. Clark scarcely meant to say that in those cases where some opposite pressure is greater than the downward pressure of demonetization, the prices went down notwithstanding. What has happened is this: practically all prices of commodities are getting ultimately down upon a lower plane. But debts stay on the higher plane. It matters little whether gold has stood still or has appreciated, whether the distance put between a given quantity of wheat and of gold comes from the gold moving or the wheat moving or both moving. The purpose was to make debts worth more, and it has been accomplished. If it could be shown that gold has not appreciated it would be Greed's Labor Lost.

Mr. Wells says, "The number of persons who, far from considering themselves as 'cranks' and fanatics, persistently attribute



all the various and enormous fluctuations in prices since 1873 to an appreciation and scarcity of gold is still very considerable." That is, we are charged with asserting "a simultaneous decline in prices," and "a very considerable number" of us with also asserting "enormous fluctuations," both the fall in one case and the rise and fall in the other, from the same downward pressure. What one person can he quote as saying that the rise was caused by gold appreciation?

(e) Though the constitutionalists cannot show a single commodity whose decline has been *the result of gold appreciation*, he can show certain ones that have not declined at the same rate, "though subjected to the same gold scarcity influence." If he would say that all the factors in both cases were exactly the same and one set of commodities fell in price but the other set did not, he would receive respectful attention.

In proof that gold appreciation has not lowered all prices the same per cent and at the same time, he says that hog prices "fluctuate more rapidly and extremely than those of almost any other product of the United States, and are dependent upon the supply and price of corn. If the corn crop is large and its price low, the supply of hogs increases, and their price rapidly declines. If the crop is short and corn is high the price of hogs rapidly increases." There are several factors that Mr. Wells fails to notice, less, doubtless, from a desire to deceive than from want of knowledge of the subject. There is only space here to say that he has left out the very Hamlet of the tragedy of the American hog: the so-called "hog cholera," a name given it only from the swiftness and wide sweep of its destructiveness. It makes hogs a gambling crop to the individual farmer. It has so seriously affected the crop as to engage the attention of Congress, but not of Mr. Wells.

The logic of what he says about aluminum is that it ought to have fallen in harmony and in the same degree with wheat and other commodities if gold has risen, but it has fallen from ninety dollars a pound in 1856 to fifty cents now. Does any one really ask an answer to that?

One must sympathize with a person who has devoted his life to assailing the protectionists as teachers of the doctrine of dear-ness, who himself must now explain that protected iron's astonishing cheapness is not from gold appreciation. As he also says wages have been rising, McKinley has in this paper seen his desire upon his free-trade enemy Wells. There is need of iron and steel, for more railroads and bridges and many other purposes. There are mills and hands enough to produce it, and money enough in our mountains to pay for them. But those who need the rails, bridges, etc., cannot pay for them because fiat has



obstructed the natural exchange of commodities. When the taxes and interest are paid out of the products, reduced to money in a fiat-made scarcity of it, there is nothing left for the support of more roads. Mr. Wells says it is partly because we have so many roads.

There is less room to show where improvements in production and distribution have affected wool prices, as wool comes largely from countries where there has been little change in means of distribution in thirty years, and there is little change in the manner of producing it. He connects "the recent decline in the price of wool to a lower yearly average than ever before," with "the fact that in the country affording the world's largest supply of wool — namely, Australia — the number of sheep has increased more than fifty per cent in the short space of six years." But were silver not forcibly and without right kept out of the free exchange market where it meets wool, the decline in wool could not be as great. Forbid the use of wool for trousers and it would fall still more. Forbidding the use of silver as money tends to make it and wool both fall. The silver miner can no longer buy as much as he needs, and the wool grower has more than he can sell. Give back natural and constitutional rights to silver miners and they will largely increase the demand for wool, for they and the multitudes following and living off them need much woollen clothing in the countries where silver is mostly found. I wish Mr. Wells could see how badly many of the negro children here need the surplus wool of Australia for clothing. Missouri is first in the Union in mule-raising, and this Lafayette County (once first in number of slaves) is now first in the state in number of mules. If we could sell mules to draw ore wagons, and to do the freighting and staging off railroad lines in the mountains, under natural use of silver, the fathers of those children (who are the best hands in mule raising) could get more woollen clothing for them and help to raise the price and consume the surplus. Even when wool is low, if other things are low as now, many must wear shoddy, which makes a seeming surplus of wool, and low prices.

"Wheat has increased in price in India because railways and steamers have enabled it to enter markets which twenty years ago were absolutely closed to it." In America "The decline in prices of commodities due to great reductions in recent years in their cost of transportation and distribution should not be overlooked." The latter has been answered herein. The cause of the increased production of wheat in India has been so clearly shown, by Mr. Bland, and last summer by Sibley of Pennsylvania to be from demonetizing silver that it is useless to tell it again here.

He says, "Cotton has declined in India because excessive production in America lowered the price." And, "The world's demand for American cotton would be satisfied with fifteen million bales." When a man's fortunes change for the better he changes his cotton garments oftener and so buys more of them. Take off the limit that fiat has put upon the volume of metal money — make more pick-and-shovel money and less pen-made currency — and at once Mr. Wells' limit of the quantity of cotton necessary to satisfy the world's demand would be raised. He has no right to limit the number of cotton garments *per capita* used by mankind, but limiting the metal money does it.

(f) What products have not fallen? He says, "All that class of products which are exclusively or largely the result of handicraft; which are not capable of rapid multiplication, or do not admit of economy in production, have as a rule exhibited no tendency to decline in price, but rather the reverse." Here he is obnoxious to the charge that he makes against others, who "never express themselves other than generally." The decline has "been mainly confined to those commodities whose production and distribution have been cheapened by new inventions and discoveries." What handicraft work has not had the advantage of cheaper means of distribution than other things alongside them have had? What proportion of what the millions eat and wear, and of the materials that go into the construction of their houses, and the tools and implements that they use, is made otherwise than by the aid of machinery? There are, for instance, certain laces that only French fingers can make, and of which the output is very restricted, and that are only bought by the rich. The supply is not capable of great increase nor are the prices, for they are already very high; though, as Adam Smith showed, they do not well pay the makers. There are the French confectionery, crystallized fruit, fans and other products of inimitable French touch physical and French taste psychological that perhaps come under this head. They are a monopoly, for there is only one France. Fiat has practically doubled the rate of interest on all debts, and so the great debt-holders have made greater demand for those articles of luxury made by handicrafts, and the prices ought to rise. Elsewhere he says, "The products of the half-civilized monometallic silver countries, on the other hand, are mainly the results of handicrafts which have not changed." If these prices have not fallen it is largely because the makers of them were already living on as little as they can live on. Mexicans living on corn and Hindoos and other Asiatics living on rice must get what they got before or cease to live. If they have not consented to starve to death then gold has not appreciated, is Mr. Wells' logic. In his "gold scarcity fallacy"

he says that, for reasons given, the gold question does not concern certain silver-using countries, still he uses the steadiness of prices of some of their products as proof that gold has not appreciated.

(g) But he makes wages prove that gold has actually depreciated instead of rising. (Elsewhere he repeats the senseless gabble of those who liken it to the yardstick that always measures the same.) Thus : —

And then in respect to the one thing that is everywhere purchased and sold for money to a greater extent than any other, namely, labor, there can be no question that its price *measured in gold* has increased in a marked degree everywhere in the civilized world, during the last quarter of a century. Had the purchasing power of gold increased during this period, a given amount would have bought more labor, and a fall in wages would have been inevitable. And if wages under such circumstances have risen, the cheapening of commodities could not have been due to the scarcity of gold. Measured by the price of labor, therefore, gold has unquestionably depreciated; and can anybody suggest a better measure for testing this issue ?

The sentence, "Had the purchasing power of gold increased during this period a given amount would have bought more labor," is ambiguous. It is capable of this construction: "Had the purchasing power of gold *in respect to commodities* increased during this period a given amount would have also bought more labor." It would then be open to the objection that as all commodities are not subject to the same price influences, so labor is not always subject to exactly the same price influences in the same degree that commodities are. If the sentence does not include something other than labor then it means: "Had the purchasing power of gold in respect to labor increased during this time a given amount would have bought more labor," which is a truism.

Furthermore, what does he mean by saying "Labor is everywhere purchased and sold for money to a greater extent than any other thing"? If he means that wages are more nearly cash than commodities what figure would that cut if true? Why was that sentence injected? And again, if "the price of labor" has, as he says, been changing for a quarter of a century, it would seem easy to suggest a better "measure."

But lastly, I do not see how Mr. Wells can afford to say that the price of labor all over the world for a quarter of a century has increased "*as measured in gold*," and coolly try to appropriate to his own advantage the implications in the statement. What he tries to do is as if he were to say, "wages reduced to gold by an arithmetical calculation, though paid in much of the civilized world in something else," and yet get for himself the advantage as if for that time gold had been, all over the civilized world, the only medium of exchange. The only way to tell whether wages for that time and all over the civilized world would have risen as measured in gold would have been to have

no money but gold for that time and in that part of the world. When wages have had the easing and inflating effect of silver money as a medium of paying them no one can tell what they would have been had there been no money but gold and they been quoted in it for the time named.

But let us examine the question of wages as measured in the medium of exchange, be it what it may have been for the time and in the territory named. Begin at bed-rock, a laborer working at cost; that is, for enough to reproduce as much vital energy as he has expended in doing the work. For convenience call this a ration. The ration produces the energy and the energy produces another ration. These two factors are the same to each other under the same circumstances as ever before. But the ration necessary to produce the same energy costs now less gold than formerly. The unit of energy, as we may call the amount necessary to grow a ration of food under the same circumstances, can be had for less gold than before the reign of financial fiatism. Therefore, measured by the *cost* of labor, gold has appreciated. If there is any *measure* of value in the case it is the energy, or the ration; not what Mr. Wells says—the money paid for it. He claims that gold is a measure and now thinks he proves that it has changed.

Wages usually represent something more than the money cost of the vital energy. What conditions enable labor to ask for and get more than cost? *A short supply.* If the supply is so great that most or many laborers will, in order to get work at all, work for what will just keep them alive and in working order, then all others must come down and live more meanly. The supply may be naturally or artificially made short.

Wages are the expression of two elements in terms of money: the energy, always costing the ration transmutable into it whether that costs much money or not, and the other element that the fancy of the laborer causes him to add for the value of his services above cost. The latter he may make greater or less as the supply of labor is (naturally or artificially) less or greater than the demand. It is clear that the net money cost of the energy (or the ration that produces it) may be falling at the same time that wages are rising. One element is cheaper but the other is dearer, and the resultant price of the whole is a higher one. Now if present prices of commodities were the results of natural conditions; if the disproportion between the force of the money volume and the power of other commodities in the market were in no part fiat-made, and if no artificial sequestration of laborers by military enlistments or strikes or demand from new countries or forcible opposition by labor unions had occurred, we might expect wages to become lower. The

Wells free traders answer the statement that wages are higher here under a tariff than in England by saying the same money buys more there than here. So we might suppose laborers saying, "As lower wages now buy as much of what we want as the higher wages formerly did, we will take the lower wages." But if when the cost of the energy or the ration transmutable into it is less, the total paid the laborer for his expended energy and for his demand or fancy over that is (as Mr. Wells claims) growing greater, it would not prove the depreciation of gold. It would be in harmony with the fact that many laborers are taken into the armies and that combinations of laborers are successful in getting what they demand, whether they are living as well as before for less money or not. As quoted above, he denies in so many words that this could happen.

Beginning with the price of labor twenty-five years ago, suppose that the money paid the laborer now buys more commodities for the laborer. But it buys still more product, quoted in money, in proportion to what it bought twenty-five years ago, after paying amply for capital invested. The increase in the wages has not been in proportion to the increase in value produced, allowing ample pay for capital, inventions, etc. If we look deeper than mere words, nominally higher wages may be really lower wages: that is, a given amount invested in wages earns a greater per cent profit now than before.

He has overlooked, moreover, that very large element of labor that is represented chiefly by the farmer who does his own work and hires no outside help, who has done this "all over the civilized world for the last quarter of a century." How can their pay have increased, with the price of most of their products falling most of the time?

There is another item in the matter of wages not to be overlooked. If taxes ever come out of wages the cost of making our paper currency comes partly out of wages.

During the time that Mr. Wells names, our Rocky Mountain states and territories employed large numbers of men at high wages in the mines of silver and gold. Owing to the discomforts and the high prices of living there, the danger to life in the mines, and the intelligence required, the wages were necessarily high. And they were partly paid out of the money paid for stocks and assessments on stocks of the stock holders who never got their money back; not all paid for out of the mines—another reason why high wages could be paid there. Necessarily this must tend to keep up the rate of wages everywhere. But now the mines opened by nature are closed by fiat; the labor is thrown on the market, and where wages have not tumbled, labor combinations have held them up.

Suppose that the armies of Europe were suddenly disbanded and the men had to go to work for their living, would not the price of labor fall, other factors remaining as they are? Then as Mr. Wells proves that gold has not risen by saying that wages have risen, would he not have proved that gold had risen because wages had become cheaper in gold? For he makes wages the best measure. (Gold, the sun of his system, moves with respect to wages.) Thus he says: "Wages have risen; hence gold has fallen. If that is true why is not the converse of it true, that if wages have fallen gold has risen? When Mr. Wells wrote, the plan was not in complete operation; but soon afterwards fiatism got possession and wages began to be reduced. But laborers have resisted by means of labor unions and strikes. Where wages have been kept from falling it has been by artificial means. Such cases are of no use to the economist in arriving at the true price of labor under natural supply and demand of the labor and its products.

The mere reports of statisticians that wages are such and such do not always tell the real story. My home is in a coal field where wages were (before the "Cleveland famine") high per ton of coal. But the work is not steady. The Wells free traders often tell us of the falls to shorter hours in protected factories. But the rate of wages, not the total earnings, are quoted as showing that wages are high.

The world's work has, for the last twenty-five years, been done more and more by machinery, so that the number of skilled laborers compared to unskilled ought to have increased, and many have changed from unskilled to skilled laborers as classified by statisticians and reported as earning higher wages, but who produce still greater proportionate value. Is it possible that this could have occurred along with an appreciation of the purchasing power of gold?

The gradual elimination of most of the metal money, with the purpose of leaving gold as a "basis," and the doubly expensive paper currency alone to do money's work, and the increasing of the demand for and widening the field for labor by army enlistments and opening new countries, ought to make gold and wages both go up as measured by other things. If the supply of metal money were naturally failing and causing low prices of commodities, labor unions still might hold up wages; and if armies and new countries were making the supply of labor short as compared with demand, it would only mean that circumstances were enabling labor to force capital to a more liberal division. As the supply of metal money is made artificially less and commodities fall thereby, so also circumstances might enable labor at that very time to artificially force capital to a more liberal divi-



sion of new wealth created by the two. Mr. Wells' position is that this is impossible.

According to Mr. Wells, production and distribution have been cheapening, capital has been abundant and at a low rate of interest, and prices of most commodities have been falling. But the labor element in the production and distribution has been growing costlier. Who has stood the loss of what labor has gained? Has it been "the rich," who, the Wells free traders tell us, "have been growing richer"? Are these laborers who have been getting more money and whose money has been buying proportionately more commodities part of the "poor," who the same free traders tell us "have been growing poorer"? If not, where and who are these people who are on the down grade?

But after all these labored efforts to show that low prices are not caused by the scarcity and consequent appreciation of gold, he gives up his whole case by saying that remonetization is "rascally." What is "cheap money"? It is money that buys little, as "dear" money is money that buys much. He and all the Anglo-Hebrew school of fiatists say that the restoration of constitutional coinage would mean higher general prices, as the *New York Recorder* criticising him noticed. The coupon would buy less, and that is one reason why they fight the restoration of natural rights. But to thus increase debts by fiat is putting the debtors into slavery. Even as our forbears over two centuries ago helped to establish an English-given system of slavery, so New England has taken from the same source a system of slavery that she's "inclined to," though not yet done "damning" the one she "had no mind to."

The part of Mr. Wells' paper herein reviewed, like nearly all of it, is an astonishment, coming from one of his prominence and reputation as an economist. In each and every one of the points that he strives to make he fails completely and so causes no "downfall" but his own. Not knowing the principles of the science of money he has no test by which to tell financial truths from financial fallacies, and so the words of his title are "great, swelling words of vanity." Mr. Newman dedicates his book (1892) to the world's first man of science who shall write the science of money. Professor Sumner says that we have no science of money (and his own writings go to prove it). He who knows the principles of the science of money has worked them out for himself. Mr. Wells has not. He has by this paper only joined Bacon's long line of men who "follow at the funerals of their own reputations." It may be that he demands commiseration, having reached the stage that the canon had as shown by his sermons, and has no admonishing nephew to perform the duty of Gil Blas.





Very truly yours.  
Oliver Wendell Holmes

## THE RELIGION OF HOLMES' POEMS.

---

BY REV. M. J. SAVAGE.

---

It is a significant fact that the great group of poets of which Oliver Wendell Holmes was the last were all Unitarians — Bryant, Emerson, Lowell, Longfellow, Whittier, Holmes, all Unitarians. There is an apparent exception in the case of Whittier, who never left the fellowship of the Friends in which he was born, and never dropped their quaint language in his speech. But, though a Quaker, he was in perfect sympathy with Holmes in his religious ideas. His theory of Jesus was Unitarian; and he cherished the boundless hope that we all love to trust in for the future of mankind.

This is not a strange thing, because the poet is always a seer, always a prophet by virtue of his office as a poet. He catches the finer voice with which God's spirit is whispering to the dull ear of the world, and puts it into words, interprets it to the people. When Edward Everett Hale noted this fact the Boston *Herald* criticised him in the following words: "There is no disputing Dr. Hale's claim that the five distinctly American poets were Unitarians; but perhaps some of the other denominations will dispute Dr. Hale's corollary that they were all Unitarians because they were poets. There's poetry in all religions, and a study of the old Latin and Greek poets teaches that there is more of it in heathen idolatry than in any other religion."

This is only apparently true. If you examine the old Latin and Greek poets, you will find that, compared with the religion of the times, they were prophets, seers, leaders. So, if we go back to the Middle Ages, we shall see that that part of Dante which is immortal, which makes him speak to us to-day, was not the theology that has passed out of the belief of the world, but the grand throbbing humanity which was in the heart of the man. It is a significant thing that not only our late American poets were liberals, but that Browning and Tennyson, the great poets of contemporary England, were liberals also, chiming in with the voices this side the sea in the utterance of the same grand anthem of trust in God and hope for humanity.

The last leaf of this wondrous tree, which had six such remarkable branches, has fallen. I would like to quote here Holmes' "The Last Leaf," one of the best of his poems, so ten-

der, so humorous, so full of fine humanity; but there will not be space for one half of that which might be used for its lesson.

I shall not deal at any length with the facts of his life. I wish merely to touch on two or three points in outline. The significant facts in a life like this are the words he has written, the works he has produced. Outwardly, his life was very simple, and not marked by any remarkable events. Born in 1809 of a long line of noteworthy people, it is natural that he should have been what he was — in every fibre of his being an aristocrat, in the better sense of that word. He was not an aristocrat in the fact that he was separated in sympathy from his fellows. But a man who had the blood of the Olivers, of the Wendells, of the Holmeses, of the Quincys, of the Jacksons, of the Bradstreets in his veins, might well have been glad, at any rate, if not proud of the fact. Six of our old colonial noted families contributed to make him what he was. It is significant that the first poetess that America ever produced, Anne Bradstreet, was in the direct line of his ancestry.

He was educated in Boston, at Phillips Academy, then in Cambridge, studied law for a year, concluded to be a physician instead, took three years in a medical school, went abroad for three years, came back, practised for a little while, was a professor at Dartmouth for three years, then for thirty-five years, I think, in active service as a professor of anatomy and physiology in the Harvard Medical School. For all this length of time he devoted himself sedulously to his profession, giving five lectures a week to the students — lectures unlike the ordinary medical treatise, because the brightness and the humor and the pathos and the wit and the humanity of Holmes went into them all. He loved his profession, and rendered it some distinguished services, making one or two medical discoveries, which, like most discoveries, flouted at the time, are now universally accepted by the profession.

If Holmes had died as young as Burns or Keats or Shelley or Byron, or many another of the bright luminaries of our literary heaven, he would have been known in the literary world only as the author of five or six bright little lyrics, which he wrote while in college or soon after. He was a remarkable instance of a man who becomes more productive as he gets older, showing no trace of the fact that he is a reservoir and may become empty, but rather proving that he is one of the perennial springs that can flow and flow as long as life lasts.

His first great distinction as a literary man was in connection with the *Atlantic*, when he was forty-eight or forty-nine years old. This was the publication of "The Autocrat of the Breakfast Table." I shall not deal with the literary side of his career,

except to note that from that day to the present there has been a continuous production of one kind or another, prose or verse. He was the most distinguished writer of occasional verse that this country, or perhaps any country, has produced. He was always ready for the occasion, and always up to its highest level, not making doggerel but writing poetry. He went abroad again a few years ago, and wrote the story of it in "Our Hundred Days in Europe."

Then came the end. He has been a familiar figure in Boston streets. We have loved to think of him, and we have thought of him pathetically, as we have remembered that he was the last of that wonderful group; but we have hoped that he might still continue long with us, old physically, although young mentally, so far as his health permitted him to manifest that youth to the inner circle of his friends.

Two or three days before his death it is said that, anticipating the fact that he must go before long, and having in mind, as his son easily understood him, the funeral service in King's Chapel, which has always been his ecclesiastical home, he said to his son, Judge Holmes, "Well, Wendell, what is it — King's Chapel?" "Oh, yes, father," said he. "All right; then I am satisfied. That is all that I am going to say about it." And that was all he did say, except what he has left on record for us all.

Sunday, sitting in one chair and leaning his head on the arm of another, he looked a little uncomfortable. His son asked him if he did not think he would feel better in his old-fashioned arm-chair, to which he was partial. He said Yes, and he was helped into it; and, as he leaned back, he said, "That is better, thank you: it rests me more," — the last words he spoke. And in a few moments he was silent. This is the record, meagrely given, of the outlines of his earthly career.

The full story of such a man can never be written; he touched so many bright men at so many points, and entered so into the life of his time. That which concerns us now is Holmes' influence on the theological and religious side of his age. To illustrate this and to present to you some phases of his character, I shall give extracts from his verses. I shall not touch his prose works, though they are full of the same spirit. You cannot read a single one of his books without finding that the atmosphere of the modern world pervades them all. And this atmosphere, what was it? He illustrated it by one saying, that I cannot quote verbally, when he said, Free thought is contagious in these days, and, if you do not wish to take it, you must shut yourself up very close, and keep out of the air. That was the thought, though those are not the very words. He believed in that free thought. A student of science, a careful student of the human

body, he lost his faith in the old, the cruel and the unjust as it seemed to him; but he did not, like many an anatomist, come to the conclusion that the flesh and the bones were all. Holmes never lost his faith in the Father, never lost his faith in the soul, never lost his burning belief in the future — a magnificent future for the poorest and the meanest of us all.

I want to give you, first, an idea of the intense humanness and charity of the man; for you cannot build up any true religious life except on the basis of a tender, human, loving heart. Here are a few verses written for the Burns Centennial Celebration, Jan. 25, 1859: —

We love him, not for sweetest song,  
Though never tone so tender;  
We love him, even in his wrong,  
His wasteful self-surrender.

We praise him, not for gifts divine, —  
His muse was born of woman;  
His manhood breathes in every line;  
Was ever heart more human?

We love him, praise him, just for this:  
In every form and feature,  
Through wealth and want, through woe and bliss,  
He saw his fellow-creature!

No soul could sink beneath his love,  
Not even angel blasted;  
No mortal power could soar above  
The pride that all outlasted!

Aye! Heaven had set one living man  
Beyond the pedant's tether;  
His virtues, frailties, He may scan,  
Who weighs them all together!

I fling my pebble on the cairn  
Of him, though dead, undying;  
Sweet nature's nursling, bonniest bairn  
Beneath her daisies lying.

The waning suns, the wasting globe,  
Shall spare the minstrel's story,  
The centuries weave his purple robe,  
The mountain mist of glory!

The next one is not religious except as it shows the tenderest and most playful, boyish human feeling, which is the basis of all religion. It is called "Bill and Joe."

Come, dear old comrade, you and I  
Will steal an hour from days gone by,  
The shining days when life was new,  
And all was bright with morning dew,  
The lusty days of long ago,  
When you were Bill and I was Joe.

Your name may flaunt a titled trail  
 Proud as a cockerel's rainbow tail,  
 And mine as brief appendix wear  
 As Tam O'Shanter's luckless mare;  
 To-day, old friend, remember still  
 That I am Joe and you are Bill.

You've won the great world's envied prize,  
 And grand you look in people's eyes,  
 With H O N. and L L. D.\*  
 In big brave letters, fair to see, —  
 Your fist, old fellow! off they go!  
 How are you, Bill? How are you, Joe?

You've worn the judge's ermined robe;  
 You've taught your name to half the globe;  
 You've sung mankind a deathless strain;  
 You've made the dead past live again:  
 The world may call you what it will,  
 But you and I are Joe and Bill.

\* \* \* \* \*

And shall we breathe in happier spheres  
 The names that pleased our mortal ears;  
 In some sweet lull of harp and song  
 For earth-born spirits none too long,  
 Just whispering of the world below  
 Where this was Bill and that was Joe?

No matter; while our home is here,  
 No sounding name is half so dear;  
 When fades at length our lingering day,  
 Who cares what pompous tombstones say?  
 Read on the hearts that love us still,  
*Hic jacet* Joe. *Hic jacet* Bill.

I quote this to give you a touch of the aristocrat's and democrat's tender human kindliness, out of which spring the main characteristics of his religion.

And now I must turn to another phase of his character. I wish to give you a little glimpse of his attitude towards the great facts and problems of life. If you wish to find out his main religious ideas, read all of the poems called "Wind-clouds and Star-drifts." Holmes himself has said that there was "more of himself" in these than in anything else he has written. One is entitled "Questions," in which he denounces the creeping, crawling kind of worship such as people think we ought to give to the Supreme.

My life shall be a challenge, not a truce!  
 This is my homage to the mightier powers,  
 To ask my boldest question undismayed  
 By muttered threats that some hysteric sense  
 Of wrong or insult will convulse the throne  
 Where wisdom reigns supreme; and, if I err,  
 They all must err who have to feel their way

As bats that fly at noon; for what are we  
 But creatures of the night, dragged forth by day,  
 Who needs must stumble, and with stammering steps  
 Spell out their paths in syllables of pain ?

Thou wilt not hold in scorn the child who dares  
 Look up to Thee, the Father — dares to ask  
 More than Thy wisdom answers. From Thy hand  
 The worlds were cast: yet every leaflet claims  
 From that same hand its little shining sphere  
 Of star-lit dew; Thine image, the great sun,  
 Girt with his mantle of tempestuous flame,  
 Glares in mid-heaven; but to his noontide blaze  
 The slender violet lifts its lidless eye,  
 And from his splendor steals its fairest hue,  
 Its sweetest perfume from his scorching fire.

Then in another of those poems called "Worship" he discusses what God it is that we are worshipping — whether it is one that came down and assumed the form of a man and walked in Eden, who was cruel in the days of Abraham, who killed thousands of the king's people for the king's sin, the one who sends to eternal pain millions of his children who have never heard of him, or

. . . is it He

Who heeds the sparrow's fall, whose loving heart  
 Is as the pitying father's to his child,  
 Whose lesson to His children is "Forgive,"  
 Whose plea for all, "They know not what they do" ?

Then these grand words under the title of "Manhood": —

I claim the right of knowing whom I serve,  
 Else is my service idle; He that asks  
 My homage, asks it from a reasoning soul.  
 To crawl is not to worship; we have learned  
 A drill of eyelids, bended neck and knee,  
 Hanging our prayers on hinges, till we ape  
 The flexures of the many-jointed worm.  
 Asia has taught her Allahs and salaams  
 To the world's children — we have grown to men!  
 We who have rolled the sphere beneath our feet  
 To find a virgin forest, as we lay  
 The beams of our rude temple, first of all  
 Must frame its doorway high enough for man  
 To pass unstooping: knowing as we do  
 That He who shaped us last of living forms  
 Has long enough been served by creeping things —  
 Reptiles that left their footprints in the sand  
 Of old sea-margins that have turned to stone,  
 And men who learned their ritual; we demand  
 To know Him first, then trust Him, and then love  
 When we have found Him worthy of our love,  
 Tried by our own poor hearts, and not before;  
 He must be truer than the truest friend,  
 He must be tenderer than a woman's love,  
 A father better than the best of sires;

Kinder than she who bore us, though we sin  
 Oftener than did the brother we are told  
 We — poor, ill-tempered mortals — must forgive,  
 Though seven times sinning threescore times and ten.  
 This is the new world's gospel: Be ye men!

There is not space for other passages I had marked from another poem called "Truths." It is upon the attitude of the human mind towards the religious formulas and the life of the age. He was manly in his religion, manly in his trust, demanding that God be at least as good as the best of us, and that He do not ask a blind and grudging worship, worthless because blind, foolish because ignorant.

Now I wish to show you a few glimpses of the definite and positive religious side of his nature. I cannot do this better than by quoting one or two of his hymns. Here is one stanza:—

Be ours to mark with hearts unchilled  
 The change our outworn age deplores;  
 The legend sinks, but faith shall build  
 A fairer throne on new-found shores.

Another beautiful one is called a "Hymn of Trust":—

O Love Divine, that stooped to share  
 Our sharpest pang, our bitterest tear,  
 On Thee we cast each earth-born care,  
 We smile at pain while Thou art near!

Though long the weary way we tread,  
 And sorrow crown each lingering year,  
 No path we shun, no darkness dread,  
 Our hearts still whispering, Thou art near!

When drooping pleasure turns to grief,  
 And trembling faith is changed to fear,  
 The murmuring wind, the quivering leaf,  
 Shall softly tell us, Thou art near!

On Thee we fling our burdening woe,  
 O Love Divine, forever dear,  
 Content to suffer while we know,  
 Living and dying, Thou art near!

And just one more, one of the grandest in any hymn book:—

Lord of all being! throned afar,  
 Thy glory flames from sun and star;  
 Centre and soul of every sphere,  
 Yet to each loving heart how near!

Sun of our life, Thy quickening ray  
 Sheds on our path the glow of day;  
 Star of our hope, Thy softened light  
 Cheers the long watches of the night.

Our midnight is Thy smile withdrawn;  
 Our noontide is Thy gracious dawn;



Our rainbow arch, Thy mercy's sign;  
All, save the clouds of sin, are Thine!

Lord of all life, below, above,  
Whose light is truth, whose warmth is love,  
Before Thy ever-blazing throne  
We ask no lustre of our own.

Grant us Thy truth to make us free,  
And kindling hearts that burn for Thee,  
Till all Thy living altars claim  
One holy light, one heavenly flame!

These breathe the deepest and most genuine religiousness and the most worshipful, trustful, hopeful side of the man.

I have space left only to give you some illustrations of his attitude as he faced the future. Here are one or two verses of what, to my mind, is perhaps the finest poem that he has ever written, "The Chambered Nautilus," in which he illustrates how the true soul, growing ever through the progressive experiences of life, is expected to leave one after another his old aims, his old shells, and build for himself better, broader, higher, and thus more fitting for the enlarging life:—

Year after year beheld the silent toil  
That spread his lustrous coil;  
Still, as the spiral grew,  
He left the past year's dwelling for the new,  
Stole with soft step its shining archway through,  
Built up its idle door,  
Stretched in his last-found home, and knew the old no more.

Thanks for the heavenly message brought by thee,  
Child of the wandering sea,  
Cast from her lap, forlorn!  
From thy dead lips a clearer note is born  
Than ever Triton blew from wreathèd horn!  
While on mine ear it rings,  
Through the deep caves of thought I hear a voice that sings:—

Build thee more stately mansions, O my soul,  
As the swift seasons roll!  
Leave thy low-vaulted past!  
Let each new temple, nobler than the last,  
Shut thee from heaven with a dome more vast,  
Till thou at length art free,  
Leaving thine outgrown shell by life's unresting sea!

As he grew old — and there is one blessed thing about a life like that, and one that we need to learn, because we are all growing old, whether we like to think of it or not — he did not lose courage or hope or heart or faith. He did not grow misanthropic or bitter. He did not abuse the life that he had received. He did not lose his trust that growing old meant coming to some-

thing better still. Note the following sonnet called "Nearing the Snow-line": —

Slow toiling upward from the misty vale,  
 I leave the bright enamelled zones below;  
 No more for me their beauteous bloom shall glow,  
 Their lingering sweetness load the morning gale.  
 Few are the slender flowerets, scentless, pale,  
 That on their ice-clad stems all trembling blow  
 Along the margin of unmelting snow;  
 Yet with unsaddened voice thy verge I hail,  
 White realm of peace above the flowering line;  
 Welcome thy frozen domes, thy rocky spires!  
 O'er thee undimmed the moon-girt planets shine,  
 On thy majestic altars fade the fires  
 That filled the air with smoke of vain desires,  
 And all the unclouded blue of heaven is thine!

This was the spirit in which he grew old.

Then one or two verses from "Before the Curfew." This was written for his classmates, when he considered that they were growing old, and it would soon be time to cover the fire and go to bed. But he loved life, he believed in it; and the striking thought in this poem is one that touches me very closely, because my heart is full of the same feeling. He takes up the old cry of the Bible, and contradicts it, that there is "nothing new under the sun," showing that there is much of new and grand that the ages have developed, recognizing with deathless curiosity the growth of this wonderful creature, man.

Not bed-time yet! The night winds blow,  
 The stars are out. Full well we know  
 The nurse is on the stair,  
 With hand of ice and cheek of snow,  
 And frozen lips that whisper low,  
 "Come, children, it is time to go  
 My peaceful couch to share."

No years a wakeful heart can tire;  
 Not bed-time yet! Come, stir the fire  
 And warm your dear old hands;  
 Kind Mother Earth we love so well  
 Has pleasant stories yet to tell  
 Before we hear the curfew bell;  
 Still glow the burning brands.

Not bed-time yet! We long to know  
 What wonders time has yet to show,  
 What unborn years shall bring;  
 What ship the Arctic pole shall reach,  
 What lessons science waits to teach,  
 What sermons there are left to preach,  
 What poems yet to sing.

\* \* \* \* \*

Or shall a nobler faith return,  
 Its fanes a purer gospel learn,  
     With holier anthems ring,  
 And teach us that our transient creeds  
 Were but the perishable seeds  
 Of harvests sown for larger needs,  
     That ripening years shall bring ?

Well, let the present do its best,  
 We trust our Maker for the rest,  
     As on our way we plod ;  
 Our souls, full dressed in fleshly suits,  
 Love air and sunshine, flowers and fruits,  
 The daisies better than their roots  
     Beneath the grassy sod.

Not bed-time yet! The full-blown flower  
 Of all the year — this evening hour —  
     With friendship's flame is bright;  
 Life still is sweet, the heavens are fair  
 Though fields are brown and woods are bare,  
 And many a joy is left to share  
     Before we say Good-night!

And when, our cheerful evening past,  
 The nurse, long waiting, comes at last,  
     Ere on her lap we lie  
 In wearied nature's sweet repose,  
 At peace with all her waking foes,  
 Our lips shall murmur, ere they close,  
     Good-night! and not Good-by!

Before giving you the next extract, I must call attention to something bearing on his belief in the future. It is from Dr. Edward Clarke's book called "Visions," in which he treats of the whole sense of sight, and includes the visions that come to those passing away, reaching out and covering the whole theme, and questioning as to whether the visions of the dying are all hallucinations. Two brief extracts I must give you, to show you Dr. Holmes' attitude; for the introduction of Dr. Clarke's book was written by Dr. Holmes, his personal friend. He quotes Dr. Clarke, saying that it is probable that such visions as this are automatic: —

"But yet who, believing in God and personal immortality, as the writer [Dr. Clarke] rejoices in doing, will dare to say *absolutely all?* will dare to assert there is no *possible* exception?" It must be borne in mind, too, that he recognized the "*ego*" as distinct from his "*engine*," the bodily mechanism, and that he speaks of the will as a *primum mobile* — an initial force, a cause.

And then Dr. Holmes relates two cases told by Dr. Clarke, and shows his own sympathy with them: —

Dr. Clarke mentioned a circumstance to me not alluded to in the essay. At the very instant of dissolution, it seemed to him, as he sat at

the dying lady's bedside, that there arose "something," an undefined yet perfectly apprehended somewhat, to which he could give no name, but which was like a departing presence. I should have listened to this story less receptively, it may be, but for the fact that I had heard the very same experience, almost in the very same words, from the lips of one whose evidence is eminently to be relied upon. With the last breath of the parent she was watching, she had the consciousness that "something" arose, as if the "spirit" had made itself cognizable at the moment of quitting its mortal tenement. The coincidence in every respect of these two experiences has seemed to me to justify their mention in this place.

Holmes believed, even passionately believed, in continued existence after death, although he had studied the body as carefully as ever physician who finds the grave of his trust in the dissecting room. Witness the passionate outburst in the poem called "My Aviary": —

Is this the whole sad story of creation,  
Lived by its breathing myriads o'er and o'er —  
One glimpse of day, then black annihilation,  
A sunlit passage to a sunless shore?

Give back our faith, ye mystery-solving lynxes!  
Robe us once more in heaven-aspiring creeds!  
Happier was dreaming Egypt with her sphinxes,  
The stony convent with its cross and beads!

Holmes, the scientist, full of sympathy with all the scientific knowledge of the world, still asserting the soul supreme above the body, outliving it and going on to grander spheres!

I wish now, as it seems a fitting close, and brings him round again into personal touch with ourselves, to quote a few verses which are not included, so far as I know, in any volume. Some newspaper the other day said that the last time Holmes appeared in public was to read a poem at the Authors' Dinner; but the paper was mistaken. The last time he appeared in public was on the occasion of the twenty-fifth anniversary of the reorganization of the Boston Young Men's Christian Union, May 28, 1893. I met him at that reception. I found him genial, kindly, pleasant, hopeful, and in thorough sympathy with all the finest and highest religious ideas of the age. At that time he read the following verses: —

Our Father! while our hearts unlearn  
The creeds that wrong Thy name,  
Still let our hallowed altars burn  
With faith's undying flame.

Not by the lightning gleams of wrath  
Our souls Thy face shall see:  
The star of love must light the path  
That leads to heaven and Thee.

Help us to read our Master's will  
Through every darkening stain  
That clouds his sacred image still,  
And see him once again,

The brother man, the pitying friend,  
Who weeps for human woes,  
Whose pleading words of pardon blend  
With cries of raging foes.

If 'mid the gathering storms of doubt  
Our hearts grow faint and cold,  
The strength we cannot live without  
Thy love will not withhold.

Our prayers accept; our sins forgive;  
Our youthful zeal renew;  
Shape for us holier lives to live  
And nobler work to do!

Does not Holmes illustrate there that brilliant, witty saying of his, that a man had "better be seventy years young than forty years old"? Youth breathes in every line, in spite of his age; and we cannot do better than share the same personal youthful outlook.

As showing the doctor's religious attitude most clearly in his own words, as well as revealing his sympathetic appreciation of the work of Whittier, I cannot do better than close with this letter:—

LETTER FROM DR. HOLMES.

*May 28, 1894.*

At the Whittier commemoration at the Young Men's Christian Union the following letter, written as his contribution to the service by Dr. Oliver Wendell Holmes, was read:—

*Dear Mr. Baldwin:* It gives me great pleasure to comply with your request that I would say a few words about our admirable and beloved poet, John Greenleaf Whittier, whose recent death we are all lamenting. The first poem of his which I remember reading was the one entitled "The Prisoner for Debt." The lines, "God made the old man poor," transfixed me like an arrow; and I always felt a tenderness for his sympathetic nature before becoming well acquainted with his poetical writings.

The next poem that I remember as having deeply impressed me was that vigorous and impassioned burst of feeling, "Randolph of Roanoke." I can never read it now without an emotion which makes my eyes fill and my voice tremble.

Of late years I have been in close sympathy with him—not especially as an Abolitionist, not merely through human sympathies, but as belonging with me to the "church without a bishop," which seems the natural complement of a "state without a king." I mean the church which lives by no formulæ; which believes in a loving father, and trusts Him for the final well-being of the whole spiritual universe which He has called into being.

It is the office of the poet, as it was of the Hebrew prophet, to appeal to the principles underlying the distorted forms of worship which he finds more or less prevalent in the communities about him. The proof of his divine message is found in the response it meets from human hearts. The creeds of the great councils and synods have done their best to degrade man in his own eyes, to picture him as a being odious to his Maker, born under a curse, and destined, for the most part, to "darkness, death and long despair."

Doubtless Christianity has done much to assist the progress of civilization; but no less true is it that civilization has had to react upon the church with all the vigor of true humanity, to lift it out of its inherited barbarisms. The struggle is going on constantly, on the one hand to Christianize humanity, and on the other to humanize Christianity. The poet must be true to his human instincts, or "Thus saith the Lord" will not save his message from neglect or contempt.

Sixty-five years ago a Scotch poet, Robert Pollok, attempted to invest the doctrines of Calvinism with the sacredness of poetry; but his gospel of despair, listened to for a while as a sensation, has almost dropped out of human memory, while the songs of Burns are living in the hearts and on the lips of the Scotchman wherever he is found. In this country the poets who have been listened to have been the truest preachers of their time.

No doubt there is room for all the various sects which intrench themselves in their strongholds of doctrine, but do good work, each in its several way, among its own people; but there was needed a faith which should take down every barrier that tended to limit that larger belief in the Fatherhood of the God who is love, and this is the faith which breathed through all the writings of our principal poets. Bryant, Longfellow, Emerson, Whittier, Lowell, have all preached this gospel to their countrymen.

The influence of Whittier on the religious thought of the American people has been far greater, I believe, than of the occupant of any pulpit. It is not by any attack upon the faith of any Christian fellowship that he did service for the liberal thought of our community. We never talked much about our doctrinal beliefs or unbeliefs: we felt that we were on common ground. His catholicity of feeling led him to attribute full value to the true man, no matter where he worshipped. He spoke to me most emphatically of my fellow student and brother physician, the late Thomas Sparhawk, as one of the best men he ever knew. Dr. Sparhawk was a Sandemanian, a member of a very limited society of Christians, best known to many persons as the church which claimed the allegiance of that great philosopher and admirable man, Michael Faraday.

Of his fellowship with the Friends, or Quakers, his writings, early and late, are full. There is no faith that is more real than that which begins with unbelief — unbelief, the protest of reason against the monstrosities of tradition and superstition. The poet who is true to his better nature is the best expression of the divine intelligence. He, too, speaks with authority, and not as the scribes of the sectarian specialists, who parcel out the faiths of Christendom in their formulæ and catechisms.

All through Whittier's writings the spirit of trust in a beneficent order of things and a loving superintendence of the universe shows itself, ever hopeful, ever cheerful, always looking forward to a happier, brighter era when the kingdom of heaven shall be established.

Nature breeds fanatics, but in due time supplies their correctives. She will not be hurried about it, but they come at last. Thomas Boston, the Scotch Calvinist, was born in 1676. Robert Burns, objectionable in

many respects — like the royal Psalmist of Israel — but whose singing protest against unwholesome theology was mightier than the voices of a thousand pulpits, was born in 1759. Jonathan Edwards, whose theological barbarisms reached a lower depth, if possible, than those of his Scotch model, Thomas Boston, was born in 1703. John Greenleaf Whittier reached the hearts of his fellow countrymen, especially of New Englanders, paralyzed by the teachings of Edwards, as Burns kindled the souls of Scotchmen palsied by the dogmas of Thomas Boston and his fellow-sectaries.

As I have said at the beginning, I was first drawn to him by his strong human sympathies. In the great struggle with slavery I found my slower sensibilities kindled by his burning enthusiasm; but, more than all, I was attracted by that larger faith which is shared by the Brotherhood of Singers, with whom he was enrolled. I compare their utterances with the dogmas over which men are quarrelling, and accept their messages as human expressions of divine truth. So when Bryant speaks to his fellow-mortal, and tells him to

“Go not, like the quarry-slave at night,  
Scourged to his dungeon, but sustained and soothed  
By an unfaltering trust,”

I thank him for the noble words, which I contrast with the shuddering accents of the “Dies Iræ.”

When Whittier preaches his life-long sermon in “Songs of Love and Hope,” I think of the immortal legacy he has left his countrymen, and repeat in his own words, as applied to Roger Williams:—

“Still echo in the hearts of men  
The words that thou hast spoken;  
No forge of hell can weld again  
The fetters thou hast broken.

“The pilgrim needs a pass no more  
From Roman or Genevan;  
Thought free, no ghostly tollman keeps  
Henceforth the road to heaven.”

Always faithfully yours,

OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES.

# OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES.

---

BY BUSHROD W. JAMES.

---

SOFTLY the clock ticks on,  
And thou, O friend, art gone!  
Gone from this place!  
Yet scarce a void appears,  
Though long and bright the years  
That touched thy face.

We will not call thee dead!  
Only thy noble head  
Has bowed to rest.  
For still thy gentle life  
So grandly free from strife  
Has left us blest;—

Blest with thy tender song  
That sweetly trips along  
The gliding years;  
Bursting in joyous note,  
Or soft in pathos float  
Laughter and tears.

Resting without a thought  
Whether his life has brought  
Blessings or fame.  
Pause while the angels write  
Fair in the golden light  
His honored name!



# WELLSPRINGS AND FEEDERS OF IMMORALITY.

BY B. O. FLOWER.

The woman's cause is man's; they rise or sink  
Together, dwarfed or godlike, bond or free. — *Tennyson.*

The lily nestling fairest in the glade  
Is earliest plucked, and lightly left to fade;  
The deepest blushing rose is soonest gathered;  
The truest trusting maiden first betrayed.

\* \* \* \* \*  
O brother men, O maidens pure and fair,  
And happier wives, made glad with matron care  
Of tiny pattering feet and baby laughter,  
In your wide love has *she* alone no share? — *Grant Allen.*

## I.

A LEADING police official recently asserted that it was no use to attempt to reform fallen women; and this statement is echoed by church and society in the treatment accorded the most unfortunate of our people. We enshrine the Magdalene in art and give her a place in gorgeous windows of temples dedicated to Him whose greatest compassion was shown toward those to whom Christian society shows the least mercy. Nowhere is the absolute brutality of society so painfully apparent as in the treatment of women who have stepped aside from the paths of virtue. Nowhere is the revolting moral obliquity of society so manifest as in the treatment of the fallen man who corrupts virginity. This problem is one of the most stupendous and tragic of our day, and until the conscience of men and women shall be quickened so that justice shall supplant the base and brutal treatment which characterizes the methods of the slothful and pharisaical conventionalism of the present, civilization will make no pronounced upward stride, because the saving love necessary is the leverage upon which society now waits.

The lust for gold and the lust of the flesh are the two wellsprings of present-day misery, degradation and crime, and no permanent good can be brought about until we frankly and fearlessly recognize these evils in their enormity, with the grim determination which nerves the surgeon who beholds an eating cancer and resolves to save the patient by laying bare the affected parts and applying the knife. The social evil strikes at the fountain head of true progress; it paralyzes the conscience; and

whenever the moral sensibilities of a nation are anæsthetized, civilization declines, the happiness of the people wanes, and progress is arrested. Intellectual and physical achievements may continue to dazzle the world, but the upward impelling power, which is the oxygen of civilization, is absent, and disintegration sets in. The hope of social redemption lies in fearless and wholesome agitation. The conscience of the sleeping millions must be awakened, and the true situation set forth.

Nowhere else is so great injustice tolerated by society as in the domain of the social evil. He who loves womanhood and who gives the generation of to-morrow a second thought will be impressed with the importance of bravely and urgently insisting that the maiden caught in the meshes of designing lust or enslaved by unjust social conditions, and dragged to ruin, shall be recognized as a victim to be won back to virtue by that broad, sympathetic love which, more than aught else, possesses the redemptive potentiality, that love which closes the door on the past and says, "Neither do I condemn thee; go, and sin no more," and which, while saving the victim, unmasks the moral leper who pollutes innocence and then flings it forth as a withered flower, but who while so doing poses as a pillar of society, who builds churches and endows colleges. The only way to accomplish this object is bravely to agitate the question and show the essential injustice and the debauching effect of the double standard of morals.

The girl to-day who falls under stress of circumstances which might well appall the strongest heart, is exiled and driven to the lowest depths. The betrayer of this same maiden, even though his crime be known, is welcomed into the homes of people who call themselves respectable, is permitted to marry a pure girl and become the father of children, cursed before they are born with the lecherous appetites of a morally depraved man. No better condition can be brought about without plain speaking, and though the subject is a painful and exceedingly unpleasant one, it is the duty of those who believe that an enduring civilization is possible only where sturdy morality prevails, to face this question with perfect frankness, no matter how much it may offend the lepers of conventional society or shock a sickly sentimentality which is the product of artificiality.

In the present series of papers I wish to notice leading root causes of prostitution — an examination of which will reveal the multitudinous influences at work pressing maidens to vicious lives — and to discuss the possibility of lifting to the path of virtue those who have been lured or drawn into immorality.

All persons who have made a sufficient study of this problem to enable them to speak authoritatively, agree that our first and

most important duty is to look to the stream of life before it becomes polluted. The drunkard may be rescued and his appetite overcome; but how much of all that is finest and most divine in him vanishes before his reclamation, and how much lower is he on the ladder of ascent than he was before he fostered low and depraved appetites and imaginings by indulging his taste for strong drink! So, in even a more pronounced degree, is it with prostitution. For while pure love is uplifting and divine, its abuse brings the most frightful consequences, exactly as electricity, which when guided by the hand of wisdom lights up the dark places and makes the world a mighty family, instantly destroys the man who ignorantly or recklessly seizes the live wire.

It is therefore of first importance that we address our attention to the chief feeders of prostitution, that through knowledge we may employ means and measures which will prevent the pollution of virginity. This duty, however, in no way lessens our obligations to those who have already gone astray. They may yet be saved. Every year scores and hundreds are being called back to lives of moral rectitude through the work inaugurated and the labor carried on by one noble-hearted, clean-souled American — Mr. Charles N. Crittenden, founder of the Florence Missions. There are thousands of erring girls who might be rescued by prompt, earnest help on the part of those who love probity and who believe in a higher existence than life on the animal plane.

Work here, then, as along other lines of social progress, should be twofold: (1) The causes of this evil which is worse than physical death should be diligently sought out and, so far as possible, removed. (2) While the removal is being effected, strong and loving arms of sincere and spiritually minded men and women should be extended to the sinking children of a dreadful fate.

Numerous, subtle and complex are the causes which are operating as feeders of prostitution; among the chief of these, however, may be mentioned: 1. Hereditary and prenatal influences. 2. Ignorance. 3. The age of consent laws. 4. Social conditions. 5. Deception and betrayal. These I shall notice somewhat at length.

## II.

Among the most fruitful sources of triumphant lust in modern society may be mentioned *hereditary and prenatal influences*. Children come into the world cursed with an appetite far more terrible and insatiable than the drunkard's thirst for drink. They are the legitimate product of a society which, while making clean the outside of the cup, refuses to have the poison within removed, lest the sensibilities of its offenders be hurt by the

operation. The leper who, doomed to a horrible death, deliberately brings into the world children destined in turn to bequeath the leprosy to society in their offspring, commits a heinous moral crime. But what shall we say of the man who calls into the world a family of moral lepers, some to prey on innocence, others to be preyed upon, or in turn destined to pass the scourge of moral death to the next generation by implanting in the brains of their children the fires of unrestrained animalism?

Says Mr. Eldridge T. Gerry:—

In New York City alone the Superintendent of police and the author [Mr. Gerry] compared notes with exactly the same result, and viewing the matter from different standpoints, we agreed that the number of prostitutes in New York City was at least forty thousand.

This army of fallen women does not, of course, include the vast commonwealth of very poor girls and women who are hovering between starvation and ruin, and who, while working at starvation wages, fall the victims of the horde of lecherous men who are ever on the alert for such unfortunates. Forty thousand women making a living by abandoning themselves to the lust of corrupt men! And, says Dr. DeCosta, "For every fallen woman there are five fallen men."

These figures cannot, of course, be accurately ascertained, but if we include the commonwealth of men who do not openly patronize houses of ill fame but who are holding illicit relations with women other than their wives, the estimate is doubtless conservative. However, to be ultra-conservative, let us cut these figures in half. The result is, one hundred thousand impure men in New York City! How many of these men are fathers, or will become husbands within five years? Surely a third would be a small estimate. We will place it at thirty thousand. Thirty thousand men in one city from whose souls that which is finest and most divine has taken flight! Thirty thousand men, a large proportion of whom are giving to the civilization of to-morrow a generation poisoned with the virus of triumphant animalism.

Beyond the debauched imaginations which a large proportion of men bring into the new home, due to society's upholding the double standard of morals, and which taints the natures of the unborn even when it fails to drag down the unsullied soul of the wife to its low level, there is that frightful and hopeless form of prostitution about which so much is known, but of which so little is spoken — prostitution within the marriage bond. "For more than twenty years," wrote one of America's most brilliant women to the writer of this paper, "my mind has been a sponge which has absorbed the wrongs of outraged womanhood. Almost daily," she continued, "I have had to listen to tales of abuse and outrages borne by wives which thrilled me with horror."

Since writing the first pages of this paper I have received a letter from a merchant in one of our large cities. In it he says: "I write to commend the persistent and forcible manner in which you are presenting to the public the great importance of prenatal influence. I am quite intimate with several physicians of high standing here and have discussed the subject with them. One of them told me that within a few months three women, soon to become mothers, had come to him for advice about their husbands using them in a way that made them fearful of the consequences." My friend then gave some details of the outrages being perpetrated upon these women by their husbands, who, perhaps, were more thoughtless than intentionally brutal; but the narrations are too horrible and revolting to give, although another friend of wide experience who has passed middle life assures me that he and his wife have known of numerous instances even more tragic and disgusting than those given by this gentleman.

During a conversation I recently had with a lady who is a skilled physician, and who is engaged in a noble work of calling back to virtue unfortunate girls who have been caught in the nets of vice, I asked the question, "What are the principal causes, judging from your personal experience, which lead to the ruin of girls?" She replied, "Of course the causes are numerous; ignorance, our social conditions and other things might be mentioned, but my experience leads me to place among the principal causes the inborn appetite, the passion which is the legitimate result of a child being the offspring of lust instead of the blossom of love." And I believe every person who has looked below the surface of this appalling and deeply tragic problem will agree that the popular acceptation of the double standard of morals and the low ideals prevalent in society in regard to marriage are leading wellsprings of present-day impurity.

We must unite in demanding a single standard of morals, and that standard must be the one which man demands of woman. The man who claims that man is so constituted that he cannot exercise the control over himself which he requires woman to exercise over her passions most pitifully belittles manhood, and would have us believe that when life in its ascent has reached the plane of man there is on the part of the male a pitiable weakness when there should be manifested perfect strength. Is it not strange that there should be found physicians and others who profess to be evolutionists, and yet while knowing that the female protects herself on the lower plane of life, argue that woman, within or without the marriage bond, should become a prey to the lust of man? Only the low moral ideal resulting from generations of female subjection and the long prevalence of

the double standard of morals could account for any person who calls himself reputable taking such a position.

Specific cases illustrating the effect of this evil condition, which society regards with such complacency, might be cited until a volume would be too small to contain them. I shall, however, confine myself to a single illustration, which I cite because specific cases are more likely to vividly impress the mind than generalizations. The facts given came to my personal notice. A gentleman who was a deacon in an influential church, an exhorter and a leader in prayer meetings, had for many years been leading a double life; his wife, an exceedingly sensitive and refined woman, at length became acquainted with her husband's faithlessness. The frightful revelation came to her some months before she became the mother of a beautiful little baby girl. The discovery did not instantly kill the wife, but it broke her heart, and she did not live to raise the daughter, who was after some years taken by a relative. The girl was remarkably beautiful, possessed an emotional nature, and emotional religion had for her the same strong charm it seemed to hold for her father. One day the relative with whom she was living compassed her ruin — she declared by threats and force. But whether the child spoke the truth or not, certain it is that soon there was awakened in her a wild, uncontrollable passion, as strong as the appetite of an opium eater for that drug. Of her fate it is not necessary to speak. I have cited this instance merely to show the influence of heredity. And who shall say that the father who so terribly cursed his own offspring may not have inherited his terrible passion largely from a father who had been allowed to sow his "wild oats" until he had thoroughly debauched his soul?

This case reminds me of one reported in *The Philanthropist* some time ago. A Sunday school teacher, writing to the editor, gave the details of the ruin of a most promising young girl in her Sunday-school class. Investigation showed that because the girl was beyond the legal age nothing could be done to the man, although this girl was the fourth victim of his lust. The idea that a young man may sow his "wild oats" and then settle down to a clean life and raise a morally wholesome family is as false as it is degrading, and like the vicious theory of a double standard, must be combated as a fallacy, the acceptance of which can bear Dead Sea fruit only. On this point I have already spoken, and perhaps I can do no better than repeat in substance what I said at a time when we had brought before us a word picture of the results of a theory which if not overthrown will sap the vigor of civilization.

Nothing more clearly marks the lethargy of society to-day than the constant iteration that young men must "sow their wild



oats"; in other words, that our nineteenth-century young men must wallow in the filth of the social sewer; must burn up the vital forces of the system on the altar of sensuality; must degrade all that is holiest, purest and most sacred in being before they are ready to settle down to a steady or virtuous life. This doctrine is as essentially debasing and soul-destroying as the ancient Phallic rites of Greece. Moreover, the assertion is a libel on nature and on manhood; and to those who pause long enough to think about any serious question it will appear as false as it is preposterous. The man who has once become a slave to his passion, who has once descended into the gutter of sensuality, has scorched his finer nature and scarred his soul for life. An ineffable charm, fragrant as roses and beautiful as the moonlight on Lucerne, has vanished forever.

I am strenuous on this point because I am profoundly convinced that the future of civilization hangs upon this vital pivot. Unless we raise the standard of morals for men, the standard for women will inevitably be lowered; and until we absolutely discard the false and debasing theory that it is right and proper for young men to descend from the clean and pure atmosphere of healthy life to wallow in animalism, there can be no elevation in the moral tone of society. I affirm that there is no more reason why a young man should fill his brain with filthy or bestial imaginings than that a young woman should make her soul the storehouse of vile thoughts. Neither is there any more reason why a young man should become a slave to his appetites than that a young woman, who has inherited the taint of sensualism from a father, should give way to her passional nature. If civilization is to move upward, it must be impelled by sturdy morals; and no high morals can flourish when the intellect of man is possessed by the fatal idea that vice is pardonable in youth.

On Nov. 24, 1892, the annual game of football was played between Yale and Princeton. Yale won, and therefore scores of her young men felt justified in indulging in bacchanalian revelry, the bare recital of which must fill all clean-minded persons with disgust. Nor was the defeated college unrepresented. Numbers of her youths seized this opportunity to debauch their natures and render themselves unworthy the love or respect of pure girls. The city of New York was the scene of this modern exhibition of saturnalian abandon. The following morning the *New York Herald* said: "Such pandemonium was never witnessed by any Koster and Bial audience that ever assembled, as was witnessed at this concert hall through the bacchanalian actions of the Yale and Princeton boys present." In depicting scenes at another place, the same paper thus hints at the moral abandon of these youths who are expected to help mould the thought of the

morrow: "While Vanoni was on the stage, one inebriated Yale man essayed to mount the stage and take her in his arms." So significant and so serious is such a spectacle, reflecting, as it does, the prevalence of moral miasma in college life to-day, which will necessarily continue until ethical instruction is introduced into popular education, that I feel it demands more than a passing notice; and below I give an extended extract from a pen picture of some of the happenings as given by the daily *World*:—

If the whole Central Park menagerie—not only the monkey-cage—had been turned loose in Sixth Avenue and Broadway, things couldn't have been worse. The college boys shouted themselves hoarse, and drank themselves drunk, and fought themselves to a standstill. They were everywhere—in the theatres, the music halls, the saloons, and down the whole scale of respectability. As the night progressed they fell by the wayside, but morning found the more hardy ones still at it.

With all seriousness the *emeriti* professors of drinking, the three-bottle men, the men who never draw a sober breath and yet are never drunk, looked at the college men in New York when they began to drink last night, and held up their hands in holy terror. It was absolutely pitiful to watch them. Here were hundreds of young men wandering from place to place, pouring into themselves, each in its turn, beer of various brews, whiskey, gin, brandy, all the infernal French concoctions that are sweet and are intended for women; and with the daring of youth topping all off with champagne, as if they thought to use a yeast to leaven the whole.

Yes, the professors of physiology ought to deliver those extra lectures if only because of this fact—told tersely enough, told in the manner of police telegrams—which was wired to the *World* last night:—

"College boy, wearing Princeton colors, was picked up insensible from drink at Thirtieth Street and Sixth Avenue about 10 P. M. He was taken to the New York Hospital, but could not tell his name."

The Imperial Music Hall, at Broadway and Twenty-ninth Street, has always tolerated some freedom of conduct from those who frequent it. Men smoke and drink while they watch the show.

Four hundred students from all the colleges shout: "A-a-ah! Ah-ah-ah! Ain't yere glad yere came! A-a-a-ah!"

Half the students (at the top of their lusty lungs): "Washer matter with Princeton? She's a' right. Who's a' right? Princeton!"

Other half: "'Rah, 'rah, 'rah, Yale! 'Rah, 'rah, 'rah, Yale!"

The performers go on stage. Scattered cries all over the house, "Waiter! waiter! waiter!" Some one starts up a college song. Every one joins in. The band is perfectly inaudible. "Charles Duncan, vocalist," comes on. Universal chorus: "Fougere! Fougere! We want Fougere!"

When Duncan is permitted to sing, the gentlemen from the cradles of learning join in his chorus if it pleases them, or sing one of their own if it does not. So it goes on. All the time waiters are busy carrying trays laden with drinks and carrying back the empty glasses.

Man comes on stage and hangs up No. 6. Universal and excited chorus: "A-a-ah! a-a-ah! The high kicker. What's the matter with Fleurette? She's all right, you bet."

Half the students break into a chorus from one of the Greek plays, and the other half bark and croak back at them. Fleurette appears. She's in blue.



Yale men, wild with enthusiasm: "Rah, 'rah, 'rah, Yale! Princeton isn't in it! Kiss me, kiss me, dearest."

Fleurette dances, kicking a tambourine she holds above her head, while the tobacco smoke rolls in waves from the applause. Then Fleurette dances again as a housemaid with a feather duster, dusting her ankles.

Everybody: "There are no flies on you. Yum, yum, yum!"

After that the students do not condescend to hear or observe any more. They put up an impromptu entertainment of their own that would do credit to a lot of bacchanals until Mlle. Valesca appears — Mlle. Valesca, the trapeze marvel. Mademoiselle wears very long flesh-colored tights and a very short salmon-colored silk jacket. Several considerate collegians awake their comrades who have fallen asleep despite a din that would awaken a mummy. *Every "risky" pose of mademoiselle is saluted by howls like those of wolves chasing their prey.*

Two hundred of America's best blood: "Wash's th' masher with Valesca? She's all right. Who's all right? Valesca."

The awful din goes on until Fougere lands, with a jump, in the middle of the stage.

The French woman can say more in a kick than can most women in a thousand words. Her wink is a suggestion, her smile an invitation. The young men who listen to her and look at her go absolutely crazy.

The show ended, and these young men went out into the cold air that could not cool their senses.

Over five hundred of the college boys attended the performance at Koster & Bial's, and were eminently successful in running things. All other sounds were drowned in the roaring and yelling of well-developed lungs, the blare of tin horns, and the shrill notes of whistles purchased for the occasion.

The hit of the evening was made by four young ladies who danced quadrilles with the utmost grace, and kept kicking their French heels higher than their heads. The boys began yelling at them instead of at each other; and when the big fan-shaped curtain shut them out from view, a tremendous encore went up.

A young man in a dress suit came out with a cornet. He was promptly invited by a hundred throats to "get off the earth," and a hundred more asked him to "go lie down and die."

"We want the four ladies!" shouted some one, referring to the high kickers, and the whole house began chanting in a monotone: —

"Four — four — we want four ladies!"

Two comedians came on and did their turn without being heard, the cry for four "ladies" being kept up incessantly. A few beer glasses were tossed about the hall, but no one was hurt by them.

The performance was brought to a close at 4.45 A. M. instead of at midnight, as is usual.

Just as at some other playhouses, the managers of the Academy of Music tried to keep the college boys from getting many seats together. But the sly youths got there all the same.

When in the third act the ballets "Mary Green," "Ta-ra-ra," "The Bowery," and "Maggie Murphy" were put on, the boys sung themselves hoarse while the girls danced. When a particularly airy costume was seen, a Yale man shouted, "Go put on a sweater." It brought down the house. Kisses were recklessly chirped stageward, and the ballet had to smile back, even if they were fined for every smile. "Those are the kind of girls we want at Yale!" shouted a group in chorus when La Sirene, Eglantine, Serpentine and Dynamite, the French quadrille dancers, pointed their little shoes roofward. The band in

blue that plays for the Amazon march caught the Yale fancy, and they yelled, "What's the matter with the Yale band? She's all right!"

While the youths of the Nassau and the Yale armies were at dinner or at the theatres, the streets were fairly dull for a Thanksgiving night; but when the playhouses let out, the boys broke loose. Broadway, from Twenty-third Street up, was like a college walk, and the students owned everything.

It was like getting into the Vatican to get to the counter at the Hoffman House art room. The corridor was packed with a wild, howling set of shouters, and in the barroom they kept up a pow-wow before the Satyr and the Nymphs that was enough to burst a man's tympanum.

About 11.30 the boys who took to executing Nautch dances before the Nymphs and the Satyr, of which Mr. Stokes is so proud, began making the glasses on the shelves clatter like castanets, and there was danger any minute that the end of a walking stick might be poked through a canvas. The order was given that the room be cleared. And cleared it was in a rush. Bang went down the doors at 11.30 sharp.

The lads then marched down to the Fifth Avenue. On the way some of the happy *avant couriers* caught up a Tenderloin lassie, and half hoisting her, half hugging her, they ran her down to Twenty-third Street, and through Twenty-third Street to Sixth Avenue. The camp followers chased after, singing and whooping and guying the girl. As she passed by the Fifth Avenue Hotel portico, half a dozen lusty young boys boosted her up on their shoulders, and, shouting for Yale in tipsy tones, turned the corner to the cross street. Somebody made a rough tug at her petticoat and tore off half a yard of edging. There was a wild scrimmage for the trophy, and in the set-to the leader let go of her and she escaped.

Shut out of the Hoffman, the crowd gathered in the bar of the Fifth Avenue. There was a deafening vocal mixture of "'Rah, 'rah, 'rah, what's the matter with Yale?" "Where's Princeton at?" "Where in the soup's Harvard?" drowned finally in a general husky chorus of "Here's to good old whiskey; drink her down, down, down."

The moral contagion emanating from a few score depraved youths infects other minds unused to the world's temptations, nor is the conduct described above so rare as many people imagine.

About two weeks after the shameful orgies just portrayed occurred in New York, the press despatches described a similar outrage carried on in a much smaller way in New Haven under the very eyes, so to speak, of the faculty of Yale. One would think that, after the shame and odium attached to this seat of learning through the disgusting debauchery of a large number of her students described above, the faculty would have seen that, even on a small scale, no repetition occurred during that season; but such was not the case, as will be seen from the following clipping from despatches sent out on December 5:—

Yale students were out in force for a lark last night. They started in by visiting the opera house, where a specialty company was giving the closing performance of a week's engagement. Over one hundred of the boys got possession of the first rows and boxes, and as soon as the curtain rose the fun began. They began to criticise the work of the actresses and the chorus girls, telling each what they thought of her.

Soon this was too much to satisfy them; and to make the dancers jump and kick a little higher, the boys tossed giant torpedoes beneath the dancers' feet. The torpedoes exploded with a report like a rifle, and soon began to fall so fast that it sounded like a fusillade of musketry. Manager Smith came before the curtain and said he would stop the performance. This was greeted approvingly by the boys, who said they would take possession of the stage and finish the programme themselves. At this the manager became alarmed and sent for a squad of police.

The arrival of the police was received with scornful jeers. One of the officers attempted to arrest a student, but his companions took the officer's club away. Other officers came to his assistance, however, and between them they marched the prisoner to police headquarters. Subsequently two others were arrested and locked up, but all were bailed out.

Several who engaged in the row were laid out with beer mugs and other missiles and one student was felled with a heavy iron shovel.

Another party visited a café in Court Street, and departed leaving all the tables and furniture turned upside down. During the scrimmage Miss Maggie Kilbridge was divested of the greater part of her wearing apparel.

That any considerable number of students from such centres of learning as conventional Yale or orthodox Princeton could so degrade their manhood, speaks more impressively than argument of a threefold crime against the young: (1) the hereditary taint of lust; (2) neglect in relation to early environment; (3) a wofully defective education which so neglects the moral side of young men as to render possible such pollution of the imagination. Greece and Rome are melancholy illustrations of the crumbling to dust of civilizations which permitted the intellect to overrule the ethical element in man's culture; and when one reflects on the fact that the brains of these passion-swayed youths will play an important part in moulding the civilization of tomorrow and also that their children will, through the inexorable law of heredity, partake, to a greater or less extent, of the vicious taint of unrestrained passion thus fed in the opening hour of manhood, the problem assumes colossal importance, and becomes a question which reaches far beyond the petty span of our day and generation. Only the ethical degradation which is the legitimate result of a double standard of morals, prevents society from beholding the enormity of this evil which is dragging down youth and lowering the virtue of the race.

Let us try for a moment to reverse the situation. We will suppose that Vassar and Wellesley had played an exciting college game of tennis, and in order to celebrate one its victory and the other its defeat, hundreds of the maidens who attend these colleges escaped from their chaperons and *en masse* congregated in the Empire City, launching out with the same reckless abandon which characterized the actions of hundreds of Yale and Princeton boys. Let us suppose that these young ladies deadened all sense of respectability by freely imbibing liquor; that

they infested the streets, and visited by hundreds concert and dancing halls, where every ribald joke or every suggestion of indecent action emanating from any of the performers elicited wild applause. Let us suppose, further, that they swarmed in the barrooms and raced after men in the streets, tearing their clothes and struggling madly for pieces of the torn garments. Would not the world stand aghast? And yet who shall presume to say that a man more than a woman has a right to transmit the baleful poison of sensualism or a debased appetite to his children? Who, furthermore, shall presume to say that a man has any more inherent right than a woman to burn out the flame of vitality in bestial gratification, and then seek marital union with one who is chaste in thought and life? Who shall presume to say that nature intended man more than woman to wallow in the sewers of animality?

Human nature is the same the world over. The question of *sex* does not enter into the problem of *soul elevation or debasement*, and yet it is on the latter that the advance or retrograde movement of civilization depends. *That which debases manhood must in the very necessity of the case sooner or later debase womanhood*; not only through its moral atmosphere, which is more potent than society imagines, but through inheritance. Said Dr. Rainsford at a meeting of the League for the Promotion of Social Purity in New York, speaking of vice among the children of the metropolis: "I have seen attempted immorality at an age you would not believe, and it is growing worse every year." It cannot be otherwise if men are to transmit to children lawless and vicious passions and instincts. We may check to a certain degree the spread of vice by restrictive measures; but to bring civilization to a higher standard, we must go to the fountain-head. We must insist on an absolutely white life for two, or a single standard of morals; and with this thought in view, we must insist on the education of the future resting on the granite of a broad ethical culture. We must build character from the kindergarten to the closing days of university life, bringing forth a manhood untainted by vice, intellectually cultured, physically trained and morally developed; in a word, a true manhood, worthy to stand side by side with a pure and cultured womanhood in the battle for a diviner civilization.

### III.

Ignorance is another fruitful cause of prostitution. "You would be surprised," said a prominent lady physician to the author of this paper, "at the number of girls who come to ruin through ignorance. The vital instruction which it is the right of every girl to receive from her mother is carefully withheld by

most mothers. The ignorance of some girls is almost incredible."

A few years ago a physician in this city made a terrible discovery. A young girl who had only a short time before reached maturity was brought to the doctor for examination. The trouble was perfectly apparent. Inquiry elicited the fact that for years a boy and this girl had ignorantly outraged nature's laws. It is more than probable that these children came into the world tainted with animalism; but had each been surrounded from birth with clean, wholesome environment, had they early learned from their parents the mystery of their being and the sacred character of the gift of life and death with which nature had endowed them, the wreck of at least one life, and perhaps of two, might have been averted.

If the fall through ignorance were rare we could afford to be less strenuous on this point; but the truth is, children are day by day falling on every side through the criminal neglect of fathers and mothers. "Any one who has come in contact with erring girls and knows the causes of their downfall," says Charlotte Edholm,\* "would be guilty of criminal negligence in writing on the subject, not to depict the awful evils of girlish ignorance of physiological laws, which renders maidenhood an easy prey to designing scoundrels. Mothers and fathers will have much to answer for, because they allow a *pseudo* modesty to prevent them from explaining to their children the use and abuse of the sexual system, as they teach them the use and abuse of the stomach, or any other organ of the body. Why there should be such reserve in speaking of the reproductive organs, while all others are freely discussed, is a mystery, and can only be explained on the theory that the great majority of people are guilty of sexual excess, and do not like to discuss their own sins."

On this subject Dr. Anna B. Gray writes as follows: "I have given years of attention to the subject, and have arrived at this much of knowledge. In nine out of every ten cases of seduction, the woman in America has erred through affection, not passion — that instinct of common humanity most highly developed in women, to please the beloved — but chiefly through *ignorance*. They feel no passion; they are totally ignorant of its signs in others; even if they feel, they are in equal ignorance of what it means. While that much-lauded ignorance prevents any thought of evil, the result is that before they know they have arrived within sight of it they have crossed the threshold of sin. I have not arrived at my conclusions hastily nor do I state them lightly. I have talked with all sorts and kinds of women, from the common prostitute to the purest matron, from the girl who

---

\* "Traffic in Girls."

committed suicide when told of the consequences that would follow her error, to those whose sins never became known, and this is my sure conviction — the commonest and largest factor in the seduction of unmarried women is unadulterated ignorance — ignorance of any love less innocent than that which teaches her to clasp a baby in her arms, caress its tender limbs, smother it with kisses, and half crush its life out in a passion of tenderness. If she wonders at the fervor of the caresses bestowed upon her, they mean no more to her than those she so freely bestowed upon her baby brother or sister.”

Another thoughtful writer makes the following observations which should appeal to the sober judgment of every parent: “Complete ignorance is neither possible nor desirable in these days; therefore the only real safeguard for our girls and boys is complete knowledge. When mothers are more generally awakened to a sense of their duty in this respect, we shall no longer find women deeming it inexpedient to inquire concerning the past lives of their prospective husbands, for, meeting them on the vantage ground of equal knowledge, girls will so respect their womanhood as to be incapable of degrading themselves by union with those who are morally their inferiors. Never, until the relation of the sexes is properly adjusted, and woman demands in her husband purity as absolute as that which he requires in her, will women gain true freedom.”

Light is what is needed. The one thing the moral leper fears more than anything else is that sturdy agitation which will compel every man and woman to take sides. During the past two years I have read much in our religious and secular papers about the frightful abuses borne by the child wives of India, and have noticed numerous articles devoted to the horrors of the harems of the East, and while I would in no way minimize these evils, I have been pained to observe how wide a berth most of these same journals gave to wrongs equally grave which are being committed almost under the shadow of the buildings where have been published these protests against the treatment of women in oriental lands. The harem life cannot be other than demoralizing; but would it not be more consistent and more to the purpose for us to take the beam from our eye before we open a crusade against the harems of the East?

Let us be honest with ourselves and face the question squarely and impartially. Is harem life as hopeless or horrible as the fate of the women of the streets in our great cities? Does the girl who enters the harem suffer in mind, body or soul as does the American girl who at first is pure but poor, who is ignorant and beautiful, and who is compelled to earn her livelihood and perhaps help support a destitute parent, but who in her struggle for



bread is tracked, hunted and lured into the net of an intriguing libertine only to depart despoiled of her virtue, and who in course of time is compelled to live by selling herself to lecherous animals who poison her body and corrupt her mind, until sinking step by step she reaches the bottom of the pit of social degradation, probably there to meet death in its most horrible form? The fate of the girl in the harem is unutterably sad to those who have caught a glimpse of true civilization; but what shall we say of the fate of such a one as I have referred to?

And how serious appears this problem when we remember that in our own republic there are thousands of girls who a few years ago were prattling, innocent little ones, who to-day are virtuous, but who next year will be ruined, and in fifteen years, if they live so long, will have become bloated, poisoned and debauched wrecks, loathing themselves and being loathed even by the denizen of the social cellar. Every year the tribute of thousands of maidens is paid to masculine lust, while the moral sentiment of the nation sleeps, and parents persist in keeping their daughters in ignorance of that knowledge which would prove their shield in the hour of peril.

*(To be continued.)*

# THE FATE OF MAJOR ROGERS: A BUDDHIST MYSTERY OF CEYLON.

BY HEINRICH HENSOLDT, PH. D.

AMONG the many curious and seemingly unaccountable phenomena which were observed and, in part, personally witnessed by the writer, who spent over nine years as an amateur naturalist and explorer in the far East, few have more profoundly impressed him than those which attended the strange fate of Major Rogers. Although the main facts in connection therewith occurred almost thirty years before the writer's arrival in Ceylon, it was still a frequent theme of discussion among the European residents; the interest even now has not subsided, and it may be confidently asserted that the story of Major Rogers will live in Ceylon while the rifle of a single sportsman resounds through her luxurious jungles.

Major Rogers had originally come to Ceylon as a lieutenant of Her Majesty's 57th regiment of foot, which, in 1840, was stationed at Kandy, the ancient mountain-capital of the Singhalese kings. He was then a fine, tall young man, of about twenty-six years of age, "every inch a gentleman," popular with his brother officers, and almost idolized by the soldiers of his company.

The duties of an English officer in the tropics are not of a very arduous nature, and those who are acquainted with the details of military life in India will be aware that one half, at least, of a regimental staff are perpetually on furlough, either roaming the woods in search of game, or recruiting their health at some convenient sanatorium in the uplands. Lieutenant Rogers was one of those who, in order to escape the dreary monotony of barrack-room existence, sought refuge in the jungle, and soon his fame as a sportsman resounded through the island. He became, indeed, a mighty hunter before the Lord; in one year alone he killed over five hundred antelopes, and his deadly rifle became an object of superstitious dread among the Singhalese who, as orthodox Buddhists, looked upon such wanton destruction of animal life with the utmost abhorrence.

With Rogers hunting had developed into a kind of mania; a more inveterate slayer of game Ceylon has, probably, never harbored, and even the famous Sir Samuel Baker's laurels pale by



the side of those of Rogers. During the last two years of his life (so the writer was assured by several old Ceylon coffee-planters, who had known him intimately and had hunted with him) Rogers' exploits no longer deserved the name of sport, but rather that of indiscriminate slaughter. He had made a specialty of shooting elephants, which then abounded on the Ouvah Plains and in the Badulla coffee-district—in those days a lovely wilderness of teak-wood and palmyra—and he would go about the jungle, followed by several Tamil gun-bearers, locating or stalking his noble game, and never more happy than when he could send one of his five-ounce bullets crashing through the skull of a huge creature which, perchance, had roamed the forests for more than twenty decades.\* According to Sir J. E. Tennet,† Major Rogers killed upward of 1,400 elephants, and was able to purchase his various commissions in the army from the proceeds of the ivory.

In 1844 the regiment returned to England, but Rogers, who, in the meantime, had acquired the rank of major, was so loth to leave the fair cinnamon-isle that he contrived to exchange his position in the army for one in the civil service of the Ceylon government, securing an appointment as colonial resident, or "government agent," for the Ouvah district. This was, practically, a sinecure, for Ouvah had only been recently organized, and was then an almost unbroken wilderness—perhaps the fairest of Ceylon's hunting grounds—a mighty jungle-land, watered by great rivers and interspersed with lakes, the home of myriads of flamingoes and heron, lakes on which the lotus never faded, and which enraptured even the stern Samuel Baker when, ten or fifteen years later, he first beheld them on his wanderings. The vast jungles, teeming with game, were a hunter's paradise, and never did Major Rogers more keenly enjoy his adventurous existence than after his appointment to the Ouvah residency. The climax of his master-passion had been reached.

Now, as already stated, the Singhalese looked upon Major Rogers' exploits with a holy terror; for did not Sakyamuni teach that all animal life is sacred, and that the wilful destruction of even the most insignificant insect is a deadly sin? The Singhalese are, perhaps, the most orthodox of all Buddhists, and if they venerate one animal more than another it is the elephant. Whether the huge size which these proboscidi-ans attain, their wisdom, or the age to which they can live, is the cause of this, we will not here attempt to discuss; enough that their regard for elephants amounts almost to worship, and

---

\* It is a well-known fact that elephants are very slow to reach maturity, and that, under favorable conditions, they may outlive from five to eight generations of men.

† "The Wild Elephant in Ceylon," p. 77. Longmans, Green & Co., London, 1867.

according to a general superstition the souls of former rajahs are incarnated in these strange creatures.

No Singhalese will ever hurt an elephant, not even when he finds him destroying his rice-fields. He will calmly stand by and wait till the intruder has eaten his fair portion, and only when exceeding that, or doing serious damage, will he attempt to interfere; not by trying to frighten the visitor back to the jungle, but by arguing with him, telling him that he has had enough and ought to be ashamed of himself. The writer once came upon an elephant who had broken into a rice-field near Kurnugalla, in southwestern Ceylon. A Singhalese *ryot* stood within fifteen yards of him, trying to coax him away by entreaties, interspersed with gentle remonstrances. As the elephant, however, kept on feeding with the greatest unconcern, the language of the poor *ryot* became less deferential and complimentary, and finally terminated in a torrent of abuse, involving serious reflections upon the general character of the elephant's antecedents, both near and remote, which the writer would be sorry to translate. This seemed to be more than the pachyderm could endure with equanimity; he grunted uneasily while making his way back to the jungle, and the writer, who wanted to expedite his departure with a shot from his Remington, was implored by the Singhalese to let him go in peace, "for Maha Oya was a sacred beast," and in this particular instance harbored the soul of a great pundit.

That so inveterate a slayer of elephants as Major Rogers should become an object of terror to the Singhalese, and excite their utmost indignation, need not, thus, surprise us. They looked upon him as the ancient Hebrews would have looked upon one who had dared to penetrate to the ark of the covenant and desecrate the holy of holies. He was shunned like one stricken by a pestilence; no Singhalese would enter his service; his groom, cook, gun-bearers, etc., were Malays and Tamils, and whenever he passed through a native village on his hunting-trips, the people would fly to their huts in consternation; not so much on account of personal fear, but in order not to be near when the vengeance of heaven should fall upon his head, lest they themselves should not be spared by the outraged *Devas*. For that Major Rogers *would* be punished in a signal manner for his misdeeds was the firm belief of the Singhalese.

It was on a day in January, 1845, that a curious and portentous incident occurred. Rogers had invited a number of coffee-planters from the Morowe Korle district, and was on the point of starting with these on an elephant-hunt, from the ancient village of Badulla, where, at that time, he had taken up his headquarters. The party of Europeans, numbering about eight, and followed by

a retinue of Tamil coolies, was just passing the great pagoda, in the centre of a grove of sacred fig-trees,\* on the Minneria road, when Rogers' attention was attracted by the appearance of an old Buddhist priest on the stone vestibule, who stood there, like a statue chiselled out of amber, fixing his calm eyes upon the major. There must have been something unearthly in that Oriental's gaze, for it froze the very marrow of its victim. Those who witnessed the scene have repeatedly asserted in later years that the priest's face wore a kindly aspect, and that his voice was melodious, yet to Major Rogers it seemed like a vision of Medusa, foreboding his doom.

The priest calmly stretched forth his right arm, pointed to the great elephant hunter, and delivered himself of the following sentence: "White *sahib*, thine hour is drawing near; thou hast persisted in slaying the bodies and disturbing the souls of our sacred brothers; the measure of thine iniquities is full, and thou shalt be consumed by the lightning of heaven before thou canst raise thine accursed weapon for another act of sacrilege."

These words, slowly and solemnly uttered by the venerable representative of one of the noblest and most philosophical creeds the world has ever known, profoundly impressed even the planters from Morowe Korle. As for Major Rogers, he sat on his horse like one in a trance; his eyes were still fixed on the spot where the priest had stood, even long after the latter had retreated into the temple, and it was only with difficulty that he could be prevailed upon to continue on his way.

The incident cast a gloom on the entire enterprise and spoiled the day's sport. The major's *esprit* had departed; he grew morose and taciturn, and no efforts on the part of his companions could restore his good humor. The party returned to Badulla without having fired a shot, and Major Rogers started the next morning for Colombo, "on important government business."

It was not long before the story of Major Rogers' strange adventure became known among the European residents of the island, and to his annoyance he was frequently questioned about it, in a jocular way, by thoughtless and inconsiderate friends. At the Army and Navy Club in Colombo, for instance, he would be greeted in something like the following style: "Hello, Rogers! See you're still alive and sound; the lightning hasn't got you yet. You're all right, old boy, threatened people live long." Rogers never relished such allusions to his weird experience; he was like a changed man, and an expression of pain would steal over his handsome features whenever the subject of elephant-hunting was broached.

---

\* *Ficus religiosa*. These trees are claimed to be derived from shoots of the identical fig-tree in Nepal under which Sakyamuni attained Buddha-hood.

Growing weary of Colombo, after a two months' residence, he tried the refreshing atmosphere of Kandy, located high in the central mountains, the loveliest spot, perhaps, in this terrestrial Eden. But even this wonderful city could not dispel his gloom; her ancient palaces and pagodas repelled him, and for the first time he looked with indifference upon the marvellous artificial lake of the Singhalese kings, which, five years earlier, had risen upon his vision like a fairy-dream of the Hesperides.

Almost eight months had elapsed since the Badulla incident, and it seemed as if Rogers had at last broken the spell which the priest's prophecy had cast over him. He thought of his rifles and of the great jungles of Ouvah; an irresistible longing seized him for his familiar hunting-grounds, and he almost wondered how he could have managed to exist so long away from them. Moreover, news came to Kandy of a rogue-elephant having recently killed two bullock-drivers near Badulla, and that settled it. Within less than a week after his resolution, he had succeeded in organizing a hunting-party of Kandian residents and planters from Kaduganawa, and a gayer cavalcade never proceeded along the Nawalapitya road than that led by Major Rogers in the early part of September, 1845. His heart was light, and once more he seemed like the Rogers of old; he was bound for his favorite jungles.

It was on September 9 that the great hunt was to commence, in the dense palmetto-brakes, which extend from the foot of Adam's Peak to the mountains of Newera Ellia. An army of drivers had been sent out to locate the game, and Rogers and his party started from Badulla before dawn, in order to reach the second government rest-house before the heat should become oppressive. From this point the jungle was to be entered, and a path leading in a southeasterly direction was to be followed for about eleven miles, to a little swamp, where the first beaters would be stationed and the camp was to be fixed.

A sumptuous lunch had been previously ordered at the rest-house\* and everything augured well. It was about ten o'clock when the cavalcade arrived. The sun stood already high in the heavens, sending down fierce rays from a cloudless sky; but what was that to old Ceylon "jungle-hands," who were wont to stalk the *cheetah* in the noonday-glare, and who wore cork-helmets? Soon the lunch was served by the obsequious rest-house keeper, and a dozen hungry men sat down to astonish the

---

\*The government rest-houses are located at intervals of about ten miles on the principal Ceylon high-roads, and are excellent bungalows for the accommodation of travellers, with stabling for their horses. They are invariably under the management of a native, who is required to provide certain viands at a fixed price, and clean bedding. The writer who, during his wanderings in Ceylon, has had frequent occasion to patronize these rest-houses, has always fared well in them, and remembers them with pleasure.

natives. Roast chicken disappeared as if by magic, and the quantities of rice with fish-curry, cold ham, canned lobster and chow-chow partaken of by these Englishmen excited the admiration even of the Malabar Tamils, who are notorious for their gluttony.

While the last of these dishes was being washed down with the favorite brandy and soda, and several members of the party were preparing for a short siesta, a low rumbling sound, as of distant thunder, struck the ear, and, before the lapse of another ten minutes, one of those vehement tropical rain-torrents was upon them, for which Ceylon is noted, and which are as sudden in their appearance as in their complete cessation and dispersal. The rain came down in sheets, and the sky grew dark and darker, while a cannonade commenced in the clouds, which would have appalled any one but an old Ceylon resident. Long flashes of lightning illumined the landscape at intervals, yet Major Rogers was in excellent spirits.

"We shall have a glorious time at the swamp to-night," he shouted, "this will clear the atmosphere and give our trackers a chance." In less than a quarter of an hour the rain ceased to fall, and the sky began to brighten visibly. "I think we can start pretty soon," said Rogers, "I'll just go out and see how things look."

And out he went on his *last* errand; he never returned, nor uttered another word, for, thirty seconds later, Major Rogers was a black, unrecognizable mass. A flash of lightning had struck him with terrific force, before he got to the centre of the high-road in front of the bungalow, and had almost carbonized every particle of flesh, down to his bones. His hour had come at last.

A remarkable coincidence, the reader will conclude, yet a *mere* coincidence. Among the myriads of human beings who inhabit this planet, such things must, at times, occur, and stranger ones are on record. Thousands are annually killed by lightning, and why should it not once happen that among these there be one whose death had been thus foreshadowed? Look at the innumerable cases in which similar prophecies and predictions have been proved *false*. Such was also the writer's opinion when he first learned the curious details of the fate of Major Rogers.

But the story has a sequel, which no coincidence-hypothesis will explain, and which is so strange that it may well be doubted whether anything of a similar character has ever come within the experience of man. The news of Rogers' tragic death created the utmost sensation in Ceylon, as the story of his encounter with the Buddhist priest, six months earlier, was known to all the European residents. For a long time it formed the chief topic of discussion on the island, and numerous were the theories,

comments and opinions advanced in reference to it. The Singalese did not manifest the least surprise at this appalling termination of the elephant-hunter's career; to them it was not unexpected, as they had been thoroughly convinced that something of this nature was bound to happen.

Rogers' body was taken to Newera Ellia, and there buried in the little cemetery of the European colony. Newera Ellia is the sanatorium of Ceylon: a cluster of beautiful villas, in the midst of the loveliest scenery, seven thousand feet above the sea level. Here rich merchants of Colombo and Point de Galle, eminent government officials and wealthy planters have erected fairy bungalows, surrounded by luxurious gardens, where they take refuge from the heat of the lowlands, at times when a "change" is deemed necessary.

Rogers having been one of the most popular men on the island, the Europeans subscribed for a tombstone, which was duly placed on his grave, and on which the principal events of his life and his sad end were briefly recorded. The stone had been there barely two months when the residents of Ceylon were startled by the news that it had been struck and seriously damaged by lightning. And, what is still more marvellous, *lightning struck that stone at least a hundred times within the next thirty years.*

The writer, to whom this part of the story appeared utterly incredible, and who suspected some trick on the part of the Singalese, visited Newera Ellia in the month of July, 1876. Starting early from Peradenia, and riding through the Ramboda Pass, he did not reach the famous sanatorium till after sunset, taking up his quarters at the only hotel there, kept by one Hawkins, an old Scotchman. The cemetery was within three hundred yards of this place. After supper the writer and his host, who proved an exceedingly well-informed as well as kindly gentleman, repaired to the verandah, where comfortable easy-chairs were inviting for siesta. Cigars were lighted and soon the topic of Major Rogers' tombstone was in order.

"Young man," said Hawkins—the writer having strongly expressed his doubts as to the genuineness of the lightning business—"wait until to-morrow morning! I have lived in Newera Ellia thirty-six years, and never, before Rogers' burial, has lightning, to my recollection, struck in that cemetery. Now it occurs on an average three or four times a year, and it invariably selects the tombstone of Rogers."

The writer was indeed impatient to behold that wonderful stone, and, at an early hour the next day, found himself in front of it.

"What do you call *this*," said Hawkins, who was present, "does this look like man's handiwork?"

"Indeed not," the writer replied, lost in astonishment, for here were the clear and unmistakable proofs of lightning's action. The stone, a huge slab, about nine feet long, five feet wide and ten inches thick, placed flat on the grave, had been cracked in at least a dozen places, and evidently by lightning, while the peculiar furrows of lightning were visible all over it. As one well acquainted with lightning-marks on rock surfaces, the writer, after a careful examination of the slab, feels thoroughly justified in stating that they are genuine.

Now where is the clue to this mystery? Major Rogers' tombstone is in no way peculiar, or different from the other tombstones in the Newera Ellia cemetery. It is composed of the same garnetiferous gneiss (the prevailing rock of the central mountain-region of Ceylon), and the grave which it surmounts is neither higher nor lower than the other graves. There is absolutely nothing which, from a scientific standpoint, would account for the reason why lightning should persistently have selected the spot where the charred remains of Ceylon's famous elephant-hunter were interred forty-nine years ago.



# IF CHRIST SHOULD COME TO-DAY.

---

BY JAMES G. CLARK.

---

I HAVE come, and the world shall be shaken  
Like a reed, at the touch of my rod,  
And the kingdoms of time shall awaken  
To the voice and the summons of God;  
No more through the din of the ages  
Shall warnings and chidings divine,  
From the lips of my prophets and sages,  
Be trampled like pearls before swine.

Ye have stolen my lands and my cattle;  
Ye have kept back from labor its meed;  
Ye have challenged the outcasts to battle,  
When they plead at your feet in their need;  
And when clamors of hunger grew louder,  
And the multitudes prayed to be fed,  
Ye have answered with prisons or powder,  
The cries of your brothers for bread.

I turn from your altars and arches,  
And the mocking of steeples and domes,  
To join in the long, weary marches  
Of the ones ye have robbed of their homes;  
I share in the sorrows and crosses  
Of the naked, the hungry and cold,  
And dearer to me are their losses  
Than your gains and your idols of gold.

I will wither the might of the spoiler,  
I will laugh at your dungeons and locks,  
The tyrant shall yield to the toiler,  
And your judges eat grass like the ox;  
For the prayers of the poor have ascended  
To be written in lightnings on high,  
And the wails of your captives have blended  
With the bolts that must leap from the sky.

The thrones of your kings shall be shattered  
And the prisoner and serf shall go free;  
I will harvest from seed that I scattered  
On the borders of blue Galilee;  
For I come not alone, and a stranger —  
Lo! my reapers will sing through the night  
Till the star that stood over the manger  
Shall cover the world with its light.



# WILLIAM PENN AND PETER THE GREAT.

BY HENRY LATCHFORD.

WHEN I lived in London, and was supposed to be studying law in the Temple, I had frequent opportunities of meeting literary people who were more lively and interesting than the ordinary law book. One of the literary receptions I remember with particular distinctness. The drawing rooms of a well-known writer were crowded with guests who represented many of the schools, *coteries* and camps of culture then existing in the metropolis. Near the window of the front room, and isolated from his fellows, who seemed to be all talking at the top of their voices, sat an elderly gentleman reading a book. In that warm room he looked like a cool fountain in the middle of a hot sand bank. And, indeed, he was quite as cool as he looked. Undisturbed by the babel of conversation he read on, slowly turning over the leaves of the volume which he held close to his eyes. Suddenly the door opened and a great Newfoundland dog entered the room. He walked leisurely around among the guests as if looking for a friend. Deliberately he paused before the reader. With one loud, sharp bark which announced his arrival he seemed to say, "So, here you are!" The gentleman dropped his book, rubbed his eyes, and simply said, "Bless my soul! that's the best remark I've heard to-night!" And then he patted the Newfoundland's head.

Everybody stopped talking for a moment and laughed, while one lady said, "Oh, that's just like Hepworth!" And then we all knew that the studious person was none other than Mr. Hepworth Dixon, editor of the *Athenæum*, who had just circumnavigated the globe, seen everything and everybody, and written much about curiosities of American society. In the course of the evening there was some discussion about the merits of his books, and it seemed to be agreed that he had done much good by his "Life of William Penn," in which book he had completely disproved the charges brought by Macaulay against the great Quaker. That book left a deep impression upon my mind and an influence that remains, although the great events of Europe since it was published have not done much, apparently, to advance the principles of Penn in any part of the world.

More than twenty years after the Dixon incident I was living one summer in the State of Indiana, where I became acquainted

with many of the Quakers in the country districts. There was much talk about the famine in Russia that summer. The events in eastern Europe, following the assassination of the czar, including the rapid development of nihilism and periodical appearance of famine, were attracting the attention of the civilized world. Through Turgenief and Count Tolstoi the misery and degradation of the emancipated serfs became almost as well known to the American people as the troubles of the men and women of "Uncle Tom's Cabin." Living in the peaceful and prosperous Quaker district I was induced, by the principle, I suppose, of contrast, to read all I could find about Russia. Among other books I read with special interest Eugene Schuyler's "Life of Peter the Great," and as I finished it I could not help recalling Napoleon's remark that at the end of a hundred years from his time Europe would be either Cossack or republican. Indeed, if there had been a succession of czars like the great Peter, the Cossacks would have had ample opportunity to break up such civilization as we possess. If, in the course of a few years, one semi-barbarian of superhuman energy and daring could have pulled Russia half way out of Asia, and established it firmly in Europe, what might not successors of similar political genius have accomplished with the modern resources? But fortunately for Europe the mantle of Peter's energy did not fall upon those who succeeded him. There have been great soldiers and diplomatists in modern Russia, but no ruler, except perhaps the second Catherine, has given sign of the constructive ingenuity necessary to carry out Peter's colossal projects. Europe is to-day very much more republican than Cossack, while the strong tendency in Russia seems to be a return to the democratic institutions which prevailed among the Slav races before the Mongol invasion.

Comparing Schuyler's book with Dixon's "Life of Penn" it struck me that the period of these contemporaries — Penn and Peter — was one of the great historic partings of the ways for civilization.

In one of the notes at the close of his book Mr. Dixon gives a short but graphic and striking account of Penn's visit to Peter the Great during the czar's sojourn in England in 1697. Peter was studying shipbuilding in the Deptford dockyards. Some members of the Quaker sect called upon him to try and enlist his sympathies for their cause. As they did not understand the czar's speech, and as he knew nothing of the English language, the meeting was futile. Both Penn and Peter, however, knew something of German, and accordingly Penn called upon the czar. One would like to have some Boswell's account of that interview. Penn explained the cause, the history and the creed

of the Quakers. Peter seemed to be amused and listened with quite as much patience as he could muster.

Finally the czar said, "Do Quakers fight?"

"No, Friend Peter," replied William, "that's just what Quakers don't do."

"Then," said the czar, "I have no use for them. Russia requires men who can and will fight"—intimating that all other countries required men of the same kind.

This closed the conversation, though the interview seems not to have been without some good effect. It is said that ever afterwards when the czar found himself in the neighborhood of any Quaker community he attended the meetings and "conducted himself quite like a gentleman." It was indeed a real concession to Quaker sentiment that the czar of Russia did not pull the noses or knock off the hats of Quaker worshippers.

While turning over this curious episode in my mind I could not help thinking of another possible conclusion to Penn's interview with Peter the Great. Giving wings to imagination I heard Peter say:—

"William Penn, if you think you can govern Russia on your peace principles I will hand over my country to you. I am tired and sick of being a ruler, as I have no quality of mind that fits me for governing men according to the laws of civilized society. I was born to be a soldier or a ship carpenter. I prefer the carpentering, and if some trustworthy person would relieve me of my intolerable duties and responsibilities—called imperial—I am quite willing to spend the rest of my waking life in a dock-yard. Now, I ask you this: If I can gain the consent of my people to be governed by you for a term of years, as an experiment, while I remain in England working happily at my natural occupation, will you take charge of Russia?"

Penn opened wide his large, calm, benevolent eyes, as he said in mild astonishment: "Friend Peter, the world has not been accustomed to regard thee as one who wastes much time in speaking light or vain things. I cannot think thee serious when thou askest me to take thy place as the ruler of Russia."

"Serious! William Penn," retorted the burly potentate, as he poured out for himself a huge bumper of brandy, "I was never more serious in my life, and for reasons which I now proceed to give you. Nearly six hundred years ago my predecessor, Vladimir, forcibly Christianized his people in one day. He compelled them to accept that religion which you preach and practice. After six hundred years of professed Christianity I do not see that Russia is to-day much farther advanced in the Christian life than when Vladimir compelled it to abjure paganism. I have made inquiries about you and your associates, and I find that

you really try to adapt your lives to the precepts of the creed you profess. People mock at your doctrines and you personally as a mere dreamer because you believe that all men are brothers and should live at peace. I must say that I can't regard that man as very much of a dreamer who established, as you have done, the right of a jury to return a verdict in opposition to the judge's charge. That piece of work, William, convinces me that whatever else you may be you are not a fool.

"I have also heard much of what you call your *Civitas Dei*, and your 'Holy Experiment' in America. The Indians of that country, whom your peaceful methods have subdued, were not less barbarous than my Cossacks. You went among them as a plain man who wished to live as a friend among friends. I hear that the Indians laid down their arms at your request, and that not in a single case have they broken the agreement you made in good faith with them. They are the helpers and brothers of the palefaces, as they call you, so that perfect harmony prevails between them and your people. This, too, while the same Indians are at perpetual feud with the white men who are not Quakers. It is to me more than wonderful. It is simply miraculous. We have heard of the events in Palestine, but I have never been in that country, and those events are very far away. Historians do not always write the truth, and in my experience the law of life is not the Golden Rule which Christ taught, and of which the preachers tell us in church on Sundays and holy days.

"When you went among the Indians of your territory in America you were not armed with the powers of head of a state or head of the church. The king of England was your chief, and you acted only with deputed and temporary power. You were neither king nor pope — not even a bishop. Your own personal character as a Christian man, who practised what he preached, was the only secret of your success with the savages. If you go to Russia your position shall be very different. I am practically head of the church, and absolutely head of the state, and I know that my people will accept in this same position the man or men whom I see fit to appoint as my representatives. I have only to command and it is done. You think, perhaps, that I speak under the influence of strong drink, as I see that you have been watching my potations. But I was brought up on brandy. It is the natural food for such a barbarian as I am, and, until it puts me to sleep, only serves to make me clear-headed.

"No, William Penn, I am not now speaking as a drunken man, but as the czar of Russia, fully aware of my own defects, and more than anxious that the millions of poor people whom I bully, without knowing how to govern them, shall be brought

under some of the genuine influences of Christianity and civilization. I ask you again, and this time I request, that you accept my offer, and that you go out to Russia in my place as head of the state. I will see to it promptly that you shall also be head of the church."

With such an effort of imagination I was fairly exhausted, and at this stage of Peter's speech I was asleep. But while I slept I dreamed. Mixing up the events of centuries with the freedom of the land of visions, I saw William Penn established in St. Petersburg as firmly as he had formerly been in Pennsylvania. Peter had accompanied him to Russia and had summoned a great council of the nobles, bishops, governors of provinces, statesmen and generals. At Penn's request the wives and grown daughters of the leading men were also requested to attend. Penn had prepared the manifesto which the czar read aloud to the convention. The new constitution was based upon the principles of Christianity as applied in America by the people called Quakers. Serfdom was absolutely abolished within the boundaries of Russia. Peace was proclaimed with Sweden, Poland, Austria and Turkey, with the proviso that if at any time within the period of Penn's administration Russia should be invaded it was expected that every man in Russia capable of bearing arms would appear at the frontier. The regular soldiery was abolished. Invasion was to be met and defeated by an armed, patriotic nation, which, having once realized the priceless value of peace, liberty and brotherhood, would guard sacredly these good things, or die to a man in the effort to defend them.

Great public works were to be undertaken at once in every province, under the direction of the local noblemen and priests. The money that would have been expended upon arsenals, fortifications and military campaigns was for the next twenty years to be devoted to primary education. Women of ability were to be admitted on a perfectly equal footing with men to all positions in church and state. The most distinguished thinkers of Europe were to be invited to Russia for the purpose of helping to draw up a political constitution adapted to the requirements of an industrial and agricultural community—the framers not to be bound by any precedents save those of justice, humanity and the practical wisdom of the Christian religion.

Meanwhile in each city, and in every town, village or district with a population exceeding three hundred, justice was to be administered by a government official sent out from St. Petersburg. This magistrate must have had a course of study for six months in the college presided over by William Penn. The nobles and bishops might sit in court as associates, but were not to be qualified to pass judgment in legal trials until they, also,

should have graduated from Penn's college. Justice was not to be bought, and not to be sold or denied to any man, woman or child. The official or other person — be his power and position what they might — detected in any act of interference with the administration of law, was to be condemned to imprisonment and a prolonged course of study in St. Petersburg. School teachers were to be regarded as the social equals of nobles and bishops. Judges of the supreme court at the seat of the central government were to make semi-annual visits to the principal city of each province, and among other duties, were to hear all appeals from inferior tribunals. The rich land owners, bishops, priests, merchants, and educated professional men were to be held responsible for the moral and material prosperity of the districts in which they lived, and their favor at court was to depend upon their efforts to advance this prosperity.

The czar spent three hours in reading and commenting upon the new rules of government. He drew many brief but vivid pictures illustrating the difference between the new *regime* and the old, and then, in words uttered with deep emotion, introduced his "friend, brother and representative, William Penn."

The stranger, dressed as usual in the suit of drab cloth, relieved by a large linen collar turned down over his coat, rose to speak. Penn had acquired a competent knowledge of the Russian language, and was as self-possessed as if engaged in a friendly talk with the king of England or George Fox. His calm, peaceful, benevolent appearance was a revelation to men and women who had always associated distinguished foreigners with military uniform and brilliant retinue. He commenced by describing the beautiful home he had left in England, pointing out, however, that such homes were confined to the happy few, while the great majority of the English people lived in a very different condition. Passing on to the "Holy Experiment" in America, he dwelt at length upon the widely-diffused happiness of the community in which no man was very rich and none very poor. He explained the methods by which the savage Indian had been brought to regard the white European immigrant not as a foe or an intruder, but as a friend and partner, who had come to coöperate with him in procuring the means of general comfort and happiness.

In simple but eloquent language he described the horrors of war as he had seen it in Europe, the degradation it brought on women, and all the ruin of home life. Then he explained his gospel of peace, and pictured its results as he had seen them developing by the banks of the Delaware. He told, too, of the Quaker women who, like their fathers, husbands or brothers, were engaged actively in all good works for the benefit of all the



people. And then he spoke of the doctrines of Christ as laid down in the religion of Russia, and of the desire of the illustrious czar that such doctrines should henceforth govern the public and private life of the Russian people.

When he concluded, the audience, not accustomed to free expression of opinion, remained silent, although pleasure and gratification were depicted on almost every face. The czar rose quickly, shook hands warmly with Penn, and then turning to the audience said, "Those of my people who are in favor of accepting William Penn as the representative of the czar during my absence, will stand up." There may have been some soldiers and statesmen who had views to express, but, as Peter cared little for any views except his own, there was no dissenting voice. Every man and woman stood up. Peter then waved his hat over his head and called for cheers, which were given with an enthusiasm that the announcement of peace principles had never before excited on any portion of Russian territory. The court officials were then summoned, and promptly appeared bearing the robes of office. Then and there William Penn, brother of Czar Peter, was arrayed in all the externals of his high office. Having signified his allegiance to Russia by holding up his right hand, he bowed his head before the patriarch who offered prayer and pronounced the benediction.

The new experiment was carried into operation immediately. Missionaries were despatched at once throughout the length and breadth of the land, explaining the new gospel of peace and holiness. They were armed with power to redress grievances and arrange for the sustenance of the people. It took some years to elevate the Cossacks and wandering hordes of the East and South to a comprehension of the new order, but after their leaders had met and talked with Penn, either in St. Petersburg or Moscow, the wildest of them decided that the experiment at least deserved a trial. No doubt the expressed desire of Peter had much to do with this ready compliance.

Within a year after the czar's return to England Charles the Twelfth invaded Russia. He was met by a nation in arms. From the remotest recesses of the country came men, accompanied in many cases by their wives or sisters, who, having enjoyed peaceful life for one year, had determined that any death was preferable to a return of the fighting and barbaric stage. By mere force of numbers they would drive back the enemy, and if necessary they would fight to the death for the privileges that had become so precious to them.

They come as the winds come when forests are rended,  
They come as the waves come when navies are stranded.

Not all the armies assembled under the Swedish kings, from the first Charles down to Voltaire's hero, could stand before such a host as came pouring from the interior to the northwestern frontier. But Charles took no heed. With his usual recklessness he led the attack in person. Now that the vigilant Peter was absent he expected an easy prey in an army of peasants. But as, in aftertime, the untrained troops of Dumouriez — when inspired by an idea — would drive back the seasoned veterans of Europe, so the emancipated serfs of Russia rushed into battle with a valor and resistless force that the knights of lion-hearted Richard or the gallant Godfrey had never surpassed. The women fought, if possible, with more fury than the men. The army of Charles was driven back with prodigious slaughter. "We can't fight against devils," said the king, "and these Russian women are furies."

The Russian generals held a council of war. It was decided to pursue the Swedes, take bodily possession of the king and his army, and to retain all as prisoners until a satisfactory guarantee should have been given that no Swedish soldier should again enter Russia as a foe. The pursuit began and the capture followed rapidly. Preliminaries of peace were not long delayed. Charles declared he had had more than enough of fighting with Russian Quakers. He was quite prepared to make any promises if his troops were supplied with provisions.

Thereupon this remarkable campaign assumed quite a new aspect. When the Russian people were satisfied that their purpose had been fully accomplished, the men and women at once transformed themselves into nurses or agents of the commissariat department. From avenging fiends they became ministering angels. Having attended to the wounded they knelt and prayed beside the dying. Charles laughed. "If," he said, "these Quaker Russians are as good with their prayers as with their bayonets, they'll send those poor devils of mine to heaven sure enough."

There was little delay about a treaty of peace. Shortly after Charles reached Sweden he dispatched a messenger to William Penn with a request that the ruler of Russia would send the king of Sweden a book or a man to explain how a peaceful nation could at a few days' notice be transformed into an army, and how, after the battle, that army of fighting demons could be changed upon the battlefield into a corps of nurses, missionaries or cooks. Penn sent the recipe, and it is almost unnecessary to add that no other army from Sweden attempted to invade Russia while William Penn was ruler.

Tidings of these strange events were carried rapidly over Europe. It soon became known that although Russia was conse-



crated to peace it was invincible in war. In a conversation with the king of Poland, who proposed a coalition against Russia, the ruler of Turkey said: "No, Poland, it is quite useless. There are too many of these men of peace and prayer. Every boy fights like a veteran. Until this new fashion blows over I'll leave the bear alone. I have no objection, however, to your tackling him yourself." And so with all the western countries. No sovereign cared to risk his army against an armed nation, patriotic, enlightened, industrious and religious.

Penn rapidly carried out the programme laid down in the manifesto. With no army to maintain, the bulk of the revenue was applied to education and the diffusion of all available knowledge concerning agriculture and improved industrial methods.

The invasion of Charles took place in the year 1701, and in the year 1715 the Russian Empire was admitted even by the economists and bankers of France and England to be the happiest and most prosperous country in Europe. No man did injustice, because no man feared injustice, and, the dread of poverty being removed, free play was at once given to the operation of the Golden Rule. Little children of Siberian hamlets, as well as those among the villages of the Caucasus and around the Black Sea, were trained at home, at school, in church, to guard and cherish "the light within." They found the moral law written upon their hearts long before Emmanuel Kant discovered it — after the tedious process of eliminating pure reason. They heard their parents explain the law they lived by, and they saw daily conduct in strict accord with the teachings of the Sabbath. Peace, industry and marvellous intellectual energy prevailed in Russia.

So fared Russia in the year 1715, under William Penn. What splendid conquests, I thought, this country will have made in another hundred years! In two hundred years, by means of precept and example, it will have banished poverty, if not sin, from Europe and America, and its political constitution, embodying ethics and religion, will prevail wherever men and women live and love, suffer and die.

And as the glory of the vision grew before my inward eye I woke to find myself in the last decade of the nineteenth century, in Boston, Mass., July, 1894. It is about the time appointed by my dream for the final triumph of Penn's laws of peace and justice, for the full brightness of the light within, for the fullest, richest note of human happiness. I take up the morning paper and I see the first page packed with details of the labor struggle in Chicago. The militia and federal troops have been shooting down workmen who have been guilty of the unpardonable sin —

guilty of combining to procure wages for themselves and their fellows upon which self-respecting men can subsist. And in a special despatch to another paper I see that a few days ago in Southern Russia the peasants threw themselves before the prancing horses of the soldiers, preferring death under iron hoofs to the lingering torture of starvation. And my dream was not true. Peter and Penn have been dead for nearly two centuries, and to-day the loud blast of war is being heard as close to the Delaware as to the Danube.

# A WOMAN IN THE CAMP: A CHRISTMAS SKETCH.

---

BY HAMLIN GARLAND.

---

MARTHA HOLLAND was a bride of less than six months when she received her marching orders from her husband. She was requested to join him at Masinee. Her heart was very heavy when she took her seat on the sleigh laden with camp supplies and utensils. She knew it meant a long separation from her parents and her young friends, and she looked back at the group at the gate with eyes blurred with tears.

The country grew wilder as they went north. The pine woods thickened and all signs of cultivation disappeared. The road grew at last to be merely a winding track in the sun-bright snow. It grew silent. At times when the bells on the horses' harness were still, nothing could be heard but the wind's low snarl in the pines, or the crackling of a twig settling under the snow. The camps drew farther apart, and to the young wife it seemed as if the world were being left behind.

At the Falls Holland met her, and she felt a shock of disagreeable surprise at his rough look.

"O Henry! What makes you wear a beard? I *don't* like it."

He laughed. "Too much trouble to shave, Mattie. You'll like it after you get used to it. Are you tired?" he asked with abrupt tenderness.

"Yes. How far is it yet?"

He pointed to the fine, rounded outline of Old Masinee. "We'll soon be there."

They moved off on the widening trail which cut across the elbow made by the river. The sun grew low, and faint clouds drew across it, and the wind strengthened. The bells jingled pleasantly, and the drivers shouted from sleigh to sleigh, but they could not soften the effect of the wilderness over the young wife.

They came at last upon the camp. It consisted of three little, low log huts; one for teams, one for the men, the other for the kitchen. A little creek ran by, deeply smothered in snow.

A dozen red-shirted men came pouring out of the large hut and raised a shout of welcome. They looked rough and wild to

Mattie, but they swarmed cheerily about the team, unhitching, and unloading the furniture.

A tall old man came forward to say, —

“We’re mighty glad to see you, madame.”

“You bet we are,” said two or three others. “We’ve had a hard scuffle with Ralph’s cooking.”

“Mattie, Miller, my partner.”

Mattie shook hands with Miller, whose round, smiling face she liked at once.

“And this is your headquarters,” continued her husband, throwing open the door of the other shanty. She walked in and looked about. At one end was a small kitchen partitioned off with rough boards. A broken old stove was roaring with heat there.

“Here’s where we eat,” said Ralph.

The central portion of the room was the dining room. It had a long table running along the middle with benches at each side. It was lighted now with candles, and on the table were some tin plates and some rough knives and forks.

“And this is your room,” Henry said, opening the door into another apartment at the opposite end of the long hut.

It was a rude place to bring a bride. The walls of logs were plastered with mud. The dresser was a board nailed to the wall. The partition had large cracks and knot holes in it, and the apartment was bare of even a bed. A lump rose in the wife’s throat. She looked away for a moment at the window, with a set look in her eyes as she crowded down her discontent. The men swarmed in noisily, bringing the bedstead and chairs and the few little things which had been given the young couple at their wedding.

She stood by while Henry and Miller arranged the bed and hung up the little looking glass. Then she unpacked her trunk and got out her extra dresses and her brush and comb, trying all the while to conceal the tears which dripped down upon her hands.

After Ralph went back to the cooking and Miller returned to the barn, she broke down and wailed, —

“O Henry, I can’t stand it here — I just know I can’t.”

He sat down by her side on the trunk. He was not a rough man, and he was touched.

“Now, now! Don’t cry, Martha, you’re tired and homesick. You’ll feel all right when you get up to-morrow morning.”

She sat passively while he went all over the ground again. “You know it saves us a lot of money, Mattie, and if we buy that farm next spring we’ll need every cent, and besides it makes it easier for me and Chubb.”

"Oh, but it's all so lonesome!"

"Lonesome! with fourteen men around? Well, now, it'll be lively enough when you get cooking for 'em. You won't have time to get lonesome."

She said nothing more, but sat dumbly there while the men swarmed in and ate the evening meal with much clatter of tin dishes and very little talk.

"Where's the woman, Hank?" some of them asked. "Ain't she goin' to set at the head o' the table to-night?"

"She's tired," he apologized. "She don't feel like coming out." He added, "You'll see her in the morning; she'll cook your breakfast for you."

The shrill yell which greeted the good news brought Mattie to her feet in terror.

"Three cheers for the woman who bosses the boss."

"Ralph, you're '*aus ker spielt*.'"

Their loud laughter did not provoke a smile in Mattie's eyes. She lay down on her bed and cried. She was only a girl of eighteen, and this was so far away from her modest little romance.

It was dark when she heard her husband call her. She started up in alarm. "What is it, Henry?"

"Four o'clock, time to get up. Wake up, don't you know where you are?" He shook her gently.

She remembered, and struggled slowly awake. It seemed like the middle of the night. Faint streaks of light came across the room, but they were from the candles in the dining room. She heard a strange sound, a snarling, humming, roaring sound; it was the wind in the pines. She dressed hurriedly, for it was very cold, and when she came out into the kitchen she was not yet awake. Her feet stumbled and her eyes dreamed.

Old Ralph, with his red arms bare to the elbow, was laying huge slices of beef in a great dripping pan.

"Good morning, cap'n," he said to her. "I'll just absquatulate" —

"No, no, please go on. I don't know anything about what to do."

"You've cooked for harvest hands and threshing crews?" he asked.

"Yes, yes, often."

"Well, just figure on a threshing crew of twenty-eight hungry men, and you'll have the needs of our gang of fourteen."

She watched him while he fried the steak and filled the tea pots and took up the beans and biscuits. At last he took down the horn and blew a short snort. There was heard a scuffle, a shout, and the men tumbled into the room like a herd of steers

into a cornfield. They ducked their heads at her as they passed; one or two said "Good morning" as if the effort were colossal.

She helped about the table, and the struggle was soon ended. They scraped in the beans, caught up some chunks of brown bread, heaved up the tin cups full of tea, and shoved back and disappeared with slow mutter of laughter.

Miller and her husband came in a little later and sat down to eat with her. They ate almost as swiftly and silently as the others and then Henry said, —

"Ralph will stay and help you get dinner, Mattie; and try and get the hang of things to-day, so I can have Ralph to-morrow," and then they rose and went out. It was not yet light, and the wind was roaring in the tree tops. There was something terrible in this grim dawn.

Old Ralph showed her the various boxes and barrels of food, and how to coax the stove, and how to wash the tin dishes wholesale, and many other things which long experience at dodging work had taught the male cooks.

At noon the men came tumbling in again with appetites like wolves. It was very hot and close in the little kitchen, where the meat sizzled and the potatoes steamed. Ralph was kindly and patient in all things, even taking her suggestions about cleaning up things with great composure.

Once or twice during the afternoon Henry pulled rein to shout just for the pleasure of seeing her come to the door. Occasionally some driver could be heard singing, but mainly all sounds were lost in the snarling moan of the pines.

At night, after supper, the men went into their own quarters. Henry and Chubb worried over some figures and the record of the logs, while Mattie and Ralph did up the evening work.

This came to be the regular routine of the camp life. On the second morning Old Ralph shouldered an ax and went out with the rest of the men, and Henry said, —

"Well, Mattie, you're chief cook and bottle-washer now. If you need any help, just blow on that horn there and we'll come. If you toot twice, we'll know you want something mighty bad and we'll come a-whoopin'."

All about was the wilderness of pine and tamarack, laden with snow. By day all was silent, save the click-clack of the axes and the perpetual moan of the pines. At night the wolves and owls and wild cats awoke and uttered voice. Mattie drew close to her husband then and was glad to hear the noisy laughter of the men. But in the early dawn, just after the men took their way into the dark woods-roads, or at night, just before they came to camp, she felt the wilderness like a visible presence marching in upon her. This feeling came upon her with terrible force the

third morning. It was cold and cloudy. The wind roared through the pines like a mighty river washing a pebbly beach; its grinding snarl was intolerably desolate and pitiless.

She seized the long tin horn which hung by the door, but the thought of her husband stopped her. What could she say in explanation?

This was her life. Up every morning at four o'clock to cook cakes and steak for the ever hungry men. Then two or three lonely hours of cleaning up the camp, then the attack upon dinner. A noisy, hearty quarter of an hour of dinner, and then silence again and the voice and presence of the pines.

## II.

As it drew toward Christmas, Mattie grew childishly eager to go home. She had never been away from home so long before. Her desperation made her brave to the point of saying, —

"Henry, I want to go home for a week."

"I don't see how we can spare you, Mattie."

"But I can't stand it here any longer, it's so lonesome."

"O nonsense! with a crew of fourteen men around! If you'd settle your mind to staying here you'd be all right." There was a note of impatience in his voice.

Mattie's throat filled up and she stammered, "You don't think of me, how hard I work and how lonesome it is for me."

"Oh, for heaven's sake, don't snivel about it," he said irritably. "Of course, it's hard work. We all have to work hard; that's what we come up here for. I can't spare Ralph just when the sleighing is the best. There may be a January thaw, and I want to finish up the lumbering business this winter." As he talked his voice grew softer, but he could not take away the effect of his harsh, contemptuous tone. Mattie heard him in silence, with set face wet with tears. She had supposed he could never speak to her like that.

Sunday was a noisy day. The men played games and sang, or went hunting. They ate if anything more than on week days, and Mattie was busy most of the day. It was on Monday morning that she made up her mind to an epic deed.

"I'm going home," she said with determined straightening of the lips.

As soon as it was light, she put on her warmest clothes and cautiously opened the door to be sure none of the teams were in sight. It was a dazzlingly bright winter morning. The roads curved away into the forest, deep furrows in the almost unstained snow. The sun threw a golden sheen over it and stained it with purple shadows. The trees were silent; everywhere the frost glittered. The ring of the axes was very distinct to her ear, so

still were the pines. It was like setting boat into unknown waters, but her resolution did not waver now. She closed the door behind her and started on her long and lonely walk to Masinee to take the stage.

Up on the hillside the swampers' axes rang, the sleighs creaked to and fro, the trees crashed falling steadily, the logs rumbled on the skid-ways, the oxen snailed the logs out of the tangle of boughs, the saws rang, and the hearty voices of the men made up the pleasant jangle of sounds the logging crew enjoys.

Henry called to Ralph, "Say, Ralph, what time have you? My watch must be fast."

"Twelve-thirty."

"That's what mine says. I wonder what's the matter with the woman. I guess I'll go up and see."

"Guess you better. The boys have been looking at the sun for an hour, and my stomach's been saying 'cub-berd' for some time."

Henry went up the road to the shanty feeling that something had bothered and delayed Mattie, but when he saw the chimney — there was no curling smoke this time — he quickened his pace to a run. She was sick perhaps — or an accident! He dashed the door open. The room was silent and cold. He called as he ran into her room. He stood there astonished, confused. His mind reasoned upon the cold stove, the orderly room. She had been gone since morning, that was evident. What had happened to her?

He seized the horn and blew two blasts upon it, then ran to the stable and down to the spring looking for footprints. The men came rushing down out of the woods. Their voices clamored in his ear almost before he knew it.

"What's the matter?"

"My wife is gone," he said.

"Gone where?"

"I don't know. Something must be the matter with her. See if you can find her tracks."

They bent to the ground, but the road was so hard her steps did not show for some distance. At last they found them. She had hurried down the road.

"She's gone to Masinee."

"She's gone to catch the stage," said Ralph.

"What makes you think so?"

"She asked me a few days ago when the stage left, and I told her about ten. She's on her way to her mother's."

Henry thought for a moment. Then his rage flamed out. "I'll bring her back." The men moved away a little. "Ralph, go back and get dinner. I'll go after her."



"No use now, Hank," said Chubb. "She's on the stage. You can't get off till to-morrow. Keep cool now. She's all right."

"I'm going, anyway," the husband said. "I can't stay here till I know where she is," and he started off down the road on the run.

"Come back and take a horse," yelled Chubb, but he kept on.

"The woman got homesick," said Ralph. "I don't blame her much. She's only a girl anyway, and Christmas comin' on."

### III.

As Mattie entered the door the Adams family rose up from the table with a clamor of expletives.

"Well, for Peter's sake!" "Where'd you drop from, Mattie?" exclaimed her mother and sisters.

"Home for Christmas?" asked her father.

"I'm here to stay," she sobbed, as she ran to her mother's broad bosom to hide her face.

"Had a row, Matt?" asked her sister, Nettie.

"N-no, I've just—just run away; I couldn't stand it any longer."

"Well, there, there! Don't bother about it now. Nettie, put on a clean plate. Sam, stir up some fire and heat some tea. I s'pose it was hard work."

"I didn't mind that, but it was so lonesome, and I wanted to see you." Again her head went down on that sheltering breast.

"There—there! Wanted to see her ol' mammie; course you did, and it's all right. Now don't 'oo worry, there—there!" She patted the hysterical girl on the back and made little soothing sounds to her. At last the runaway sat down to supper with tear-stained cheeks and ate heartily.

"I'm awful glad you've come, Mattie. We're goin' to have a dance here to-morrow night."

"What 'd you run away fer? Wouldn't he let you come?"

"No. He said he couldn't spare me."

"How 'd you get down here?"

She set her cup down and distress came back into her face. "I had to steal"—

"Good land o' mercy! Well, Marthy Adams, you *are* doing things—Stole—who of?"

"Him."

Mrs. Adams was relieved. "Oh, well, I guess you earned it. How much 'd you take?"

"Ten dollars and seventy cents. All there was in his wallet."

"Well, did you ever! Hiram Adams, do you hear what your daughter is a-tellin' of?"

"I do, mother, but I ain't responsible. She always took after you."

Mrs. Adams rose up in wrath. "Well, I never! Do you accuse me of being a thief?"

"Oh no, of course not," he replied. "You never had any chance. I never had ten dollars to steal."

They all laughed and sat down to the table to enjoy a meal together.

It seemed so good to get home again. The light, the dishes, the homely smells, the clock ticking on the shelf, the cat by the stove, all the homely things which had been so dear since childhood, every figure in the tidies, every stripe on the wall — everything was dear and sweet.

Well, she would not leave it again. Of course, all was over with her and Henry. He would never forgive her, and she would live here sadly and quietly for the rest of her life.

But she went to sleep beside Nettie, while Nettie was telling her about Ned Peasley.

#### IV.

She was dancing the next evening. It was about nine o'clock. She was quite gay and girlish as she threaded the figure of the dance. She was, in fact, laughing heartily at Peasley, who danced like a negro at times to please the girls, when the door opened and her husband looked in.

Henry pushed the door open and entered slowly, with a gloomy face. He looked dangerous. His face was uncouth with beard. His coat was faded and his gray shirt collarless. His brows were drawn down sharply over his keen eyes.

"Stop the dance! Where's that wife o' mine?" he asked.

A gurgle of outcry followed.

"Hello, Hank!"

"Just in time."

"She's here."

The dancers fell away from the young wife and she stood confronting him, pale and silent. He looked at her, his arms folded sullenly.

"I want that wife o' mine," he said, advancing toward where she stood dazed, uncertain what to do.

"O Henry, I didn't mean" —

He caught her by her outstretched arms and drew her to him. His face blossomed into a smile of loverlike joy.

"Go ahead with y'r darned old fiddle," he said. "I've got a pardner."

And in the clamor of questions and gurgle of laughter, the fiddle had difficulty in making the measure of "Honest John" heard.

# A "FIN-DE-SIÈCLE" VISION.

---

BY MARGARET STEWART SIBLEY.

---

WITH glory and honors of proudest achievement,  
The century rounds to its ending fast;  
And yet somehow, despite the lives that are saintly,  
A shadow of gloom is over it cast!  
And we think if the Man from Nazareth lowly  
Were to come to dwell on the earth again —  
Preaching and teaching, with the multitude mingling,  
Beholding the ways of these modern men;

Should He go where the sons of luxury, idling,  
Kill time with the costliest, newest "fad,"  
Or enter the "sweater's" great palace, gilded  
With gold he has ground from some woman sad;  
Should He watch the curious and diverse methods  
Of our gambling in complex, baffling guise,  
Or the riddle unsolved of wages and labor, —  
Could we meet the gaze of His searching eyes?

In a search for the "ten that are righteous," mayhap,  
Though He chanced on a steward truly just,  
Would He call for a scourge to drive from the temple  
Nine others combined in the latest trust?  
In the shop or field, on the street, in the churches,  
Should He seek for the Golden Rule He taught,  
Would He marvel somewhat how we've missed the spirit  
Of Love, since the letter alone is aught?

Should He see the toil-worn, old faces of children,  
Where the tireless spindle noisily hums,  
The baby-fingers gravely plucking the bastings,  
Or the nameless horror of city slums;  
Then, noting our wonderful growth and progress,  
Our knowledge of arts and sciences new,  
Would He think it strange, why are passing, repassing,  
An army of men with nothing to do?

And if gladly the weary should stop to hear Him,  
As after a drought is the cool rain drank,  
While He tenderly spake of the great commandment,  
Would this *fin-de-siècle* vote Him a — crank?

Strange vision ! The land is filled full with the harvest—  
Hungry men look for the morrow with dread;  
Our hearts swell with pride of our civilization—  
God! hear that piteous crying for bread!

O brothers! hear ye that sad cry of the toilers,  
That constantly goeth up unto God?  
He giveth His bounty and we are but stewards;  
Pray ye that His mercy spare chastening rod!  
America! hope of the down-trodden peoples,  
Whose glory the nations are pressing to see,  
Make haste, from thy 'scutcheon, to cleanse blot and staining;  
In deed and in truth, be the land of the free!

# CINCH: A CHRISTMAS STORY.

BY WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE.

THE air was full of plaintive suggestiveness: drifting leaves, bursting burs, the startled scurry of a rabbit through the crisping brown grasses. Autumn in the mountains, Nature's time to die. A haze lay upon the river, the old Indian-loved Himassee, and veiled the finer line of mountains rising above Sweetwater Valley. Higher up, where the road lay along the rim of the mountain, overlooking the valley, a rider had drawn rein, and sat gazing down into the mist-enwrapped silence in a sort of fascination that seemed almost to illumine his bearded, sunbrowned face.

"Well," said he, rousing from his reverie, "it is pretty. It has growed more prettier since I been gone, danged if it ain't. Lord, Lord, but if I haven't a-thirsted for it, as that there Texas parson useter say, 'like rain in a dry an' thirsty land.' The very sight of it's coolin', blamed if it ain't. An' if yonder ain' Sugar Creek friskin' along same 's ever to the Himassee. Well, well, well!"

He had been absent eight years, yet the fact that nature had stood still during the interval of his own varied wanderings filled him with surprise. It was like meeting, after years of separation and silence, a friend, and finding his friendly heart unchanged.

"Now I *do* wonder if old man Stampes cabin is still standin' over yonder on the side o' the Knob where it useter stand. I'm good mind to ride down there an' see; they're blood kin to me, an' if the old folks are gone maybe that triflin', liquor-lovin' Jerry's livin' there. An' if I ain't forgot more'n I think, I know a nigher cut to the house than the big road. Oh, but it is pretty, the mountains is; an' I've missed 'em, oh, I've missed 'em might'ly!"

He had fallen to dreaming again, his keen dark eye passing from peak to peak, sighting Cardwells, Chilhowee and Frog Mountains. The sound of a horn, long, winding, melodious, among the rocky steeps, aroused him; he shook himself, as if the witchery of dreaming had been a material bulk, to be thrown off at will.

"Well, I must be mosin' on; Christmas'll be comin' along here by an' by," he declared.

Giving the reins a jerk that turned the horse's head eastward, he struck off into one of the little cattle trails with which the mountains abound, laughing the while like a boy to discover how

well he remembered the old parts. For two miles he rode on; silent, after the manner of the mountaineers. Only once, in the woods upon his right hand his sharp eye detected a buckeye tree, and instantly he rode his horse under the freighted, low-drooping limbs.

"The best luck in the world, findin' a buckeye tree is," he told himself, and gathering two of the largest buckeyes he could reach, slipped them into his pocket and rode on, back by the cattle trail in the direction of Stamps' cabin on the Knob.

In a little while the woods broke away; the whole earth seemed to lie at his feet bathed in sunshine and carpeted with purple and scarlet and bright gold. He found himself upon one of those odd elevations, neither hill nor mountain, which across the Carolina line are known as balds, but upon the Tennessee side go by the no more euphonious name of knobs. Almost at his very feet stood a house, a weather-worn log cabin of the primitive build—a room on either side, with a broad, open passage between; a shed room in the rear, an ash hopper so near that it gave the impression of being a part of the house. A group of gnarled old cedars brushed their dark boughs in a kind of rhythmic time against the gray-boarded roof.

In the open passage, in the full glare of sunlight, a woman was sitting. She was busily at work upon a piece of sewing that lay in a white heap, its coarseness concealed by distance, upon her knees, and had not noticed the stranger's approach. The face attracted him strangely; there was a cameo delicacy about the pretty, pinched features, and the October sunshine made a warm sheen in the brown-red hair. The face, the attitude, the half suggestion of weariness in the slightly drooping figure, even the gold-red tints in her hair, all were in keeping with the overcolored death abroad in the hills. The strange man studied the picture intently while his horse went down the little bridle path to the gate, his hoofs giving out only a soft rustle among the dry grasses. To his restless, wandering heart it was like heaven; the restful sweetness of the sad young face might have belonged to the face of a cherub. She stood out in contrast against his own turbulent nature as the quiet of the mountains contrasted with the wild western life to which for eight homeless, danger-crammed years he had given himself. As he drew nearer the horse set his foot upon a loose stone; the woman gave a little start and looked.

"Good mornin'," said he over the rickety gate. "Is this where the Stampses live?"

"Yes, Jerry Stamps lives here," was the reply. "Leastways," she went on quickly, "he calls this home: *mostly* he's to be found at the settlement on the mount'n."

He did not in the least understand her meaning, though he detected the reproach in her voice, and noted the quick attempt to conceal the rash and too ready complaint in a show of hospitality. "Won't you-uns light an' come in? Jerry'll be along befo' long, an' while you're waitin' I'll knock you up a snack fur yer dinner."

There are moments that come to all when fate stands at the elbow of life ready to take advantage of the next step made; fateful moments we call them, and looking back upon them through the smoke and dust of after years we can trace all life, for shade or shine, from the step taken at one of these moments. It was such a moment with him; he hesitated — saw her get up and go into the room at the left of the passage. She was hiding her sewing, the odd little heap of domestic; he did not know that she tucked it carefully under the bolster of her bed, blushing scarlet while she drew the bolster securely over it.

When she went back he had dismounted and was coming down the little pretense of a walk to the door. He was well dressed; to her, accustomed only to the coarse jeans of the mountains, he was royally clad. A gaudily prominent chain depended from his watch pocket; in the bosom of a white shirt three golden studs shone lustrously. For a moment she felt almost timid. But the mountaineer is ever king of his own domain, ever the hospitable host.

"Haden't you better put yer horse critter up?" she asked, the red still tinging her cheeks. In the eyes lifted to his he saw the liquid lights come and go with vitalizing warmth.

"No'm," said he. "I'll just set a bit if you don't mind, and wait for Jerry. Thank you, ma'm, but I can get my own cheer."

He dropped into the shuck-bottomed chair with a lightness that seemed to her young experience the perfection of all grace. It brought back the fair days of her own first sweet youth, not long gone, when she had tripped lightly over the puncheon floors to the tune of "Rollin' River," in faraway Sequatchee Valley.

She seated herself upon the doorstep, in the sunlight, and he saw the warm sheen return to the pretty gold-red hair, coiled girlishly upon the shapely small head. For a moment both were silent; he with wonder at the luck that had dropped him down in the company of the very girl, he told himself, that he had "travelled earth over to find."

"Pretty country hereabouts," he found voice to say at last.

"Hit's fair," was the uncertain reply. "I ain't keerin' fur the mount'n country much. I'm valley-born. I'm a S'quatchee Valley gal."

There was the familiar drawling sweetness in her voice that had tracked and trailed his memory like a sleuth hound in all

his weary wanderings, in his yearnings for home, and had driven him back at last, homesick, heart-hungry for the scenes that had surrounded his first manhood. He talked only enough to keep her talking — the voice was heaven's music to him.

"Yer horse looks like it might 'a' come a tolerble fur ride," she said, with a glance at the wind-blown, foam-flecked animal fastened to the low fence palings.

"He ain't come so far; he's just from Cleveland down here; he wasn't much shakes of a horse to begin with," he told her.

"Air you-uns from Cleveland?"

"Texas."

"From *whar*?"

Had he said from paradise she would not have been more surprised. Texas — that far-off myth-land of the mountaineer. He laughed aloud at her wonder; he knew that to the people of her class Texas was the limitation of all distance — almost of all life, indeed.

"Lord, I know just how you feel," he declared. "I useter feel the same way till I went there, eight year ago. Now it ain't no more than a canter over inter Kintucky or Alabama. Still," he modified, "lookin' at it one way it seems a good bit off, too. When a fellow got homesick it seemed like the tag end o' creation. And I always was homesick; I always wanted to come back. I'm mount'n born. Me an' Jerry useter play together — played marbles in this very yard; and when we got bigger we fished together in Sugar Creek many a time; to say nothin' of Himassee River. And I just couldn't forgit it. I was always hankerin' for the mount'ns."

The wonder in her eyes gave place to incredulity. "'Pears to me," she declared, "as I'd shake the dust of 'em off mighty quick if *I* could git ter Texas."

Poor, pretty young thing; there was a wound somewhere in the young heart that could not quite hush its plaining. He set himself to find it, to learn the nature of the wound, after which he would look to a remedy.

"Would you like to go to Texas?" he asked. "It's mighty far an' lonesome."

"*Would* I? Lonesome? Psher! *I* know lonesome."

There was no need of further words. "*I* know lonesome." That expressed it all, the longing and helpless loneliness of her life. He felt its narrowness and pitied her — he who had seen Texas.

"Yet," he said, as though following out his own thought, "it's lonesome; a body can't content hisse'f to love the levels when he's once knowed the mount'ns. You'd be honin' for the hills again in no time. You'd soon be sorrowin' for Tennessee. You'd die out there for the sight of a *tree*."



"Sorrer ain't killin'." There was knowledge, founded upon experience, in the simple declaration. "Sorrer ain't killin'. If it ware the graveyards 'ud be full."

She lifted her eyes to the distant peaks; the little complaint seemed to have been made to them, the veiled, unresponsive hills, rather than to him. Yet his large, man's heart went out to her in sympathy. To her, trouble was imaginary, of course. She was too young to have felt the real fangs of it; yet, to youth, pain is pain, whether it be real or fancied, and so he pitied her, felt for her, wondered what petty, girlish grief had unblinded her young eyes to the heavy truth that sorrow doesn't kill.

"Do you live here?" Even as he asked the question he remembered that she had said she was "a Sequatchee Valley girl." Her reply quite startled him.

"Yes, I live here, of course. I am Jerry Stampses' wife."

"Which?"

He had supposed her a young girl, a visitor perhaps, perhaps a kinswoman; at most a poor girl earning a home for herself by working out, among friends.

"I'm Jerry's wife" — he scarcely heard her — "married better'n a year ago. If you don't believe it, look" — She had begun to unbutton the wristband of her sleeve; there was a bitter note in her voice, a hard line about the mouth that should have known only girlish gladness. A moment she hesitated, pushed the sleeve slightly up, hesitated again, then as though ashamed of her impetuous confidence, drew it quickly down, buttoned the band again, and laughed.

A harsh, mirthless laugh it was, that made him shudder, and think of a young fellow the cowboys had hung one night. He was a young fellow just come out from Kentucky, and brave as the bravest. A belt, containing money, belonging to one of the gang, was missing; they had searched for it for three days, and finally charged the Kentucky lad with having stolen it. Proud, hotblooded and defiant, he had sworn he would die before he would submit to being searched. And they had hung him; they had not really intended to hang him, only, they said, "to scare the little fool into measures." Instead of being frightened when they led the pony under a limb and adjusted the rope about his neck, he had *laughed*, and flung himself from the saddle; cheating them, he had believed, of their triumph. The next day the belt was found where the owner had secreted and then forgotten it. And in the dead boy's trunk they found a little diary, kept in a boy's unformed hand. There were pages and pages of impassioned nonsense; then came other pages of wild ravings because of *some* one's falseness; the wild determination to run away, go West and be a cowboy. But it was the last entry had

caught and held their hearts: "*Life holds for me no hope so sweet as that of laying it down.*" After all, they had but given him that which he sought — death.

And this girl-wife of Jerry Stamps had recalled to him the young martyr. She, too, had learned that laying life down is not always its hardest feature.

She drew her sleeve down, holding it fast, lest the inclination to disloyal confidence return with irresistible force.

"Shucks!" she exclaimed, when the silence began to grow embarrassing, "it ain't anything. An' yonder comes Jerry down the road. I can't see him yet, but I can hear his horse. 'Thar ain't another horse critter in this country comes gallivantin' down the mount'n like Jerry Stampses. I reckon Jerry must 'a' scented comp'ny an' come home; it couldn't 'a' been dinner he smelt fur I ain't tetched it, more'n ter put on the punkin."

She went back into the shedroom leaving him to make his own introduction to her husband; though, as for that, he had forgotten to tell her who he was and why he had called. He had cared only for *her* story; his own appeared as nothing against the pretty misery of hers. He wanted to tell her not to bother with getting dinner for him, but she had not given him the opportunity; already he could hear her among the pots and pans, and already the man of the house was coming across the yard.

The visitor rose, hat in hand, and stood waiting. A moment and the tall, slender figure of Jerry Stamps cast its gigantic shadow upon the floor. A bloated, reckless face, a boyish face despite the marks of dissipation, met his. The two regarded each other intently, before the stranger extended his hand, and with a low, chuckling laugh said: —

"Howdy, Jerry — if you haven't forgot old friends and kin-folks."

"Bob Binder, or I'll be blowed," exclaimed Stamps. "Whar'd yer come from, what made yer stay so long, an' how long ware yer gittin' here? If this don't beat my time! Settin' here gossippin just like yer useter do eight year ago. Whar'd yer come from, anyhow?"

"Texas."

"Texas? Hell, yer better say; thar ain't no sech great differ'nce as *I* can make out. Had yer dinner?"

"No, but it's no matter. Don't let your wife go to any trouble for *me*."

"Waal, she may go ter a little fur me, then: I'm hungrier'n a b'ar. Hurry up thar, Belle; thar ain't but twenty-fo' hours in a day."

He dropped down upon the step where his wife had sat, and from the kitchen Isabel could hear their talk; now low and

reminiscent, now merrily resonant with some gay experience of the western plain. Once when her husband's laugh echoed through the passage, she paused in the work of slicing potatoes for the frying skillet, and drew up her sleeve. A bluish, sullen looking bruise shone revealed against the pink-white flesh. The laughter seemed to have set the wound to stinging.

"I ware about ter show him *that*," she sobbed, "ter prove ter him I ware a lawful wife. Any fool ud know a woman wouldn't take a lick like that off any but her husband. No other man have the right ter so bruise her." Alas! that man should so mistake his privileges. Her tears fell softly, unchecked; the bitterest of them were for the reflection that she was a wife of but one year.

"Been cow-boyin'?" Her husband's voice drowned the soft sound of her sobbing.

"Some."

How restful this new tone that had come into her life for a moment. And how pleasant the unspoken sympathy she had recognized in his eyes searching out her sorrow—how temptingly, ruinously pleasant.

"I had a ranch for three year, out on the Rio Grande, an' made myse'f a bit of a start. Then I went to San Antony an' Houston an' Dallis. Saw a bit o' the world."

"What business d' ye foller all that time? Must 'a' done some-thin', jedgin' from the size o' yer watch chain."

There was a moment's silence before her husband's laugh again reached the ears of the woman in the kitchen. "I see," said he. "Been suckin' of yer paws, I reckon. What the hell fetched ye back here? Anybody as can make money, buy gold chains an' store clothes, an' can see the sights o' the world, ter come a-mosin' back here amongst hedgehogs an' screech owels air pretty bad off fur gumption, that all."

"Wall," said Binder, "as I was tellin' your wife, I got homesick."

"'Homesick,' hell!"

"Fell to hankerin' after the mount'n; the run of water in a laurel thicket; the feel of a bowlder under my feet; the sight of a tree."

"Did, eh? Told Is'bel that? I'll be boun' *she* didn't respon' ter no sech slack jaw as that. Said *she'd* like ter git a chance ter see Texas; *she'd* like ter git foot loose o' Tennessee sile one time. Oh, I know Belle."

"I tell you, Jerry," the visitor quietly ignored the outbreak, "I have laid 'wake nights in the corral long o' the horses, with the stars shinin' down on me, that lonesome that I actually *cried*. Cried for the sound o' the wary wind in the tops of a Tennessee

cedar, man as I was. Think of it: long level miles o' land, nothin' *but* land, and wavin' grass that made your brain rock; sunshine until your very eyeballs blistered. Then nights so still you could a'most hear your own ghost go by; moonlight so constant an' so bright, it reminded you of them midnight suns you've heard tell of off yonder in Norway somewhers. Why it's most daylight on them Texas prairies before the moon goes down. An' fires — great God! they swoop down an' skit across them prairies, an' sweep your ranch off the face o' the earth in half a minute. Nuthees, chills, tarantulas, horse thieves: that's Texas."

"An' what air Tennessee?" demanded Stamps. "A bed o' rock; a chenk o' mount'ns, with ribs o' iron an' belly o' coal that's bought up in a lump by the rich syndicates, who set the pore ter work it at a dollar a day, an' a passel o' stinkin' convicts fur comp'ny. A little runt of a cornfiel' now'n then over which state an' gov'mint air wras'lin' like the devil; what's the gallon o' whiskey come ter after state an' gov'mint have had their pull at the kaig? Sometimes the kaig air left fur the owner o' the cornfiel', but more of'n he gits the bare cob of a stopper fur his sheer. Taxes an' trusts an' syndicates an' booms an' starvation; that's Tennessee. Damned if hell ain't healthier, or Texas either."

How different to the other, the wanderer from home. The injustice of the accusation hurt him to his very soul. His voice, even, when he repudiated the calumny, had a softer tone; unconsciously he fell into the dialect of his people, which he had lost among his western associates.

"She ware allus mighty sweet ter me," he declared. "Tennessee ware allus home ter me, Texas or no Texas. I honed fur her like a man hones fur his wife an' babies. Why, once when I ware cowboyin' it out on the Rio Grande I rid thirty mile ter hear a Metherdis' preach, beca'se he allus preached about the mount'n. I didn't tell the boys — they'd 'a' laffed me out o' Texas. I lied ter them; told 'em I ware goin' court'n'. But I went ter meet'n', ter hear the old Metherdis' talk about the mount'n. He give out thar that day that Christ allus loved the mount'n might'ly, an' that He useter go off an' lonesome on it, all by Hisse'f. An' seem ter me I knowed percisely how He felt. Whilst he ware talkin' I could see Frog Mount'n, plain as day. An' I got ter honin' fur home till I fell away ter skin an' bone, an' couldn't sleep o' nights. Lord! Lord! I'd a died if I'd knowed I couldn't come back to *they-uns*."

He waved his hand, a kind of salute to the sombre, mist-veiled peaks. His dark, deeply-set eyes kindled with the joy of nearness. Life might offer broader vistas, but none more fair, more dear.

When Isabel called them to dinner, they kept right on with their talk, Jerry ignoring her presence, and she refusing to allow Binder to draw her into the conversation, though she knew that he talked for her. For her were recounted the midnight rides across the prairies, the race from Indians, the capture of wild horses. For her he described the wonderful cities he had visited, the magnificent buildings, museums, theatres, churches. He even attempted a description of the fine women he had seen; and was rewarded with a quick brightening of her eyes, a smile, when he told of their "furbelows an' fine fixin's"; silk skirts that trailed a yard on the floor, and hats loaded with feathers costing, to her simple experience, a small fortune. It was worth a trip to Texas to be able to bring back the smiles to that poor little face.

"How long ye goin' ter stay?" said Stamps. "Long 'nough ter give yer nag a bite, I reckon."

He had not intended stopping for any great time, but the pathetic little face of his cousin's wife, repeating with silent eagerness the question her husband had asked, bewitching him with its unspoken pleading, held him with a fascination as new to him as it was delightful. Was Jerry really unkind to her, he wondered; was he mean, brutal? Or was it neglect alone that had printed that hopelessness in the fair young face? He decided to stay awhile; at all events until he had satisfied himself that she preferred to unravel her life's mystery without his assistance.

"Well," he replied to his cousin's question, "if you've got a spare peg for my hat I'll hang up a day or two. If you haven't I'll stop down ter Uncle Silas Moore's down the valley; or else over to Cleveland."

"Silas fiddlesticks!" said Stamps. "Stay right whar ye air; if ye can put up with pore folks' livin'. I'll hitch up an' go fur yer duds after dinner. Thar's the whole o' the roof-room fur yer, and if that's too cramped thar's the horse lot, an' you can occupy hit, Texas fashion."

He laughed aloud at his own smartness. He was not sorry to have his fine kinsman stay; the latter's nimble tongue and rare experiences rendered him particularly pleasant company.

"I guess I'll choose the roof room," said Binder. "Say, Jerry, what's become o' the old cabin us boys useter sleep in? Useter stand in the front yard."

"Waal, the last time I see that cabin, it ware only yistiddy evenin'; it had been invited ter a back seat, an' ware occupied by as likely a fam'ly o' gopher rats as ye ever set eyes on. The ole man gopher ware settin' on the do'step pickin' his teeth with a cedar splinter, an' a-jawin' at the ole 'oman fit ter kill."

"Is the door locked?"

"Locked? Who'd ye 'spect ter lock it? It ain't been locked sence Bragg busted of it open, endurin' of the war, ter git we-uns' meat out fur the rebels ter feed on. Locked; *I* say!"

He got up, pushed his chair back and crammed his hat down upon his long, tangled hair.

"Look after Bob's nag, Belle," he said to his wife. "I'm goin' ter hitch up the wagon."

"I can attend to my own horse," Binder interposed. "An' I'd rather go for my trunk, too. There's valu'bles in it."

"Valu'bles?" laughed Stamps. "Paw suckin' must pay out in Texas. Can't yer put a feller on ter yer tricks? Come, Bob, now what game did yer play?"

"We played cinch. I'll learn you how to play if you want."

A teacup slipped from Isabel's hands and crashed into a dozen pieces upon the hard puncheon floor. Had Stamps been an interpreter of the human countenance he must have seen the pleading in the glance his wife gave their guest. But Stamps saw nothing but the fascination of a new game of chance, and with the gambler's greed he was ready to seize upon it. He slipped his arm through Binder's, and the two walked off together — gamblers both to the heart's core.

When Binder's trunk had been put away in the spare room, and Isabel had cleared away the supper things, by the light of a dripping tallow candle they had their first game of cinch. It was a four-handed game, but Binder explained that it could be played with two dummy hands until Stamps could become acquainted with it.

"Then we'll play with the boys at the settlement, maybe. Unless Cousin Belle here'd like to take a hand."

A pallor crept over the face lifted for a moment from the sewing upon her lap, and she got up quickly to leave the room.

"I ain't playin' o' no cards *myse'f*," she said, "an' thar'd never be none played in *my* house — *if* I had a house."

"Pity ye ain't got none," Stamps retorted, as the door closed upon her.

It was an every night thing. Jerry resented Isabel's opposition to the game as an insult to his guest, and at last she learned to be silent. He even forced her to sit by while they two played. He gave no further heed to her, however, and was ignorant that she paled and flushed, trembled and quaked under the steady, searching eyes of the man calling himself a Texan. Not that she was afraid of him: it was herself she feared; her own poor, starved little heart, aching and breaking with its own desolation. His eyes were full of the unspoken sympathy her life yearned for; she had but to respond once to the glance she dared not

interpret, in order to have the wild, passionate devotion her girlhood had dreamed of, her wifehood missed, poured at her feet. He understood her thoroughly, and while he played to the husband's passion he played upon the wife's loneliness. True, at times a great pity for her would spring up in his heart; and more than once, while the beautiful Indian summer drifted into desolate winter, he resolved to go away and leave her to work out the riddle of neglected wifehood as neglected wives must, alone. Then her sweet face would beam upon him, and he would declare that it was for her good that he stayed on; for her good that he was opening to her profligate young husband another road to ruin. He saw her growing whiter, frailer, more silent every day; and thought how upon the warm, sunflooded prairies of Texas his love would woo the roses back to the thin cheeks, the smiles to the colorless lips. His heart yearned for her, ached to take her away from the daily death she suffered.

She had not been, like her husband, bewildered by his wealth and show. She might have been, had she like him had a craving for such. But she did not; yet had he assailed her chiefest weakness also, her craving for affection. If he could have assured her a taste of the real blessedness of the wifehood that had been her dream and her delusion, she would have followed him to earth's ends.

One afternoon he came upon her as he had seen her first, in the sunshiny passage, the little bundle of sewing upon her knees, her hands folded idly upon the small white heap, her fathomless eyes fixed upon the distant peaks of mountains. Jerry had been off on a drunken spree for three days.

Isabel started, and crushed the coarse domestic under her palms when Binder stopped at her side and stood looking down upon her with that strange, compelling gleam in his eyes.

"Cousin Belle," said he, "this is a mighty hard road you have elected to travel in."

Her bitterness of heart found outlet in words at last: "This here *cinch* o' yours ain't makin' of it any more easier, as *I* can see," she replied.

He placed his hand lightly upon her bright bowed head, stroking the soft waves gently.

"Ain't it, Belle?" he said. "Then I'd ought to go away an' not bother you about it. I meant it for good; I swear it. I meant it, I played it so's I could stay along here an' kind o' look after you, Belle. 'Peared to me you war lonesome. I didn't mean to worry of you, cousin, an' I'll go away if you say so; to-day, *now*."

Without a word, she seized his hand and carried it to her lips, held it there, and burst into tears.



"Never you mind, now," he said, reassuringly. "Don't you worry. I'm a-thinkin' of how to pleasure you constant. That's what I'm here for, just to help you. You just trust to me, Cousin Belle."

"I can't," she sobbed. "I can't never trust ter nobody any more. My trust air all killed, killed, killed. It's been so long since anybody tried ter pleasure me, 'pears like I've clear forgot the feel of pleasure."

He took her hands in his, pressing her head against his side. There was an odor of musk in his clothes. Even in her sorrow she noticed the perfume, and thought what a great thing it was to be a man and free — free to go to Texas where life was glitter and perfume.

She did not observe that the bundle of work had slipped from her lap and lay upon the floor. But Binder, whose keen eyes lost but little, saw the scrap of domestic as it fell: shaken out of its wrinkles he saw it take the shape of a little shirt, a tiny baby garment, and he understood for the first time that she was soon to be a mother. For a moment he was dumb. That little muslin shape, telling in unspoken pathos the story of the untried, unshared, uncomforted motherhood, shamed and silenced him. Then his hot anger was kindled against the man who was to be father to the little unborn baby. To be alone, abused, neglected at a time like this! no wonder she went about the place like a doomed soul, ready to accept any refuge offered.

"I'm going to fetch you away from here, Belle," Binder broke out fiercely. "I've heard his talk to you when he was drunk; an' when he's sober he's off, neglectin' you shameful. I'm goin' to fetch you away from here, away from Tennessee; away to Texas, where they string up a fellow for wife beatin' same's a bologna sausage. I've got money, Belle, lots of it; enough to give you rest the balance of your days. You'll go back with me, won't you, Belle?"

Go? The temptation lay before her weary eyes like a golden pathway straight from her darkness into day's perfection. Safety, shelter, peace, love. Women will barter heaven for these things.

"I — dunno," she faltered. "I ware not thinkin' o' that. I dunno what Jerry'd do if he knew this. Kill me plumb, I reckon."

"I'll make him give his consent."

"*Make Jerry Stamps?*" She gave her head an unconscious little lift that made him laugh outright.

"Well, I *can*," he said. "I've got the screw will press him. Will you go if he gives his consent?"

He saw the hesitation, the wavering; the temptation had its



charms. He slipped his arm about her shoulders and with a sudden swift movement stooped and kissed her, full upon the parted, trembling lips.

The effect was electric; she bounded like a startled fawn to her feet, eyes ablaze, the delicate nostrils distended, lifted her arms, dropped them; the white lids fell under his passionate glance, and she saw the little brown domestic shirt lying upon the floor, between them.

The rebound came with quick, delicious thrills, that swept through her whole body. The motherhood awoke, and seemed to whisper presciently of the craving for affection that was soon to be satisfied, when baby fingers should press the no longer lonely bosom. She shook off his touch upon her shoulder, stooping to regain her treasure:—

"I dunno," she said sharply. "I dunno anything. I don't even know what I ware sayin' of."

She covered her blushes with both hands, the little shirt against her cheek, and staggered away from him. He heard the latch fall heavily into its place as the door of her room closed upon her.

For days he did not see her again, except when Jerry was about. And the autumn faded; the time of Christmas drew near, and with it came the time of her deliverance.

He thought she grew sadder, more thoughtfully quiet; she no longer ran away when she found herself alone with him. She was too weary to contend against her temptation. And he offered it her constantly, in a thousand little careful acts which her condition rendered her doubly capable of appreciating. But when he pleaded with her to fly with him she always gave him the same uncertain reply.

"Wait till after Chris'mas; I'll tell you after Chris'mas."

"But if I get his consent?" he urged shrewdly.

"His consent means that he flings me off," she replied. "Oh, yes! I reckon I'll have ter go if he gives his consent—after Chris'mas."

The hours were days while he waited, and the effort to keep up the good feeling between the husband and himself became indeed an effort. Yet he never once left off trying to hold the confidence of the man whose peace he was about to slay. He held him in his toils as a snake holds its victim. If he were late joining him at the store where they played cinch with the men there, Jerry would walk the floor and rage for him like a youth for his first sweetheart. The game ended, he would call to him, "Tell us about the night ye rode ter the ranch before the prairie fire, Bob"; and he would enjoy the interest expressed in the recital as keenly as though it had been his own story they were

applauding. And all the while he drank, drank, drank, with his last glass reminding Binder to take him home to bed all right. There was scarcely a night that he was not in his power; scarcely a night that he could not have dropped him off the bluff and had a dozen witnesses to swear he was too drunk to have walked down the path without falling off the mountain. But he restrained himself; he was waiting to get the consent without which Isabel would refuse to go—waiting for that, and for Christmas. She had stipulated Christmas, “after Christmas.” He did not know that she was waiting for the baby and the effect its coming might work.

As the blessed season drew nearer and more near, his impatience became torture. There were days he did not eat, nights when he thought his brain was giving way. And good St. Hilary’s cradle did not swing within the radius of his grasp, to rock him back to reason.

The night before Christmas he sat with the men in the back room of the settlement store, among mackerel and coffee scents, playing his last game of cinch.

He had not seen Isabel for five days, having absented himself from the house that she might feel the full weight of her aloneness before he put to her his final offer of escape. But he had calculated as man calculates—leaving out God, who stands beyond man, and leaving out the unexpected, which they tell us is what always happens.

He had plied Stamps with whiskey until his tongue began to thicken; he had told his best stories, sung, laughed, cried “Merry Christmas” “as they do it in Texas,” and staked his silver dollars until the eyes of his fellows were fairly dazzled.

It was when the hands of the little dusty clock on a shelf over the door pointed to midnight that he chanced to glance toward the window against which the moonlight fell weirdly, grotesquely bright. The next moment he shuddered and started up with an oath.

He had seen distinctly, pressed against the murky, dusty pane, a gaunt, gray face; a woman’s face. Isabel it was, but grown old; *how* old and haggard and gray.

“What ails ye?” said the storekeeper. “Somethin’ give ye a start?”

“I seen a ghost, a sure enough ghost, Mr. Hartson. Its face was pressed against that window yonder.”

“You seen the devil,” laughed Stamps. “Mighty quare, a feller come from Texas not ter know his friends when he meets ’em.”

This raised a laugh in which Binder did not join. At that moment a fleshless, ghoulish hand appeared, and tapped against the pane.

"There! there it is again. Look for yourself."

They did look, every one of them, and they saw the ghost's face return; it was close against the pane.

"Jerry! Jerry! Jerry!" a quavering voice called.

He half rose with an oath. "What the devil's ter pay out thar?" he demanded.

"Jerry, I'se come from my granddaughter Is'bel. Thar's a mighty fine boy down to you-uns' place, Jerry."

The ghost vanished, its midnight mission accomplished. The men laid down their cards to laugh — all but Binder. In an instant he felt his plans give way, his unholy hopes perish before this new comer, this babe born at Christmas. It had come, as life always comes, for good or ill, for better or worse, for power or pain. Only a babe's life; a thread a breath might snap in sunder. A tiny thing; the babe's head had not learned the pressure of the mother's breast, nor its little lips the secret of milk drawing. Young; one of God's little ones. The Christmas sun would be the first of suns to give the little strangeling welcome into the world it shone upon, and in which he, God willing, would have the right to shine also. Through every chink and crevice the golden rays would come rejoicing; searching for the babe born in the cabin, as once in old Judea the startled stars stood still in wonder for the babe born in a manger.

The tallow candle sputtered and flared, and cast the shadows of the gamblers upon the bare, brown wall, grotesquely. But the game had lost its flavor. It was the babe's doing.

"Well," said Hartson, "I reckon it's about time ter quit; Jerry 'll be wantin' ter git off home ter see his heir."

Clearly Stamps had no idea of allowing himself to be teased; he tilted his chair, his boot heels fastened securely upon the lowest rung, and with his largest air of bluff said: —

"Got mighty keerful o' Jerry all 't once. When I git so blamed anxious ter go home as not ter be able noways ter stand it I'll notify the crowd. Pass that thar jug over here, Texas. An' deal the cyards, Jim."

"Naw," said Hartson, "naw he won't. It's time ter stop. Ye ought ter go home ter yo' sick wife. If me an' Jim stop you-uns 'll be boun' ter, seein' as it takes four ter play this here cinch. Hit'll soon be Christ'mas day anyhow."

"Well, what if it air?" demanded Stamps. "Hit'll come off just the same, I expect, whether ye play cyards or not. I ain't goin' home till I git ready. I ain't *never* goin' if I don't feel like it."

Binder's dark eyes emitted flashes; he was thinking of the woman in the cabin, alone in her hour of trial, save for the old grandmother, whom she had sent upon her last hope of enticing

the ungracious father to his home, with the news of the baby's coming.

"Take another drink, Jerry," said he. "One more for lagniappe, as they say in Houston; we call it luck in Tennessee."

As the already drunken Stamps lifted the jug to his lips, Binder added: "That's what a man gits fur bein' married. Now look at me: I can go all the world over if I'm so minded. Better trade 'em off, Jerry. Say the word, an' I'll trot 'em off ter Texas termorrer an' give you your freedom. Or, better, I'll give you-uns the money ter light out, an' I'll stay here in your stead."

Stamps lifted his eyes; in a twinkling Binder had lowered his, but too late. Quick as he was, Stamps had caught the serpent gleam hiding in their dark, unholy depths. In that one swift, devouring glance all the unholy passion, the sinister and secret meaning of his every action since he had come to his house that fair October morning, lay revealed. This was why he had lingered, this the foundation of all his fine talk and finer professions of friendship. For this he had tossed his money constantly before the bewildered eyes of the victim he was making ready to stab. It was all plain reading to Stamps. He lowered his right hand, and lifted it to the table again; the sickly candle rays reflected the glitter of steel where the muzzle of his pistol shone beneath his broad, brown hand.

"You damned son of Satan," he hissed. "So that air yer game, air it? Be still thar; move a finger an' I'll blow yer blasted brains out fur ye. Cinch! ye think ye've got a cinch on a feller's soul, I reckon. Damn ye! Ye Texas horse-thief, ye."

Binder had half risen, his hand upon his hip. The two men who had made partners for the others offered a feeble protest. They even got Binder's pistol from him, leaving him helpless, at the mercy of the man he had wronged.

It was scarcely a glance that Stamps cast upward, into the dingy rafters, festooned with the web of the spider, and ornamented with the nests of wasp and dirt dauber. But in that glance he saw, beyond, behind the gray, gauzy spiders' web, the dust and soot, a woman's face, pictured against the smoke-discolored boards; a face full of unspoken reproach; eyes in which hope's hard death was reflected plaintively. It was the face of the woman for the possession of whom a professional gambler had offered him money.

"God!"

The quick, stifled exclamation burst from his lips in spite of his effort to restrain it. It came to him like a knife thrust, this cruel, barbarously inhuman thing that he was doing; leaving his wife, his wife who had lain upon his heart and had once believed

him tender, leaving her to the pity, the confidence, the insulting affection of a man whose extremest sense of honor boasted no loftier height than the gambler's table. What a travesty he was upon the sacred name of husband, and of father — he *was* a father. He had not thought of that, and as his heart whispered the blessed word he felt the warm thrill of conscious fatherhood creep through him, — something new and strange and indescribably sweet.

Slowly he rose, his hand still grasping the glittering weapon, his keen eyes never for an instant turned from the startled man who had too rashly risked his last throw of the die upon which his fate swung dependent. Amid breathless silence he lifted, poised the weapon: — “I give you,” he said, in low, even tones, “jest three minutes ter quit this country. Open that door thar, Hartson. Git up; take that path up the mount'n, an' the fewer stops ye make this side o' yer cussed Texas the better it'll be fur yer health.”

A moment, and the tall, skulking figure disappeared like a black shadow in the white moonlight that lay upon the mountain.

In the chill gray of the Christmas dawn Stamps lifted with trembling fingers the latchstring of his own little cabin. As he did so there came to him the faint cry of a little child, a baby. Again that delicious sense of fatherhood swept his being; again he remembered that other Christmas babe in faraway Judea. With noiseless step he entered: a slow fire burned in the deep old fireplace. An iron lamp swung by a rod from the sooted jamb, a tiny blue blaze sputtering a protest against the liquid grease that threatened its extinguishment. The old grandmother, who had tramped up the mountain with news of the babe's birth, nodded in the corner, her fireless pipe held fast between her toothless gums.

Jerry seized the lamp and carried it to the bedside. Isabel's bright head lay like a heap of spun gold upon the pillow; the lamplight brought out all the hidden, burnished beauty of the soft, girlish tresses. The blue reflection of the blaze fell upon her face, tinging it with daintiest sapphire; it bathed her bosom, bare and white, showing him the tiny head pillowed against the exquisite fairness, in dreamless, infant slumber; it stole beneath the mother's eyelids and they opened.

She smiled and put out her hand, to lay it on his bosom: “Hush,” she whispered, “else you'll wake our baby.”

*Our, not mine;* the simple words touched him as no sermon could have done.

“God!”

It was not spoken as he had been wont to speak the name of God; it was more a breath of reverence that had come with the

babe at Christmas time. He drew nearer, almost afraid of the little bundle of humanity that had come to claim his sonship.

Isabel's glad eyes waited his approval; he read the wifeness beaming in their honest depths and knew the man he had sent stumbling out across the mountain would not be missed in the heart the babe had come to fill. The neglected wife might fall a victim to the tempter, but never the worshipping mother.

Many thoughts awoke in his heart and held him silent. To Isabel his silence held a different meaning; she withdrew her hand, turning her face from him, and speaking for the baby at her breast:—

"If yer ain't got a word o' welcome fur us, Jerry Stamps, I reckon we'll have ter do without it," she said sharply.

He laid his hand upon her head, stroking it gently; it was the first time she had ever seen him embarrassed.

"I can't think of a blessed thing ter say, honey, exceptin' of jest Christmas gift."

She laughed softly, like a happy child, and lifting her arm placed it about his neck, drawing his rough, red face down to her own soft cheek.

"We're goin' ter be mighty happy *now*, I reckon," she whispered. "An' I'm mighty glad he came at Chris'mus; 'pears like he's almost of some kin ter Christ."

And who shall doubt that the mission of the two, at all events, was one?—a mission of love, humanity; a message of good tidings of great joy.



# THE ABOLITION OF WAR: A SYMPOSIUM.

---

## I. THE ETHICS OF PEACE, BY REV. HARRY C. VROOMAN.

---

THE season approaches when we commemorate the birth of Him who was heralded by the angels' song of "On earth peace, and good-will toward men," and whose exit was preceded by the no less striking phrase, "My peace I leave with you." It is well to call Him "the Prince of peace." After nineteen centuries of struggle and growth, our very civilization is named after His name and the leading countries of the world are avowedly Christian nations, yet the anomaly still confronts us of strife and ill-will among men.

"Still the cannon speaks in the teacher's place;  
The age is weary with work and gold."

But we meet now on every hand a marked revival of the cry for peace. It comes from every department of life. In the religious world the same cry comes from the deep Christian mystic who wants relief from the falsities and hallucinations of the phenomenal life, from the distracted Protestant who would be delivered from the interminable tangle and clash of sects, and from the Catholic church which yearns for the unity of the world to be found within her own fold. In the industrial world capital wants peace, so it masses in huge corporations and trusts, to abolish competition, lessen friction, and establish its security. Labor also groans for peace and organizes into trades unions and combinations of unions to establish harmony and to secure itself against the encroachments of capital. And the strife between the two grows steadily more deadly and more irreconcilable, and both in the meanwhile loudly proclaim for peace.

So, too, in the political world. The czars and Bismarcks in the name of peace are transforming all Europe into a vast military camp, and our own ruling classes — those who supply campaign funds to the two dominant political parties — are fostering the military spirit in our schools, strengthening the military fortifications, building vast armories in our cities, and fast abolishing the old constitutional citizen soldiery of our forefathers and transforming our militia practically into a standing army. In the midst of all this clash and fight for peace, there comes to the front a most laudable movement, though not above criticism,



headed by our Quaker brethren and the grand old Peace Society and proclaims for peace at any cost.

Under these circumstances the great mass of well-meaning, indiscriminating men look on and praise or blame promiscuously. Most people praise peace wherever named, not studying the conditions enough to coöperate intelligently in obtaining the desired end.

In approaching this subject with the hope of helping the world toward a state of true peace, which is the purpose of the Union for Practical Progress in giving a month to its consideration, it is of the utmost importance that the situation be analyzed and the various phases of the agitation thoroughly understood. I know of no better basis for classifying the champions of peace than that of the familiar ethical distinctions. The moral quality which calls for peace, makes other demands on the same plane. By studying the accompanying life purposes and demands of any man, movement or class you can determine the spirit of its call for peace.

It must be borne in mind that the abolition of war, when viewed from an ethical or philosophical point of view, cannot be narrowed to mean political war. In essence, religious, industrial and political war are one. To industrially and legally starve a man or a community into submitting to certain conditions is ethically very near akin to the more heroic military method of subjugation. War is war in the tented field, the industrial struggle, the selfish jostle of political forces, or in the methods of the religious heresy hunters. The one root of the matter is that they all represent a state of society without a *status*, an aggregation of interests and elements whose normal state is antagonism, whose very existence presupposes war. In other words, in its last analysis, war is competition and competition is war. The successful search for peace, instead of being expressed negatively in the present clamor against the bullet and bayonet struggle, must be developed along the positive line of a search for a basis for fraternal life.

Let us now examine the several demands for peace in the order of their ethical quality. First is the ethics of egoism in the demand of the ruling classes everywhere for the order of their law. The military imperialist is always the champion of peace within his own boundaries and makes war only to extend those boundaries — to extend the empire of peace. And it can scarcely be called a vain boast when it is claimed that the modern tendency to consolidate into empires is largely in the interests of peace. The German states have been improved in their internal *status* by the establishment of the empire. The barbarians of central and Northern Asia have been improved by assimilation



into the Russian unity. Almost every country that has passed under English rule, Ireland perhaps excepted, has been very much blessed thereby, notwithstanding the peculiar English custom of making them pay richly for all governmental benefits received.

This is peace of its kind, but its ethical quality is that of egoism, the survival of the fittest—the fittest being interpreted to mean the physically and mentally strongest. It is pure selfishness systematized. Its appeal is to the love for order and restful security, whose emoluments and blessings belong only to its devotees. In its extreme expression, it gives absolutism in government, slavery in industry and authority in religion. But it is not confined to these extreme types, for its advocates are not all logical. Its champions are the conquerors or slave holders in any guise and in any department of life, and the philosophers who build elaborate systems to defend their point of view. This spirit was manifested in 1861 when the slave-holding power asked only “to be let alone,” and again to-day in the capitalist, who, having limitless provision against future need, has “nothing to arbitrate,” and wishes to be allowed to establish peace with his workmen by the stern laws of want. This is also the peace sought by the heretic hunter of every age, from the Jewish sanhedrim and Pontius Pilate to the last Presbyterian general assembly. They are seeking the order and security of the old *regime*. For the peace of the old they make war on the new. Their peace means the end of progress.

Egoism has another phase which is often treated as a different principle because approached from another point of view. It is self suppression, the giving up to the will of another, regardless of the quality of that will. It seems to be the social expression of the philosophy of determinism, but when reduced to its lowest terms it will be found to be only egoism applied to the conquered instead of the conqueror, to the slave instead of the master. It is base self surrender instead of aggressive unselfishness. Its devotees are those who prefer submission to struggle, the peace of slavery to the price of freedom. It is the ethics of the coward and the sycophant, being egoism stripped of vigor and virility. Its weakness lies in its shortsightedness and baseness. Its advocates would have peace at the cost of every high aspiration, every hope of progress.

A marked illustration of a cry for peace through the inspiration of this quality is seen in the ancient Hebrew revolt in the desert. The people were tired of the struggle to reach their Canaan, and they servilely complained that they had been brought away from their flesh pots, leeks and onions — from the peace of Egypt. It is easier to sin than to resist. It is easier, from the

point of view of immediate physical well-being, to submit to any established injustice, than to spend a life struggling mentally and morally and perhaps lay it down struggling physically to establish a higher good for man. Egypt was better for Israel's enjoyment than the desert.

Martin Luther could have confined himself to scholarly platitudes against ecclesiastical corruption, as did Erasmus, and have saved himself and followers infinite suffering, and Europe rivers of blood. George Washington and his compatriots could have gained immensely in ease, comfort and peace, in the decades immediately following 1775, if they had accepted the peace of servility. There is scarcely a leader of men to higher conditions, from Moses to our present-day prophets, who has not been confronted by this servile cry of "Peace, peace."

It was Christ, warning His disciples against this seduction of conservatism, who said, "I came not to send peace but a sword." This degree of ethical quality urges every one to be orthodox for peace' sake, and to be content to dream of progress while living in stagnation. The full reception of this principle by the common people of the world would soon abolish all war of the nature of rebellions, by converting the world into a slave pen, giving it a universal calm, a Chinese peace, the peace of death.

A step higher in ethical quality reveals the order of prudentialism, which is associated with a higher moral state, taking into account the public good, but clinging also to the hard bed rock of individual selfishness. It is utilitarian and practical, a balance of forces with expediency for its watchword. It is the ethics of the Anglo-Saxon race, which commends honesty because it is "the best policy," and believes in arbitration whenever it is cheaper than war. It is filled to repletion with saintly maxims of the "gain of godliness," but never lets its ideals prevent it from securing a substantial advantage. It is also the champion of constitutional law with its peace and order. Republicanism in government, commercialism with its competition and the wage system in industry, and Protestantism in religion are its developments. These give an abundance of room for the play of ideals and sentiments, for the promulgation of reforms and the display of banners with lofty mottoes.

This sentiment, however, must not encroach on the realm of action. All action must be severely practical and must answer in the affirmative the one great question, "Does it pay?" Prudentialism would make a sharp distinction between the ideal and the real, between the sacred and the secular. There is one word which expresses the sum of its hope — "success." And yet withal it is a great reformer. In the lottery of life it would give every man a chance and encourage him in the competitive

struggle, with sermons on "self help," making virtues of the vices of parsimony and stinting, and basing on them the hope of future respectability.

But of all the reforms of which prudentialism boasts, of all the ideals it loves to praise, none outranks that of peace. It has made a valuable discovery. Peace pays. Here, again, the comparative application may be made to the various phases of life. In religion we have the call for ecclesiastical unity, for the abolition of creeds, for any possible working combination of denominational forces. This method is based on the mutual recognition of the present religious forms and beliefs. In industry we have the varied methods of conference, conciliation and arbitration before resorting to the severer methods of conflict. These presuppose the existence of the hostile classes of employer and employee, each recognizing the other as having a definite *status* to which it is entitled. To adjust a balance of forces is the problem.

The political phase, the movement to abolish war between nations, shows practically the same facts. It is taken for granted that the nations are antagonistic, have divergent interests which constantly tend to war. Prudentialism watches the balance of forces in other nations and keeps standing armies at home, powerful enough to make an attack improbable. When disputes approach the point of open hostility, the appeal to arbitration is made as protecting the commercial interests of the nation. The question of peace or war, while arousing much ideal sentiment, is usually settled at last on the basis of dollars and cents, except in cases of the ambition of rulers, or the offended sentiment of a people. In such cases arbitration is hard to effect. The systematic agitation in favor of international peace, has been very useful in keeping before the people the anti-war ideal, and in some instances it has been instrumental in assisting in the avoidance of war between nations.

The governments of to-day, however, adhere rigidly to the prudential ethics, with a strong tendency toward egoism. When France is dealing with Siam, she goes as far in her conquests as the financial interests of her commercial rivals will allow. England's military policy in Africa and India, Russia's in Central Asia, are measured by the same limits, the jealousy of their commercial rivals and the paying quality of the venture itself. A threatened war between France and Germany introduces other considerations. There are involved issues so momentous to both countries that they must needs use extreme caution. The risks are so great that until one or the other has some decided advantage there is an easy field for peace manipulators, though amid constant alarms.

The case of the United States and England in their disputes

over the fishery question, is the ideal one for a triumph of arbitration. It was a matter of no small financial value and so occasioned a sharp diplomatic dispute, but the other commercial interests of both nations were so much more important that neither would under any conditions go to war about this matter. Here common prudence demanded a court of arbitration, and peace triumphed.

As much as the prudential ethics fall short of the highest and can give the final solution for nothing, yet it must not be forgotten that they fill a worthy use in the evolution of human character, and are now working out the slow realization of the increasing ideal. This is particularly noticeable in the anti-war campaign. While mere arbitration contains no basic principle that leads to an essential peace, yet it is in its very nature a protest and tends to mollify the present constant tendency to war. Its long continued truce is conducive to the growth of the positive ideals that at last will establish organic peace. It leads its advocates to the very brink of the altruistic vision from whence they may glide by imperceptable stages into it.

The third step in our classification of ethical quality is that of idealism, altruism, Christianity. Its call for peace is fundamental and inspiring, for it alone holds the quality of a noble peace. Altruists know that the truest self is never developed except in the service of the whole, that each life is but a musical note in the great symphony of being. A musical tone sounded for itself — not in relation to the symphony of which it was meant to be a part — is discord, and has lost its life as music by the very fact of having sounded only for itself. In all phases of life the idealist sees the heart of things and will not be entangled by surface problems, will not give himself to side issues and expedencies. He would realize peace by establishing the true centre of gravity.

It must not be thought that the idealist can never be an executive, that he cannot be practical. Other things being equal, he is the most practical of men. He has an inspirational power that all others lack. By ceasing to be a sponge that draws all things to himself, he shines forth a sun transfiguring all things to the image of his ideal. While making no compromise with reactionary efforts, mere palliatives or opiates, he can, he must be patient to accept progress by degrees and work with vigor and enthusiasm for each partial step. His distinguishing work is that he views every action by its relation to the final goal and not by its easing immediate pressure. However slow the progress, however partial the gain, he can work practically for it, if it clearly is a step towards the transcendent altruistic vision.

In religion, he realizes the Divine immanence — the All Love, the All Truth and the All Power and its oneness with himself.

This realization is to him a veritable mount of transfiguration. He no longer fears. He believes, he acts. He has found the centre of peace, his sonship with God, and the indwelling Father yet speaking to man, guiding his activities, healing his diseases, quickening his spiritual intuitions and transfiguring his whole being into harmony with the Divine. All distinctions between secular and sacred, real and ideal, are gone. "Every bush is afire with God." Such a life cannot be tied to denominationalism, cannot be intolerant; neither can it be understood by the prudentially orthodox, who will not admit that such lives exist, except in the ancient writings of the Hebrews, as though God exhausted Himself in making the prophets and apostles. In the vision of this higher spirituality is realized the only true basis of a Christian unity—a vision of God whose holy light reveals the blasphemy of denominational egoism or prudential makeshifts.

In the industrial life the altruist holds all his powers and possessions as a sacred trust for the betterment of man. His methods must vary with his environment. At present they are chiefly seen in the heroic efforts to realize an organic harmony in industrial relations through the practical application of the altruistic principle to business and government. Industrial idealism has given the world a vision of peace that has already enamored its millions of followers and inspired them with an enthusiasm for economic righteousness, corresponding to that of early Christianity. Instead of crying "Peace, peace," it presupposes that all good men want a real peace and expends the passion of its life in showing how men can attain, not a truce, a chronic war waiting to begin, but peace on the permanent basis of a common interest. The attainment of this ideal necessitates the introduction of a system of industry based on the coöperative idea, where the relation of every factor to production is definitely known, and a clash of interests in the manner now so common would be impossible.

The old business and political methods that were born of egoism and developed into prudentialism cannot sustain the new soul of idealism that is now being breathed into the world, and must be superseded by an organization of human forces corresponding to the new spirit of the time. The altruistic *regime* of this industrial ideal would have no conflicts between employers and workmen, no business antagonisms between rival concerns, no commercial tragedies suddenly crushing out the life and hope of millions, no unholy conflicts between "union" and "non-union" workmen, each willing to take the very means of life from the other. These antagonisms would all vanish with the establishment of the mutualistic industrial method, when society will be organized, through the instrumentality of the state, to

guarantee employment to every citizen and to conduct its industries for the supplying of human needs rather than for making individuals rich.

This would give a coöperative republic, an organic union of all parties engaged in the work of the world, or at least of such a portion of them as would set the standard for those who prefer still to work in smaller associations. Fear of want once abolished, all hate and fierce struggle that now grows out of anxiety for financial security, would vanish, and the intellectual and ideal life would be free to rise. The struggle to gain a financial footing in the world, taken in its larger commercial relations, directly or indirectly, is the basis of practically all conflict, all war. A fraternal coöperation of the forces of human life to struggle only against the limitations of nature and of man's ignorance and depravity, would transform the face of society and give the solid basis for a permanent peace. It is to this ideal that the labor movement of the world is fast coming.

It is this vision of a sure foundation, of an all-inclusive peace, that gives such a religious enthusiasm to its propaganda, that sustains its workers amid so many discouragements and through such slow developments. This industrial idealism is the most marked ethical movement of our age. It is worthy of special note that almost to a man the industrial idealists are champions of the abolition of political war. The marked difference between the advocates of the coöperative ideal and the advocates of the mere substitution of arbitration for political war, is that one is an idealist and in the present selfish industrial methods sees the basic cause of strife and has faith in the vision of an approaching social redemption, while the other is most likely a mere prudentialist in ethics, patching up the chronic quarrel with a new truce and calling this a "triumph of peace"; or if an idealist — and many of them are grand and noble embodiments of this type — he is limited in vision and does not see the relation of political war to the industrial life of man.

The greatest peace society in the world to-day is the great labor movement, international and non-sectarian. It is striving to remove the cause of all war as found in class antagonism and private business for profits. The sentiment against war between nations is one of the most marked features of the European labor movement. Barring the exponents of the peace societies, it was the German and French socialists alone of those nations who made open protest against the war of 1871.

In a recent excitement in Germany over a threatened war with France, the German Workmen's Congress sent its fraternal greetings to their coworkers in France and assured them of the unity of interest of the workers of both countries and pledged



non-participation in hostilities. This expression of international fraternity was responded to with characteristic French warmth, and marked an oasis in modern history that gives proof of the fraternal ideals of the laboring class.

More striking still was the declaration of the German socialists that when they come into possession of the government, they would restore Alsace and Lorraine to the French nation. They believe not only in peace but in justice and fraternity.

Only last spring the municipal council of Marseilles, under the dominance of the French socialists, refused to give a public reception to General Dodds who returned victorious from the Dahomeyan war. In a long resolution condemning the war the hope was expressed of "the advent of a time when human butcheries, white or black, will be abolished forever."

Such altruistic sentiment in the common people should not be ignored by the peace advocates of the comfortable class. It has a virility about it these advocates lack. Moreover it is philosophically consistent. It sees that war is war, whether it slays its thousands with bullet, shell and bayonet, or its millions by crushed hopes, foul air and insufficient food. To the true philosopher, to welter in one's blood on the field of honor, is no worse than to wither and fade away for lack of nourishing blood, in some lone garret. To die charging the cannon's mouth animated by thrilling music and high hopes is no worse than to fall a tortured victim to a commercial panic, caused either by the conspiracy or stupidity of the manipulators of our financial system. The command "Thou shalt not kill," was not limited to death by implements of war, but might include as well, starved lives gone out in despair.

The present hour thrills from continent to continent with the great hope of a redeemed, a christianized industry that embraces all political activity within its scope. This hope is a religious vision to millions of human beings to-day, who would willingly lay down their lives for it, that future generations might have peace. Its spirit is so inclusive, its changes are so fundamental that its advocates believe that through its influence, the religious life of man would also more easily come into that state of divine idealism, where all dissension in the name of Christ would be impossible.

It has been shown that the ethics of egoism gives only the ignoble peace of the tyrant and slave, useful perhaps in times and places as a restraining force but always powerless to uplift. Prudentialism, the developing and progressive balance of forces, the transition from egoism to idealism, however much to be encouraged and however useful to certain ends, surely offers no basis for a final solution of the life problem of peace. Idealism,

on the other hand, is the entrance to the heart of life, a redemptive force that recreates, that finds the basis of eternal harmony in a unified interest which establishes justice and fraternity. The eighteenth century was the climax of egoism, and David Hume, the great English atheist, was its most representative exponent. The nineteenth century has been the era of prudentialism, with Herbert Spencer as its high priest.

Our century presents the strange anomaly of bearing on its closing years the forerunners of the coming altruistic age, and of having surviving types of every former period. Thus there are leaders of thought who still minister to the surviving egoists, the left-over specimens of two centuries ago, who teach that what men owe to each other is only to mind their own selfish interests, while at the same time the prophets of idealism, heralding the dawn of the twentieth century, are increasing in strength and flooding the world with light. While egoism and prudentialism rave and lash themselves to fury, in their own storm centres, yet throughout the world, steadily, altruism gains.

This is inevitable prophecy of the reign of a peace inclusive and comprehensive, a peace that in its divine radiance puts to shame the cheaper makeshifts of the lower ethics. Time to unfold and devotion to the ideal are all that are needed to bring in the day of light. Is not this the Christ-era so long foretold, so universally looked for, so little understood? It hails from Nazareth and is scorned by scribes and pharisees. May its vision transform us and lead us to the most consecrated service, to lay our stilted intellectual conventions on the altar of the divine ideal and consume them in its holy flame. A new Pentecost awaits those who in deep fraternal union thus devote themselves to this transcendent vision of to-day's faith—a faith that is spiritual sight, a revelation that fulfils all the saints of old recorded, the vision of triumphant peace realizing the divine Fatherhood through the attainment of the universal brotherhood.

## II. THE ABOLITION OF WAR, BY PROF. THOMAS E. WILL.

1. THE ARGUMENT FOR WAR.—War has acted in the past as a factor in social evolution. It has forced families to unite into clans; these into tribes; these, in turn, into states and these, at times, into empires. Since consolidation was a necessary precedent of that high organization which would make civilization possible, it was better that men should be forced together by blood and iron than that civilization should not be attained. War is one form assumed by the "struggle for existence" that characterizes all life from vegetable to man. Its result is to clear the ground of the unfit, to sift out the fit, to strengthen



and develop them by the fight and to make them the progenitors of future organisms. The result of this process of selection and improvement is the steady elevation of the race.

Civilization, further, presupposes coöperation. But before men will coöperate voluntarily they must be taught, by coercion, the advantages of union and coöperation over individualistic self defence. By war and its attendant, slavery — shall we also add land monopoly? — men are driven together and forced to practise division of labor and exchange of products and services. (See *S. of S.*, pp. 194–96.)

War, again, has stimulated activity and prevented sluggishness. "Peace, as exemplified in China, is synonymous with stagnation; war, as instanced in Rome, is equivalent to constant activity and political change" (*Civ.*, p. 234).

"The people of China have grown more and more like one another, while those of Europe [characterized by militancy] have grown more and more unlike. The one region has become homogeneous in thought, the other heterogeneous." [Progress is everywhere characterized by an advance from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous.] (*Civ.*, p. 237.)

An interchange of ideas is a condition of progress. Before the days of railways, telegraphs, ocean cables, steamship, etc., thought could diffuse itself but slowly between different peoples. War brought them together and, "despite its destructiveness, is the one efficient means for the mingling of barbarian and semi-civilized nations" (*Civ.*, p. 239).

"The mind of the soldier is far more receptive than that of the quiet citizen . . . it is freely open to ideas which it would have refused in the cold, stagnant, unreceptive, peaceful stage" (*Civ.*, p. 240).

Speaking further of the place of war in history the same writer says: "It was a destructive process. Life vanished, wealth perished, nations disappeared. But mind remained and became expanded by every new invasion of ideas. The raw material of progress was not destroyed. Material production is only inorganic substance poured into the mould of an idea. The loss is only temporary if the idea remains. Its loss is a gain if it aids in yielding a crop of new and superior ideas" (*Civ.*, p. 244. See also *N. A. R.*, p. 677).

It is worthy of note, on the other hand, that a philosopher like Mr. Herbert Spencer, while indicating the influence of past wars in promoting social progress, points out with equal clearness the evil effects of continued militancy upon an advanced society. He shows that while warfare effects a weeding out of the weak so long as all adult males bear arms, the process is reversed when only a portion of the adult males enter the army; for, when such

is the case, the best are selected for war while the physically inferior are left at home to propagate. When, further, the percentage of men chosen is so great as to throw upon women the burdens ordinarily borne by men, the twofold strain of hard work and child bearing thus placed upon the women stunts the children and thus causes degeneration.

Warfare also works disaster to industry in advanced societies. "It is repressive as necessitating the abstraction of men and materials that would otherwise go to industrial growth; it is repressive as deranging the complex inter-dependencies among the many productive and distributive agencies.\* It is repressive as drafting off much administrative and constructive ability, which would else have gone to improve the industrial arts and the industrial organization."

Since the soldier should be a fighting machine, warfare, of necessity, tends to callous the heart and sear the affections and sympathies; to exalt egoism and depress altruism. But, as Professor Drummond has so nobly shown in his "The Ascent of Man," altruism must be a constantly increasing and egoism a constantly decreasing factor in an advancing civilization. Mr. Spencer continues: "The necessities of war imply absolute self-regard, and absolute disregard of certain others. Inevitably, therefore, the civilizing discipline of social life is antagonized by the uncivilizing discipline of the life war involves" (S. of S., pp. 196-99). Observe, too, that the military *regime* is that of authority. Absolute, unquestioning obedience to the command of his superior is the first duty of the soldier. But social progress must be toward individual liberty — the *regime* under which the individual needs and knows no law save the laws of nature and of pure ethics; in other words, the law of God. Though, then, it be conceded that war contributes to social development in the lower stages of civilization where men are unfit for freedom and necessarily subject to the *regime* of authority, it nevertheless follows that, as men become fit for freedom, militancy, by tending to perpetuate the reign of authority, hinders social progress.

Some of the older arguments in favor of war will be found on pp. 323-24 of B. P., stated and considered by William Ellery Channing.

2. BARBARITIES ATTENDING THE MILITARY REGIME. — Calousness is necessary to the trade of killing; hence where militancy flourishes, customs at which the more highly civilized revolt are maintained and encouraged. The writer has heard a cultivated German lady express her admiration for the custom of duelling, declaring with enthusiasm that the unsightly facial scars resulting therefrom were *ritterlich*. Thus we may account

---

\* On this point see Henry George, "Social Problems," Chap. I.

for the inhuman custom of flogging in the army and navy, accounts of which may be found on pp. 47-54 of P. M., and pp. 65-76 of B. P. "Flogging is certainly a tremendous punishment. The delinquent is stripped to the waist, tied up by his hands, and then flogged with a whip having nine lashes, with three knots each, so that each stroke makes twenty-seven wounds; *if a capital sentence is awarded* [italics mine], he receives nine hundred and ninety-nine of these stripes; and, at every twenty-five strokes, the drummer who inflicts them is changed, in order to insure a more energetic enforcement of the penalty" (P. M., p. 50).

When the sentence is not capital, "he is attended at each whipping by a surgeon, to determine how much he can bear without immediate danger to life; and often does the flagellation proceed till the victim faints, and then he is respited to renew his sufferings another day" (P. M., pp. 47, 48).

One case out of many: "Henley, for desertion, received two hundred lashes only; an acute inflammation followed, and the back sloughed. When the wounds were cleaned and the sloughed integuments removed, the backbone and part of the shoulder blade were laid bare, and it was upwards of seven months before he was so far recovered as to be able to do his duty" (P. M., p. 54). "We sometimes find the body melt away into a spectre of skin and bone from the large suppurations that have followed" (P. M., p. 54. See also P. M., pp. 43-46).

If these instances should be thought far-fetched, the hanging of Private Iams by the thumbs at Homestead, in the summer of 1892, will doubtless be recalled by many.

The following table from Mulhall, p. 432, may now be appreciated:—

<i>Suicide in Armies.</i>			
Per 100,000 men, per annum.			
	Army.	Civilians. (Age, 20-60.)	Excess in Army.
British . . . . .	38	11	245 per cent.
French . . . . .	51	20	154 "
German . . . . .	64	25	156 "
Belgian . . . . .	45	10	350 "
Austrian . . . . .	85	15	467 "
Italian . . . . .	30	8	275 "
Swedish . . . . .	45	12	275 "

Since the treatment accorded soldiers by each other is such we are not surprised at the barbarities practised by them upon enemies. "It is an eternal law," says Xenophon, "that when a city is taken the persons and possessions of the conquered become the property of the conqueror" (N. A. R., p. 676). Carthage, though taken by the relatively human and high-minded Scipio Africanus, affords a notable instance. The captured city, the second in importance in the world, was burned; the plough was

passed through the soil, and the spot was solemnly cursed" (M. and A., p. 145). "We read of the cultured Macedonian, Philip V., exercising such severe measures that, on the capture of Abydos, the whole population committed suicide *en masse*" (N. A. R., p. 676).

For account of atrocities committed by the Spanish soldiers in America in their hunt for gold see Del Mar's "History of the Precious Metals." Also, E. L. S. E., Second Course, Lecture III. As a single instance it is said that Drake, when he visited San Domingo, found a mere handful of natives on the island. These explained to him that, in order to save their children from the ferocity of the Spanish gold-hunting soldiery, the people had unanimously decided not to become parents (p. 64). The career of the Duke of Alva in the Netherlands is well known.

3. HORRORS AND SUFFERINGS OF WAR.—Instances of these may be found in any military history. An excellent compilation is found in P. M., pp. 55–107. The sack of Magdeburg by Tilly's army is typical. When the gates of the captured city were thrown open the victorious general slipped the leash and turned loose his murderous horde upon the defenseless inhabitants. "Now began a scene of massacre and outrage which history has no language, poetry no pencil, to portray. Neither the innocence of childhood, nor the helplessness of old age, neither youth nor sex, neither rank nor beauty, could disarm the fury of the conquerors. Wives were dishonored in the very arms of their husbands, daughters at the feet of their parents, and the defenceless sex exposed to the double loss of virtue and life. . . . Fifty-three women were found in a single church with their heads cut off. The Croats *amused* themselves with throwing children into the flames, and Pappenheim's Walloons with stabbing infants at their mothers' breasts, etc." (P. M., p. 66).

#### 4. EXPENDITURE AND WASTE FROM WAR.

(a) *Life and Property.* From Mul., p. 65.

##### *Wars Since 1793.*

DATE.	BELLIGERENTS.	EXPENDITURE.		Loss in Men.
		Million £.	Million £ per Annum.	
1793-1815 . . .	England and France . . . . .	1,250	60	1,900,000
1828 . . . . .	Russia and Turkey . . . . .	20	20	120,000
1830-40 . . . . .	Spain and Portugal (civil) . . . . .	50	5	160,000
1830-47 . . . . .	France and Algeria . . . . .	38	2	110,000
1848 . . . . .	Europe (civil) . . . . .	10	10	60,000
1854-56 . . . . .	England, France, Russia . . . . .	305	146	485,000
1859 . . . . .	France and Austria . . . . .	45	45	63,000
1863-65 . . . . .	United States (civil) . . . . .	740	350	656,000
1866 . . . . .	Prussia and Austria . . . . .	20	20	51,000
1866 . . . . .	France and Mexico . . . . .	15	15	65,000
1864-70 . . . . .	Brazil and Paraguay . . . . .	48	8	330,000
1870-71 . . . . .	France and Germany . . . . .	316	316	290,000
1876-77 . . . . .	Russia and Turkey . . . . .	190	190	180,000

SUMMARY.

PERIOD.	Expenditure. Million £.	Loss of Life.	PER ANNUM.	
			Million £.	Loss of Life.
1790-1820 . . . . .	1,250	1,900,000	42	63,000
1821-1850 . . . . .	118	450,000	4	15,000
1851-1860 . . . . .	350	548,000	35	55,000
1861-1880 . . . . .	1,329	1,572,000	66	79,000
	3,047	4,470,000	33	50,000

See also P. M., pp. 21-42; B. P., pp. 113-124 and statement following p. 200; also No. II., p. 2.

“Mark the havoc of single battles. At Durham, 1346, there fell 15,000; at Halidonhill and Agincourt, 20,000 each; at Bautzen and Lepanto, 25,000 each; at Austerlitz, Jena and Lutzen, 30,000 each; at Eylau, 60,000; at Waterloo and Quatre Bras, one engagement, 70,000; at Borodino, 80,000; at Fontenoy, 100,000; at Yarmouth, 150,000; at Chalons, no less than 300,000 of Attila’s army alone! . . . Marius slew, in one battle, 140,000 Gauls, and in another, 290,000. . . . Julius Cæsar once annihilated an army of 363,000 Helvetians; in a battle with the Usipites, he slew 400,000; and on another occasion, he massacred more than 430,000 Germans who ‘had crossed the Rhine with their herds and flocks and little ones, in quest of new settlements.’ The Old Testament records one instance (2 Chron. xiii. 3-17), where one side lost 500,000 lives!” (P. M., p. 40). Of this last destruction, surpassing even the exploits of Julius Cæsar, it is declared in the text, “and God delivered them” — the Israelites — “into their hand . . . and the children of Judah prevailed, because they relied upon the Lord God of their fathers.” See also P. S. M., pp. 524, 525 and B. P., No. II., p. 3.

(b) *Labor withdrawn from Production and turned to the Work of Destruction; being made, at the same time, a Burden on Producers.* “From information given in successive issues of the ‘Statesman’s Year Book,’ it appears that, since 1870, the armies and navies of Europe have been increased by about 630,000 men on the peace establishment. This number of men has, therefore, been wholly withdrawn from productive labor; but during periods of war a much larger number is thus withdrawn, and the country is, to that extent, still further impoverished.” Speaking of ironclads he says, “To build one of those monster vessels requires from first to last a small army of men, all of whose labor, so far as any benefit to mankind is concerned, might as well have been employed in pumping water out of the sea and allowing it to flow back again.” Continuing his showing he concludes: “We shall probably not think it an extravagant estimate that for every ten thousand men in a modern army and navy at least another ten thousand are wholly employed in making the necessary equipment and war-material — the labor of the whole twenty thousand being utterly wasted, inasmuch as all

that they produce is consumed, not merely unproductively and uselessly, but destructively. We may fairly estimate, then, that the military preparedness of modern Europe involves a total loss to the community of the labor of about *seven million* men, and a corresponding amount of animal and mechanical power and of labor-saving machinery," etc. (P. S. M., pp. 522, 523. See also N. A. R., p. 686.)

(c) *Taxation.* "Let us next consider the heavy burden of taxation upon all the chief European peoples, the increase of which during recent years has been almost wholly caused by increased military expenditure and the interest on debts incurred for wars or preparations for war, for fortifications, or for military railways. This increase may be best estimated by comparing the expenditure of 1870, the year before the Franco-German War, with that of 1884. During this period of fourteen years our own expenditure has increased from £75,000,000 to £87,000,000; that of Austria from £55,000,000 to £94,000,000; that of France from £85,000,000 to £142,500,000; that of Germany from £54,000,000 to £112,500,000; that of Italy from £40,000,000 to £61,500,000; and that of Russia from £66,000,000 to £114,500,000. Altogether the expenditure of the six great powers of Europe has increased from £345,000,000 to £612,000,000, an additional burden of £266,500,000 a year. The population of those states is now a little over 269,000,000, so that they have to bear, on the average, an addition of taxation amounting to nearly a pound a head or about five pounds for each family, a most oppressive amount when we consider the extreme poverty of the masses in all these states, and that even before this period of inflated war expenditure they had already to support a heavy and often an almost unbearable load of taxation" (P. S. M., pp. 523, 524).

From *Mul.*, p. 436:—

#### TAXES.

##### A.—*National and Local Revenues.*

## B. — Increase of Taxation in Great Britain and France.

Thousands omitted.

YEAR.	UNITED KINGDOM.			FRANCE.		
	National.	Local.	Total.	National.	Local.	Total.
1830 . . . . .	£55,500	£10,820	£66,320	£39,600	£7,100	£46,700
1840 . . . . .	59,360	10,240	69,600	46,400	8,800	55,200
1850 . . . . .	55,800	11,050	66,850	55,200	11,700	66,900
1860 . . . . .	71,100	14,950	86,050	68,500	18,100	86,600
1870 . . . . .	75,400	24,300	99,700	72,900	21,300	94,200*
1882 . . . . .	85,700	38,100	123,800	112,300	32,400	144,700

Append to the above "Sidney Smith's graphic account of England's taxation" from the *Edinburgh Review*: "Taxes upon every article which enters the mouth, or covers the back, or is placed under the feet; taxes upon everything which it is pleasant to see, hear, feel, smell or taste; taxes upon warmth, light and locomotion; taxes upon everything on the earth, and in the waters under the earth; taxes on everything that comes from abroad, or is grown at home; taxes on the raw material, and upon every fresh value that is added to it by the industry of man; taxes on the sauce that pampers man's appetite, and the drug that restores him to health; on the ermine which decorates the judge, and the rope which hangs the criminal; on the poor man's salt, and the rich man's spice; on the brass nails of the coffin, and the ribbons of the bride. Taxes we never escape; at bed or board, couchant or levant, we must pay. The school-boy whips his taxed top; the beardless youth manages his taxed horse with a taxed bridle, upon a taxed road; and the dying Englishman, pouring his medicine which has paid seven per cent, into a spoon that has paid fifteen per cent, flings himself back upon his chintz bed which has paid twenty-two per cent, makes his will on eight-pound stamp, and expires in the arms of an apothecary who has paid a license of a hundred pounds for the privilege of putting him to death. His whole property is immediately taxed from two to ten per cent. Besides the probate, large fees are demanded for burying him in the chancel; his virtues are handed down to posterity on taxed marble; and then he is gathered to his fathers — to be taxed no more" (P. M., pp. 30, 31).

(d) *National Debts.* War affords a golden opportunity to the exploiter, inasmuch as, by lending money to a government, often on terms extraordinarily favorable to the lender (see E. L. C. F., *passim*), the lender secures for himself and his descendants for an indefinite time, a lien on the wealth of the nation, based on security as firm as the foundations of the government

\* The figures are those of 1869, the year before the war.



itself. Doubtless many of the wars of the nineteenth century that have so puzzled those who believed war to be going out of date among civilized peoples, have been instigated by the great money-lenders of Europe for the express purpose of creating national debts. See E. L. S. E., Second Course, Lectures I., V. and VI. Following is Mulhall's table (p. 134) of the

Debts of Nations in Millions Sterling.

	1820.	1848.	1870.	1882.
United Kingdom . . . . .	841	773	801	769
France . . . . .	140	182	468	911
Germany . . . . .	53	40	148	229
Russia . . . . .	50	90	280	553
Austria . . . . .	99	125	340	419
Italy . . . . .	25	36	374	522
Spain . . . . .	52	113	285	300
Portugal . . . . .	8	17	59	94
Holland . . . . .	110	114	76	80
Belgium . . . . .	-	18	28	62
Denmark . . . . .	4	12	13	10
Sweden and Norway . . . . .	-	1	6	20
Greece . . . . .	-	10	18	18
Turkey . . . . .	-	-	92	110
EUROPE . . . . .	1,382	1,531	2,988	4,187
United States . . . . .	26	48	496	333
Spanish America . . . . .	4	62	144	237
Canada . . . . .	-	-	17	40
Australia . . . . .	-	-	37	97
India . . . . .	29	51	108	156
South Africa . . . . .	-	-	2	14
Egypt . . . . .	-	-	37	106
THE WORLD . . . . .	1,441	1,692	3,829	5,170

A graphic showing of the above facts, though with the numbers varying somewhat from the above, being sometimes greater and sometimes less, will be found in the cartoon published by *The Road*, Denver, Col., and entitled "The English Octopus." B. P., pp. 193-96, also contains statistics on war-debts.

5. HOW WAR HURTS THE WORKER.

"War is a game that, were their subjects wise  
Kings would not play at."

P. S. M., p. 525 and Br. Es., pp. 218-20, point out how war burdens, like most other burdens, including taxation and toil, fall most heavily on those least able to bear them; while such benefits as may accrue fall chiefly to those who contribute little or nothing to the result. Since, in most advanced countries, the oppressed and exploited now hold in their hands the key to the political situation, the ballot, they have now to blame only their own stupidity and unwillingness to coöperate politically if they continue to be crushed by burdens which they can vote from their shoulders when they choose.



6. HOW WAR HURTS THE BUSINESS MAN.—P. S. M., p. 525, shows clearly what should be obvious to any one who can see; viz., that war, by killing off some customers and impoverishing others, is bad for trade. Such an argument, once comprehended, will doubtless weigh more with many than any appeal to the conscience, the sympathies or the sense of logical consistency.

7. WEIGHTY TESTIMONIES AGAINST WAR AND FOR PEACE.—See B. P., III., pp. 1–12, also p. 52; and P. M., pp. 14–20. Among these witnesses we find the names of Cicero, Seneca, Raleigh, Louis Bonaparte, Wellington, Washington, Macchiavel, Lord Clarendon, Necker, Thomas Jefferson, Burke, Fox, Canning, Lord Brougham, Franklin, Benjamin Rush, Jeremy Bentham, Erasmus, Burton, Carlyle, Herbert Spencer, Tertullian, Irenæus, Jeremy Taylor, Bishop Watson, Cecil, Robert Hall, Chalmers, Judson and Jesus Christ.

8. CHRISTIANITY AND WAR.—That warfare in all its forms and phases is utterly and unalterably opposed to the whole spirit and genius of the Christian religion should be so evident to any one who will take the trouble to glance even superficially through the four gospels as to render a labored proof of the fact absurd. Yet Christians who religiously tithe the mint, anise and cummin and *fight* over the jot and tittle of the “written word,” will engage in war when called, and will stoutly defend militancy in time of peace. Such grotesque inconsistency can only be understood by remembering that few people have any conception of the meaning of consistency, or have ever laid their various beliefs and notions down side by side to see whether or not they do harmonize. One who attempts to do this work for them not infrequently has cause to repent his temerity. A consideration of the subject of Christianity and its attitude toward war may be found in Ch. Ex., pp. 157–80; Ec. M., V. 103, pp. 679–90; Br. Es., pp. 220, 221; P. M., Part II., Chap. v., and B. P., *passim*.

9. FORCES MILITATING AGAINST WARFARE.

(a) *The steady progress of the race toward humanity, sympathy and the consciousness of the interdependence of all the members of a given society and of all the members of the family of nations.* See H. M., p. 918, and K. S. E., especially chapters on “Western Civilization” and “Modern Socialism.”

(b) *The greater value constantly attaching to human life, shown in the increasing sentiment against murder, on the one hand, and against the execution of the murderer on the other; and shown still further in the increasing efficiency of judicial systems.* In time, however, people will see the absurdity in a state’s hunting a single murderer round the globe while, at the same time, it is planning the wholesale murder of its own citizens as well as of the citizens of some neighboring state.

(c) *The gradual rise of woman* to a consciousness of her place as a genuine social factor and her consequent preparation to assert herself as such. Her influence, in time, must inevitably be against war. "Justice," Chap. xx. and § 108; and H. M., pp. 919, 920.

(d) *The dawning consciousness that war does not pay.* See 4 above.

(e) *The growth of popular intelligence.* Demos is slowly opening his sleepy eyes. When once he has got them fully open the chances are that he will decline longer to play at the game of war for the amusement or enrichment of his masters.

(f) *The vast improvements in killing machinery* and the advantage, under the coming *regime*, of the invaded over the invader. See "The Warfare of the Future," by Archibald Forbes, an article copied by the *Eclectic Magazine* for July, 1891, from the *Nineteenth Century*; "The Future of Warfare," by Captain E. L. Zalinski, U. S. A., in the *North American Review* for December, 1890; Ec. M., V. 115, 470, and Eng. M., V. 3, 226.

10. SUBSTITUTES FOR WAR. — Among the proposed substitutes for war are (1) *negotiation*, (2) *arbitration*, (3) *mediation*, and (4) *a congress of nations*. (B. P., I, 7). Of these the most hopeful is arbitration. "There is no period known to history in which instances are not found of arbitration as a substitute for force, and we can only wonder when we consider the historical antiquity of the former that the latter should have maintained its hold so long, so constantly and so fiercely" (H. M., V. 87, p. 920). Plans of arbitration are indicated in N. A. R., 682, 683, and in B. P., 218 *et seq.* The United States enjoys the honorable distinction of leading the world in the employment of this peaceful method of settling international differences; it has entered into forty-seven agreements for international arbitration; . . . one of its representatives has seven times acted as arbitrator; . . . it has erected thirteen tribunals under its own laws to determine the validity of international claims; the total, therefore, of the arbitrations or *quasi* arbitrations to which it has been a party is sixty-seven" (H. M., p. 923. See also N. A. R., p. 685).

## REFERENCES.

- B. P., "Book of Peace."  
 Br. Es., 42, British Essayists, Vol. 42.  
 Ch. Ex., 42, *Christian Examiner*, Vol. 42; "Moral Influence of War," by W. B. O. P.  
 Civ., "Civilization, an Historical Review," by Charles Morris.  
 Ec. M., 103, *Eclectic Magazine*, Vol. 103; "War and Christianity," by Canon J. A. Farrar.  
 Ec. M., 115, *Eclectic Magazine*, Vol. 115; "War in the Future," by Colonel W. W. Knollys.

*E. L. C. F.*, "Extracts from Laws of United States Relating to Currency and Finance," compiled at Harvard College.

*E. L. S. E.*, "Eighteen Lectures on Social Economics," by Thomas E. Will.

*Eng. M.*, 3, *Engineering Magazine*, Vol. 3; "War a Hundred Years Hence," by Emile Gautier.

*H. M.*, 87, *Harper's Magazine*, Vol. 87; "Arbitration," by F. R. Coudert.

*Justice*, "Justice," Part IV. of "Ethics," by Herbert Spencer.

*K. S. E.*, "Social Evolution," by Benjamin Kidd.

*M. & A.*, "Ancient History," by Myers and Allen.

*Mul.*, Mulhall's "Dictionary of Statistics."

*N. A. R.*, 151, *North American Review*, Vol. 151; "A Topic for Christmas," by Sir Lyon Playfair; and "The Future of Warfare," by Capt. E. L. Zalinski, U. S. A.

*P. S. M.*, 28, *Popular Science Monthly*, Vol. 28; "The Increasing Curse of European Militancy," by Alfred Russel Wallace.

*S. of S.*, "The Study of Sociology," by Herbert Spencer.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY ON PEACE AND WAR.

Numbers are for Boston Public Library.

##### 1. *Books, Pamphlets and Reports.*

##### 1. *Peace.*

American Peace Society. Progress of Peace [Boston, 1844]. 7572.186.

Arnoldson, K. P. Pax Mundi, a concise account of the movement for peace by means of arbitration, neutralization, international law and disarmament. 1892. 3568.134.

Beckwith, George Cone. The Peace Manual: or War and its Remedies. 1847. 3579.130.

Beckwith, George Cone. A Universal Peace Society, with the Basis of Coöperation in the Cause of Peace. 1844. 7572.23.

Bell, Rev. Benjamin. 1836.

Butts, Bryan J. "On Earth, Peace." 7464.137.

Channing, Walter. Thoughts on Peace and War. 1844. B170.35.

Comings, A. G. The Reign of Peace. 1845. 5450a.62.

Duty, The, of Ministers with Respect to the Cause of Peace. 7432.58.

Erasmus, D., Complaint of. 3577.15.

Friend, The, of Peace. Philo Pacificus [pseud]. 1815. 3577.4.

Grimke, Thomas Smith. Address [contrasting] Peace and War. 1832. 4396.83.

Hancock, T. Principles of Peace Exemplified. 3577.17.

Henry, Caleb Sprague. Principles and Prospects of the Friends of Peace. 1833. 4392.60.

Herald of Peace. Published under the auspices of the Peace Society. 5570.40.

Huntington, Frederick Dan. Peace, the Demand of Christianity. 1845. No. 1 in 5460a.9.

Jay, William. The Eastern War, an Argument for the Cause of Peace. 1855. 4396.79.

Ladd, William. A Brief Illustration of the Principles of War and Peace. 1831. 5579.76.

Ladd, William. The Essays of Philanthropos on Peace and War. 1825. 5579a.49.

Ladd, William. A Solemn Appeal to Christians of all Denominations in Favor of the Cause of Permanent and Universal Peace. 1836. No. 2 in 8576.83.

Lawrence, Edward Alexander, D. D. *The Progress of Peace Principles, and the Prospects of their Prevalence.* 1874. 7572.102.

Love, Alfred H. *Address before the Peace Convention in Boston, March 14, 15, 1866.* No. 12 in 5572.82.

Love, Alfred H. *An Appeal in Vindication of Peace Principles.* 1862. 5572.113.

Macnamara, H. T. J. *Peace, Permanent and Universal.* 1841. 5570a.45.

Malcom, Howard. *Signs of the Times Favorable to Peace.* 1862. No. 13 in 5572.82.

Massachusetts Peace Society. *Annual Report, 2d-8th, 17th, 18th. 1819-35.* 5572.79.

*Observations on the Kingdom of Peace, under the Benign Reign of Messiah.* 1816. No. 20 in 5570a.62.

*Prize Essay on a Congress of Nations, for the Adjustment of International Disputes, and for the Promotion of Universal Peace without Resort to Arms.* 1840. 5570a.40.

*Proceedings of the First General Peace Convention.* 3577.5.

*Proceedings of the Peace Conventions, held in Boston, March 14, 15, and in Providence, May 1, 6, 1866.* 1866. 7572.140.

Quincy, Josiah. *Address at the Fifth Anniversary of the Massachusetts Peace Society, Dec. 25, 1820.* No. 3 in Ppt. V. 258, etc.

Stebbins, Rufus Phineas. *Address on the Subject of Peace.* 1836. No. 8 in 4405.68.

Stebbins, Rufus Phineas. *Peace will Triumph.* 1857. No. 6 in 5460a.92.

*Thoughts on the Practical Advantages of those who hold the Doctrines of Peace, over those who Vindicate War.* 1816. 7573.41.

Tolstoi, Leo. *The Kingdom of God is within You.* Cassell Publishing Company, New York.

Universal Peace Society. *Proceedings of the Peace Conventions held in Boston and Providence in 1866.* 7580.54.

Upham, Thomas C. *The Manual of Peace.* 1836. 5570a.56.

Whipple, Charles King. *Dialogues between Frank and William illustrating the Principles of Peace.* 1838. No. 1 in 5579a.30.

*Various Addresses.* 5577.59.

*Sermons and Addresses.* 5572.82.

*Peace Catechism on Christian Principles.* Worcester, Noah, D. D. *The Peace Catechism on Christian Principles.* 1816. 7423.72.

*The Peace Conference of 1861 at Washington, D. C.* 1891. 4420a.100.

Lane, Joseph. *Speech on the Report of the Peace Conference, in the Senate, March 2, 1861.* 1861. No. 22 in 4325.118.

*Official Journal of the Conference Convention, held at Washington City, February, 1861.* 1861. No. 14 in 4213.77.

*Peace Congress: Proceedings of the Third General Peace Congress.* Richard, H., 3577.3.

Chittenden, Lucius Eugene. *A Report of the Debates and Proceedings in the Secret Sessions of the Conference Convention for Proposing Amendments to the Constitution of the United States held at Washington, in February, 1861.*

Hoppin, William Warner?

*Peace Congress.* *Report of the Proceedings of the Second General Congress, held in Paris, August, 1849.* 3577.6.

*Peace Convention, 1838.* *Proceedings, Boston, Sept. 18-20, 1838.* 1838. 7579.102.

*Declaration of Sentiments, Adopted by the Peace Convention, held in Boston, Sept. 18-20, 1838.* 7580.53.

*Peace Convention, June 3, 1863.* Proceedings of the great Peace Convention held in New York City, June 3, 1863. No. 13 in 4213.62.

*Peace Lyrics.* Adams, Henry Gardiner. Peace Lyrics. K 179a.16.

*Peace-Maker, The: or, Great Britain's Blessing.* 4600.11.8.

*Peace Movement in England.* No. 25 in 5570a.62.

*Peace on Earth.* Simmons, James P. Peace on Earth: In which is presented the brief and plain system of religion which is revealed in the Bible [etc.]. 1878. 5440a.109.

*Peace Papers.* Bill Arp's [pseud.] Peace Papers. 1873. 1887.3.

*Peace Parliament, The: or, The Reconstruction Creed of Christendom.* [A satire directed against the leaders of religious thought in England.] 1879. 5489a.73.

*Peace Periodicals.* Advocate of Peace. [Periodical.] Boston. 5570.41.

American Advocate of Peace. [Periodical.] Hartford. 7572.81.

Harbinger of Peace. [Periodical.] New York. 7589a.93.

Peace Almanac for 1845. Pph. V. 143.

*Peace and other Poems.* White, John J. Peace and other Poems. 1867. 2407.59.

Peace and Reform against War and Corruption. No. 1 in 6553.19.

*Peace Society, London.* Tracts: Letter from Committee. 1845. 7577.15.

*Peace and War.* (Various Publications.) 5578.73. — 5570a.62.

Arbitration (International). American Peace Society. Prize Essays on a Congress of Nations for the Adjustment of International Disputes without Resort to Arms. 1840. 5570a.40.

Arbitration as a Substitute for War. 7573.32.

Balch, Thomas. International Courts of Arbitration. 1874. 7569a.48.

Bowen, Sir Charles Synge Christopher. The Alabama Claims and Arbitration Considered from a Legal Point of View. 1868. 4424.11.

Caldwell, James Stamford. A Treatise of the Law of Arbitration; with an Appendix of Precedents. 1822. 3675.6.

International American Conference, 1889. Report and Recommendations concerning a Plan of Arbitration for the Settlement of Disputes between the American Republics. U. S. 51st Cong. Exec. Doc. No. 224. — No. 4 in 4310a.163.

National Arbitration Convention. Resolutions adopted in Washington on the 30th and 31st of May, 1882. 1882. 4421.131.

Richard, Henry, M. P. Papers on the Reasonableness of International Arbitration. 1887. 5573.85.

*Congress of Nations.* A Congress of Nations for the Peaceful Adjustment of all International Disputes. No. 1 in 7560a.53.

Bolles, John Augustus. Essay on a Congress of Nations, for the Pacific Adjustment of International Disputes. 1839. 5570a.40.

*Society for the Promotion of Permanent and Universal Peace.* Annual Report, 2d, 16th, 25th, 26th, 29th. 1818-45. 5570a.44.

Tracts, New Series. No. 2, 3, 4 (parts 1, 2) 5, 6. No. 10, 11 in Pph. Vol. 254.

Gurney, John Joseph. An Essay on War, and on its Lawfulness under the Christian Dispensation. No. 7 in 5573.24.

*Universal Peace Congress, Chicago, 1893.* World's Columbian Exposition. World's Congress Auxiliary. Official Report of the 5th Universal Peace Congress, held at Chicago, Aug. 14-20, 1893. 1893. 5572.138.

*Universal Peace Congress, London, 1890.* Proceedings, 1890. 3571.106.

*Universal Peace Society.* Address of, to all Persons, Families, Communities and Nations. 1866. No. 17 in 7560a.53.

Proceedings of Peace Conventions, held in Boston and Providence, 1866. 7580.54.

*American Peace Society.* Report, 8th, 10th, 25th, 28th. 1836-38-53-56. 5572.70.

The Book of Peace: A Collection of Essays on War and Peace. 1845. 5579.59.

Coues, Samuel Elliott. War and Christianity: An Address before the American Peace Society, on the fourteenth Anniversary, May 23, 1842. 7577.47.

Dewey, Orville. An Address before the American Peace Society, Boston, May, 1848. 7577.123.

Jay, William, *judge*. Annual Address [before the American Peace Society]. June, 1855. The Eastern War an Argument for the Cause of Peace. No. 3 in Pph. Vol. 392.

Ladd, William. The Duty of Women to Promote the Cause of Peace. 1840. No. 3 in 3576.33.

May, Samuel Joseph. An address before the American Peace Society, in Boston, May 28, 1860. No. 4 in 4396.59.

Progress of Peace. 1844? 7572.186.

Roberts, Mary. Peace Societies, and the Scenes which have occurred within the last Sixty Years. No. 22,22 in 4265.62.

Roberts, Mary. Results of War. No. 19 in 4265.62.

Roberts, Mary. Sketch of the Miseries Suffered by the Germans during the Seven Years' War, 1756-1763. No. 20 in 4265.62.

Walker, Amasa. Iron-clad Warships; or, the Prospective Revolution in the War System. Speech before the American Peace Society, May 26, 1862. 4350a.56.

Walker, Amasa. The Suicidal Folly of the War-System. An Address before the American Peace Society, May 25, 1863. 3577.20.

#### *War. Moral Aspects.*

Alger, William Rounseville. The Relation of War to Human Nature. 1861. No. 15 in 4496.1.

Amos, Sheldon. Political and Legal Remedies for War. 1880. 3575.67.

Carlsen, Wilhelm. War as it is. 1892. 3579.120.

Channing, William Ellery, D. D. Lecture on War. 5573.77.

Channing, William Ellery, D. D. A Sermon on War. 1816. No. 2 in 3577.4.1.

Considerations Respecting the Lawlessness of War. Yearly Meeting of Friends in New York. B170b.57.

Declaration, A, of the Society of People (commonly called Shakers), showing their reasons for refusing to aid or abet the Cause of War, etc. 1815. No. 1 in 7549a.72.

Duty, The, of Christians with Respect to War. No. 25 in 4265.62.

Dymond, Jonathan. An Inquiry into the Accordancy of War with the Principles of Christianity. 3577.23.

Dymond, Jonathan. War. An Essay. With introductory words by John Bright. 1889. 5574.105.

Erasmus, D. Plea against war. 3577.15.

Erasmus, Desiderius. Anti-polemus; or the Plea of Reason, Religion and Humanity against War. 1795. 3474.2.5.

Friends, Society of. An Address of Representatives of the Society from Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Delaware, on the War. 1887. 7575.-40.

Friends, Society of. War an Unnecessary Evil, forbidden by Scripture. 1886. 7577.135.

Gurney, Joseph John. An Essay on War, and on its Lawfulness under the Christian Dispensation. 1833. No. 7 in 5573.24.

Hall, Edward B. Christians Forbidden to Fight. 1844. No. 4 in Ppt. vol. 376.

Helps, Sir Arthur. Conversations on War and General Culture. 1871. 6606.4.

Horrida Bella. An Impeachment of the War System. 1889. 3578.100.

Jay, William, judge. War and Peace: the Evils of the First, and a Plan for Preserving the Last. 1842. 3577.13.

Cobden Club Essays, second series, 1871-72. Laveleye, E. de, on the Causes of War, and the Means of Reducing their Number. 3565.52.

Ley, John. The Fury of Warre, etc. 1643. No. 16 in H87.10.

Massie, James William. The Contrast: War and Christianity. 1854. 4278.87.

Palm, Andrew J. The Death Penalty. A Consideration of the Objections to Capital Punishment, with a Chapter on War. 1891. 3574.75.

Parker, Theodore. A Sermon on War, June 7, 1846. No. 3 in 5442.50.

Peabody, Andrew P. The Nature and Influence of War. 1843. 5577.-59.

Peace. [Various Tracts on Peace and War.] 1815. 5573.41.

Rees, Evan. Sketches of the Horrors of War, etc. 1818. No. 11 in Pph. vol. 254.

Ruskin, John. The Crown of Wild Olive. Three Sections on Work, Traffic and War. 1866. 4578.30.

Scott, John, Esq. War Inconsistent with the Doctrine and Example of Christ. 1818. No. 10 in Pph. V. 254.

Smith, John. The Doctrine of Christianity, as held by Quakers, vindicated; in answer to Gilbert Tennent's Sermon on the Lawfulness of War. 1748. H95.58.

Stone, T. T. Sermons on War. 3577.19.

Sumner, Charles. The War System of the Commonwealth of Nations. 1849. 3577.21.

Thrush, Thomas. The Apology of an Officer for Withdrawing from the Profession of Arms, etc. 1828. 7494.20.

Thrush, T. The Causes and Evils of War, etc. 1828. 7494.29.

Thrush, Thomas. A letter addressed to the Editor of the Monthly Magazine, and to Editors and Reviewers in general, on the Unlawfulness of War. 1826. 7577.33.

Tilden, William Phillips. All War forbidden by Christianity. 1847. 7583.57.

War inconsistent with the Religion of Jesus Christ, as it is inhuman, unwise and criminal. 1815. 5578.25.

War; the Great Crime of our Civilization. No. 11 in 5570a.62.

Whelpley, Samuel. Letters addressed to Caleb Strong, showing that Retaliation, Capital Punishment and War are contrary to the Laws of Christ. 1818. Pph. V. 254.

Williams, John Henry. War the Stumbling Block of a Christian. 1795. No. 5 in 6553.19.

Worcester, Noah. The Friends of Peace, etc. 1815. No. 2 in 5573.41.

## 2. Current Literature.

Arbitration, International. (C. C. Beaman, Jr.) *North American Review*, 102:473. — (A. J. H. Crespi) *Colburn's New Monthly Magazine*, 156:144. — (T. D. Woolsey) *International Review*, 1:104. — *Tait's Edinburgh Magazine*, n. s., 22:293.

— Fate of. (E. L. Godkin) *Nation*, 18:390.

— versus War, *Eclectic Review*, 90:236.

Arbitration, International. *Leisure Hour*, 40:489. — (E. Berwick) *Overland*, n. s., 14:70. — (S. L. Holland) *Westminster Review*, 133:240. — (Sir L. Playfair) *North American Review*, 151:676.



Arbitration, International, A Standing Court of. (N. D. Davis) *Nation*, 53:213. — (E. L. Lord) *Annals of American Academy*, 2:471. — (W. S. Logan) *Lend a Hand*, 8:19.

— The United States and. (J. B. Moore) *American Law Review*, 26:66.

Arbitration. (F. R. Coudert) *Harper's Magazine*, 87:918.

— Between England and America. *Spectator*, 70:845.

Arbitration as a Remedy for War: Memorial to Congress. *Our Day*, 1:184.

Arbitration in War. *Saturday Review*, 64:870.

Peace. *Edinburgh Review*, 20:213. — (A. C. Swinburne) *Fraser*, 53:659.

*All the Year Round*, 25:396. — (Mrs. C. Martin) *Irish Monthly*, 7:253. — (Lord Clarendon) *Penny Monthly*, 1:73.

— Address on. (Sir D. Brewster) *Hogg's Instructor*, 8:166.

— and Peace Societies. *Christian Examiner*, 26:179.

— Intellectual Basis of Civilized. (L. Mann) *Overland Monthly*, 10:215.

— International. (T. von Bunsen) *Nation*, 45:350, 371.

— Is it? The Question of the Age. (T. E. C. Leslie) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 2:72.

— Plea for, 1887. *Edinburgh Review*, 166:547.

— Predicted Era of. *Chambers' Journal*, 64:209.

— Progress of Principle of. (W. Howitt) *People's Journal*, 1:333.

Peace Movement and its Congresses. *New York Quarterly*, 1:184.

Peace or War. (G. O. Morgan) *Contemporary Review*, 60:469.

— The Sword, and Arbitration. (A. F. Marshall) *American Catholic Quarterly*, 12:599.

— The True Interest of Nations. (C. C. Hazewell) *Continental Monthly*, 1:428.

— Universal Preservation of. (A. Beatty) *West, J.*, 13:190, 235, 310.

Peace Cause. (E. S. Gannett) *Christian Examiner*, 41:173. — *Democratic Review*, 10:107.

Peace Congress. (J. P. Edwards) *People's Journal*, 6:197, 225.

— at Paris, 1849. *Hogg's Instructor*, 4:188.

— Necessity of, 1855. *Living Age*, 48:433.

— Prize Essays on a. (Sir D. Brewster) *North British Review*, 16:1.

— American. (C. Schurz) *Nation*, 34:114.

— in London, 1890. (R. B. Howard) *Our Day*, 6:329. — *Unitarian Review*, 34:244.

Peace Conference at Brussels, 1882. *Saturday Review*, 54:331.

Peace League of Universal Brotherhood. (J. P. Blanchard) *Christian Examiner*, 44:356.

Peace Principles, Progress of. (H. Ware, Jr.) *Christian Examiner*, 33:291.

Peace Society, Work of an International. *Victoria Magazine*, 20:25.

Peace Societies. (A. H. Everett) *North American Review*, 6:25. — *Knickerbocker Magazine*, 3:333, 401. — Cong. M., 13:13.

— Ought Ladies to Form? (S. J. Hale) *Godey*, 21:88.

— Work for. (E. L. Godkin) *Nation*, 27:281.

Congress of Nations. (O. Dewey) *American Whig Review*, 5:341. — *North British Review*, 16:1. — (W. Allen) *American Quarterly Observer*, 2:50. — (A. P. Peabody) *Christian Examiner*, 29:83. — *Methodist Quarterly Review*, 2:220. — *New York Quarterly*, 1:184.

War and Christianity. *Church Review*, 26:603. — *Boston Review*, 3:252. — *Eclectic Magazine*, 76:369. — (G. Collins) *Universalist Quarterly*, 40:158. — (J. A. Farrer) *Gentleman's Magazine*, n. s. 33:261. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 103:679.

— a Hundred Years Hence. (E. Gautier) *Engineering Magazine*, 3:223.

— and its Attendant Maladies. (F. R. Grahame) *Gentleman's Magazine*, n. s. 23:220.



- War and Peace Congress. *Living Age*, 28:362.
- and Progress. (E. Dicey) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 16:167. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 69:179.
- and Workingmen. (T. Burt) *Fortnightly Review*, 38:718.
- Abolition of. (F. C. Sparhawk) *Education*, 7:420.
- Arbitration as a Substitute for. (S. W. Boardman) *Presbyterian Quarterly*, 3:307.
- Brussels Conference and Laws of. (H. S. Edwards) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 31:410.
- Can it be Avoided? (Lord Amberley) *Fortnightly Review*, 15:614.
- Causes of in Europe. (E. de Laveleye) *Fortnightly Review*, 19:149.
- Cost of. (G. H. Hubbard) *New Englander*, 56:222.
- Deadly Art of. *Leisure Hour*, 3:503, 535.
- Economic Effect of. *Spectator*, 58:510.
- Elimination of. (E. F. Hayward) *Unitarian Review*, 24:254. — *New York Quarterly*, 1:184.
- Ethics and. (D. A. Wasson) *Christian Examiner*, 72:1. — (F. W. Newman) *Westminster Review*, 73:400. — (T. R. E. Holmes) *St. James's Magazine*, 45:154.
- European Popular Feeling against. *Spectator*, 55:1306.
- Federation versus. *Westminster Review*, 131:1.
- F. Harrison. *Spectator*, 62:12.
- How can it be Prevented? *American Law Review*, 10:189.
- Increasing Curse of European Militancy. (A. R. Wallace) *Popular Science Monthly*, 28:521.
- Indefensible on Christian Principles. (A. P. Peabody) *Christian Examiner*, 18:368.
- Instinct, and What to do with it. *Once a Week*, 8:637.
- in the Future. (W. W. Knollys) *Fortnightly Review*, 54:274. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 115:470. — (A. Forbes) *Nineteenth Century*, 29:782. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 117:1. — (E. L. Zaslinski) *North American Review*, 151:688. — (J. P. Wisser) *United Service Magazine*, Philadelphia, 3:117.
- Is it ever Justifiable? (J. Adams) *Southern Literary Journal*, 3:430.
- Is it a Gospel of Peace? (F. A. Walker) *Lippincott's Magazine*, 4:201.
- John Bright on. *Spectator*, 60:284.
- Loss of Nations from. *Banker's Magazine* (L.) 37:451.
- Modern Improvements in. *Spectator*, 60:140.
- Moral Influences of. (W. B. O. Peabody) *Christian Examiner*, 42:157.
- Philosophy of. *Tait's Edinburgh Magazine*, n. s. 1:129.
- Philosophy of. (V. Cousin) *Southern Literary Magazine*, 34:445.
- Possible Improvements in Killing. *Spectator*, 55:923.
- Price we Pay for. *Leisure Hour*, 5:316.
- Proposed Mitigation of. *Month*, 9:588; 10:58.
- Providential View of. *Universalist Quarterly Review*, 19:248.
- Ruskin on. *Eclectic Review*, 125:97.
- Spirit, British, and its Effect upon Character. *Spectator*, 40:216.
- Under New Conditions. — (H. L. Abbot) *Forum*, 9:13.
- Waste of. *Leisure Hour*, 11:69.



Henry W. Longfellow

# THE ARENA.

No. LXII.

---

JANUARY, 1895.

---

## THE RELIGION OF LONGFELLOW.

---

BY REV. W. H. SAVAGE.

---

MR. LONGFELLOW was at the time of his death the most famous of Americans. For more than fifty years he had been sending forth his writings to a world that welcomed his slightest word. In both hemispheres his influence had been felt, giving a nobler cheer to the daily life, consecrating the home and sweetening the thoughts and the intercourse of men; and yet in all these years he said very little either about his own religion or that of other men. His early years of authorship were passed amid the eager debates of the great revolt of the New England mind against Calvinism, but Longfellow took no part in the strife of tongues and pens. He was the familiar friend of Channing and Emerson and the rest, but the limpid stream of his poesy is nowhere disturbed by the winds of doctrine that blew about him. And yet his poetry seems somehow to be *sacred* poetry. While nothing is said about religion, the reader of his lines soon feels that he has entered upon holy ground. The world through which we walk with him is fresh from the hand of God. Its streams whisper divine secrets, unpronounceable in words, its trees have messages from spirits that walk the woodland glades, its fields are glad to be the almoners of God's good gifts to men. His towns and cities are ennobled and made beautiful by saintly and heroic presences, and builder and blacksmith and farmer wear at their work the royal air of those engaged in a divine service.

Just how our poet works such results, it is hard to say. While we are under the spell of his spirit it does not occur

to us that there is any other way of looking upon the world and human life. We seem to be simply more keenly and sanely observant than at other times, and to be seeing realities which we had overlooked. It is as if our guide were an unfallen son of God to whom the inner meanings of things are plain, who tells us things sweeter and higher than he puts into words, and who lets us look for the time with his eyes upon the secret that consecrates the universe. So far as one can gather from the words and writings of those who were the familiar friends of Longfellow, there must have been about him some such inexpressible charm as I have indicated. A most gracious, kindly and hospitable man, whose home and hand were ever open, who took with infinite patience the demands made upon his time or his purse, there was, it is said, an inner silence as of a life apart. We know from his Journal that through all his years he was meditating the theme of his "Christus," and that the words he puts into the mouth of his Saint John reveal a motive that dominated his own spirit: —

And Him evermore I behold  
Walking in Galilee,  
Through the cornfield's waving gold,  
In hamlet and wood and in wold,  
By the shores of the Beautiful Sea.  
He toucheth the sightless eyes;  
Before Him the demons flee;  
To the dead He sayeth: Arise!  
To the living: Follow me!  
And that voice still soundeth on  
From the centuries that are gone,  
To the centuries that shall be!

Beholding evermore such a figure walking a world that took its meaning from that transcendent Life, Longfellow seems to have discovered the secret of seeing this nineteenth-century world as our backward-looking eyes sometimes see those Galilean pictures of the first century. And so it comes to pass that when we journey in his company, we find

"That all of good the past hath had  
Remains to make our own time glad,  
Our common, daily life divine,  
And every land a Palestine."

More than any other eminent singer, Longfellow seems to me the elected poet of youth. Whatever one of his charac-

teristic poems I read, I find myself transported to a younger world.

How beautiful is youth! how bright it gleams  
 With its illusions, aspirations, dreams!  
 Book of beginnings, story without end,  
 Each maid a heroine, and each man a friend!  
 Aladdin's lamp, and Fortunatus' purse,  
 That holds the treasures of the universe!—  
 All possibilities are in its hands,  
 No danger daunts it and no foe withstands;  
 In its sublime audacity of faith,  
 "Be thou removed!" it to the mountain saith,  
 And with ambitious feet, secure and proud,  
 Ascends the ladder leaning on the cloud!

So sang he, when seventy years had whitened his hair and brought him back to the scenes of his college memories. No matter what his theme, there are touches that tell of wistful glances backward towards the uplands of the dawn. "My Lost Youth" is an exquisite threnody, in which one can hear, mingling with the rustle of "Deering's Woods" and the low breathing of the sea, the far-echoing steps of a boy on his way to school, the voice of a youth who chants a brave "Psalm of Life," and the sigh of a man, who murmurs as he paces the familiar street,

A boy's will is the wind's will,  
 And the thoughts of youth are long, long thoughts.

The backward look so characteristic of our poet is seen in writings that deal ostensibly with passing aspects of nature and current events. "Rain in Summer" is a revery as truly as it is a description. The fields and highways are fields of memory and highways of thought, and the vista of the rain deepens to a distance where one sees a boy musing by the gate of dreams. "The Bridge" that spans the Charles changes insensibly to one that spans a gulf of years, whereunder rushes the stream of time. "Bruges" and "Nuremberg" are peopled by shadows of a noble and heroic past, and "The Old Clock on the Stairs" gives no heed to the little years of time, but counts, onward and backward, the æons of eternity.

I have said that there is, in the writings of Longfellow, very little of formal utterance on the subject of religion. I have also said that this reticence was far from indicating an absence of religious thinking and feeling. He was a Uni-

tarian by training and by conviction, and though he had "no religion to speak of," he had a very definite and noble religion to live by. He believed that this is God's world, and that the best religion is a pure and faithful life. The first statement of his practical creed was set forth in his youthful "Psalm of Life," the lines of which are household words in both hemispheres. Later this creed took form again in "The Builders" : —

All are architects of Fate,  
Working in these walls of Time;  
Some with massive deeds and great,  
Some with ornaments of rhyme.

Nothing useless is or low;  
Each thing in its place is best;  
And what seems but idle show  
Strengthens and supports the rest.

For the structure that we raise,  
Time is with materials filled;  
Our to-days and yesterdays  
Are the blocks with which we build.

Truly shape and fashion these;  
Leave no yawning gaps between;  
Think not, because no man sees,  
Such things will remain unseen.

In the elder days of Art,  
Builders wrought with greatest care  
Each minute and unseen part —  
For the gods see everywhere.

Let us do our work as well,  
Both the unseen and the seen;  
Make the house where gods may dwell,  
Beautiful, entire and clean.

Else our lives are incomplete,  
Standing in these walls of Time,  
Broken stairways, where the feet  
Stumble as they seek to climb.

At a still later time his practical faith was somewhat more elaborated in "The Ladder of St. Augustine" : —

Saint Augustine! well hast thou said,  
That of our vices we can frame



A ladder, if we will but tread  
Beneath our feet each deed of shame.

All common things, each day's events,  
That with the hour begin and end,  
Our pleasures and our discontents,  
Are rounds by which we may ascend.

The low desire; the base design  
That makes another's virtue less;  
The revel of the ruddy wine,  
And all occasions of excess;

The longing for ignoble things;  
The strife for triumph more than truth;  
The hardening of the heart, that brings  
Irreverence for the dreams of youth;

All thoughts of ill; all evil deeds,  
That have their roots in thoughts of ill;  
Whatever hinders or impedes  
The action of the nobler will:

All these must first be trampled down  
Beneath our feet, if we would gain  
In the bright fields of fair renown  
The right of eminent domain.

We have not wings, we cannot soar;  
But we have feet to scale and climb  
By slow degrees, by more and more,  
The cloudy summits of our time.

\* \* \* \* \*

The heights by great men reached and kept  
Were not attained by sudden flight,  
But they, while their companions slept,  
Were toiling upward in the night.

Standing on what too long we bore  
With shoulders bent and downcast eyes,  
We may discern — unseen before —  
A path to higher destinies.

Nor deem the irrevocable Past  
As wholly wasted, wholly vain,  
If rising on its wrecks, at last  
To something nobler we attain.

There is nothing theological in the phrasing of the foregoing creed. There seems, indeed, to be a careful avoidance

of everything that savors of traditional and churchly forms of statement; but the life that was framed to match the working of the builder and the striving of the saint assures us that it was lifted and inspired by something diviner than the desire to reach

The cloudy summits of our time.

Mr. Longfellow understood that life here and life beyond are not two, but one, and that a right care of the present is safety for the future.

When'er we cross a river at a ford,  
If we would pass in safety, we must keep  
Our eyes fixed steadfast on the shore beyond,  
For if we cast them on the flowing stream,  
The head swims with it; so if we would cross  
The running flood of things here in the world,  
Our souls must not look down, but fix their sight  
On the firm land beyond.

That he believed in a "firm land beyond," Mr. Longfellow's writings, despite his remarkable reticence, very plainly show, in words that have cheered and comforted countless souls in trouble:—

There is no death! what seems so is transition;  
This life of mortal breath  
Is but a suburb of the life elysian  
Whose portal we call Death.

She is not dead — the child of our affection —  
But gone unto that school  
Where she no longer needs our poor protection,  
And Christ himself doth rule.

In that great cloister's stillness and seclusion,  
By guardian angels led,  
Safe from temptation, safe from sin's pollution,  
She lives, whom we call dead.

Day after day we think what she is doing  
In those bright realms of air;  
Year after year, her tender steps pursuing,  
Behold her grown more fair.

Thus do we walk with her, and keep unbroken  
The bond which nature gives,  
Thinking that our remembrance, though unspoken,  
May reach her where she lives.

After Mr. Longfellow's death the drama of "Michael Angelo" was found in his desk. His Journal shows that he wrote into this work much of his latest meditations upon life and its relations to the unseen. It is, in a sort, his last confession, and it shows us that the more than thirty years that had passed since he gave to the world the secret of his "Resignation," had only enlarged his faith in the soul's larger destiny: —

Parting with friends is temporary death,  
As all death is. We see no more their faces,  
Nor hear their voices, save in memory.  
But messages of love give us assurance  
That we are not forgotten. Who shall say  
That from the world of spirits comes no greeting,  
No message of remembrance? It may be  
The thoughts that visit us, we know not whence,  
Sudden as inspirations, are the whispers  
Of disembodied spirits, speaking to us,  
As friends who wait outside a prison wall  
Through the barred windows speak to those within.

And again : —

Death is the chilliness that precedes the dawn;  
We shudder for a moment, then awake  
In the broad sunshine of the other life.

Death is but the enlargement of life. We, and not the departed, are the prisoners. But we are the "prisoners of hope," and our waiting is cheered by whispered words of remembrance and prophecies of liberty, from those who wait outside our prison wall. That is the poet's message to a world that is

— full of farewells to the dying,  
And mournings for the dead.

The sorrows of time, the clouds that shadow homes, the rain of tears that sweeps forever round the world, none of these bring permanent eclipse to the sunshine of his soul.

All is of God! If He but wave His hand,  
The mists collect, the rain falls thick and loud,  
Till, with a smile of light on sea and land,  
Lo! He looks back from the departing cloud.

Angels of Life and Death alike are His;  
Without His leave they pass no threshold o'er;  
Who, then, would wish or dare, believing this,  
Against His messenger to shut the door?

We might, perhaps, here make an end, but as Mr. Longfellow's religion was so much more a thing of character and action than a thing of words, we may fitly add something regarding the manifestations of his religion in the various relations he sustained to the home, to his associates and to mankind at large.

It is probably true that he, more than any other of the poets, was and is the poet of the people and their homes. It was said, at the time of his death, that in the sixteen years preceding, more than three hundred twenty-five thousand copies of his works had been sold in this country alone; and more than any of their own writers, he was the poet of the English people, also. His sweet and pure and tender genius has hallowed all domestic relations and events, and there is no one of the emotions common to "all sorts and conditions of men," that does not readily and fitly express itself in his verse.

In his greatest poem, "Evangeline," he has given the world such an epic of love as no man but himself could possibly have written. In the heroine of that matchless poem, the praise of womanhood is made perfect. Her home, in the little village of Grand Pré, still stands, despite the decays of time, a shrine to which love will make pilgrimage in the ages to come; and each youth who lays his garland at the feet of village maiden will carry thence a consecration to ennoble his life. And as he has sung the sweetest and purest song of love of any of our poets, so he sang, in the "Hanging of the Crane," the song of the home, as no other has sung it: —

For two alone, there in the hall,  
Is spread the table round and small;  
Upon the polished silver shine  
The evening lamps, but more divine,  
The light of love shines over all;  
Of love that says not mine and thine,  
But ours, for ours is thine and mine.

They want no guests, to come between  
Their tender glances like a screen,  
And tell them tales of land and sea,  
And whatsoever may betide  
The great, forgotten world outside;  
They want no guests; they needs must be  
Each other's own best company.

The years pass, the circle widens; the home is full of the pattering of small feet that grow steady and strong and then are lost in the great tramping of the world, of children's voices that laugh and sing and then die in the distance.

And now, like a magician's scroll,  
That in the owner's keeping shrinks  
With every wish he speaks or thinks,  
Till the last wish consumes the whole,  
The table dwindles, and again  
I see the two alone remain.  
The crown of stars is broken in parts;  
Its jewels, brighter than the day,  
Have one by one been stolen away  
To shine in other homes and hearts.  
One is a wanderer now afar  
In Ceylon or in Zanzibar,  
Or sunny regions of Cathay;  
And one is in the boisterous camp  
Mid clink of arms and horses' tramp,  
And battle's terrible array.  
I see the patient mother read,  
With aching heart, of wrecks that float  
Disabled on those seas remote,  
Or of some great heroic deed  
On battle-fields, where thousands bleed  
To lift one hero into fame.  
Anxious she bends her graceful head  
Above these chronicles of pain,  
And trembles with a secret dread  
Lest there among the drowned or slain  
She find the one beloved name.

This is all very simple; it seems as if any man might do it. So the story of the prodigal son is very simple, but somehow it took a genius to tell it — simply — and eyes have grown dim in the reading of it now for nineteen centuries. And Longfellow's way of dealing with the "simple annals" of the home has made him a beloved guest by every fireside of the English-speaking race.

"Was that God?" asked a little boy on whose forehead the aged poet had left a kiss as he went away after a call at a friend's house. And none of the boy's elders felt quite ready to answer in the negative for, just then, God seemed not far from every one of them. We cannot wonder that children's eyes regarded him with a kind of trustful worship, for there was in his regard for them the benignity of a divine Fatherhood.

Come to me, O ye children!  
For I hear you at your play,  
And the questions that perplexed me  
Have vanished quite away.

Ye open the eastern windows,  
That look towards the sun,  
Where thoughts are singing swallows  
And the brooks of morning run.

In your hearts are the birds and the sunshine,  
In your thoughts the brooklet's flow,  
But in mine is the wind of autumn  
And the first fall of the snow.

\* \* \* \* \*

Come to me, O ye children!  
And whisper in my ear  
What the birds and the winds are singing  
In your sunny atmosphere.

For what are all our contrivings,  
And the wisdom of our books,  
When compared with your caresses,  
And the gladness of your looks?

Ye are better than all the ballads  
That ever were sung or said;  
For ye are living poems,  
And all the rest are dead.

In the outward conditions of his life, Mr. Longfellow was most fortunate and happy. With good health, a noble enthusiasm and ample means for the gratification of his taste for books and his love for travel, he seemed far removed from the turmoil and struggle of the common life of men. His familiar associates were the wealthy and the famous, the scholars, the artists and the statesmen of his time; and it seemed to many that no rumor of the world's trouble and no care for its pain could reach him in his sheltered retirement. He was not, in the ordinary sense of the word, a reformer, but he has left behind him witnesses that record his sympathy with the want and sorrow of the world he did so much to sweeten and uplift. In his poem, "The Challenge," he summons the world's wealth and refinement and comfort to behold how they are surrounded at their feasts by the world's poverty and hunger and despair: —

There is a greater army,  
That besets us round with strife,

A starving, numberless army,  
At all the gates of life, —

The poverty-stricken millions  
Who challenge our wine and bread,  
And impeach us all as traitors,  
Both the living and the dead.

And whenever I sit at the banquet,  
When the feast and song are high,  
Amid the mirth and the music  
I can hear that fearful cry.

And the hollow and haggard faces  
Look into the lighted hall,  
And the wasted hands are extended  
To catch the crumbs that fall.

For within there is light and plenty,  
And odors fill the air;  
But without there is cold and darkness,  
And hunger and despair.

And there in the camp of famine,  
In the wind and cold and rain,  
Christ, the great Lord of the army,  
Lies dead upon the plain.

No more dreadful indictment of a selfish and comfortable civilization was ever drawn than that! And it came from the pen of the gentlest, most temperate and most forbearing of modern poets! If all the religion of the world had been as practical and as humane as the religion of Longfellow, the feasts of this nineteenth Christian century would not be disturbed by such spectres as now thrust themselves in amid the mirth and song.

It was a stalwart faith in God that enabled our poet to write, almost at the same time as the foregoing "Challenge," his noble song of the "Christmas Bells": —

I heard the bells on Christmas Day  
Their old, familiar carols play,  
And wild and sweet  
The words repeat  
Of peace on earth, good-will to men!

And thought how, as the day had come,  
The belfries of all Christendom  
Had rolled along  
The unbroken song  
Of peace on earth, good-will to men!

Till, ringing, singing on its way,  
 The world revolved from night to day,  
     A voice, a chime,  
     A chant sublime  
 Of peace on earth, good-will to men!

Then from each black, accursed mouth  
 The cannon thundered from the South,  
     And with the sound  
     The carols drowned  
 Of peace on earth, good-will to men!

It was as if an earthquake rent  
 The hearthstones of a continent,  
     And made forlorn  
     The households born  
 Of peace on earth, good-will to men!

And in despair I bowed my head;  
 "There is no peace on earth," I said;  
     " For hate is strong,  
     And mocks the song  
 Of peace on earth, good-will to men! "

Then pealed the bells more loud and deep:  
 " God is not dead; nor doth He sleep!  
     The Wrong shall fail,  
     The Right prevail,  
 With peace on earth, good-will to men! "

And the faith in which Longfellow lived did not fail him when the hand that had served his fellow men so long came to the last lines of his sweet and noble message. The final stanza of the " Bells of San Blas " was written nine days before the poet's death — and he wrote no more. The bells of the old convent were ringing out a call to the past, when kings wrought their will with the peoples whose bowed shoulders upheld the oppressions under which they groaned and when the priest was lord of human souls. And the soul of the seer, before whom the gates of the hereafter were unclosing, made answer in the out-raying light:—

O Bells of San Blas, in vain  
 Ye call back the Past again!  
     The Past is deaf to your prayer;  
 Out of the shadows of night  
 The world rolls into light;  
     It is daybreak everywhere.

It is daybreak! God speed the coming of the day!



# CHRISTIAN MISSIONS IN INDIA.

---

BY VIRCHAND R. GANDHI, B. A.

---

SINCE the close of the Parliament of Religions, India has excited much interest among the American people, and many liberal-minded persons have been set to thinking as to whether there is any necessity of sending missionaries to that country to save the souls of the poor "benighted Hindus." Many pious Christians of this country have been spending hundreds, nay thousands of dollars for the purpose of securing salvation to my countrymen, and they are under the fond impression that they are really doing a Christlike work. Some months ago I expressed in another review my views as to why these Christian missions have not been able to do the work contemplated by the followers of Christ.

Since the great Parliament of Religions, where I represented the Jain Community of India, I have spent considerable time in studying the political, educational, industrial and religious institutions of America, and I find much in all that I have studied that is commendable in this great country and its grand people — except its religion. In this I must frankly say that I fail to find spirituality or even the common practice of the brotherhood of man, much less love to those creatures dependent upon man. I have watched, with interest, the religious movement of this country and its attitude towards other countries whose religions differ from Christianity, and especially its attitude towards India and her religions. It is absolutely painful to see a body of people who claim their religion to have been founded upon goodwill and love to all mankind, who can only see through the eyes of bitter prejudice.

My former article, it seems, has called into action the bitter hostilities of most of the orthodox papers of this country and not a few abroad, and I have thought much upon these hostile criticisms. There has not come to my notice a single criticism from a liberal church or secular paper; but that love for all souls — orthodoxy — has added her bitter dose of criticism from every state in America, but not one of these "soul-loving" people has said one word or made the slightest effort to convert me or even to discuss the question with me, and, since every convert made

in India by America has cost her at least a thousand dollars, it would seem more economical if these "soul savers" would do a little mission work here on American soil. Possibly this would be too tame a business and there would not be enough self-sacrifice or hand-to-mouth hardship, as I have been told, since coming to America, is the case with missionaries in India. This may be the reason, too, why Christianity is such a failure in America. I should think, however (if a heathen may think on such subjects), that if the clergy of this country should devote some of their personal time teaching morality to the people on the streets where I have had cause to pity, they would find but little time or money for *foreign* mission work.

A Mr. F. P. Powers, son of a missionary, has given his views in the June number of the *Forum* in the spirit common to all missionaries in India. These missionaries do not come with gentleness and reasoning, but they come reviling our religions and customs. I believe in the principle of heredity and, therefore, I shall not blame Mr. Powers; I am, nevertheless, much surprised at the ignorance of Christian America concerning the religions of India, and especially since they have had their brightest lights (as I am told) in the mission field in that country for so many years. At least, since the great Parliament of Religions, those who have written in the various church papers ought to have known that, although I belong to the Hindu race, I did not represent any phase of Brahmanism, Vedism or Buddhism — but Jainism. The religion and philosophy of the Jains differ very materially from those of the Brahmans. In my article, I spoke of Christian missions in India from the standpoint of the followers of the Hindu or Brahman religion who only, in a religious sense, have to contend against the Christian missionaries. The Jains, neither as a community nor individually, have any contact whatever with the missionaries, so that, in directing their attacks against me, the church papers have hit the wrong person.

In reply to my article, the orthodox papers have weighed Christianity in the balance and tried to prove its success by counting the numbers of Christian converts in India, which numbers are, of course, furnished by the missionaries, who are *well* known in India for their *truthfulness*. They know well how to swell the list. From the rank and file of the poor, low, ignorant people come the Christian converts. And how are these secured? Sometimes by giving them each a half peck of rice per week until conversion is secured; others are secured by giving large dinners and serving sweetmeats prepared with intoxicants, which generally bring the greatest harvest of souls for ready baptism, and these converts are often registered in several churches, thereby increasing the list. Straightforward, honorable work is

a rarity with missionaries in India — that is, when they work at all.

The British Government have now added Burmah to their Indian Empire, and the Karen Christians, a wild tribe numbering many thousands, are added to the Christian community of India, which, of course, swells the number. Taking into consideration the Nestorians, Roman Catholics and the Lutheran Caste Christians, the Hindu converts (true Hindus) are but a mere handful. Civilization has, however, introduced into India another race or kind of people who are growing too numerous. These are the offspring of the poor, low-caste Hindu women and high-standing, moral, European Christian fathers (illegitimate, of course), but they help to swell the missionary report, and they dub them all Hindu — one might as well call your American mulatto an Anglo-Saxon. In Portuguese India they are called Descendants.

Mr. Powers may cite authorities like Sir Richard Temple, the professed enemy of all Hindus and noted for his false statements in connection with the Famine Reports of India; he may also cite with enthusiasm the names of native Christians whom his co-religionists worship. I have no desire to be personal, but I feel compelled to state some facts relating to their very Christianlike conduct. These converts have repeatedly, in the twilight, thrown chunks of beef in the windows and doors of Hindu homes. It is said that they were urged to commit these contemptible crimes by the missionaries themselves and then, under the influence of wine, they did their bidding. They know that the Hindus, according to their religion, could not sleep or remain in their houses after beef had in any way been brought into it until everything in the house is thoroughly cleansed. So long as a Hindu is true to his religion (I mean a high-caste Hindu) he will never eat, touch or taste meat, wines or liquors, but as soon as he forsakes his religion and becomes a Christian, he is at liberty to do all these and more. The missionaries have, for the most part, acted in India with the same spirit, and Mr. Powers is an authority on that subject when he says in his "Christlike" spirit, "If abstaining from meat fosters the belief that there is a god under cowhide, it is the duty of the missionaries to eat meat three times a day, if, thereby, they may help to convince the dupes of Brahman superstition that beef is diet and not deity." I am glad Mr. Powers has resounded the true missionary spirit, which will aid my countrymen to understand what kind of humble, Christlike, soul-loving people they will have to contend with henceforth.

I really believe that a large majority of those kind and noble-hearted people who give so freely to the missionary fund are under the impression that the life of the average missionary is

one of hardship, danger and self-sacrifice. This is far more likely to be the case with the man who embarks in a business enterprise in a foreign country. Madame Ida Pfeifer, the great traveller, was of the same opinion regarding the missionaries until she visited their stations in India, but I will quote her own words. She says:—

My opinion was that the missionaries were almost if not complete martyrs, and I thought that they were so absorbed with zeal and the desire to convert the heathen that, like the disciples of Christ, quite forgetting their own comforts and necessities, they dwelt with them under the same roof and ate from one dish, etc. Alas! these were pictures and representations which I had gathered out of books—in reality the case was very different. They lead the same kind of life as the wealthy; they have handsome dwellings which are fitted up with luxurious furniture; they recline upon easy divans while their wives preside at the tea table; their children attack the cake and sweetmeats heartily. Indeed, their position is pleasanter and freer from care than that of most people; their occupation is not very laborious, and their income is certain, whatever may be the national or political condition of their country.

Many of the missionaries believe that they might effect a great deal by preaching and issuing religious tracts in the native languages in the towns and villages. They give the most attractive reports of the multitudes of people who crowd to hear their preaching and receive tracts, and it might be reasonably thought that, according to their representations, at least half of their hearers would become converts to Christianity. But, unfortunately, the listening and the receiving of tracts is as good as no proof at all. Would not Chinese, Indian or Persian priests have just as great troops of hearers if they appeared in their respective national costumes in England or France and preached in the language of those countries? Would not the people flock around them? Would they not receive the tracts given out *gratis* even if they could not read them? And I will add that in America, too, I think this was demonstrated at the Parliament of Religions, where it was a fact that at least a third and sometimes two thirds of the great audience of Columbus Hall would make a rush for the exits when a fine orator from India had closed his speech. It was even a very noticeable fact that, long before the close of the great Parliament, some of my countrymen, made popular by the Parliament, were used as a drawing card to hold the great audiences, and in this way thousands were compelled to sit and listen to long, dry, prosy papers by Christians. They showed plainly that they were not interested, but there they sat enduring with much murmuring, expecting the next speaker might be one of the popular Orientals whose name was usually first on the bulletin board. When this was the case here in this great civilized city of Chicago, what can you expect from the illiterate poor of India?

Madame Pfeifer says :—

I have made the minutest inquiries in all places respecting the results of missions, and have always heard that a baptism is one of the greatest rarities. The few Christians in India who here and there form villages of twenty or thirty families have resulted principally from orphan children who had been adopted and brought up by the missionaries, but even these require to be supplied with work and comfortably attended to in order to prevent them from falling back into their superstitions.

Alluding to the manner in which missionaries travel about to spread the gospel, she says :—

At the same time, it must be remembered that these journeys are not made in a very simple manner, as mine has been, for instance. The missionary surrounds himself with numerous conveniences; he has palanquins carried by men, pack horses or camels, with tents, beds, culinary and table utensils, servants and maids in sufficient number. And who pays for all this? Frequently poor credulous souls in Europe and North America, who often deny themselves the necessities of life that their little savings may be squandered in this way in distant parts of the world.

An American gentleman, who was an officer of high standing in the late war of the Rebellion of 1861–65 in this country, and is highly esteemed by all, who has spent ten years in India, and several in China and Japan, related to an American in my presence a few days ago, his experience and observations made concerning missionaries in those foreign countries in which he had sojourned. Among other things, he stated that he had listened to a sermon by a missionary one Sunday on board a steamer carrying several hundred passengers. In his sermon, the missionary stated that he had endured every kind of hardship and privation, that he and his family were living from hand to mouth that they might save and win souls to Christ. After this harangue was over, the gentleman met the preacher on the upper deck and accosted him thus, —

“Did you not make some very strange remarks to-day for a man in your position?”

“No,” said the missionary, “I told the truth.”

“Oh! indeed,” said the gentleman, “I see you do not remember me, but I know you quite well. Also I know that you have a handsome residence, where you keep in your employ just twenty servants. I know, too, that you own a fine cotton estate in India. I know that you have an interest in two cotton mills, one in Bombay, the interest of which yields you eight thousand dollars a year. I know that you have an interest in a prosperous mine, and I also know that you own a magnificent place on the Hudson in America and that your daily life is that of a prince; how dare you give such rubbish to these people?”

At this the gentleman stated that the missionary threw up his hands saying: “Oh, say no more about it, I see you know who I

am. But we have to tell such things to these people; it's the only kind of talk that will do the work and bring the desired effect." This was a confession from a cornered missionary of the highest standing, who has since the gentleman stated, retired, and is now living in America. This gentleman also mentioned that an honest missionary who had spent twelve years in China told him once, confidentially, that he believed that he had converted two Chinese in the twelve years. He believed he had, he was not quite positive.

Mr. Powers may well believe in the missionary reports and the statements made therein, but we, in India, know very well what they are doing. We know what kind of religion they are preaching, and also know what trouble they are making for the natives.

I read last year, in Bombay, a tract published by a missionary society reviling the Hindu religion in such terms that if a similar tract were published in this country, it would have caused bloodshed; the same would have been the case in India had it not been for the wise and conciliatory spirit of the Hindus. Quite recently the missionaries of India have published a work entitled "Theosophy Exposed." I am not a Theosophist, but the unjust and diabolical statements presented in that work are fair samples of how the missionaries work in India and how they present our religions to the world. And this is not all because of ignorance on their part.

I do not know why Christians glory in reviling everything not their own dogma. They forget their past history. How did they propagate their religion in times gone by? Was it by sweet reasonableness or by the use of the sword? The Protestants will say, "It was the Catholics who did that." Even in their own church let us take Luther, the great reformer. He exhibited great inhumanity towards Anabaptists, as appears from his own letter to his friend Myconius in which he writes: "I am pleased that you intend to publish a book against the Anabaptists as soon as possible. Since they are not only blasphemous but seditious men, let the sword exercise its right over them. For this is the will of God, that he shall have judgment who resisteth the Power." Luther only wanted sufficient strength and authority to be a theological despot. And later did not Calvin, the founder of that select body, Presbyterianism, order Servetus to be burned at the stake? I need not mention the name of Munzer, Archbishop Laud and others. Even now Protestants and Catholics, Episcopalians, Presbyterians, Methodists and others are pursuing one another with deadly hostility in Christian lands.

The Duke of Somerset once related how a Chinese mandarin was puzzled by having two kinds of Christianity presented



(Papist and Protestant). In India, there are representatives of some twenty-five different missionary societies, differing more or less in policy, organization, discipline and partially in doctrine. You cannot go anywhere in India without being confronted with the question, "To what church do you belong?" — and when told they may add, "Oh! there are so many so-called Christian bodies besides yours." How are we to understand which is right (if any)? Go settle your differences and show brotherly love among yourselves, and then come to us, if you can come not reviling. And what do the missionaries preach in India? I will let the missionary speak for himself. Bishop Caldwell says: —

The people of this country [India] alone worship as gods, Vishnu, Shiva, Krishna and various similar gods. The very names of these divinities are unknown in every other country. Seeing then that Shiva, Vishnu and the rest of the Hindu divinities are worshipped as gods by the people of India alone, if they were really gods, they would certainly be very gracious to the people of this country. . . . They would certainly give proof of it by abusing the white men who do not worship them and by exalting their worshippers, the people of this country. They would bestow on the Hindus unbounded wisdom, favor and prosperity, and would probably commit to them the government of the rest of mankind. . . . How widely different is the condition of the Hindus! The supreme government of every part of India has passed into the hands of Christians.

This is the sheet anchor of Christian argument for superiority, but it is an old argument. The Mohammedans for eight hundred years have presented it in India, with the sword in one hand and the Koran in the other, but where are they to-day? Every one has his day. This is the Christian day, and he is producing the same argument at the point of the bayonet. The so-called disciples of the Bible believe in power as the supreme manifestation and demonstration of truth. So do we, but we go one step farther, and have declared for thousands of years to the world that power, indeed, is the test of truth, but goodness is the highest power in the world. If it is powerful to do, it is a hundred times more powerful to suffer. Such outpourings of savages over the land of Brahmata, such scourges of the human race have been let loose upon the plains of beautiful India over and over again. But, like a meteor coming out of eternal darkness, flashing across our horizon of view and plunging back into eternal darkness — its origin and its mother's womb — all these oppressors and tyrants, with all their claim for truth and power, must, in the long run, melt like mist on the river, and the Hindu will live on, firm in his faith that goodness and not oppression is the highest power in the land.

In reply to my article, some of the church organs retorted by citing the alleged cruelties and superstition of the Hindus and the ignorance and slavery of their women. It seems that these

so-called religious people of America are better informed on Hindu matters than the Hindus themselves. For instance, they are told by the missionaries that women throw their babies in the Ganges, that the people throw themselves under the car of Jajanath. I had never heard of this story in India; it was only in the city of Chicago that these facts were brought to my notice, and I have spent considerable time at Benares on the Ganges. Shall I thank those church organs for enlightening me on matters which never at any time existed in India. Sir William Hunter, who resided many years in India, has publicly, in his works, exposed the missionary calumny of the car of Jajanath. As to the practice of widow burning, that was introduced centuries ago by the foreign conquerors of India — the Tartars — whose belief was that everything that was disposed of with a dead man he was sure to possess in the next life, and the ignorant and selfish Brahman priesthood readily availed themselves of the opportunity to set their iron heels on the necks of the masses who were fast becoming superstitious through foreign invasions. The old Vedic religion, which sanctioned for them the sacrifice of animals, was pliant enough to allow authority for this practice, and the Brahmans got hold of a text in Rig Veda which they mangled and misconstrued, and thus furnished divine sanction for suttee. Who were primarily responsible for this custom? Not the ignorant Brahmans, but the foreign barbarians who sucked the blood of India. The practice, however, has long since been abolished, and, even when it was in force, was practised only in a certain part of the country, and even there only among certain classes.

As to women and their education, why speak of their ignorance? The masses of India *are* uneducated, and is Hinduism or any other religion to be blamed for it? It is the saddest chapter in the history of India that the simple, spiritual people of that country, who wanted nothing from the outside world, who were happy in their own earthly paradise, and who had found solutions to some of the problems of which the Western scientists have no knowledge, were invaded by Greeks, Persians, Mongolians, Scythians, Mohammedans, and lastly by the Christians, and for no fault of theirs except ignorance of the art of killing man. And what have these foreign barbarians done for the education of the masses? As to the Christian government which we now have in India, it has established a number of schools, but not one of them is free to all classes of people. We thank the British government for founding the various educational institutions which have brought forth hundreds and thousands of graduates of universities, but it is a lamentable state of Christian enlightenment we find in the fact that the government of India (Christian)



has not even tried to educate the poorer classes without charging fees. The fees per month are more than many a man receives for his labor with which he must support his entire family. Education, then, is simply beyond their ability to obtain.

But to say that the masses in India are illiterate is quite different from saying that the women are in a state of slavery. To be sure, our women know nothing of the art of securing divorces, nor the art of evading the duties of motherhood. These and other like arts known and practised in Christian lands, our women are densely ignorant of. What do the missionaries know about the women of India? The political rights and the rights of inheritance and maintenance are greater than those of any women in the world. But the missionaries, moving in the northern part of India, where Mohammedan rule has spread terror among the Hindus by reason of the lustful and oppressive acts of the followers of the Arabian prophet, and compelled, as it were, the Hindus to keep their women secluded, take it for granted that that is the general condition of all women in India. Such hopeless ignorance has never been found in any other missionary except the Christian. The Christian missionary eats beef to convince the Hindu that there is no god under cow hide. The Hindu never believed that a cow was a god. But the missionary would say, Your wife cannot eat with you at the same time. I would say, She can but she would not, for reasons which I have not time or space to explain. In fact, if the missionaries were to devote half the time and effort in studying and understanding the religions and customs of India that they do in reviling and misconstruing them, there would exist at least a better state of brotherly love.

My dear friends of America — I must call you friends, for I find you a generous, noble, kindly disposed and liberal people — your liberalities are shown by the thousands of dollars you spend yearly in mission work hoping to help my people and others. I sincerely appreciate the nobleness of your good intention, but as a Hindu who has the interest of my people, as well as that of all mankind, at heart, allow me to speak freely. I have studied my own religion from childhood; I studied yours long before coming to America; I have studied your methods of administering it to us; I have studied your religion here in America; and my conscientious conclusions are that, for the most part, your thousands of dollars are misspent. The work, as it is done now by the missionaries, is largely productive of pernicious results. Not that it is so intended, by any means, but the facts remain the same. For instance, every missionary soon learns after coming to India, that he can come in contact with only the lowest caste of the Hindus. These poor people are ex-

ceedingly wretched and ignorant. Just remember that of two hundred and eighty-eight millions of people in India, one half of that number are never able to obtain but one meal a day from childhood to death, and often these poor people are induced to become Christians (if you can call it so) by the alluring promise of food, and as soon as these have once eaten meat or drank wine, they are forever outcast even from their own low caste. And now the trouble begins. Except where they are kept by the missionaries, even the English in India will seldom employ as servant a native convert. The reason is plain. The convert conceives the idea that with his conversion comes the liberty to do anything he chooses.

Education must precede the missionary if good is to come of it. The British Government has built schools, colleges and universities (not one free); it has built railroads and the telegraph, and has introduced many other improvements, but it has not spent one dollar for the improvement of India that does not bring in return large revenues to England, and our people are becoming poorer and poorer. If you really want to help the people of India, I would plead with you to reconsider and change your methods. Were you to institute free secular education, I believe that you would have the coöperation of all intelligent Hindus, and that caste and superstition as they now exist would melt away and a lasting good would be accomplished.

I have been confronted in this country with the statement, "But our missionaries are giving free education to your people." Will you tell me where and how many schools you have in India with not a cent of expense attached to them, and I will tell you the terms. They are free only to those who will give up their religion. For the man who holds to his caste system, there is no free education offered. Free secular education given to the people of India at the hands of the natives of India would do in a few years what the missionaries cannot do in a thousand years. If you would turn your thousands of dollars into free education and industrial institutions for India, you would accomplish all and more than you could anticipate.

# WELLSPRINGS AND FEEDERS OF IMMORALITY.

BY B. O. FLOWER.

## SECOND PAPER, LUST FOSTERED BY LEGISLATION.

Sad creatures who on emerging from childhood have already nothing in the world, — neither liberty nor virtue nor responsibility. Souls which blossomed out yesterday and are faded to-day, like those flowers let fall in the streets which are soiled with every sort of mire while waiting for some wheel to crush them. . . . He who has only beheld the misery of man has seen nothing; the misery of woman is what he must see. He who has seen only the misery of woman has seen nothing; he must see the misery of the child. — VICTOR HUGO.

The time is coming when such laws as those which practically hand over innocent and unsuspecting girls and children to be the lawful prey of brutes in human shape — if they can get their consent, forsooth! to something of which they know nothing until it is too late — will be regarded with as much shame and indignation as the Fugitive Slave Law. Certainly as long as these states persist in leaving defenceless maidenhood without the protection of law, the vaunts about American chivalry and high regard for women and children sound as hollow as did the Declaration of Independence in the old slave states. — W. T. STEAD.

### I.

A FORCIBLE illustration of the presence in our civilization of a savagery which has come to us from that barbarous past when woman was in fact and theory the slave and toy of man's lust and caprice, is found in the attitude maintained by our legislators touching the protection of virginity from masculine lust. Not only is this illustrated in a most striking manner in the "age of consent" laws, but it is equally apparent in the inadequacy of statutory provisions prescribing means by which a pure child might legally find protection from the avarice of corrupt parents and for the proper punishment of those who traffic in the innocence of childhood. Let me emphasize this thought by citing a few thoroughly typical cases.

Charlton Edholm in her noble work, "Traffic in Girls," gives the details of the case of Nellie Gilroy.\* This child was sold by a drunken mother to the woman's own paramour when the little girl was only twelve years old. She was a pretty child, and after being cast adrift found her way into a house of prostitution, the great maw which swallows up so many of our daughters who are sacrificed to the lust of moral lepers.

Another instance of the inadequacy of legislation for the proper punishment of those who traffic in girls and those who entice the young and unsuspecting into dens where the victim is powerless to prevent her pollution, is illustrated by a court item

---

\* "Traffic in Girls," by Charlton Edholm. Published by the Woman's Temperance Publishing Association, The Temple, Chicago.

published in one of the Chicago dailies of Jan. 1, 1887, in which mention was made of a man being fined *one dollar* for enticing a young girl into a house of bad repute on the night of Dec. 29, 1886.

Another case came up in the Chicago courts a few years ago, the full details of which are given in "Chicago's Dark Places." The following extract from that work will serve to enlighten thoughtful persons as to the way in which legislators discriminate in favor of boys and men, and conventional society while ignoring immorality in the male sex makes an outcast of the girl who is lured from the path of virtue:—

A lad not yet sixteen enticed a girl of thirteen away from her home, took her to his married sister's and kept her there two or three days. They went for a walk each day and two or three times he induced her to yield to his desires. The law in this case is powerless to touch this vile youth. Had he been sixteen years of age his crime would have sent him to a penitentiary, but because he is under that age he is allowed to go scot free. On the other hand, a girl at *fourteen* years of age can give consent to a violation of her person. Look at this glorious consistency! A lad of sixteen is not responsible, but a girl of fourteen can be cajoled into yielding her virtue, and the scoundrel who thus persuaded her can walk out of court an "innocent person" in the eyes of the law.

Here is a striking case related by J. F. Percy, M. D.\*:—

Not long since I was appealed to, because of my position as an officer in a charity organization, to invoke the aid of the law to prevent a girl fourteen years of age from being forced by her parents into a life of shame. The attorney of the society filed the necessary papers with the court in which the case was to be tried, and was informed that the law under which he made them out could not be construed to apply to the case in question; and furthermore, that there was no law in Illinois that would cover that case or similar ones. The case was such a pathetic one that the judge of our circuit court, after fully investigating the matter, advised the society to kidnap the child. Here, then, was an instance where the need of rescuing a young girl from parents who already had two daughters leading the lives of prostitutes was most urgent, yet no means but illegal ones could be used in that state to accomplish anything for her good.

I call the attention of men and women of convictions to the important fact that the only way this pure little fourteen-year-old child could be saved from pollution was by the friends of morality becoming law-breakers! And just here let me observe that if a government has any legitimate function, it is to secure equal and exact justice to all her children; to shield the weak from the outrages and the savage injustice of the strong, and to promote as far as possible the happiness of all her citizens. When a government legislates in the interest of one class and to the injury of other law-loving citizens, it has clearly exceeded

---

\* This extract is taken from an able address delivered in 1882 by J. F. Percy, M. D., of Galesburg, Ill., on "Prostitution: Its Cause, and the Relation of the Medical Profession to Its Abolishment."

its function and has become in essence tyrannical and oppressive. But when that government so far forgets morality and justice as to place the age when a girl may consent to her ruin far below her majority; when our law makers deliberately shield lecherous men in the perpetration of the heinous crime of despoiling virginity by making it legal for a girl to consent to ruin at from ten to seventeen years of age; and furthermore, when a government refuses to enact laws which would suitably punish the criminals who sell little girls to moral lepers, while through its criminal indifference it compels those who would save the child from debauchees to become law-breakers in order to preserve the virtue of maidenhood — I say when a government becomes thus culpable she has passed beyond the stage of being merely unjustly discriminating and tyrannical, she has become immoral as well, and has inaugurated a policy as scandalous as it is unjust, as destructive as it is infamous; a policy which reminds one of Rome during her long, slow agony of decay after immorality had permeated the nation.

## II.

I now wish to notice more at length the age of consent\* statutes, which are essentially barbarous, and which reflect on the decency no less than the chivalry of our legislators; laws which in effect take from immature girls the mantle of protection, in so far as it relates to their most precious possession, and leave them an easy prey to depraved and brutalized men.

In order that we may appreciate the enormity of these essentially criminal enactments, let us look at them in connection with other statutes which are made for the protection of minors. Here, for example, is a girl fifteen years old. She has a little property left her which she wishes to sell or transfer, but the state, in order to protect her from undue influence or imposition, steps in and forbids the sale or transfer. She desires to make a contract; the state, again assuming the importance of protecting the minor, declares that the contract shall not be binding. She falls in love with a man and wishes to enter the bonds of honor-

---

\* The age of consent laws are statutes which set the limit of age at which a girl may be ruined if her consent is won, while the moral leper who ruined her is shielded from the punishment his crime calls for. In Wyoming, where women enjoy full franchise, and in Kansas, where they have long enjoyed municipal suffrage, the age of consent has been raised to eighteen years; but with these honorable exceptions every state in the Union places the age of consent below the age recognized as the legal majority of girls. The existence of laws on our statute books which shield men grown old in vice when they lure to ruin girls of ten, twelve or even sixteen years, is a most eloquent answer to the false assertions that woman is a greater moral factor in shaping legislation without the ballot than she would be if the right of suffrage were hers. To those who are constantly raising the cry, as hackneyed as it is false, that "The hand which rocks the cradle is the hand which sways the world," we would put this question: How many states in our republic to-day would be disgraced by laws placing the age at which a girl may consent to her own ruin at from ten to sixteen years, if our wives, sisters and mothers had votes to cast, or were permitted to sit in the halls of legislation and aid in shaping the laws?

able matrimony; the state again interposes; she is not free to marry until she reaches majority. But if instead of marrying the girl her pretended lover desires to seduce her, she may legally consent, and the seducer can shield himself behind the "age of consent laws." In this illustration I have placed the girl's age at fifteen years, but the legislators in many of our states have placed the age at which somebody's daughter may consent to her ruin at from ten to fourteen years.

Let us take another case. A poor girl of sixteen is struggling to make a livelihood. She may have an invalid mother dependent upon her; winter is coming on. Her employer makes her extremity his opportunity; he lets her understand that the price of her position is the surrender of her virtue. It is a desperate alternative; her refusal means the street, with a strong possibility that she may be forced at last to a dive or a house of prostitution, or the river, as a refuge; perhaps the very life of a mother is involved. Does the law step in here and prevent this horrible crime? No, the fathers, brothers and husbands who make laws for men, women and children have stamped their own degradation on the statute books. The solicitous legislators have been careful to protect from prison the libertine whose heinous crimes should call for such prompt and effective treatment as would protect society against any future outrages. But the poor child, the helpless, defenceless victim, somebody's little girl who could not legally sell her gloves, finds no protection here when protection would mean everything.

Frequently little girls have been ruined for life by the promises of presents, and I have been informed that a bag of candy has proved sufficient to lure a little girl into a resort and win her consent to something about the real nature of which she knew nothing until she found her cries of agony absolutely unavailing. When in New York some time ago, the noble-souled matron of the Florence Crittendon Mission, Mother Prindle, as she is called by all those in the home, related the details of many cases which illustrated the practical working of these inhuman laws. The case of Nellie Conroy was one which impressed me deeply. The details of the ruin of this poor little girl, who was afterward rescued and is to-day an earnest worker for a higher morality, have been graphically given by Charlton Edholm in her work to which I have already alluded. Nellie was not yet in her teens when her ruin was accomplished. She was strikingly attractive in appearance. One day while looking in the window of a jewelry store she was accosted by a man whom she had several times noticed.

"Would you like a gold watch and chain and one of those diamond rings?" he asked in a velvet voice.

"Oh, yes," replied the child, her eyes fairly dancing in pleasurable anticipation.

"Well, pick out the ones you would like and you shall have them, as I own the store," replied the man. The child did so. Then he added, "Just come upstairs and when we come down I will get them for you."

The unsuspecting child followed him, carried away with the dream of the promised presents. The door opened; the bolt turned; the screams of the child availed not. She left the room robbed of her virginity and started on the path of prostitution. In closing the narration of this case, Mrs. Edholm says \* : —

Had she any redress? No, for the man would swear that she had accompanied him of her own free will, hoping to get the jewelry; and even though she did not understand what he wanted with her, the judge and jury, themselves fathers of little girls, would hold the child guilty and the man innocent.

If you ask why they would so hold, the answer is that the child was over the age which the state at that time assumed to protect little children from the lust of men who had sunk below the level of wild beasts, and to whom purity, honor and love were alike strangers.

### III.

The pitiful pretext which has been advanced to excuse such legislation is, that without such laws the pillars of our society would fall victims to the wiles of designing little girls who have not yet reached maturity. This, it will be remembered, was substantially the plea put forth by Colonel Breckinbridge when his adulterous relations had been proved. If the subject was not so essentially urgent, and if it did not affect the very foundation of civilization, such a pretext as this which those who defend the age of consent laws advance, would not call for serious attention and might well be dismissed as too absurd for consideration. But since the very life of civilization depends upon the sturdy morality of the people, it is well to notice an important fact which takes from this pretext any shadow of force it might have had for any thoughtful mind. In our courts of law the burden of proof is placed upon the girl who brings the accusation, not upon the man who has committed the crime. And on this point I shall quote at length from a forcible argument made by Martha K. Pierce, LL. B. † : —

Now as to the exact effect of dispensing with proof of the consent or non-consent of a girl, much apprehension prevails. It must not be imagined that even under such favorable circumstances as are secured by

---

\* "Traffic in Girls," page 128.

† See "Some Legal Aspects of the Question," by Martha K. Pierce, LL. B., published by the W. C. T. U., Chicago, Ill.



this rule, the conviction of any guilty man would be certain. He would still have several possible defences, and could clear himself as readily by overturning the testimony of the plaintiff by evidence contradictory to her statements, as he could in any other criminal case. But when he is allowed to set up the plea of "consent" his acquittal is almost certain. If he can prove the acquiescence of his victim, no matter how or when it was obtained, he need not make any attempt to deny the commission of the crime, for it is only held to be complete when it is committed by force, "without the consent and against the will of the woman." The crime was so defined in early English statutes, and the definition is not satisfied by evidence that the reluctance of the girl was overcome by fraud, persuasion, rewards or promises. It makes one's blood boil to think of the relative chances afforded by our laws to mature vice, and youthful, trusting innocence. Occasionally we find in the reports the *dictum* of some judge to the effect that if the defendant intended to use force in case other means failed he can be found guilty; but it is evident that only a jury of skilful mind readers could hope to arrive at the truth in such an inquiry into thoughts and purposes. This distinction is practically valueless, but it shows that there are men, who, moved by a sense of the injustice of letting an offender go free because he took care to obtain the consent of some little girl of tender years by a gift of a pretty toy or a trifle of finery, would, if they could, stretch the provisions of our law far enough to bring him to punishment. On the other hand there are judges not a few who stretch the law to set a guilty man free.

A large number of the cases of the kind given in our state reports have turned upon the interpretation of the expressions "without her consent" or "against her will," one or both of which will be found in the statutes relating to girls beyond the age of ten. In New York it is held that "any fact tending to the inference that there was not the utmost reluctance and the utmost resistance, is always received." The People *versus* Dohring, 59 N. Y., is the case of girl of fourteen in the employ of the defendant. She was playing in his barn with two younger children when the defendant entered and sent the latter away. After locking the door he called the plaintiff down from the loft. Before she in the least comprehended his purpose, resistance was made practically useless, if not impossible. Still the court of last resort ruled that "she must resist until exhausted or overpowered, for a jury to find that it is against her will."

In Whittaker *versus* the State, 50 Wis., the court says, "We are satisfied that it is never proper or safe to instruct the jury in any case that this crime may be committed with the consent of the woman, however obtained." "Resistance and the dissent ought to have continued to the last, and the physical power of the woman must have been overcome by physical force." In this case the testimony of the woman, given in broken English, is affecting in its simplicity and earnestness, but her evidence could not outweigh that of the defendant, who depended upon "consent" as a defense.

In Commonwealth *versus* McDonald, 110 Mass., the supreme court held that the instruction of the judge of the lower court to the jury, that "the jury must be satisfied that there was no consent during any part of the act," was correct.

In a Michigan case (Don Moran *versus* the People, 25 Mich.), a physician, at whose house a consumptive girl of sixteen had been placed by her father for treatment, induced her by false representations as to the state of her health, the treatment necessary to her recovery, her father's wishes, etc., to consent. When found out and brought to trial he set up her willingness as a defense, but the lower court refused to admit it



because of the manner of obtaining it. He appealed to the supreme court, by whom it was declared that the charge of the judge of the lower court was erroneous; that some effect must be given to the words "by force and against her will" in order to bring the case within the statute. But in the desire of the judges to secure punishment of so artful and unprincipled a man as the defendant had proved himself to be, it occurred to them to suggest, on sending the case back for a new trial, that if the jury would find that the girl was so deceived as to be in fear of death unless she submitted, they might by such a finding satisfy the statute.

An attempt to commit this crime is punishable as a misdemeanor, and as the offense is extremely difficult to prove, guilty parties frequently receive the light penalty provided for the attempt, because of the failure of the prosecution to establish the principal charge.

It would not be pleasant, and I hope it is not necessary, to give many cases out of the host that appear in our state reports, in order to give fair knowledge of the workings of our laws; enough instances have been given, I trust, to show how the letter of these statutes kills all hope of justice when unscrupulous wrong-doers keep outside of their provisions, as they easily can. The sentiment of mankind has recognized the superior chastity of woman's nature; her natural purity of heart has been acknowledged and praised in prose and poetry, but our law does not recognize it; it doubts it; collects and weighs evidence against it, and unless a girl dies in the attempt to defend her honor, her innocence must be proved to the satisfaction of a jury of men.

That no man shall be allowed to take advantage of his own wrong is a legal maxim upon which sound and equitable rules of evidence which bear upon other crimes have been based. For instance, in the crime known as burglary, which is defined by the common law as the "breaking and entering a house in the night time with intent to commit a felony," breaking is essential to the completion of the offense, but it is settled law that his deceit will not be permitted to excuse a defendant who has, by fraud, prevailed upon the owner of a house to open his door to him. Here we see that any person, even a man of ripe age and sound mind, is protected by the law from the consequences of his folly in yielding to the false representations of an artful burglar. But the old English law did not entertain such sublime ideas of the honor of either sex as to "lay the blame of a mutual fault upon one of the transgressors only" (Blackstone IV., p. 211), and modern American law is shaped and moulded according to the same principle. So womanly purity is held to be fair game for wily Lotharios, who may take advantage of their own wrong and escape punishment in the form of action by showing to the judge and jury that their artifices were successful in leading their victims into error.

Girls below ten are, as we have seen, protected in this county; but what father or mother whose little daughter yesterday celebrated her tenth anniversary can bear the thought that she is now, in the eyes of the law, competent to so consent to the most grievous and irremediable of wrongs, as to exculpate from all blame her partner in the "mutual fault"?

This author further shows how unjust discriminations are made against women in the following striking words:—

I will now call attention to a defect in even the most advanced legislation, which practically nullifies efforts of reformers. I observe in the states in which seduction is made a felony, to be punished by imprisonment for a term of years, the statutes provide for the imposition of a

fine as an alternative. The little word "or" may have great weight in a statute. It is easy to see the object of its insertion in these new laws. It furnishes a cunningly devised way of escape for convicted persons from all real punishment. Possibly some poor, unfriended wretches may occasionally be sent to prison under these statutes, but no wealthy man will ever be so punished. Such men have the benefit of the clauses for their cases made and provided, and will be let off with the mere nominal penalty of a fine. And what a fine! One thousand dollars seems to be the limit of value that our modern legislators can place upon a woman's honor! We cannot afford to let such legislation stand. No amount of money, no political influence, should have power to shield the enemy of purity from a punishment which will impress upon him the fact that the decent portion of society abhors his sin. No woman, whatever her station, can escape the disgrace which follows upon a departure from the paths of rectitude. No legal penalty can be so swift, so lasting, so unerring in its searching hold upon the sensitive chords of feeling, as the shame which society heaps upon the miserable girl who falls a victim to deceit or fraud. It is but just, then, that every man who is proven guilty should have meted out to him a punishment worthy of the name. No chance should be given for his escape from the branding disgrace which a term in the penitentiary leaves.

Since the revelations made by Mr. W. T. Stead in the *Pall Mall Gazette*, a moral agitation has been carried on in this country, with the result that in many states the age of consent has been raised; still, only two states, Wyoming and Kansas, have placed it at eighteen years. And it is a noteworthy fact that the moment the friends of social purity relax their vigilance, efforts are made to lower the age of consent. A very striking illustration of this character occurred a few years ago. After the moral cyclone which followed Mr. Stead's disclosures, the age of consent in New York was raised to sixteen years, but in 1890, when it was evidently supposed that the friends of social purity were no longer on the alert, Senator McNaughton introduced in the senate a bill to reduce the age of consent from sixteen to fourteen years. The judiciary committee reported favorably, and had it not been discovered just as its framers were preparing to crowd it through in the closing hours, it would doubtless have been passed. A few papers were courageous enough to denounce the bill in unmeasured terms, and it was killed. On the very day that Senator McNaughton introduced his bill to reduce the age of consent to fourteen years, an elderly man was convicted in the court of general sessions in New York City for abducting a fourteen-year-old school girl. This man was a trustee of one of the Hoboken churches, and had for years been employed in a Sixth Avenue hardware store. He took the girl first to a house of ill repute, but was refused entrance because the child was not the legal age — sixteen. He then went to a hotel, went upstairs alone, registered, and returned to take the girl with him, but the porter refused to let them go upstairs, as the child was so small. On leaving the house, he was arrested.

It is worthy of note that legislative sessions where this subject is considered are always secret, as it is said the matter is not fit for women to hear, and it would be highly demoralizing for young girls to know what is said. Was sophistry ever more glaring or hypocrisy more audacious? Mothers and maidens must not hear arguments advanced in favor of laws that protect blacklegs and libertines in their pastime of despoiling maidenhood. It is the laws, not the arguments advanced, that tend to pollute womanhood. It is the laws, not their exposure which leads to a cure, that are dangerous, and this is the one fact that must ever be kept in view.

Men and women of conscience and conviction, shall these immoral laws stand? Shall the wellsprings of immorality flow on while we maintain a criminal silence? We are confronted by a duty which, though unpleasant, is nevertheless of supreme importance. We must inaugurate a holy crusade. We must agitate and educate. We must not rest or relinquish our efforts until the evil laws which place the blister of shame on our statute books are replaced by laws springing from an awakened sense of justice and a higher regard for morality. We must go further; we must demand at all times a white life for two. The conscience of this nation must be awakened. The most startling and ominous fact which confronts thoughtful men and women is the scepticism and indifference of society in regard to fundamental reforms, and the faint heartedness of reformers. It is true we have our spasms of moral fervor, but after accomplishing a little in certain directions and in limited fields, they die out. What we need, what we must have, is a moral reformation, and the soldiers who enlist under this standard should count the costs and prepare to enlist, if at all, for life. Men and women of America, shall the wellsprings and feeders of immorality remain undisturbed, or shall a revolution be inaugurated which shall elevate man, woman and child, and mark our time as the dawn of humanity's golden age?

# JAPAN: OUR LITTLE NEIGHBOR IN THE EAST.

---

BY HELEN H. GARDENER.

---

It is unfortunate, perhaps, but it is true that no Christian nation has ever had the respect for any so-called pagan country that Japan has recently extorted from all nations by her skill in war. It is rather a sad commentary, is it not, upon the followers of the Prince of Peace, that in despite of the gentle and fine nature of the Japanese, in despite of their skill, ability and honor, in despite of the fact that Japan has been sending to us many of her gifted young men as students in our colleges, we have looked upon and treated her with contempt and contumely until she was forced to show what she could do as a fighter?

As a gentle, peaceful, honest and honorable nation, Christians would have none of her except as a semi-contemptuous field for mission work. We would not even make citizens of her more gifted sons. As a slayer, as a fighter, she has brought all Christian nations to her side with hats off, and a surprised: "By Jove, she's great. She has won our respect. She must henceforth be reckoned with as a nation." In the abundance of our ignorance and self-righteousness we have presumed to send missionaries to the Japanese, and most of us must have read with surprise the civilized and gentle and humane messages to the soldiers which have been issued by the "minister of state for war," as he is called in that wonderful little country; here we would call him the secretary of war. One of these documents, carefully perused, must surely convince even our Board of Foreign Missions that so far as civilization goes it might better send its agents and reformers to Tammany Hall than to Japan; and that a people who can conduct even so brutal and brutalizing a thing as war upon such humane principles and still achieve wonderful victories over a larger power, is not especially in need of either our patronage or our particular brand of piety.

To one who is familiar, as I have had the good fortune to be for some years, with the character and nature of the Japanese, their present achievements and their nobility of conduct is not a great surprise, but is simply a confirmation. In a recent book by Lafcadio Hearn, he says:—

My own conviction, and that of many impartial and more experienced observers of Japanese life, is that Japan has nothing whatever to gain

by conversion to Christianity, either morally or otherwise, but very much to lose.

Commenting upon this another able writer remarks : —

This will not be pleasant intelligence to the American Board, but one who reads Mr. Hearn's book will find in his descriptions of the simple and childlike faith of the Japanese a great deal to confirm his convic-

#### EMPEROR OF JAPAN.

tion. Mr. Hearn regrets that the cruel superstitions which the West has long intellectually outgrown — the fancies of an unforgiving God and an everlasting hell — are to replace the simple and happy beliefs of these people, and if this is the result of teaching them Christianity, it must be said that it is a sorry substitute for their heathenism.

The old life in Japan is rapidly passing away. The new civilization is taking its place, and Mr. Hearn has caught the phases of that older life, both religious and social, which it is really interesting for us to know. Instead of writing a book on the religious beliefs of the Japanese, he has exhibited in sketches of great *minuteness and detail the way*

*in which the Japanese worship as Buddhists, and the effect which it has upon them. He says that except where native morals have suffered by foreign contamination, as in the open ports, the Japanese in the practice of virtue, in purity of life, and in outward devotion far outdo the Christians.*

Which to one who has tested this devotion and virtue and purity of life, is neither a new point of view nor a startling fact.

Yet only last summer we had the humiliating spectacle in the state of Massachusetts of the refusal of the right of citizenship to one of this race, who was a gentleman of scholarship and of position; who had been a student of ability at several of our leading schools and colleges, and who was studying medicine at Washington University when the death of his father required that he leave school, and he then became a merchant. He is married to an educated American girl, and is the father of an American-born son. At the same time, we were "naturalizing" hundreds of the low and worthless from European countries, many of whom are not as "white" as he is, while their ignorance is a menace to our form of government, and whose low and corrupt natures (which are pretty generally run in the Roman Catholic mould and are wearing its brand) are furnishing the world at the present moment with the unexampled spectacle of corruption, vice and crime — which is being commented upon from St. Petersburg to London and Paris — afforded by the revelations of the Lexow Investigation Committee, under the search light of which are being displayed the inner history and workings of Tammany Hall.

To deny citizenship to Shebata Saito, a Japanese gentleman and scholar, and by so doing to cast a stigma upon every son of that brave, beautiful little island of the East, and to grant not only citizenship but political power and preferment to the Sheehans, Crokers, McKanes and their political heelers, is both political and financial madness in any country. No nation can be prosperous and happy while under the rule of the class of rogues and moral idiots who have made of our local and national politics a mere intricate mechanism of vice and crime and extortion.\* We had the spectacle a short time ago of most of these same gentlemen who are now squirming under the pitiless lash of Mr. Goff of the Lexow Committee, forming a solemn procession up Fifth Avenue to "venerate" the relic of Saint Ann.

---

\* Mr. Goff's demonstration of Tammany's depravity made at the Saturday afternoon session of the Lexow Committee renders it impossible for any decent man to admit openly that he will vote the Tammany ticket. It was, as Mr. Goff said, a "climax of horror." We have all been forced to take a low view of police and Tammany morals, but few of us had believed such depth of vileness as this possible. It had been shown that the police shared the profits of swindlers, gamblers, prostitutes and liquor dealers, but it was not suspected that they went lower than this and shared the profits of a crime of such unspeakable loathsomeness that its mere name is an offence in decent society. Yet Mr. Goff showed us a police justice sitting on the bench, and not merely shielding a regular practitioner of this crime from punishment, but conniving with him in his guilt. — *New York Evening Post*.

It is true that most of our Japanese applicants for citizenship would be far more likely to "venerate" honor and truth and a clean and upright life. With their intense sense of absolute personal responsibility and uprightness, it is not likely that they would band together to plunder, debase and outrage a whole city and nation, and to live in luxury on the enforced vice of its out-cast women, as many of these men did, even while sitting in

## EMPEROR OF JAPAN.

judgment upon, and dealing out law to, petty criminals whose crimes were as snow compared to their own vicious lives, and then attempt to fulfil their moral and religious obligations vicariously by "venerating" an old bone in a glass case. No, decidedly, the Japanese can hardly be looked upon by our present politicians as at all desirable citizens. They could not be relied

upon to join in the blackmailing business. They would be a difficult element to control and use. The offscourings of Europe, if they had been made to order, could not better suit the taste of the politicians of to-day.

In his decision against Mr. Shebata Saito, Judge Colt held as follows:—

This is an application of a native of Japan for naturalization. The act relating to naturalization reads that "The provisions of this title shall apply to aliens being free white persons, and to aliens of African nativity and to persons of African descent." The Japanese, like the Chinese, belong to the Mongolian race, and the question presented is whether they are included in the term "white persons."

These words were incorporated in the naturalization laws as early as 1802. At that time the country was inhabited by three races—the Caucasian or white race, the Negro or black race, and the American or red race. It is reasonable, therefore, to infer that when Congress, in designating the class of persons who could be naturalized, inserted the qualifying word "white," it intended to exclude from the privilege of citizenship all alien races except the Caucasian.

This is probably true in a measure, but it seems far more likely that at

MR SHEBATA SAITO.

that time (1802) the intent of Congress was to exclude negroes and Indians, both of which have since been made citizens. (In South Dakota the Indians are made citizens if they will accept land in severalty.) Judge Colt continues:—

But we are not without more direct evidence of legislative intent. In 1870 after the adoption of the thirteenth amendment to the Constitution,



prohibiting slavery, and the fourteenth amendment, declaring who shall be citizens, the question of extending the privilege of citizenship to all races of aliens came before Congress for consideration. At that time Charles Sumner proposed to strike out the word "white" from the statute; and in the long debate that followed the argument on the part of the opposition was that this change would permit the Chinese (and therefore the Japanese) to become naturalized citizens, and the reply of those who favored the change was that this was the very purpose of the proposed amendment.

Why "therefore"? As we all know, Spaniards, Poles, Italians, Mexicans and others are quite as dark, quite as far from being "white," as are the Japanese. The color test, then, was not fairly applied by Judge Colt. But if it was, as Judge Colt says, the especial purpose of Congress to exclude the Chinese, it was *not* the special purpose and thought in passing that law to exclude those who were not Chinese. If it is fair and judicial to go behind the word "white" to find at whom the law was directly levelled, and if he finds that it was the expressed intent to so frame it as to exclude the Chinese, then it is fair also for him to conclude and to argue that since it was not levelled at the Japanese — since that was not the intention of Congress — they are not under its ban. If we can go behind the returns or behind the face of the wording to discover "intent," and we find that the intent was to hit one thing, surely we may remain behind those returns long enough to discover that since it did not mean the Japanese, they are therefore not excluded by the act. In construing the "intent" of the law is it not better to be logical and sincere and fair? The motive in excluding the Chinese was said to be that they sent to us their lowest element. Japan has never done this. It is her student and merchant class, elements which our country can well afford to encourage to come to us, which Japan has always sent.

In conclusion Judge Colt said : —

Whether this question is viewed in the light of congressional intent, or of the popular or scientific meaning of "white persons," or of the authority of adjudicated cases, the only conclusion I am able to reach, after careful consideration, is that the present application must be denied.

As we have seen, according to Judge Colt's own statement, that the "intent" was aimed at the Chinese, he having gratuitously flung in a "therefore" in order to include the Japanese, and as we all know that many of the nations whose representatives we do naturalize are less "white" than are the Japanese, it seems to me that the decision fails of a basis upon which to be sustained; while upon the grounds of expediency America has much to gain and nothing to lose by treating our little neighbor in the East with the dignified and honorable consideration which her character deserves.

In talking not long ago with one of the young Japanese students, who had been sent to a university in Germany and afterward to this country, in order to educate him in the political economy of the Western nations, and who was going back to his own country to hold a high political position after having been thus fitted for it, he said something of adopting the Christian religion as a state religion in Japan. He told me that if done it would be done entirely as a political measure. He said that their religion made it almost an impossible thing for one man to be very rich and his relatives to be very poor; that great inequalities in wealth were looked upon as disgraceful, and that therefore the wealth of Japan could not accumulate in a few hands, leaving the other inhabitants at a wretched disadvantage.

"Now we students observe," he said, "that in all Christian countries these great inequalities of wealth not only exist, but are encouraged by public sentiment and legislation. This being the case, the Christian countries have distanced us in enterprise, invention and material prosperity — in the upper classes at least. Therefore we are thinking seriously in our country of formally adopting a religion which will enable us to do the same way."

"But," said I, "would not this be a distinct ethical descent?"

"Undoubtedly, madam! But it is a good commercial religion, and Japan is trying to hold her own now with the Christian nations. Assuredly an ethical and moral descent, but a commercial advantage." This was all said quite seriously and simply. That is the way this student looked upon it after six years of study in Europe and after two years of study and observation in this country.

I am tempted to give here the full text of the recent military order of which I spoke, together with the portrait of the now famous man who issued it. I have not at hand, at the present moment, the one issued first by the emperor, which was fuller, and which, so the native papers state, was received by the soldiers with great delight and obeyed not only in letter but in spirit. I copy from a Japanese paper the official order: —

Count Oyama, minister of state for war, has issued the following notification to the army: —

Belligerent operations being properly confined to the military and naval forces actually engaged, and there being no reason whatever for enmity between individuals because their countries are at war, the common principles of humanity dictate that succor and rescue should be extended even to those of the enemy's forces who are disabled either by wounds or disease. In obedience to these principles, civilized nations in time of peace enter into conventions to mutually assist disabled persons in time of war without distinction of friend or foe. This humane union is called the Geneva Convention, or more commonly the Red Cross Association. Japan became a party to it in June, 1886, and her soldiers have already been instructed that they are bound to treat

with kindness and helpfulness such of their enemies as may be disabled by wounds or disease. China not having joined any such convention, it is possible that her soldiers, ignorant of these enlightened principles, may subject diseased or wounded Japanese to merciless treatment. Against such contingencies the Japanese troops must be on their guard. But at the same time they must never forget that however cruel and vindictive the foe may show himself, he must nevertheless be treated in accordance with the acknowledged rules of civilization; his disabled, succored, his captured must be kindly and considerately protected.

It is not alone to those disabled by wounds or sickness that merciful and gentle treatment should be extended. Similar treatment is also due to those who offer no resistance to our arms. Even the body of a dead

PRIME MINISTER, COUNT ITO.

enemy should be treated with respect. We cannot too much admire the course pursued by a certain Western country which in handing over an enemy's general complied with all the rites and ceremonies suitable to the rank of the captive. Japanese soldiers should always bear in mind the gracious benevolence of their august sovereign, and should not be more anxious to display courage than charity. They have now an opportunity to afford practical proof of the value they attach to these principles.

(Signed)

COUNT OYAMA IWAO,  
Minister of State for War.

(Dated) September 22, the 27th year of Meiji.

To show how this order has been received and acted upon by the Japanese soldiers, I quote the following paragraph from a London daily paper two months later:—

LONDON, November 6. A despatch to the *Central News* from Shanghai says the Chinese army has been thrown into a panic by the Japanese victories, and is still fleeing before the Japanese. The Chinese troops in Manchuria are robbing the natives, and committing horrible atrocities wherever they pass. The Japanese, on the other hand, are treating the Chinese well, and are consequently received with open arms by the natives, who are furnishing them with supplies of various kinds.

In a private letter I am told by one who ranks high in Japan:—

Our troops fought like what you call demons, but it was no unusual sight after the battle was over to see our men who were slightly wounded themselves carrying or dragging more seriously wounded Chinamen to the relief posts, where they could receive better care. It was too com-

**MINISTER OF STATE,  
COUNT INOUE.**

**MINISTER OF WAR,  
COUNT OYAMA.**

**MINISTER OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS,  
VISCOUNT MUTSU.**

**MINISTER OF COMMUNICATION,  
COUNT KURODA.**

**JAPANESE CABINET MINISTERS.**

THE ARCH OF TRIUMPH BUILT BY THE COREANS TO WELCOME THE RETURNING JAPANESE TROOPS AFTER THEY HAD  
DRIVEN THE CHINESE FROM COREA.

mon to attract notice to see them share with the wounded or captured enemy their food or covering, and it was done in a spirit which your nation would call "Christian," but it was done by our soldiers, many of whom never heard of Christ, quite as often and quite as gladly as by those of us who have had that pleasure. I am proud of our men to-day, more proud than I can tell you, for, as you know, I have seen war in Germany, in France and in America, and I am glad to feel sure that civilization is not local, and that my own little nation is not behind the highest and the best in some ways at least.

There is no "waving of the bloody shirt" in that, even if there is a slight touch of it in the enthusiastic letter of a younger man who was educated not a thousand miles from Boston, and who felt so keenly the stab our government gave his people in the Saito decision that he went back home with a scar in his gentle heart, and a good deal less admiration for our institutions and our sense of fairness and liberty than he had when he came here. In one letter he says quaintly: —

In the war, which took place recently between our country and China, I have just receive the telegram that the Japanese regiment got a victory by the second fight. I think I can receive the cheerful information that the our soldiers have soon reached to Peking. I have already send you a map of Corea a few days awile ago, and hereafter whenever the card of war is published I will send you that quickly for it is all go our way.

In common with many foreigners of all nations he has lost some of the facility acquired in the English language while here, but his meaning remains sufficiently clear to make it plain, even as we smile over the evident fact that he does not wholly love the Chinese, and that his faith in "our side" is pretty able bodied; that he has the vitality and enthusiasm of youth everywhere. His letters upon other than war topics are as charming and gentle as was his own dignified small self, and had I space to reproduce some of his fun and philosophy it would make plain to the dullest that we have much to gain and nothing to lose if our government should decide to treat with fairness and respect this wise and cheerful little "pagan" nation which is standing just now like a Bantam facing a Shanghai, and is, meantime, displaying to an almost unparalleled degree, even in war, what we in our self-righteousness are pleased to call the "Christian virtues."

Just as this article was sent to the printer, my Japanese mail arrived. One letter from a gentleman who was once a student here contains such a cleverly written presentation of the present situation that I give the part of it which deals with public affairs: —

Now if you remember what I wrote in my last, you will see that my words hold good so far as the Japanese arms have not met with reverse yet. Judging from the clippings you sent to me, and also from the American papers which I take, your people are also misled by the ridiculous, false reports sent from China. Poor China is trying hard to make

COUNT GENERAL YAMAMURA AND FOUR OF HIS MAJOR GENERALS.

the Western countries believe that she is victorious by bribing the editors of the English papers in China and Japan. It is perfectly safe to regard all the war news about Japan's reverses coming *via* China as entirely false. The bribed English papers in China and Japan have their correspondents in Corea, who manufacture all kinds of news that they think is disadvantageous to Japan. You may be surprised to learn the fact that all the English papers published in Japan wish that Japan will get a licking good and hard. The only paper that I think is independent and fair is the *Japan Mail*, two copies of which I mailed to you by last steamer.

All these English papers have done their best to say good things of the Chinese forces in Corea, but they cannot twist the facts and have finally to admit that the Japanese arms have been crowned with victory both by land and sea. Still they don't like to style the Chinese army "defeated." Their latest invention in the way of twisting facts is that they call the Chinese defeat and flight "a brilliant military achievement—a masterly retreat." They have finally come down to admit that it was a Chinese defeat at Phyong Yang on September 16, but they call that "a masterly retreat," and also say there was no battle at all, and that the Japanese had simply a "walk-over." Well, a retreat that involves the loss of artillery, stores, treasures, two thousand killed and four thousand wounded and captured, must be looked upon from a novel point of view, indeed, in order to appear particularly "masterly."

When the foreign papers in the East are in such a bribable state, it is not to be wondered at that you do not get any straight news from your papers. As evidence of the absurd reports, in some of the papers you sent me, they said that the Coreans fear and hate the Japanese. Now I will send you a picture of the triumphal arch which the Coreans themselves built for our troops to march back under after the great victory. The rejoicing was universal and you will see the way our troops were welcomed. Even in China this is often the case, because of the terrible brutality of the Chinese soldiers (if one may call such a scurvy mob soldiers when one knows their conduct toward the citizens). I shall tell you, as I promised, more fully of this, though it is a dreadful thing to tell; it is more dreadful that it can be and is true. Silence cannot prevent it, and perhaps publicity may help prevent some of it in the future.

There have been four battles in all so far since the outbreak, two on land and the other two on the sea. The first was the sea fight, off Fantao, in which our war vessels sank a Chinese transport with eleven hundred soldiers on board, and captured a Chinese gun boat, "Sowko," and put their cruiser, "Chie-Yuen," to flight. The second was a fight at Song Hwa and Asan. The enemy was defeated, with five hundred killed and wounded. The Japanese casualties were about seventy in all. The above two can hardly come in the sphere of the word battle, but the third and fourth, respectively on land and sea, were quite decisive. Fight number three, the battle of Phyong Yang, showed how little the Chinese army is worth. Our forces smashed the enemy to such an extent that there are practically no Chinese soldiers now in Corea. With two thousand killed and over four thousand wounded and captured, all the Celestials fled pell-mell to the Chinese frontier. You know the Chinese army is far superior numerically to ours, and although over ten thousand have been killed, wounded and captured so far, the pig-tail country will not suffer much from so slight a loss of inhabitants.

But fight number four, the naval battle off Hai Yan Island on September 17, resulted in the loss to the Chinese navy of five men-of-war. That is a loss they will feel, while our fleet lost none and three vessels were only slightly damaged. The two big Chinese ironclads sustained great damage. This loss of five good cruisers cannot fail to be a great blow



to China; it is not now too much to assert that the crack "Peiyang Squadron" has been deprived of its fighting strength. It follows, therefore, that the Gulf of Pechili is in the control of our united squadrons, so you see all the laurels have been given to us thus far, and we do not for an instant entertain any doubt as to the consummation of our purpose. Our army in the Chinese frontier is expected to march "on to Peking," which is the cry all over Japan. The only trouble I fear is that the colder season has set in and our army may not be able to march to Peking before next spring. One thing is certain, that our people are united in wishing to have our army march to the Chinese capital and dictate terms.

The two great New York papers, *World* and *Herald* have sent their own correspondents to the seat of battle, and I understand they are now back in Japan. The ——— correspondent is reported as speaking very fairly about our troops and it is believed that he is sending truthful reports, so that you will get straight news now.

We are treating the Chinese captives very kindly, and their wounded are receiving just as good medical service as our own. The Chinese are so barbarous and have done a great many things, as I said, which I hate to write about. Before we routed them at Phyong Yang they were about twenty thousand strong, and were all-powerful over that unfortunate city. They robbed the people right and left, and ordered them to present the pretty young women of the town, a great many of whom were carried away and kept in the Chinese camps. It is not necessary to say the rest. It seems to me that such soldiers can hardly be called human beings. They are a disgrace to Asia. Over six hundred Chinese captives have arrived in Japan. I saw them on their way from the station. They are the most wretched-looking lot of people I ever looked upon. So much for our war affairs. I shall send you the *Japanese Mail* whenever it contains interesting accounts in the future, but don't you believe any news coming *via* China or England. I think that any war news approved by the Japanese legation at Washington will always be trustworthy, since they get their news straight from our government.

From another correspondent in a different part of Japan, whose letter reached me by the same mail, I take this interesting bit of naval history : —

Our warships received much damage, of course, in this encounter. The "Matsushima" received the severest damage. On her, thirty-seven were killed and more than seventy wounded. The "Hiyei" caught fire once so had to leave the ranks to put out the fire. She returned after putting out the fire. Then it was after our fleet defeated the Chinese. The "Akagi," our boat, lost her captain. The captain was up on a mast and was commanding to direct the guns toward the chief officers of the enemy. Just then a cannon ball struck the mast, and his body above the waist was cut off and thrown overboard. Instantly a sailor dived for it and brought it back. How was that for gallant conduct? Captain Sakamoto was killed, it is true, but it was the result of his effort (brave would not convey the idea of the braveness of his effort) that the general commander of the "Northern Fleet" (Chinese), as well as many other high officials, were killed and wounded. The fourth of our boats that received damage was the steamer "Saikyo." It is not a war ship, but a large mercantile steamer, which was made one of the reporting ships. It was the largest of them all, so of course the poor Chinamen thought it was the best — perhaps the flag ship — and fired most on her. Her rudder was broken, so in leaving the ranks

she ran full speed toward the enemy's fleet between their two best iron-clads. They thought the steamer was coming to run against theirs, and the two ironclads parted themselves. The "Saikyo" passed between them safely, within sixty metres of them. Two torpedoes were fired toward her, but she was too near and so the Chinese torpedoes just swam under the bottom of the "Saikyo" and she sailed into port safely!"

Still another enthusiast writes:—

I am very much obliged to you for those American newspapers. It is intensely interesting to us to learn how the outside world is discussing the trouble we have here. I can write you in large type of the news we have on hand. The complete victory at Heijyo! And China "is in the soup." Excuse that expression, but I learned it in America. We feel as if the war is over. The next step is to take Peking, and that won't take very long now. Our navy has got possession of a large island near the entrance of the bay along which Tientsin is situated. The attack on Heijyo was only for a few hours, and then over—ten thousand killed and captured! We lost about twenty, and two hundred and fifty wounded, that is all. The Chinese had the strong defence by natural condition of the country, but their skill in war was very weak. We feel as if we are not fighting against men in arms. You will see the name of Japan will be the strongest in the Orient hereafter.

As you know, we captured one man-of-war in the last naval battle. It is the first time we ever captured the enemy's boat, and we have put her into our service. The captain of her, I tell you, is in a risky position, as the Chinese have their eyes on her, but she is well "painted and powdered" and is ready to go to the wedding any day. I sincerely hope that some of the Chinese navy will come out and make a fight against her. We will then show how the skilled can use their own boat. . . . Our people are wild with the last victory. A great many public dinners are given. The Emperor gave a big dinner to the military officers of the army and navy. Our honored Emperor went to Hiroshima to take the sole command of the forces himself. Hiroshima is a city of one hundred thousand inhabitants, and is the nearest town on the main island to Corea.

Another correspondent, who has been a student of sociology and of political economy in both Europe and America, views the situation thus:—

Just now with us everything has ceased except stories of the war. We Japanese are entirely united, even though the different political parties have hitherto held divided opinions. The whole Japanese people now does everything according to the will of the Emperor, the highest authority over land and sea. The Parliament claims everything for the war, and this war has given us the opportunity to show the foreign powers that we Japanese are progressive, that we do not stand behind any nation. On the other hand, the war has taught us that there is more patriotism awakened in the hearts of the poor than in the fine and glittering circles of rank and riches; by that I mean to say that the poorer people are more patriotic than the richer ones. The poor willingly give their last penny for the soldiers. To be sure the rich people also give largely, even a hundred thousand dollars for their fellowmen, but that is only a small part of their whole property in proportion to what is given by the poor. I think that these things will have their effect, and that after the war, a great social awakening will occur among

us. Whether my prophecy will be fulfilled or not, we must wait quietly to see. Just now there is little time for speech making or philosophising, and our eyes are upon Peking.

And this is the class and quality of manhood that America does not find suitable for citizenship! I have copied these letters just as they stand. They are from different classes and localities — from men of rank and from men of no rank. They were private letters. Their writers had no thought that they would be printed, although I am quite sure they will not object to this use of them. I question very much if many of our American citizens send out private letters which display more strong individuality, ability, insight, philosophy and good breeding.

# THE SHAME OF AMERICA—THE AGE OF CONSENT LAWS IN THE UNITED STATES: A SYMPOSIUM.

---

## I. HISTORY OF RECENT YEARS, AND PRESENT STATUS OF AGE OF CONSENT LAWS, BY AARON M. POWELL, EDITOR OF THE PHILANTHROPIST.

AGE of consent laws, in their usual acceptation, refer to the crime of rape, and designate the age at which a young girl may legally consent to carnal relations with the other sex. Statutes pertaining to rape provide, in varying phrase, for the punishment of "whoever ravishes and carnally knows a female by force and against her will," at any age; and also penalties for whoever unlawfully and carnally knows a female child, with or without consent, under a given age. That age varies in different states in the United States, and in different countries. Under the old English Common Law the age was ten, sometimes twelve, years. Until within the last decade the old Common Law period of ten, sometimes twelve, years was the basis of the age of consent legislation of most of the states, and also of the law of Congress pertaining to rape in the District of Columbia and other territory under the immediate jurisdiction of the national government. It still continues the basis of the age of consent laws of North Carolina, South Carolina and Alabama, wherein the age remains at ten years, and in Texas, Kentucky, Wisconsin and Louisiana wherein the age is twelve years.

It was not until after the astounding revelations made by Mr. Stead, in 1885, of the crimes against young girls in London that the age of consent laws in the United States began to arrest attention, except in courts of law, on this side of the Atlantic. Even then the age of consent in England was thirteen years. One outcome of Mr. Stead's shocking exposures was the speedy raising of the age by the British Parliament from thirteen to sixteen years, Mr. Gladstone and others advocating eighteen. The New York Committee for the Prevention of State Regulation of Vice has been at work for ten years to thwart the periodical efforts made to introduce in New York and other American cities the odious Old-World system of licensed and state-regu-

**SOME DEFENDERS OF THE HOME.**

<b>FRANCES E. WILLARD</b>	<b>HELEN H. GARDNER</b>	<b>WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE.</b>
<b>O. EDWARD JANNEY, M. D.</b>	<b>REV. A. H. LEWIS, D. D.</b>	<b>AARON M. POWELL</b>

lated vice; but its members were quite unaware, until Mr. Stead's startling London revelations suggested the inquiry here, that, by the age of consent laws of New York and of most of the states, young girls of ten years were made legally capable of consenting to their own ruin, and that at that time in one state, Delaware, the age was at the shockingly low period of seven years! Bad as English law had been shown to be in its inadequate protection of girlhood our own legal position in relation to exposed young girls was found to be still worse. The New York committee, as soon as the facts were known, inaugurated a campaign of petitions to sundry state legislatures and to the Congress of the United States, asking that the age be raised to at least eighteen years, and the work was also entered into earnestly and effectively by the Woman's Christian Temperance Unions and the White Cross societies. Changes in the age of consent laws soon followed in many states.

In New Hampshire and Utah the age is now (November, 1894) thirteen years, the same as in England before Mr. Stead's investigation. In twenty states the age is now fourteen years, viz.: Maine, Vermont, Connecticut, Michigan, Indiana, Missouri, Idaho, New Mexico, Arizona, North Dakota, Maryland, West Virginia, Georgia, Ohio, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Nevada, California and Oregon. In Montana the age is fifteen years. In eight states the age is sixteen years, viz.: Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Washington, South Dakota, Arkansas and Colorado; and also in the District of Columbia. In Tennessee the age is sixteen years and one day, the one day having been added as a facetious amendment while the matter was under consideration in the Tennessee Legislature. In Florida the age is seventeen years. In two states, Wyoming and Kansas, the age is eighteen years. In Delaware "an act for the better protection of female children," passed March 29, 1889, fixed the age at fifteen years. In Texas, in 1890, the age was reported by the secretary of state as twelve years; in Louisiana, twelve years; and in Mississippi, sixteen years. Official answers to our last inquiries from these four states have not yet come to hand.

Several attempts have been made in different states to lower the age of consent again after it had been raised. Thus far, fortunately, they have not been successful. In the New York Senate, in 1890, a bill was introduced to lower the age of consent from sixteen to fourteen years. It was reported favorably by the senate judiciary committee, but vigorous protests against the proposed retrograde legislation were promptly sent to Albany by the friends of purity, and the disreputable scheme was defeated. It was understood to have originated with Rochester attorneys who sought thus to provide a way of escape for a

client, a well-to do debauchee guilty of despoiling a young girl under the legally protected age of sixteen. Another attempt was made in the New York legislature, in 1892, this time in the assembly, to lower the age of consent from sixteen to fourteen years. A motion made to amend thus the penal code was adopted by a *viva voce* vote, and was about to be declared carried, when the chairman of the judiciary committee, realizing its serious import, called for the yeas and nays, declaring that on such a measure the constituents of every member should know how his vote was cast, and that all should therefore go on record. This effectually killed the unworthy amendment, proposed, it was understood, in the interest of the brothel-keepers of New York. In the Kansas senate, in 1889, a bill was introduced and passed to lower the age of consent in that state from eighteen to twelve years. The house was flooded with earnest protests, and its judiciary committee reported adversely the disgraceful senate bill.

In noting the changes of the last decade in the age of consent laws of this country, it is a suggestive fact that the two states in which the age of legal protection for girlhood has been raised to eighteen years are states in which women vote — Wyoming, upon equal terms with men, and Kansas, in municipal elections. In Colorado, where a legislature has recently been chosen, for the first time with the help of women voters, and wherein the age of consent is now sixteen, it is to be hoped that the age may be promptly raised to at least eighteen years.

It is a great scandal to the whole American nation that there are eight states which still have age of consent laws upon their statute-books making it possible for young girls of ten and twelve years legally to consent to their own ruin. It is a type of legislative barbarism which ought to be at once and forever abolished. It is a shame to puritan New England that, after all the discussion of this important subject in recent years, it still has one state, New Hampshire, which shares with Utah the disgrace of fixing the age of consent at thirteen years; and that Maine, Vermont and Connecticut extend legal protection to the young girls of those states only to the age of fourteen. With seventeen other states, the age of consent laws of which fix the age at the unreasonably and inexcusably low period of fourteen years, the need of a vigorous crusade, in behalf of exposed girlhood and in the interest of public morality, against such shameful legislation, should be obvious to all. Neither at fourteen nor sixteen should the young girl be left legally a prey to the merciless, wily sensualist and debauchee. It is not too much to ask in any state that the age of legal protection for the person be made at least to equal that of property.

## II. WHAT SHALL THE AGE OF CONSENT BE? BY HELEN H. GARDENER.

When I am asked to present an argument against lowering the age of consent, or when I am requested to write the reasons why that age should be raised to at least eighteen years, it impresses me very much as if some one were to ask me gravely, if I would be so kind as to try to think up some fairly plausible grounds upon which one might base an objection to the practice of cutting the throats of his neighbor's children whenever that neighbor happened not to be at home to protect them; or to furnish a demurrer to the act of inoculating the community with small-pox as a matter of ordinary amusement. There is not, there never has been, there never can be any fact in nature that is not a protest in letters of flame against the infamy of legal enactments which place the innocence and ignorance of childhood at the mercy of licensed lechery.

To begin with, no being who is not too degraded or too utterly mentally and morally diseased to be a safe person to be at large, could wish that a little child, a baby girl fourteen, twelve, aye, ten years of age should be made, as is the case in many of our states, the legal and rightful prey of grown men. There is no argument. There is no basis for a difference of opinion. No man on earth would pass or want passed such a law for his own child, for his own sister, for any one for whom he cared. It is too gross, it is too inhuman for words. No legislature on the earth, if its discussions were open to women, if women were present at its sessions, would ever have passed such acts. No man who ever lived, no man who ever will live, could justify his vote in its favor, with his wife or his mother or his sister beside him, with his own little girl looking into his eyes. Now, legislation that is not good enough, just enough, based upon principle and honor enough to meet the open understanding and approval of the mothers of a nation, can ever result in anything but disaster for that nation.

What good can it do any human being to have the age of consent below that at which honorable marriage or the right to sell property comes to a girl? Who is to profit by it? Surely not that girl, since by her immature "infant" judgment she has wrecked her whole life, while the law protects her against her "infant" judgment in immaturity squandering or deeding away her property. Who is to profit by it? Whom is it intended to benefit? There can be but one answer. It is a law in the interest of the brothel, in the interest of the grade of men who prey upon the ignorance and helplessness of childhood.

"Ah, but," says one, "there are wild and bad and perverted



girls, who would lay traps for inexperienced boys, who are not over eighteen years of age, and by threats thereafter blackmail them into marriage." That is the only attempt at an argument that I have ever heard on that side of the question. It is easily answered. Let the boy and the girl stand upon precisely the same legal footing. Let the law not favor her in the least. Let it not hold him, any more than it does her, to account in such a case. Where both are children, "infants before the law" let both be treated as children, and give no legal advantage to either.

But this plea is and has always been a mere blind subterfuge. Such cases are too rare to demand very serious consideration. It is not, and it never has been to protect the lads who may be led into indiscretion by designing young girls that such laws were made. It is to shield men of mature and vicious lives from the results of their most heinous vices. It is to cater to crime against the baby girls of the lower and middle classes of the race, and to foster the vilest traffic that was ever known to human beings, that men who are our fathers and brothers have met in secret session and framed and passed such laws — sessions so secret that even some of the members of the legislatures themselves, after years of service for their states, assure us that they personally never even dreamed that such laws had been enacted by their own body and that they disgraced the statute books of their states.

But if there are good and legitimate reasons (of which I am ignorant) for such legislation; if there is a member of any legislature of any state who honestly believes that he is justified in voting for such a law, there are many thousands beside myself, both men and women, who would be glad to have him present his case. I therefore ask, with the permission of the editor of *THE ARENA*, that any legislator of any state who believes that he has a right to help to retain the age of consent below that at which a girl may legally dispose of property, will clearly set forth, over his own name and in appropriate language, his reasons for such a belief, whether these reasons be of a religious, scientific, social or legal nature, and I will respond to them, and leave the verdict in the hands of the readers of *THE ARENA*. If no legitimate and convincing argument can be advanced, if there is no open champion who can present a legitimate reason for passing and sustaining such laws, then surely we may demand their immediate repeal in every state.

Recent information from one of the states says: "It [the bill to raise the age of consent] was introduced into the senate and debated during one whole afternoon *behind closed doors and for men only*. It was not even allowed to go to the lower house,

but was defeated right there." If there is enough to say in favor of such a measure to take up the debate of one whole afternoon, there is surely enough to form one magazine article. Its champions are now requested to respond.

III. AROUSING THE PUBLIC CONSCIENCE, BY FRANCES E. WILLARD.

To my mind the most difficult and important task we have before us is to impress upon the average mind among good men and good women that there is such a thing as the "age of consent." For myself I had reached the age of forty-seven before I ever heard of it; doubtless the phrase may have met my eye, but as Carlyle says, "An ox and a philosopher look out upon the same landscape, and the difference between the impression that it makes upon each one of them is the measure of the difference in the brain behind the eye." No human being was ever yet arrested in conscience until he had first received the arrest of thought. To take the great lethargic public by the shoulder, to point with decisive finger and to shout in its dull ear, "Look there"! — this is really all that any reformer has ever done. The inertia of the mass, whether of matter or of men, is what we work against. Reformers are a feeble folk in numbers, wealth and prestige, and it proves the tendency towards goodness in the majority of mankind, that with instruments so feeble reforms have had successes so infallible. Just so soon as it becomes well understood in the homes of this country that by the common law, a girl of ten years, who cannot legally give away her pocket handkerchief or sell her doll, is held responsible equally with her strong, relentless and doughty assailant for the sale of herself in a crime of which two only are capable, just so soon will the moral sense of the home revolt against this barbaric reminiscence of those dark ages when all women were the property of all men. Unless women had been at some time objects of barter, no such law could ever have been made; and if I were asked the greatest hindrance to that optimistic view of the physically stronger sex which I have always held and still hold, I should say, the original enactment by men of the law of consent and its enforcement by men appointed for the purpose.

When in 1886 (as a sequel of William T. Stead's purity crusade in London) the white ribbon women of this country learned that such laws were on our statute books, and that in the state of Delaware the age of equal responsibility with man was, on a girl's part, seven years, we at once declared ourselves determined to "clean house" in a governmental sense, until this record of defilement should be washed away. With this in view we organ-

ized, in the national convention in 1886 at Philadelphia, the department of work for the promotion of purity, of which I was made superintendent,\* and from that date to this we have steadily prosecuted the work along the lines of prevention, education and legislation. In prosecuting this work I called to my aid my former pupil, Dr. Kate C. Bushnell, now so well known for the remarkable achievement of herself and her associate, Mrs. Elizabeth Wheeler Andrew, in securing the enforcement of laws for the prevention of vice in the British army in India.

Appeals were sent to every religious denomination in the United States, and W. C. T. U. representatives in each state and territory went before the legislature carrying petitions through which the effort was made to secure better laws for the protection of women. In several of the states the age of consent was raised from ten to sixteen years, and in many, heavier penalties were attached to laws for the punishment of wicked men. In Kansas the age of consent was raised to eighteen years, the highest known, and in the United States Congress a bill was passed in response to our petitions, raising the age to sixteen. (Mrs. Ada Bittenbender of Nebraska, aided by United States Senator Henry W. Blair, was largely instrumental in securing this advance.) I shall not go farther into the statistics, as they will doubtless be furnished by others. White Cross and White Shield pledges were circulated by hundreds of thousands of copies, the demand for these being greater than for the literature of the temperance propaganda; these pledges were prepared by our society and printed by the Woman's Temperance Publishing House, at the Woman's Temperance Temple, Chicago.

White ribbon women throughout the world have long felt that the Siamese twins of vice are strong drink and the degradation of woman. Our study of the former has led us to acknowledge that any blow struck at either of these abominations tells equally upon the other; for their relation, always close, has in these days, when the liquor traffic has fallen more and more under the ban of public sentiment, and when high license has increased the temptation of the saloon keeper to furnish various attractions that he may increase his custom, become one of actual interdependence. We have based this department of our work, as from the beginning we have based every other, on the sure foundation of the education of the public mind in order to the arousing of the public conscience. Every local union is supposed to hold regularly its "Mother Meetings," at which different aspects of the purity movement are passed in review, and leaflets are distributed showing the necessity of educating children to know the laws of their own being, the relation of dress to vice, the pitfalls

---

\* Dr. Mary Wood Allen, Ann Arbor, Mich., is our present superintendent.

for our boys, the predisposing causes of impurity, the relation of the laws of hygiene to habits of purity, the evils of the use of narcotics and many other kindred topics. The petition sent out by our society to every state and territory is as follows; I give the exact form:—

*Petition of the*  
**WOMAN'S CHRISTIAN TEMPERANCE UNION**  
*for the*  
**PROTECTION OF WOMEN.**

(N. B.—Attach paper for signatures. Print in local papers; get editorial in favor; urge that petition be clipped from the paper and circulated.)

*To the Senate and House of Representatives:*

The increasing and alarming frequency of assaults upon women, and the frightful indignities to which even little girls are subject, have become the shame of our boasted civilization.

A study of the statutes has revealed their utter failure to meet the demands of that newly-awakened public sentiment which requires better legal protection for womanhood and girlhood.

Therefore we, men and women of \_\_\_\_\_, State of \_\_\_\_\_, do most earnestly appeal to you to enact such statutes as shall provide for the adequate punishment of crimes against women and girls. We also urge that the age of consent be raised to at least eighteen years; and we call attention to the disgraceful fact that protection of the person is not placed by our laws upon so high a plane as protection of the purse.

It is almost impossible to separate the work for raising the age of consent from the other lines that are intended to converge in the pledge of the White Cross, which reads as follows:—

I promise to treat all women with respect, and endeavor to protect them from wrong and degradation.

To endeavor to put down all indecent language and coarse jests.

To maintain the law of purity as equally binding upon men and women.

To endeavor to spread these principles among my companions, and try to help my younger brothers.

To use all possible means to fulfil the command, "Keep thyself pure."

The White Shield pledge is for women and is as follows:—

I promise, by the help of God, to uphold the law of purity as equally binding upon men and women.

To be modest in language, behavior and dress.

To avoid all conversation, reading, art and amusements which may put impure thoughts into my mind.

To guard the purity of others, especially of the young.

To strive after the special blessing promised to the pure in heart.

But under all the work for purity lie two principles which must be established before we can ever hope for a genuine and an abiding success. First, *We must have such knowledge, conscience, custom and law as will establish an equal standard of purity for boys and girls, youths and maidens, men and women.* The white

## THE BLACK LIST OF STATES.

---

Below we give the black list of states, showing the limit at which fathers, brothers and husbands have placed the age at which *a little girl may consent to her ruin*:—

### TEN YEARS.

Alabama, North Carolina, South Carolina.

### TWELVE YEARS.

Kentucky, Louisiana, Texas, Wisconsin.

### THIRTEEN YEARS.

Iowa, New Hampshire, Utah.

### FOURTEEN YEARS.

Arizona, California, Connecticut, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Vermont, West Virginia.

### FIFTEEN YEARS.

Delaware, Montana.

### SIXTEEN YEARS.

Arkansas, Colorado, District of Columbia, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington.

### SEVENTEEN YEARS.

Florida.

life for *two* is the only decent, not to say reasonable life, and unless shared by both fractions of the human integer it is physically impossible to lead that life. Second, *The sanctity of motherhood must be respected to such degree as shall make a wife the unquestioned arbiter of her own destiny.* So far as this supreme relation is concerned she must surrender in marriage no right that is not equally surrendered by the partner of her joys and sorrows. The *femme couverte* is not a character appropriate to our peaceful, homelike communities, although she may have been and doubtless was a necessary figure in the days when women were safe only as they were shut up in castles and when they were the booty chiefly sought in war. At the same time any law that renders less binding the mutual, lifelong loyalty of one man and one woman to each other, which is the central idea of every home, is a curse to that home and to humanity. Around this union, which alone renders possible a pure society and a permanent state, the law should throw its utmost safeguards, and upon this union the gospel should pronounce its most sacred benediction; but the husband of the modern woman will not have the right to will away her unborn child; to control her property; to make the laws under which she is to live; to fix her penalties; to try her before juries of men; to cast the ballot for her, and, in general, to hold her in the estate of a perpetual minor.

It will not do to let the modern man determine the "age of consent," settle the penalties that men shall suffer whose indignities and outrages upon women are worse to them than death, and by his exclusive power to make all laws and choose all officers, legislative, judicial and executive, thus leaving his own case wholly in his own hands. To continue this method is to make it as hard as possible for a man to do right, and as easy as possible for him to do wrong. The magnificent possibilities of manly character under higher and holier conditions are best prophesied from the fact that under such a system as we have endured throughout the centuries, so many men are good and gracious.

#### IV. THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF AGE-OF-CONSENT LEGISLATION, BY A. H. LEWIS, D. D.

The age-of-consent legislation is so entirely foreign to Christianity, so inconsistent with Christian civilization, that we are compelled to wonder whence it came. It violates every principle of purity which Christ laid down in His interpretation of the seventh commandment. The follies of society and the fires of lust are not sufficient to create such an outrage on womanhood.

It must be a heritage from some dark past. The struggle against it, in which so few are earnestly engaged, and concerning which so many are indifferent, shows that the public mind is poisoned from some fountain far away. Fundamental causes, far-reaching and persistent, must have combined to create a stream which so pollutes and shames the closing years of this century.

### *Ancient Sex Worship.*

The worship of the heavenly bodies is the oldest and most widely diffused form of paganism. This centres around the worship of the sun and moon as the primary sexual principles in the universe. Sex worship was a prominent part of the sun-worship cult. It meets the investigator, ripe as to influence and strong as to its hold on society, at the boundary of the prehistoric period. Some claim that it arose from such regard for life as led to its veneration. There is, however, much evidence that it grew from the baser element that is gratified through the association of the sexes. Whatever the source, the fact is apparent that the gratification of lust was thus sanctified, and that social vice became a form of worship.

Sex worship came into direct contact with the Hebrew religion and with early Christianity. Its fundamental idea was that as the sun represents the creative power in nature, so man represents the male principle in human life; and as the moon goddess yields to the sun god, and the earth passively receives his fructifying power, so man has the right to demand full gratification of lust, with wrong; and woman is bound to yield her virtue as a duty, and as an act of worship to the goddess of lust and love. This system pervaded Oriental, Egyptian, Mexican and American paganism. Perhaps it was less prominent in Northern Europe, but it was practically universal. It created a religious prostitution; it sanctified lust; it made social vice a virtue.

So far as the writer has been able to trace, the demands made by this system were first formulated in legal requirements in ancient Babylonia. According to Herodotus (Book I., paragraph 199) and Strabo (Geography, Book XVI., chapter 1, paragraph 20) every woman in that country was required to yield her virtue at least once, by consorting with any stranger who might demand her presence within the precincts of the temple of Mylitta, i. e., Venus. Having once gone to the sacred shrine, she was not allowed to return home until this was done. A silver coin was given to her, accompanied with the salutation, "The goddess Mylitta prosper thee." She could not refuse this money and henceforth it was deemed sacred. This cult pervaded the entire Chaldeo-Assyrian religion. It appears in the legend of Istar,



and much that is unearthed from the ruins of that lost civilization reveals the influence of this poison upon those countries. If genuine veneration for life existed in the minds of a few, it was lost with the masses, and the voluptuous tendencies of the Orient were fostered and protected by it. Well does De Pressense say: "It is easy to understand how Syria became the cradle of the worship of the great goddess Astarte, who lays her spell on the senses and suffuses universal existence with a flood of delights; but in Syria the goddess never represents anything higher than the reproductive power of nature, set forth in type destitute of artistic grace, but none the less effectual in fanning the passions of this fiery race" ("The Ancient World and Christianity"). So universal was this conception that this voluptuous Astarte was thought to be the very life of men and gods, and of all things created; sea, earth and sky did homage to her as the object of universal worship.

Sex worship still flourishes throughout the Orient. India is permeated with it. The sacred city of Benares has many phallic shrines, at which non-virtuous women are the chief worshippers. The world-famed car of Juggernath may not be reproduced under the camera because of its obscenity. Sex worship still flourishes in Tasmania, Borneo and Australia. It was openly prevalent in German life until the twelfth century, and in French life as late as the fourteenth. The Huguenots found many relics of phallic worship in the churches of France, which they destroyed under the impulse of reform. Abundant traces of it are yet found among the peasants of Italy. Its grosser forms have passed out of organized Christianity, but its traces remain. Whatever reasons may be given for refraining from marriage during Lent, the idea had its origin in the mourning of Venus for her lost Adonis. Easy virtue, and the enormous rate of illegitimate births which abound on the continent of Europe, attest the presence of this poison in the social life of the Old World. Phallic symbols taken from the doorways of the churches in ancient Ireland, and unfit for public eye, are accessible to the investigator. Whoever looks upon the private museum at Naples does not wonder that Vesuvius buried Pompeii.

The germs of age-of-consent laws are found in the legislation of Solon at Athens as early as 600 B. C. He aimed to meet the demands of passion which sex worship had cultivated, by establishing legalized brothels. He purchased women and fixed prices for indulgence. As a business it soon became profitable to the government. Certain privileges were granted to the women in return. Thus that which pagan religion had sanctified, pagan statecraft converted into a commercial value. The



commercial power of social vice in the United States is the modern form of Solon's scheme.

A similar system grew up in Rome at a later period. "Fornication" is derived from *fornix*, an arch, because the Roman brothels were at first located in vaults or arches in the outskirts of the city. They were also called *lupanares*, a place where she wolves dwell. Registration began in Rome about 180 B. C., when an edile of the city, attempting to quell a disturbance in a brothel, was cruelly beaten by the women. In retaliation the prostitutes were taxed, under a form of license. This system spread widely with the conquests of Rome, and became very popular. Caligula, of infamous memory, established a brothel in the imperial palace, and profited by the receipts therefrom. Regulation, of which our age-of-consent laws are a form, was the direct product of decaying Roman paganism, of effete sex worship.

Regulative legislation began among English-speaking people by the licensing of a group of houses of prostitution in the city of London near Westminster, in 1161 A. D. *The bishop of Winchester received the financial returns from this sale of virtue.* The provisions of Parliament, in the eighth year of Henry II., require that the "stewholders" should allow courtesans to come and go at will, and that all courtesans should be single women. The room rented to a woman should not cost her more than fourteen pence a week; the brothels to be closed on "holy days"; any prostitute might leave her calling if she chose, detention being forbidden; no women professing religion or being married could be admitted; no woman having taken money could turn her visitor out before morning. Soliciting was forbidden. Diseased women must not be kept, and brothel keepers could not sell food, prepared or unprepared, nor coal or wood. All this was under sanction of Parliament, and the bishop received the rents paid for these brothels. It was Babylonian paganism in English dress.

The more immediate fountain head of age-of-consent legislation in the United States, is found in the double standard of morality for the sexes. This is the modern form of sex worship which found expression in the laws of Babylonia. Too much cannot be said against this double standard. The Hebrew religion, and Christianity, which is its spiritual efflorescence, condemn such unjust distinction. It is as strange as it is sad that women are yet found who favor the degradation which ancient sex worship put upon their sisters, under the false plea that some must sin that others may be safe. And worse still, many men openly avow the right and the privilege of seeking lustful gratification, wherever it may be found, for a money consideration.

This is the modern form of the sacred coin, to furnish which was the only obligation placed upon men under the ancient system.

Through all these influences has sprung that legislation which enacts that innocent girlhood may, at the earliest possible age, barter for the most trivial reward, or worse still for none at all, her greatest treasure, her one badge of womanhood. And lest men be called to account and made to suffer, the age-of-consent laws stain our statute books with provisions which shield them unless it be proved that girlhood resists with all possible physical ability; less than that is "legal consent." The whole story was told in a sentence by a prominent lawyer of New York City a few years ago, when efforts were made to raise the age of consent in that state; being appealed to, he replied that the age sought "would be altogether too hard on the men." What an echo from Babylonia — and hell!

In view of what has been, and of the existing results as they appear on our statute books, every lover of purity, every friend of manhood and every defender of womanhood must be moved to a deeper sense of the shame and wickedness of these laws. Born in the vilest union between ancient sex worship and animal lust, persisting in their poisonous course along the currents of history, strengthened through Greek culture and Roman power, revived amid the degradation of English life at the close of the Dark Ages, these laws have come to us, a terrible inheritance; vile, vicious, abhorrent. Happy will it be, indeed, if such efforts as that in which THE ARENA is now taking part, shall soon erase them from statute books which ought to embody only Christian laws. It is not enough that the age of consent be "raised." *It must be erased.* It is unchristian; it ought to be un-American. It is a shame and crime against manhood, and a triple crime against girlhood. It outrages motherhood. It leads boys and men into vileness and degradation. It should no longer remain that our statutes, for the sake of protecting male animals, thus make war on the purity of both sexes, and on all that is best in our civilization which bears the name of Christian. Let such facts as these fan the flames of public opinion until all age-of-consent laws mingle with the ashes of a dead past.

#### V. A PHYSICIAN'S VIEW OF THESE LAWS, BY O. EDWARD JANNEY, M. D.

The question at once arises, Why an age of consent at all? Why should any age limit be fixed, beyond which a girl may consent to her own ruin? The answer to this brings us at once to a consideration of the sharp distinction between immorality and criminality. An act may be immoral and yet not criminal.

An immoral act becomes criminal when done in violation of a law which defines the crime. Thus unchastity is criminal up to the "age of consent"; after that time it is immoral, but not criminal.

It may reasonably be asked, What good may be expected from the agitation which has for its object the raising of the "age of consent" several years above the present low limit? The object of law is twofold — to punish the offender and to prevent others from committing offences. It is the second of these effects of the enforcement of law that accomplishes the most practical good. It is now a recognized maxim that a penalty for crime should not be administered as punishment, but as a means of reformation. The chief aim of the penalty is, however, to deter others from breaking the law.

It is along this line that good will come from the enactment of laws which raise the age of consent. Several more years will thus be provided, during which the unchaste act is not merely immoral but criminal. Being a crime in the eye of the law, with a penalty attached — in this state\* death — men will be deterred from thus offending, and the maiden will be allowed to develop into the woman before she can consent to this form of degradation. These three or four added years of comparative safety will be an incalculable blessing, and the effort to obtain them is worthy the best efforts of our best people.

It is true that the ancient belief that woman is the natural prey of man, has, to a great extent, been superseded by the nobler thought that she should look to him for protection from wrong and degradation; but the old belief still obtains among certain classes of men. These, not actuated by noble impulses but ruled by their animal natures, require the restraining influence of the law; and these are the men against whose lust should be interposed the bar of age.

Until 1890, the age of consent in Maryland was ten years; it was then raised to fourteen. It is still as low as this in some other localities.

Now it is evident that no possession is so precious to a woman as her honor. The law does not allow her to possess property in her own right, nor dispose of it to others, until she is eighteen years of age, and yet it allows her to yield up her honor in childhood, at a period when, in her innocence and lack of knowledge of worldly evil, she knows not what she does. Accustomed to look up to her elders and obey their requests, she is easily persuaded to consent to the solicitations of a man, usually older than herself, and thus, unwittingly, yields all. Yes, the law throws safeguards about the child's property, but leaves her

---

\*Maryland.

open to the loss of that which is infinitely more valuable to her than gold, houses, lands or jewels; more valuable to her than even life itself — her honor. Rather should the age of consent be placed above eighteen years than under it. Let chastity be valued above money.

It should be remembered, also, that the judgment is developed by observation, study, experience and the habit of weighing evidence. A child of fourteen years is only beginning to observe and to study; has had no experience of the world, and knows nothing of how to weigh evidence. It follows, then, that such a child's judgment cannot be trusted. Men, therefore, and rightly, do not entrust important affairs of business, of state, of religion, or even of social life to children of fourteen years, nor allow such to marry; and yet they are credited with sufficient judgment to decide as to what pertains to their vital and probably eternal welfare. Girls are allowed to decide so important a question at a time when they are still wearing short dresses and playing with dolls, and would hardly be entrusted with the care of a watch or the driving of a horse!

In fixing the age of consent it should be borne in mind that some girls are older at fifteen than others at eighteen; that owing to lack of mental or physical development, heredity or environment, or all combined, some girls are mere children until eighteen years of age, and at fourteen are hardly equal to other girls at ten. It is manifestly unjust to subject such children to the dangers arising from the lust of men, as would follow from placing the age of consent at fourteen years, since this would, in such cases, be equivalent to placing it at nine or ten. The only plan which would not be grievously unjust to this class of girls, would be to fix the age of consent at eighteen years. If, then, the object of fixing the age of consent is to decide upon a time when a young woman's mind has developed sufficiently to form an intelligent opinion upon an important subject, it seems evident that no such time can be said to exist under the age of eighteen.

Viewed from the medical standpoint, the case is equally clear. The man who would assault the integrity of a young girl is reasonably sure to be physically diseased. Those who have not carefully investigated this subject, or who have not had it thrust upon their attention, as has the physician, would certainly be shocked at the physical condition of the libertine and alarmed as to its results. The diseases which result from the violation of sexual laws are particularly revolting, persistent and destructive to vitality. They belong to the class of affections produced by the action of animal poisons, and the virus, in many cases, seems to invade every tissue and interfere seriously with the proper

action of every organ. Once this poison has been received into the system, years must elapse before it can be expelled, and in the meanwhile irremediable damage has been done. Moreover the diseased condition is capable of transmission to offspring. It has been recently proved to the satisfaction of physicians that even the lighter forms of such disease, in men, are capable of producing in women, after marriage, some forms of those affections of the pelvic organs which are now so common, and which result in lifelong invalidism or require the use of the surgeon's knife.

It is safe to say that a girl of fourteen or sixteen years knows nothing of the existence of such diseases in men. It is something that does not enter into her thoughts. Too often, however, in her childish innocence and inexperience, intimate relation with a man who has dipped into impurity, brings to her a sudden and bitter knowledge, as loathsome disease stares her in the face, and she perceives the seal of impurity impressed upon herself. Had she been older, it is fair to say she would have had sufficient judgment to escape such a fate. Surely the manhood of this nation will not longer permit such a danger to threaten its young womanhood.

Viewed from any standpoint, there would be a decided gain were the age of consent universally raised to eighteen years. If by such an action even one girl out of ten thousand should be saved from a life of impurity, the action would be wise and humane; and the number saved would in reality be far greater than this. May the noble among men unite in this effort to protect woman from wrong and degradation!

#### VI. THE AGE OF CONSENT IN TENNESSEE, BY WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE.

To the Woman's Christian Temperance Union is due the credit and principal glory of having the age of protection changed from ten to sixteen years in Tennessee. These noble women fought heroically for eighteen years but were compelled to compromise at last on sixteen years and one day. The agitation which led to the passage of the bill arose from a resolution in the East Nashville Union appointing Mrs. Mary P. Bang, of Nashville, Tenn., general vice-president, to prepare an open letter to the Tennessee legislature on the subject. This strong and stirring appeal appeared in the *Evening Banner* on Saturday, and the following Monday two bills were introduced in the house of representatives; one by Mr. Milburn of Greene County asking that the age of protection be raised to eighteen years; the other by Mr. Babb of Shelby County asking that it

be made sixteen years. These two gentlemen, however, fought hand in hand and with all their might for the measure. They were supported by the *Banner*, in which many articles had appeared urging the passage of the Milburn Bill. Letters were written to every Women's Christian Temperance Union in the state requesting the members to see or write their representatives in the interest of the measure for the protection of young girls in Tennessee.

Miss Willard was called upon for a copy of the law raising the age of consent in some other states. Miss Willard referred the letter to Aaron M. Powell, who replied that if the age of consent in Tennessee was but ten years it had been recently lowered, as the secretary of state had informed him that the age was thirteen. Some valuable time was lost investigating the matter and it was found that the secretary of state was mistaken. Then came a season of reflection and of doubt as to who could be persuaded to introduce the measure and steer it through the legislature. On Jan. 2, 1894, appeared the heroic appeal from Mrs. Bang, urging the assembly to remove the "foul blot of Tennessee's age of consent from her escutcheon; and to grant the honor of her girls at least as much protection as was accorded her property." Two days later the bills were introduced.

The Christian Temperance women watched every movement by the enemy to circumvent the cause of higher morality, and worked incessantly to overcome all obstacles placed in the way of the raising of the age of consent. Immediately after Mr. Milburn introduced his bill a number of leading members of the Union wrote to thank him and to offer their services for any assistance they might be able to render toward advancing the measure. He replied at once, giving the substance of the bill, and requesting that those interested in the measure petition the legislature in its interest. This was promptly done. A letter was also written to the editor of the *Banner* thanking him for a line, friendly to the measure, that had appeared in his columns, and begging his continued assistance and coöperation. His response was prompt and noble, and altogether characteristic of the man. Mountains of correspondence were sent out; ministers were urged to go to work for the bill; women who had never written a line for the press in their lives, and women who had written much, all alike took up arms for the great cause.

In the meantime the two bills had passed their second reading and had been referred to the judiciary committee. The real fight over a measure is never made until it comes up for the third and last reading. Before the committee had acted upon the bills Mr. Milburn was called home by illness in his family, and his bill was left practically without a champion. When its



author returned he learned that the judiciary committee had rejected his bill and recommended that of Mr. Babb, amended, however, so as to make the age of protection "*twelve years.*" And right here as good luck, or providence, would have it, the legislature took a recess of about four weeks.

While the law makers "recessed" the women worked. Such a tempest was raised that the noise of it reached the absent members in their homes, from the lowlands upon the west to the farthest mountain tops of the east. The papers were full of it. The "infamous law" was denounced in terms scarcely less strong than the action of the committee called forth. Mothers, fathers, brothers, took up the cry. The pulpit in several instances also spoke in no uncertain way. The columns of the press were not allowed to cool. From Carter to Shelby, be it said to their credit, they took up with one voice the cry of denunciation, and demanded the passage of a bill for the protection of young girls in Tennessee. Only one or two articles appeared against it. The *Nashville Christian Advocate* came out in an editorial denouncing the action of the judiciary committee as "worthy a parliament of Hottentots." It furthermore threatened if the bill, as the committee recommended it, should become a law, to publish the names of its supporters in a manner that would be anything but agreeable to those supporters. When the legislature convened they found public opinion too hot for them.

The ministers of the city met in the hall of the Y. M. C. A. March 13 to protest against the action of the judiciary committee, and to appoint a committee of ministers to petition the legislature to "fix the age of consent at eighteen years." This committee consisted of Rev. D. C. Kelley of the Methodist church, Rev. R. Lin. Cave of the Christian church, Rev. R. S. Gardner of the Baptist church, Dr. S. H. Chester and Rabbi Lewinthal.

Petitions came in from all quarters, and were presented day by day by Mr. Milburn. Mrs. Lide Merriwether and other heroic women were making speeches over the state and at the capital.

When the day set for the final reading arrived the galleries and lobbies were filled with prominent people interested in the bill. It was argued for hours; and many and original were the speeches made against it. One member regarded the measure as the most pernicious that had ever come before the house, and thought it would cause no end of blackmail and injustice. Another used as an argument against raising the age to eighteen years that girls knew as much at twelve as they did at eighteen. One said that a child of ten knew right from wrong. One argument was that it made no distinction between black and white; protesting that the shot-gun remedy was the best that had ever been invented for the protection of virtue. One member declared

that an eminent lawyer had told him that if the bill passed it would so crowd the dockets of the courts that business would be materially blocked. Another, thinking the final end had come, turned to the galleries and "hoped this incident would teach the women not to be petitioning the legislature concerning things they knew nothing about."

After various amendments the bill was put to the final vote with the amendment that fixed the age of consent at sixteen years and one day. The vote stood sixty-six to twenty-nine, and was followed by numerous explanations from those who had opposed it. It passed the senate, after some argument, without a dissenting voice. "All due to the women," the world said, and for once the world was right; though to be sure the women were not without, nor could they have moved without, the good men who shouldered their cause and helped to push it through.

The day has gone by when woman may be silenced by the old "You don't know." The man who hopes to silence her must invent some stronger quietus than that. Because she *does* know; she has informed and is still informing herself, upon all the great questions of the day; nor does she rank second in knowledge, at all events. If St. Paul ever did the world a wrong it was when he recommended that the women "be silent." This has been so often used against woman's public speech that the old mountaineer who declared that "Jesus Christ His own se'f said, Don't let the women talk out in meet'n'," was not alone in his ignorance as to the author of the much quoted phrase.

#### VII. ANOTHER PHYSICIAN SPEAKS, BY EMILY BLACKWELL, M. D.

By fixing the age of legal majority the state declares that under this age young people have not the experience nor the maturity of judgment which would qualify them for independent action in matters of importance affecting their own interests. They are in consequence made incapable of such action. Their consent cannot relieve a guardian from responsibility in the management of their property. Except in a few exceptional cases they cannot make a contract which will be binding when they come of age. A minor cannot legally marry without the consent of the guardian. Surreptitious marriage with a minor is an offence punishable by law, and such a marriage can be annulled upon the application of the guardian. Thus their power of action is, in their own interest, so limited that their consent is not sufficient to make valid even perfectly legitimate transactions, nor does it avail to protect adults who assume it as sufficient authority.



Even in crime youth is allowed as an extenuating circumstance, from the general feeling that the young are less able to resist external influences, and are less responsible for their actions than the adult. The establishment of reformatories for juvenile offenders testifies to the belief that their characters are still unformed for good or for evil.

In the case of girls the state has not only extended exceptional protection to them as minors in reference to their legitimate social relations, it has also established a sort of legal majority in reference to those that are illegitimate. It has fixed an age below which girls are held to be incompetent of assent to such illegitimate relations. "Consent," as it is termed, varied in all the different states, until recently, from the age of seven to twelve years, and in many of them it is still only ten or twelve. This arrangement amounted virtually to the protection of children only of the years during which the physical abuse of children is so brutal an offence as to excite indignation even among the majority of persons of vicious life. The protection accorded in other respects to minors was distinctly and emphatically withdrawn from girls during the first few years of early womanhood, when it is most needed.

Such legislation is directly in the interests of vice. The line is drawn just where those who are interested in vice would have it. It is certainly as illogical as cruel that at an age when a girl's consent is not held sufficient for legal marriage, it should be held sufficient to justify her destruction. A man may not legally marry the minor daughter of another without his consent, but he is legally free to seduce her if he can.

It would seem that our present legislation was influenced more by respect for property, than by consideration for personal protection. Virtually it is effective only in regard to the well-to-do class in which property considerations enter largely into the question of marriage. In this class the daughters live at home, under the protection of parents and family connections, to mature age. The only danger to which they are actually exposed is to that of an imprudent marriage, and against that the law fully protects them.

The case is entirely different with the majority of girls where poverty obliges them to go to work as soon as they are capable of earning. Ignorant, inexperienced, impulsive, they enter the great world of work, usually into wearisome and ill-paid labor, under the control and direction of men. For except in domestic service, girls do not come much in contact with the great body of respectable elder women who should be their natural guides. These are withdrawn from the world of industry, and are occupied in domestic life, and those whom the girls do meet in work

are usually not in positions of influence or authority. It is with men largely that the girls deal, and upon them they depend for direction and occupation. Even in domestic service girls are removed from home life, and thrown among associates of the most varied character, and for whom the mistress usually feels little responsibility. There is no class in society so helpless, so surrounded by temptation, as young working girls just growing up. They are surrounded by a network of snares and pitfalls. For this is the class which is coveted as a prey by the licentious and by those who live by pandering to licentiousness.

Though unacknowledged and working under cover, there is virtually an organized system of temptation, controlled by old experienced agents of vice, aiming to sweep as much of this fresh material as possible into their nets. How constant and insidious this work is, what craft and what indirect means are employed to entice young girls into some of the many devious paths that lead downward, can only be realized by those whose attention and thought have been especially called to the subject. The testimony given before the committee of Parliament in reference to the working of the Contagious Diseases Act, and in reference to the international traffic in girls, are full of terrible testimony to the extent of youthful prostitution in great cities, to the endless ways in which the victims are tempted or entrapped, and the difficulty of escape when once they fall into bad hands. The experience of all societies that deal with the young, the history of the Michigan lumber camps, all tell the same story, with endless variations, of the dangers which encompass these years of early womanhood on its first entrance into work of all kinds outside of the home.

Society unconsciously works into the hands of the tempters. As cruelly severe toward women as it is criminally indulgent toward men in these respects, it is enough for a girl to be compromised, or even suspected, to make it difficult for her to obtain employment and keep in the ranks of the honest. The whole situation grows out of the different standard of virtue for men and women, that while chastity is the one absolute prerequisite to social consideration and even to decent life among women, it is regarded as an absolutely impossible virtue in men. Consequently seduction is a minor offence in a man — though it means destruction to the woman. Virtually a man who seduces a young woman commits a greater crime than if he killed her, as moral death is a greater misfortune than physical death. Would not most parents consider the death of a daughter a less misfortune than that she should take the first step toward a life of vice?

So long as the state acknowledges any special obligation toward minors in protection of property and person, it is cer-

tainly bound by duty and interest to extend it to those who most need it. To assume that a girl of fourteen or fifteen is not to be trusted in making a legal marriage, but that a girl of eleven or twelve is competent to understand and accept the consequences of an illegitimate connection, is a glaring absurdity, only to be accounted for by the different motives on which such action is based. No reason can be given for the low age of consent that would not tell equally upon every restriction on the freedom of minors. It is surely to the interest of the state that its girls should grow up to virtuous women. It cannot be its interest to facilitate the work of those who would compass its destruction, in order to increase the temptations to vice, already too powerful, which surround young men.

Wherever the age of protection has been raised the result has been for good only. It acts as a deterrent upon those who would mislead youth. It strengthens the hands of the individuals and societies who work for the protection and help of friendless youth. It would seem sufficient to state the case fairly to accomplish the end, but the great, long-continued effort that has been needed to partially accomplish this end testifies to the contrary. And constant vigilance is needed to keep even what is gained. Vice is always watching its opportunity. Two years ago a bill to lower the age of consent to its old standard came very near passing the New York legislature, and was only defeated by the timely effort of a single member. It is said to be good policy to do what your enemy opposes, and there is no doubt that all the vicious element of our cities is opposed to our efforts. They recognize that our present legislation is just what is to their advantage.

It is often objected to the advocates of woman suffrage, that women can have all the legal rights they can justly claim without it, that men are always ready to remove any proved injustice to them. Yet the fact remains that the first states to raise the age of consent to that of majority, were those in which women had a direct voice in politics—Wyoming and Kansas. There can be no doubt that had women a share in legislation the present agitation would be unnecessary, for these disgraceful enactments would long ago have been erased from our statute books. Indeed they would never have been placed there in the first instance.

So long as the state assumes any obligation on the matter, the only just and logical ground to take is that the age of consent should be raised to that of legal majority.

# THE NEW POLITICS.

---

BY RICHARD J. HINTON.

---

ABOUT the middle of March, 1893, the omnivorous newspaper published a despatch purporting to be the record of a conversation had by Mr. Frederick Alter of Cincinnati with President Grover Cleveland. Its accuracy not having been denied, the despatch may therefore be regarded as authentic. In it Mr. Cleveland is stated to have said:—

This country is going to have the hardest times . . . it has experienced in many years . . . but I don't intend to raise my hand to prevent it. What this country needs and must have is an object lesson. We must have hard times and business failures and bankruptcy and a certain amount of distress, before Congress will realize its duty and perform it. I propose to give the country an object lesson.

Snow falls on mountain peaks, down steep slopes, piles high in deep gorges, and rests along edges of precipice and shelving rock. No hand placed it there, for weal or woe. Natural causes operative in themselves were behind the base precipitation. But with what care, if present, the mountaineer avoids physical disturbance. To so small an agency may grim disaster be due! The slip of a mountain goat, the passage of a bewildered beast, a falling stone or even the timid step of a human being, may set in motion the tremulous mass. Silently it gathered! Unfelt at first, yet it moves, swiftly accelerating with momentum. The village below rests in its fated security, and there is no escape. Hut and home are crushed—man and beast alike find swift graves! What would be done by an endangered community to one known to be indifferent to such danger—ready even to welcome its horrors?

By this declaration, the president precipitated disaster. His ominous words set in motion the vibrations of terror. Within a month the horrors of contraction were upon us. The action of Congress was not waited for. Money was withdrawn from active circulation. Hundreds of millions were locked up in idleness. Construction ceased; manufactories closed; railroads were made bankrupt; credit dried up. Shop and basket, store and bucket, were empty. The children "clemmed" with hunger; parents and workers grew gaunt and wolfish. Every cowardly purse in the land tightened its strings. The hideous "Tramp! tramp!"

of the homeless, workless and breadless, resounded through long days and nights. President Cleveland doubtless chuckled as he proposed "to give the country an object lesson" in misery such as this land, at least, has never before witnessed. But the people raged. What they think of the "object lesson" and the boasting thereof, was seen in unmistakable fashion on Tuesday the sixth day of November last.

Perhaps the voting was as unwise as the jelly-fish sibilance of the White House oracle on the eleventh of March, 1893, just twenty months before, but it was certainly aimed in a different spirit. The weapon may not have been directed aright. John Sherman's utterances indicate that. But the People's motive stood behind their ballots. That is most transcendently clear, trenchant and direct. In seeking to avoid elemental issues the Democracy trafficked only in petty effects. "Tariff reform," as juggled with, could result only as Tom Corwin said of the pro-slavery compromises in ante-bellum conflicts, "saddling the country with lawsuits." Nothing could be decided and incompetency stood helpless and utterly nude.

The Republican majority embodied far more the stern utterances of discontent than it gave the shrill shouts of party victory. It was in truth the scornful rejection of another paradox. Blindly, it represents an imperative demand for economic security. It stands for industrial order, and was a loud protest from the depths. For there is nothing so conservative as industry. Security is its imperative need. Order must be its controlling law and equity alone can command these. Civilization travels on its stomach—that is, the food supply. When economic life is so organized that it competes for profit upon the eruptions of hunger, the inevitable and inexorable law of resistance arrives. That veneered anarchy, plus constable and cannon, which gambles for gain in labor's living needs, is a menace to order and the destroyer of civilization. The industrial democracy will not submit, but true to its dominating law of order it seeks all peaceful roads, however futile the goals to which they lead.

The "new politics" at least embody an intelligent effort to comprehend the causes of economic insecurity and social misery. They represent a conservative demand for the restoration to public well-being, of functions and powers ignorantly betrayed to privilege, or consciously stolen through crafty manipulation. Not all, perhaps a bare majority only, of the two million voters who have enlisted under the new party banners, fully understand the issues involved. But they all comprehend the justice of its stern and general demand *that public functions shall be restored to public control; that private profit thereon shall cease, and that*

*the property of the commonwealth shall be administered by its chosen representatives, for the sole advancement of the commonweal.* The conscious plunderers were not originally spawned by the tariff. Wage slavery, the monopoly of land, the harlotry of franchises, and the abandonment by the community of its natural property and forces, for the enrichment of corporation and class, in place of their being harnessed for the service of all the people, is the fruitful womb wherein threatening disorder is readily generated.

Confidence in the new politics may at present seem strained. Yet the late overturning should only feed courage. Take Colorado for example. The People's Party vote did not desert Governor Waite. The new suffragans, for whose enfranchisement he and they labored, were made hostile by their functional dread of insecurity. Interests were not slow to place untrained anger upon a personality and not a cause. The governor had to bear the consequences by defeat, which, however, in no way destroys. The change in Kansas is due to Democratic votes — a fact which will not, however, rehabilitate that party anachronism. In 1892, the vote of Kansas was: For Weaver, 163,111; Harrison, 156,134; Bidwell, 4,553; Cleveland, none — a total vote of 323,798. In 1894, the total is about 300,000, of which the People's Party is estimated as having 125,000; the Democracy, 24,000; Prohibition, 6,000; Republican, 155,000. These figures give no reason for despair or doubt even. The gains have elsewhere been large: Illinois and Wisconsin have each added thousands to the tally; Minnesota has doubled its figures; Nebraska chooses a fusion governor; Nevada stands for free silver; California is girding her loins, and San Francisco sits by the sunset sea earliest of municipal victors. But the greatest gain is in the dissolution of the "Solid South." Texas gives about 200,000 votes; Alabama was really carried; North Carolina is glowing with assured victory. Elsewhere the bourbon vote is decaying.

The vote of the People's Party does not fall far short of 2,000,000. In 1892 it reached a total of 1,035,572, that being the number cast for Weaver. The Socialist vote was 21,145, and the Prohibitionist, 262,525. There is every reason for encouragement in these figures. How curiously, too, our political history repeats itself. Let us look at a fleeting view of the story of early Republican struggle. Before the repeal of the Missouri Compromise there was widespread antislavery sentiment but no effective political policy. The efforts of Liberty Leagues and Party, of Free Soilers, Conscience Whigs, etc., can be run parallel with the struggles of Greenbackers, Silverites and Alliance nominations. When slavery struck at Kansas, all became one, just as



when it became evident that Harrison and Cleveland alike were for contraction and ruin at the bidding of Lombard and Wall Streets. We have even paralleled the scenes of 1856 by seeing again a revival of sectarian hostilities and of Knownothingism. Ninety-six, like its prototype of sixty, will doubtless see its departure also to congenial shades.

The parallel runs. Do not those who lived in that wonderful decade preceding the Civil War, thrill again with the memory of the heroic thought, the noble literature, the eloquent voices, the brave and courageous citizens who sought the front of fight for freedom, out there in Kansas, thus beginning the making of the new West which now leads the broader fight for a secure, a just, a holier civilization? Shall not the "new politics" recognize its heroes, statesmen, poets, philosophers, novelists, workers? Look at the names already enrolled for the cause if not yet in the practical party. Count the bead roll — Lyman Trumbull, Henry D. Lloyd, Senators Allen, Peffer, Kyle, Jones and Stewart, Eugene Debs, David H. Waite, Gordon Clark, Marion Todd, Professor Parsons, King, Loucks, Garland, Leavitt, and scores of others able and true. Orators like Mrs. Lease, Lafe Pence, Bryan, William Jackson Armstrong and others that are worthy, respond to the call. Jurists, statesmen, executives, writers, speakers, publicists, are gathering at the front. These forces find their foremost types in the statesman of bimetallism, the industrial leader, and the lawyer and editor who has headed the mountain column. In the distinguished statesman who now raises the gonfalon of the new politics we find the foremost advocate of the dominating factor therein.

Those who know John P. Jones, United States senator for Nevada, comprehend how severe the cost was to him, when in pursuance of his sense of duty he wrote: —

Having become firmly convinced that the Republican party organization is unalterably opposed to the free coinage of silver at the American ratio of sixteen to one, or at all, except with the consent of foreign governments, and at a ratio dictated by them, I have to announce that I can no longer act with that party.

Senator Jones belongs to that class of public men to which public obligations are matters of faith and sincere convictions. The United States Senate Chamber offers a supreme field for character and capacity. The opinion of colleagues therein is a worthy test. On all sides John P. Jones is recognized as a foremost figure in any field on which he may enter. No state has been served with greater fidelity or more untiring zeal than that mountain commonwealth which is represented in the Senate by John P. Jones and William M. Stewart. Senator Jones has from the first lifted the discussion with which his own name and that of his state will be forever interblended, to the greater plane

of national interest and to the lofty height of the security of civilization itself. He has identified the use of the precious metals as money with all the most intimate needs of our struggling humankind. It is apparent, then, that the Nevada senator could no longer act with those who have deliberately, even scornfully, opposed and derided his most sincere convictions. Believing that "The elevation and development of all the people should be the highest aim of the nation," how could he do otherwise?—for "In the presence of false industrial and economic systems political freedom cannot prevail."

Entering the Senate with the somewhat uncertain reputation of a lucky miner suddenly enriched, the cloak room soon recognized the wit, humor, tact and social kindness which are among his finer gifts. An early occasion gave rise to a remarkable debate on money—one in which he stood exactly opposite to his present position. He advocated a gold standard, defended "intrinsic" value and derided legal tender or "fiat" money. But from that moment he was recognized as the peer of any senator. Time has shown him to be the superior of most of them in profound scholarship, philosophic insight, and a power of both logical and eloquent statement seldom reached in or out of the Senate Chamber. It should be recalled in passing, that when Senator Jones favored the gold standard he was a very large producer of silver. Since he has made himself world famous as the statesman of bimetallism, he and his brother, Samuel Jones, have mined more gold than silver.

A man of the simplest habits and frugal tastes, except as to books and art, his own quiet estimate of himself can be illustrated by a reply recently made to a friend who talked about the preparation of a biography: "Oh, no! There's nothing to say, but dressing and undressing." And this is not merely cynical, but impersonal, which quality enables him to apprehend fully the value of his intellectual work, and the stirring changes it has effected here and elsewhere in current conceptions of the money problems. He is a utilitarian in the highest sense. No issue is treated by him upon any plane but that of racial advancement and human betterment. One other thing may be added, and that is that he can in no way be charged with a desire for political advancement, as in the range of promotion he has reached the highest to which under the constitution he may aspire. John P. Jones was born in England, one year before his parents came to the United States.

"The time contract becomes a weapon of enslavement," he says; artificial changes in the volume "create a rise or fall in the products of labor." "An unchanging volume" may be as disastrous as "one artificially changed. It must increase with demand. . . . Dear money . . . is the evidence of low prices and degraded labor." The policies which



“develop wealth and civilization for a comparatively few, must also be charged with the degradation and starvation of uncounted millions. . . . The greatest of wealth,” the senator declares, “resides in applied knowledge,” for it “develops man’s powers of mind and body, and establishes his dominion over nature. . . . Value is subjective, not objective . . . it resides in the mind. . . . Values are extrinsic . . . qualities are intrinsic.” So the money metals possess qualities only, not “values,” which are born of “human needs.” “Money . . . is a public function. . . . As language is a distributor of ideas — the product of thought, so money is the distributor of property — the product of labor. . . . Under a shrinking volume . . . the conflict between capital and labor is turned into unrelenting war.” This done by legislation is a betrayal. The state “is proportionate action for a public purpose,” and “the compulsory idleness of willing workers,” so produced, “is due to a force that acts upon industrial society like a deadly but odorless gas, which because of its subtle character escapes detection. It is the rapacious and engorging power of an ever-increasing value in the unit of money,” constantly requiring “more sacrifice for each dollar represented when the obligation was made. . . . A nation is a great family,” and there exists “no moral right to cheapen any member of it. . . . Yet . . . legislation has been in the hands of men who have been consumers without being producers.”

The senator retired from the Republican party because convinced that on the money question it was deliberately false. He has always held that the demintage act of 1872 was passed without understanding its character; therefore he still labored with those who did it. The Bland and Sherman Acts he regarded as insufficient efforts to increase the volume of money, but when the Republicans joined hand with the Cleveland Democrats, it was to him a deliberate blow, knowingly delivered. He seeks therefore in the “new politics” the coefficients of harmonious action. The Omaha platform is the only one in which this purpose has been effectually stated.

“Old parties,” says the senator, “damn the deserter and often fail to welcome the recruit. . . . But a new party affords opportunity for founding new agitations and conditions;” they rise “from popular aspirations. They do not emanate from the contented and successful. . . . They germinate in unrest. . . . They spring from below. . . . They must keep in touch with the masses. . . . Failing in this all parties petrify.” The new politics have indeed gained notably by this accession. It came like the marching of a new corps to a hard pressed battle, like the addition of a new people in the struggle for advancing freedom.

In Eugene V. Debs another type appears, marshalling a force perhaps in numbers more potential even than that which the senator may influence. In this leader of an industrial democracy we discern power as well as ability. An American by birth, with the moulding of the common school and the inheritance of labor; trained by its conflicts and taught to direct by its sufferings, this western man is destined to be one of the potential

factors in our "new politics." One needed but to hear him on the Cooper Union platform to be sure of that. A study of his writings and of other speeches but deepens the conviction that in Eugene V. Debs a man appears! No one would take this tall, lean, somewhat angular, professional-looking man, with his acute, scholarly face, keen eyes hidden by gold-bowed glasses, his clean-shaven cheeks and chin, quiet but precise dress and simple, easy manners, to be (if the name were unknown) the locomotive fireman who, serving and leading over a hundred thousand men, has startled the land and affrighted "the classes," while winning recognition as organizer, leader, agitator. He is a writer and thinker as well as an orator and a leader — and all these in no average sense. This was shown by the Cooper Union speech. Mr. Debs looks like the typical Hoosier schoolmaster who has made his way as a country lawyer.

The Cooper Union platform has welcomed many speakers, but of orators, only a few. One thinks of Abraham Lincoln and the speech of Feb. 22, 1860, which made him the nominee of the Republican party. With all the hallowed tenderness that lingers around the memory of the martyr president, comparisons favorable to the railroad leader cannot be avoided. One is reminded of Ingersoll in listening to Debs, but it is not imitation; it only shows study of a master of oratory. The labor leader has intellect of acute, fine, vigorous character. He handles his themes with practised skill. He marshals his points logically and with acumen, and then illuminates them with flashing wit, keen humor and stern, often savage, sarcasm. There is no display, little effort even at modulation, while his voice, though good and sustained, is a little harsh in timbre — a reminder probably of bitter nights on the flying engine when "firing" was the order of the hour. Yet he holds a great audience in sympathetic bonds and fills it with controlling fervor — touch for touch! It is when you grasp his large, long, well-shaped, sinewy hand, that you feel the grip of toil as well as of sincerity. It is a workingman's hand in the best sense. Taking past and present into due consideration, the Indiana railroader is no less a man of power than was the Illinois lawyer of 1860.

Eugene V. Debs in his own career illustrates both the power of personal study and the educational value of organization; naturally he dwells upon its value:—

"Organizations of working-men are," he said to the World's Fair Labor Congress in 1893, "in active alliance with the school." As editor of the *Locomotive Firemen's Magazine* (1893) he wrote that "Ignorance is the cause of slavery. . . . In evolution as it relates to animals and plants, the strongest survives, the weak go to the wall. . . . This is sometimes styled 'the survival of the fittest,' but always of the strongest." Applying this to "the labor mind," it has "as a whole unfolded to an extent

productive of amazement. . . . Its active forces bear the stamp of practical wisdom." And in the struggle to be "the fittest or the strongest, labor is developing staying qualities," which are creating "anxiety in the ranks of those who believe themselves to be the favorites of evolution. . . . When the men of labor . . . step forth to be counted, the army, the standing army, of labor, appalls those who surmise . . . that it is simply a machine to be operated for their benefit. . . . Labor organizations, separate as waves but one as the sea, will mass their tremendous powers for self preservation. It is the law — the trend; we shall hope to see its sublime exhibition of power. We should like to see it come as comes the dawn, with pencillings of light and rising orb, advancing in a cloudless sky to a noon of glory. . . . But come it must, either gently or with exhibitions of wrath and terror." He declared to the American Railway Union (June 12, 1894) that he did not "doubt that the time is at hand when wage workers will combine and consolidate for the purpose of righting the wrongs that legislators have brought upon the country by vicious legislation, and that this they will do under some banner, on some platform and by the declaration of some policy, which will, like a tide taken at the flood, lead on to victory. The time is not far distant," he said in his Cooper Union speech, "when there will be another and greater strike — that of labor at the ballot box. . . . I believe in agitation; I don't believe in stagnation. . . . It is absolutely necessary to abolish the wage system. . . . The time is fast approaching when it will melt and flow into the coöperative system. Why should the laborer work to keep another man in idleness?"

For himself and the industrial democracy Mr. Debs has declared for free land, free public functions, unwarped for private profit, for free silver and an increasing volume of money, and for the coöperative commonwealth.

Equally as representative an American, of Pilgrim stock, touching English commonwealth days and those of Colonial and Revolutionary fervor, with the best of our middle-grade training, a lawyer by profession, identified with the higher judiciary by relationship, and, better still, a proved and incorruptible citizen, David Hanson Waite of Colorado, the best-abused and most skilfully lied about of public men, retires from the executive office he has made his fulcum in manly effort to overthrow corruption, prevent disorder and compel obedience to law, with a conviction that "Time maketh all things even," and that ere long no one will more regret their first misuse of the ballot, than the women of the Rocky Mountain cities by whose votes the People's Party of Colorado was partially defeated last November.

Governor Waite, like John P. Jones, is of sturdy Welsh stock. His ancestors — three brothers — came to New England about 1660, settling respectively in Vermont, Connecticut and New York. Joseph Waite was the latter settler, and the Colorado ex-governor is directly descended from him. Chief Justice Waite was descended from John, the brother who remained in Connecticut. David H. Waite was born at Jamestown, N. Y., in 1825, and is therefore now in his seventieth year. He studied

law in his father's office, and practised at Jamestown, removing in 1851 to Princeton, Wis. He soon became a stalwart Republican, and was elected to the Wisconsin legislature. After his return to and marriage in New York (1872), he edited a Republican paper and also served as superintendent of schools and postmaster, stumping for Grant and Wilson in 1872.

His recent experience is not the first he has had in running counter to "established" iniquity. In 1859 he was driven from Missouri, while he was teaching school, at Houston, Texas County, because he was "calamity howler" enough to believe in a free state. In 1861 he was principal of the high school at Warren, Penn. After the second Grant campaign he moved to Larned, Kan., and in 1879 settled at Leadville, later removing to Aspen, Col., where he still resides. He acceptably edited the *Aspen Times* and the *Ashcroft Journal*, practised law, served as police justice and county superintendent of schools; quite a remarkable preparation for a "riotous" governor, though appropriate enough for the training of an honorable man, sensitive to the demands of public duty and civic obligations.

He became disgruntled with the financial policy of the old parties, and in 1891 started a free silver paper—the *Union Era*. Some one has spoken of Judge Waite as the "Abraham Lincoln of the Rockies." There is something apt in the comparison. He is a man of experience, shrewdness, wit and humor at least, if he does not possess all of the self-contained sagacity which marked the martyr president. The essential trouble with David H. Waite is that he is a profound believer in his cause. Not all of those who have affiliated with it do that without an "if" or a "but." The ex-governor does.

Perhaps the speech made by Governor Waite (July 11, 1893) to the State Silver League, has been most grossly misrepresented. There was no secession advocated, as charged, and no revolution proposed. If the other side used force, as they threatened, why then, trouble would come. The keynote of that speech was the declaration of Mr. Lincoln, that "If a debt is created with a certain amount of money in circulation, and then the government contracts the money volume before the debt is paid, it is the most heinous crime which a government can commit against the people." Governor Waite arraigned Congress and the executives from 1873 down to date as criminals, and indicted the "classes" and their allies of the press as receivers of stolen goods. Why not? He declared that those who favored compromise, such as the ratio then proposed, of 22½ to 1, were simply and knowingly aiding "to crystallize all the wrongs and injuries which the money power has inflicted upon the people during the past twenty years." The speech as taken down in

shorthand, not as printed for misrepresentation in the daily press, is now referred to and quoted from.

In referring to the proposition for an "international conference," the governor derided it as a surrender of constitutional duty; as a proposition of subserviency to foreign intervention unworthy of the government. If those in power were unable to manage American affairs or were willing to turn their direction over to foreign interests, there might be needed "another revolution" which would send to Halifax more "British Tories" than our fathers did when the War of Independence closed. This was Mr. Waite's only reference to "revolution." He went on to say of the effects of the heinous crime Abraham Lincoln denounced long before, that cries of agony arose from the "mountains and valleys of Colorado against a policy, the most atrocious since Louis XIV. revoked the Edict of Nantes in 1685, and banished from France 500,000 of his people." This sturdy, straightforward hitter asked what should be said of the presidents who dared to "assume to drive into poverty and exile a half million freemen?" After alluding to the fact that our Civil War admitted of "no compromise," he declared that the liberties of sixty-four million people were in peril, and "no banker, no broker, no usurer, and least of all no 'peddling politician,' has it in his power to compromise this tremendous issue."

The governor's closing words have been the most widely and perversely misstated. Here they are: —

*The war has begun. It is the same war which must always be waged against oppression and tyranny to preserve the liberties of man — that eternal warfare of monarchy and monopoly against the right of the people to self government, and which, during the last century, has so conquered the masses as to reduce to pauperism the common people of almost every nation under heaven, except the United States. Our weapons are argument and the ballot — a free ballot and a fair count. And if the money power shall attempt to sustain its usurpation by "the strong hand," we will meet that issue when it is forced upon us, for it is better, infinitely better, that blood should flow to the horses' bridles rather than our national liberties should be destroyed.*

David H. Waite is a vigorous man for his years. Indeed, he can outstride, campaign and overwork many a younger man. Tall, straight as an arrow, spare and sinewy, with bushy gray hair and beard (his hair has been gray since he was twenty-five), his eyes still full of light behind the strong glasses he wears; dressed generally in a neat gray suit; quick of speech, facile and responsive; benevolent by nature, if at times a little brusque in manner, the radical ex-governor, like Senator J. P. Jones and Eugene V. Debs, is a positive force — a personality to be accounted for in the yeoman struggles that march abreast of the new politics. It is impossible to detail the story of the Cripple

Creek miners' strike, or of the governor's perfectly legal attack on the "Soapy Smith" ring of gamblers and police in Denver. The evidence in both cases lies before the writer, proving in the one case that the governor's aim, sustained, too, by the shrewd employers, was to effect settlement by arbitration, and in the other that he but exercised his lawful powers to remove proved corruptionists and conspirators. In the one effort the paid lawyers intervened; in the other bullies and ruffians were sustained by bankers, brokers, trust managers and rich politicians. The courts vindicated the governor. The press derided and falsified.

So it goes. The slaveholder's whip still cracks. But the courageous pistol and bowie knife are replaced by slander and suppression. The virile abuse of ancient editors and compromisers and pro-slavery bullies gives place to a cowardly and organized repression or misrepresentation of such current news of the land as may not suit the interests of the Wall Street gambling column or the exploiting relations of the publisher's counting-rooms. But the work goes forward. American methods are still in vogue, and while the ballot remains, even the possessors of millions may not conquer the land. If it was designed as part of our competitive "civilization" to write despair upon the poor man's lintel, the school house should have been barred half a century ago and the suffrage wrested from its more unfortunate possessors.

"Why hesitate? Ye are full-bearded men,  
With God-implanted will and courage if  
Ye dare but show it. Never yet was will  
But found some way or means to work it out,  
Nor e'er did fortune frown on him who dared.  
Shall we, in presence of this grievous wrong,  
In this supremest moment of all time,  
Stand trembling, cowering, when with one bold stroke  
These groaning millions might be ever free?  
And that one stroke so just, so greatly good,  
So level with the happiness of man,  
'That all the angels will applaud the deed.'"



# EXPERIMENTAL TELEPATHY.

BY T. E. ALLEN.

As children we are open-minded. Our eyes fall upon natural phenomena and the strange forms of utility and art in which the genius of man has fixed itself, and after a few examinations, curiosity is satisfied and the wonder-light dies out. The plastic mind has assimilated them to a certain extent. The telephone obtrudes itself upon an adult, grown sceptical through an experience which has taught him that "things are not what they seem"; but it works, he hears his friend's voice, and the appointment is kept. His incredulity is thus shattered at the first blow, another idea is added to the commonplace, and he is more or less alert to grapple with the next invention that solicits his attention. With the scientist in his laboratory the story is the same. In his mind are more pigeon-holes. Whatever finds a place in them can come in unchallenged; all else must wait outside until it can be scrutinized and cross-examined. The severity of the examination increases with the remoteness of the alleged facts from past experience and thought, for new pigeon-holes are not to be added until they must be.

How shall one compel the construction of a compartment for a new fact? In the last resort, the wise man must witness a strange phenomenon over and over again. Then, the mind gradually becomes wonted to the novel idea, the elements of thought readjust themselves, and a new unity is established, of which the disturbing conception is as much a part as any other. Unfortunately, the phenomena which we are to consider can not themselves be repeated upon these printed pages for the reader's benefit. The next best thing is to present the results obtained by those who have investigated telepathy, in such a manner, if possible, as to carry conviction or, at the very least, as to lead the reader to study the literature of the subject, and, I hope, to make experiments himself.

Telepathy is that kind of action of one mind upon another which takes place without the intermediation of the ordinary channels of sense. The mind acting as the cause is the "agent," and the one influenced or that it is sought to influence is the "percipient." Telepathic phenomena are divided into two classes, experimental and spontaneous. As the former furnish the better

evidence, we shall confine our attention to them. The demonstration of the reality of telepathic phenomena provides us with the most fundamental truth in the realm of psychical science that it is possible to have. It is comparable to gravitation in astronomy. It is conceivable that thought-transference might be of every day occurrence without the slightest valid evidence for the genuineness of spiritualistic phenomena; but the existence of the latter would, in my judgment, necessitate the reality of the former. It will be seen, then, that even for those whose interest in psychical science turns chiefly upon their desire for proofs of a life beyond the grave, the facts of telepathy throw light upon a problem simpler in its nature than, and logically prior in time to, any question whatever related to spiritualistic phenomena. Again, the assumption of a revelation in the domain of religion, if a process under law and not "miraculous," carries with it the same implications just pointed out. The proof of telepathy, too, entitles psychical science to the recognition of all other branches of science either as an entirely new department, or else as a division of psychology with rights and privileges of its own which ought to be and soon will be respected. In other words, telepathy, taken by itself, supplies a *raison d' être* for psychical science which is entirely adequate.

The ancient history of telepathy in the light of recent investigations is as yet unwritten. When this work is undertaken, many cases will probably be found. Mesmeric operators noted a "community of sensation" between themselves and their subjects without, however, bestowing much thought upon it. How far telepathy plays a part in hypnotism is an open question. In many cases it does. The pioneers of the spiritualistic movement in this country were by no means blind to the facts of thought-transference as distinct from spirit communion. In his work, "Man and His Relations" (fourth edition, 1868), Dr. S. B. Brittan gives an account of some of his own experiments in a chapter entitled "Mental Telegraphing." Without attempting, however, to estimate the value of spiritualistic and psychical literature previous to 1882, it is to that year that we must assign a most favorable crisis in the life of psychical science, if not its actual birth into the scientific world. In that year, the Society for Psychical Research was formed in England, and the evidence collected by this body now covers the results of thousands of experimental and hundreds of spontaneous cases of telepathy which, transferred from its "Proceedings," from "Phantasms of the Living" (a work in two volumes, now out of print, sanctioned by the council of the society and devoted primarily to telepathy) and from its archives, would fill several volumes of THE ARENA.



In a review article,\* Mr. F. W. H. Myers, one of the authors of "Phantasms of the Living," says that a distinguished American, after reading this work "from beginning to end," wrote "that he did not believe a word of it." In reply, it may be said that he was confronted by this dilemma:—Either the evidence proves telepathy, a phenomenon which, *like all phenomena*, can only rest upon first-hand experience and testimony, and is incapable of being proved or disproved by a rational process applied to alien or neutral phenomena, or else all human testimony is subjected to a strain that, to say the least, would demolish all history, sacred and profane alike. This may appear to some an unwarranted conclusion, but I am satisfied that the sober second thought of a large majority of thinkers would sanction it, were they to weigh the evidence cited. I apprehend that the critic failed to consider the evidence *en masse* as he should have done. A single spontaneous case might seem to be due, for example, to imagination, disease or lack of veracity—though even here *à priori* reasoning is readily carried too far—but when such a *mass* of sifted testimony and experiments is under consideration, the case is far different. Let us turn now to some of these experiments.

In 1883 Mr. Malcolm Guthrie, J. P., a gentleman of high standing in Liverpool, became interested in thought-transference and, with the aid of Mr. James Birchall, honorary secretary of the Liverpool Literary and Philosophical Society, carried on an extensive series of experiments with two percipients. In the cases from this series which I shall quote, all present acted as agents by concentrating their minds upon the object selected. In the experiments with contact, one of the agents either clasped one hand of the percipient or laid a hand lightly on the back of her hand.

Almost all the experiments *with objects* were performed under strict conditions—the "subjects" [percipients] being blindfolded, and the objects placed out of the range of their vision, even had they not been thus incapacitated for observation; and silence being preserved during the progress of the experiments. In other cases the precautions were not so strict; but as the rule has been to record *every* incident, without any exception whatever, the full record is presented.

MALCOLM GUTHRIE.

My attention, throughout the whole series of experiments, has been mainly directed to the strict observance of the necessary conditions. As far as I am able to judge, the experiments here recorded are unquestionably genuine. . . .

JAS. BIRCHALL.†

The following are *all* of the results obtained upon April 20,

---

\* ARENA, Vol. IV., p. 392.

† Proceedings Society for Psychical Research, Vol. I., pp. 263-64.

1883, so that the reader may estimate the degree of success. I shall indicate also whether with or without contact.

*With Contact.* Object — A square of pink silk on black satin. Result (spoken by percipient) — "Pink . . . square." Answered almost instantly. O. — A ring of white silk on black satin. R. — "Can't see it." O. — Word, R-E-S, letter by letter. R. — Each letter was named correctly as it was set up on the first trial. O. — Letter Q. R. — "Q," first answer. O. — Letter F. R. — "F," first answer.

*Without Contact.* O. — A gilt cross held by Mr. G. behind the percipient. R. — "Is it a cross?" Asked which way it was held, the percipient replied, "The right way," which was correct. O. — A yellow paper knife. R. — "Yellow . . . is it a feather? . . . It looks more like a knife with a thin handle." O. — Mr. Steel's Exchange pass ticket (similar to a first class [English] railway contract ticket), maroon colored leather cover. R. — "Is it square? . . . longer one way than the other. . . . a dark reddish color." O. — A pair of scissors, standing open and upright. R. — "Is it silver? . . . No — it is steel . . . It is a pair of scissors standing upright."

Upon April 25 objects were selected in the following order: Word "Puella," letter by letter; a diamond of blue silk on black satin; a dark green circle of silk on black satin; a terra-cotta meerscham pipe, glazed at the mouthpiece, the stem joined to the bowl by a carved bird's claw; a small toy dog; a dark crimson apple; an orange; an electro-plate teaspoon; a bright steel door key; a red ivory ball; a cross of yellow silk on black satin; the name "Tom," all the letters fixed up to be read at once; a gold watch; a jug cut out in white cardboard; a five-barred gate, cut out in cardboard; an electro-plate egg cup; a toy cat; six of diamonds; same; a white toy bird. Result of this series: correct, 7; partially correct, 6; failures, 8. Ten of these were without contact and gave as a result: correct, 4; partially correct, 3; failures, 3.\* This paragraph gives only a digest of the results obtained upon April 25.

The reader will observe that a large variety of objects was used. Summing up a series of 457 experiments in which, in addition to objects of the kinds mentioned, pains, tastes and smells were transmitted with contact, Mr. Guthrie found the following results: Nothing perceived, 70; completely successful, 237; partially successful, 82; misdescriptions, 68; total, 457. Other experiments finally brought the total up to 713. Mr. Frank Podmore, from whose work † these figures are taken says: "The experiments were conducted and the results recorded with great care and thoroughness; and the whole series, in its length, its variety and its completeness, forms perhaps the most important single contribution to the records of experimental thought-transference in the normal state." ‡ Dr. Oliver J. Lodge, Professor of Physics in University College, Liverpool, "carried out a long and independent series of experiments with the same two

\* Proceedings Society for Psychical Research, Vol. I., pp. 267-69.

† "Apparitions and Thought-Transference," p. 34.

‡ I would earnestly recommend all who take a serious interest in psychical research or who desire further information upon telepathy to read this book. It is the best compendium of investigations extant — perhaps the only one in English worthy the name. As one of a group of workers which has placed the world under obligations — and especially with relation to this very subject — he is entitled to speak *ex cathedra*.

percipients, and completely convinced himself of the genuineness of the phenomena." He says in his report: "As regards collusion and trickery, no one who has witnessed the absolutely genuine and artless manner in which the impressions are described, but has been perfectly convinced of the transparent honesty of purpose of all concerned." \*

The following is a set of 400 trials made in batches of 40 or 50 at a time, in June, 1886, by the Misses Wingfield. . . . The ninety numbers [from 10 to 99 inclusive] . . . were inscribed on ninety slips of paper, and placed in a bowl. Miss M. Wingfield, sitting six feet behind the percipient, drew a slip at random, and fixed her attention on the number which it bore; Miss K. Wingfield made a guess at the number, and the real number and the guess made were at once recorded in the table. The slip of paper was then replaced, the contents of the bowl shuffled, and another draw made haphazard. The most probable number of right guesses for accident to bring about in the 400 trials was 4. The actual number of completely right guesses was 27; in 21 other cases the two right digits were given in reverse order; and in 162 others, one of the digits was given rightly in its right place. The probability which this result affords for a cause other than chance is represented by 47 nines and a 5 following a decimal point; *i. e.*, the odds are nearly two hundred thousand million trillions of trillions to 1. It would be a very inadequate statement of the case to say that, if the waking hours of the whole population of the world were for the future continuously devoted to making similar trials, life on this planet would come to an end without such an amount of success, or anything like it, having been accidentally obtained.†

Far more remarkable results have been obtained when the percipient was hypnotized. In a series of experiments made by Professor and Mrs. Sidgwick with a percipient in this state, using 81 numbers (from 10 to 90); out of 15 trials, 9 were entirely correct, 1 was right on the second trial, and one of the two digits was right in each of the other 5 cases!‡ Space will not permit me even to catalogue the other kinds of effects produced both in the normal and hypnotic states. The theoretical limit to telepathy can not, with our present elementary knowledge, be placed anywhere short of the production of *every kind of mental state that the agent is capable of experiencing*, and the English Psychical School claims that the subliminal consciousness may also come into play and produce states in the percipient which are *not* active in the agent.

Speaking of the evidence for experimental telepathy, Mr. Podmore says:—

If the reader has been able to accept my estimate of the evidence brought forward in the preceding chapters [I. to V.], the possibility of the transmission of ideas and sensations, otherwise than through the known channels of the senses, must be held to be proved by the experi-

---

\* Proceedings Society for Psychical Research, Vol. II., p. 189, *et seq.*

† "Phantasms of the Living," Vol. II., pp. 653-4.

‡ "Apparitions and Thought-Transference," pp. 66, 67.

ments there recorded. That proof can be impugned only on the ground that the precautions taken against communication between agent and percipient by normal means were insufficient. For if the precautions are admitted to have been sufficient, there can be no question that the results were not due to chance.\*

A careful reading of the chapters mentioned has satisfied me that the evidence cited justifies the conclusion drawn from it by Mr. Podmore. I trust, also, that the reader's interest may have been so awakened by this very brief exposition of telepathy that he will consult this author's valuable monograph.

---

\* *Ibid*, p. 143.

# POLITICS AS A CAREER.

---

## TWO LETTERS.

---

BY W. D. MCCRACKAN, M. A.

---

### I.

*To Mr. A. B. C——, Professor of Political Science.*

DEAR SIR:— I hope you will not think me a bore for troubling you with certain questions which are very near my heart. I assure you it is only after much anxious hesitation that I venture to address you. But the truth is that I am approaching the end of my college course without having decided upon what is to follow, what my life work is to be.

In looking back I find that I have devoted most of my hours of study to subjects which cannot be of any practical or immediate use to me after I graduate. It does not console me to be told that all this has been a good training for the mind, since it is clear that I might have been training my mind equally well in the study of live topics and pressing questions. I feel a certain vagueness pervading my manner of thought; a dissatisfying, disheartening diffuseness; a want of actuality. They say that this is culture, that it distinguishes the college-bred man from his less fortunate brothers in business. Our professors refer continually to this certain something, this invaluable air of good breeding which college life is supposed to create. I am not so sure that I know exactly what all this talk means, but I do not propose to quarrel with it, if only I can discover some life work to which I can devote myself heart and soul.

In this predicament I turn to you for advice.

The truth is, I have discovered in myself a decided fondness for public speaking. In the classroom and in our debating societies I love dearly to be on my feet—when I have anything to say. But here is the difficulty: I lack decided opinions, fresh, vigorous, pulsating thoughts. The past obtrudes itself continually, with its academic coldness. The present is an unknown quantity. Everything seems to be derived at second hand, from text books or hearsay.

My great ambition is to enter politics, when my college career is closed. This is what I wanted to ask you about. Whenever

I mention the subject to my friends, they are horrified. They speak of the low average of character among politicians. They point to the prevailing corruption in our municipal, State and federal governments. They warn me that I shall find myself in the company of criminals, blackmailers, bribers and professional tricksters, men without scruples of any sort. They draw imaginary pictures of me in my new *rôle*, leaning against a bar with my hat tilted over my eyes, treating profusely a crowd of low-browed ruffians. In fact, everything has been done to discourage me.

Can you hold out any hope to me? I feel myself fitted for an honorable political career, if only I can find vital public questions to advocate without reserve, to work for and live for and, if necessary, to die for.

Yours respectfully,

STUDENT D —.

## II.

*To Student D —.*

DEAR SIR: Your case, my young friend, resembles that of many another college-bred man in America. I have been through the same experience myself, so that my hearty sympathy goes out to you in your struggle to assert your own individuality. You are suffering from the usual consequences of what is known as a classical education. Your sight has been so long focused on the past that you fail to see the problems of the present in their proper perspective.

By all means choose politics as a profession, but count the cost and be prepared for the worst. No honest man can enter political life to-day without doing so as a reformer — and there is no money in reform work. You will find yourself continually left out in the cold. While the professionals are dividing the spoils, you will have to stand aside. No office will be offered to such as you. The party conventions will dread your appearance as an omen of disloyalty. You will be scorned as a theorist and a dude. In debate they will shake the finger at you as a traitor and a hypocrite. At every turn your honesty will prove a stumbling block to your advancement. For you must first realize to what depths our party system has sunk.

The truth is, competing parties are first of all playing with each other for certain stakes, which are offices, fat places, salaries, etc. If there is any time and money left over, then vital questions are treated a little, as an afterthought. Every political campaign resolves itself into a wordy manœuvre for points of strategic importance. The idea is not to enlighten the voters in regard to the real issues at stake, but rather to distract their at-

tention and fix it upon catching non-essentials. The great aim of every well constituted party is, of course, to counteract the good moves of its opponent, no matter at what cost to the public welfare; if possible to fasten upon it the blame for any national calamity which may overtake the country; above all things to drive it into a hole, as the saying is. To do this cleverly is almost as great an honor as to make a touchdown in one of your Harvard-Yale football games, and on the whole constitutes as great a service to the public.

As a game, I do not see how politics could be improved in this country. There is more uncertainty, gamble and scramble with us in one short election contest than all the effete monarchies of Europe, put together, can show in a whole year.

As a means of making and executing laws I think our politics have reached the lowest stage to which they may safely go. I have no doubt that we can go on like this for a number of years more, but the moment we sink still lower in the political scale, there will be trouble, dangerous and perhaps irreparable trouble. I do not so much accuse our public men, as I deplore our whole political machinery. It is hopelessly out of gear.

I am particularly pleased that you should see the importance of carrying with you into politics certain definite convictions upon public questions. The man without ideas in politics naturally becomes a spoilsman because there is nothing honorable left for him to do. Having no interest in the public good, he straightway attends to his private interests.

I am, therefore, going to suggest two much-needed reforms in political machinery for your consideration. You cannot do better than make them the subject of diligent research. You will find that a great deal has already been written about them, although the politicians for the most part, of course, have never even heard of them. The first is *Direct Legislation* by means of the Initiative and Referendum. The second is *Proportional Representation*. In this letter I can only point out the salient features of these two reforms, leaving you to judge for yourself whether or not they are of sufficient importance to fill your political career.

The *Referendum* means that bills framed by the representatives must be *referred* to the people before they can become law.

The *Initiative* means that a certain percentage of the voters shall have the right to *initiate* or propose legislation.

You can see for yourself why these two institutions together should be called *direct* legislation. There is something as hopeless in indirect legislation of the usual type as there is in indirect taxation. The people must be brought into actual contact with the making of the laws which are to govern them. This is true



self government, and this only. Having our laws made for us offhand by proxy has resulted in shifting the attention of the voters upon persons and away from principles. To restore the proper balance in political machinery the voters must learn to pass judgment upon measures instead of merely upon men.

Although the names Referendum and Initiative sound very Latin and very long, you have probably never even heard them mentioned in your college course. But do not suppose for that reason that these institutions are foreign to our American body politic.

In any New England town a small percentage of the voters can have an article inserted in what is known as the warrant, to have it discussed and voted upon in town meeting. Any ten voters of a town have the right to propose measures relating to the government of the town, such as appropriating money for current expenses, building schoolhouses, highways and all other acts which a town may lawfully do. That is the Initiative. The right to adopt or reject such proposals resides in a majority of the voters at a duly warned town meeting; that is the Referendum. If ten voters in a town petition the selectmen to call a special meeting and the selectmen refuse, any justice of the peace may call a special town meeting, and the acts of that meeting are the laws of that town.

When a town adopts a city charter all this is changed, for the representative form of government has been introduced, and the only vote the voters then have on municipal matters is that of selecting their representatives once each year.

So you see that the Referendum and Initiative are real American institutions; when you get into politics do not let the ignorant cry you down as the introducer of foreign customs. Furthermore, the Referendum is even now frequently used outside of the town meeting system. In every State of the Union, except Delaware, constitutional amendments are referred to the people for ratification. In many states such questions as the location of the capital, of state universities, prisons and asylums are referred. Local option is a form of the Referendum. All that is needed is to extend this principle and we shall soon find ourselves once more a self-governing, self-respecting nation.

But in framing and administering laws we must enlist the very best talent and allow all interests to be fairly represented. It, therefore, becomes necessary to have some sort of an electoral system. This brings me to the second reform which I want you to consider, that of Proportional Representation.

You can have no idea, until you examine the statistics of our elections (whether they be municipal, State or federal), how large a number of voters are practically disfranchised. No provision

is made for minorities. And yet taxes continue to be paid even by those who are unrepresented. On the other hand, in certain states the districts are so constructed that a small handful of voters hold the balance of power. Sometimes the *gerrymander* is to blame for this, but in any case our present system of electoral districts is absolutely faulty. Our legislatures do not represent the people, and the principle of majority rule, upon which we pride ourselves so much, does not have full play.

Proportional Representation is based upon the principle that if a certain political unit, whether it be a State, city or county, has a given number of representatives, each proportionate part of the voters in that political unit should have one representative. That is to say, if a state with four congressmen has 100,000 votes, each 25,000 voters should have one congressman. Proportional Representation accomplishes this by wiping out the district lines, and allowing the citizens to vote as they please in the state. The total number of votes cast at the election is divided by the number of representatives to be chosen, which gives the electoral quotient, or quota, which is the number of votes necessary to elect one representative. Each party or group of voters is then given as many representatives as the electoral quota is contained times in their vote. Nominations may be made as at present by parties or by petition, and the voting done as at present, the only difference being that the successful candidates are taken from the various parties in proportion to their respective votes instead of taking them all from the majority party.

Take the statistics of any recent election; apply this rule to them, and then observe the startling discrepancy between the results obtained by the present system and by Proportional Representation.

In conclusion, my dear young friend, let me once more impress upon your mind that a political career is full of temptations and disappointments. I fear that for many years to come an honest man must be at a great disadvantage in our legislatures. He must resist the lobby which corrupts, and the party organization which deceives. He must place his professional honor securely upon principle, not upon expediency. He must be prepared to be called all manner of names, and in the end, perhaps, to be rated a worldly failure.

Your well-wisher,

A. B. C——.

# THE COMING INDUSTRIAL ORDER.

BY JAMES G. CLARK.

No one who is not blinded by the smooth assurances of the prophets of conservatism, who for gold, place and influence anæsthetize the brain and soul of their masters, can fail to appreciate the fact that Europe and America are facing one of those mighty crises, which are attended by the shattering of old-time ideals and the downfall of conditions which humanity has outgrown—one of those crises in the history of the race which distinctly mark the ascent of man. Continental Europe, Great Britain and America are affected by this profound unrest, this omnipresent apprehension, this atmosphere of expectancy. In our own land a deep-rooted discontent has spread from city to country, until it has penetrated the most remote hamlets and isolated farms.

The awakened thought due to the pressure of poverty felt by the most industrious and sober on the one hand, and the general intelligence resulting from popular education on the other, has called forth a condition which it is idle for conventionalists to imagine can be overcome by the *threat of violence or the crust of charity*. The world has come to a point where another step will be taken by civilization. The question is whether it will be accomplished by the shock of arms, a storm of violence, and a night of savagery, or through a grand evolutionary movement which shall mark man's rise above the old-time method of progress by brute force; an advance in which the discord of hate, the roar of cannon, will not be heard, but in their stead the laughter of millions of hope-warmed hearts floating from homes now filled with gloom; an advance in which joy, the luminous child of love, shall lead our people into the new time, while amazed history, gazing long before she writes, at last shall pen the story of the first civilization of earth great and wise enough to be just. — B. O. FLOWER, in "The New Time."

Our industrial order has reached its last form of life and expression. Among the masses it has degenerated out of old-time neighborly competitive rivalry into the questions as to where the next job and meal are to come from, or who are to be the next victims of commercial cannibalism. Among capitalists it has evolved into the combine, where all individual conscience and moral responsibility are stifled, if not altogether ignored, while greed and selfishness are abnormally developed and stimulated in the pursuit of unlawful spoils, and dividends on watered stocks.

At the same time the sentiment of human brotherhood, the world over, is just rising into its first general expression and assertion, warm with all the vitality and vigor of aggressive youth. More and more the masses are becoming conscious that there is no real "self preservation" save through an extension of the "first law of nature" until the law reaches out from and beyond and above the mere individual, and serves and vitalizes the interest of all. It is too late to ignore or oppose socialism as an *idea*. The principle, as already accepted and applied, has proved so indispensable that a proposition to narrow its orbit would provoke far more discussion and opposition than are now arrayed against its further extension; for latter-day socialism, as understood by its authorized advocates, simply means coöpera-

tive interest and effort—in short, public partnership—in the public advantages and wealth-producing agencies of a community, especially those staple necessities now owned, controlled and manipulated by private parties and limited corporations “for the benefit of the stockholders and for all the traffic will bear.” It contemplates *organic structure and order that tend to social and political equilibrium*—a structure and order which are no less essential to a healthy body politic than is a *bone and sinew structure to the flesh, blood, nerves and vital organs of the human body*. Sooner or later we shall realize that less than this means industrial deformity and chaos—such as we now have—including intermittent “fever and chills,” growing discontent, enforced idleness as well as enforced labor and sullen submission, capitalistic oppression, and finally, military despotism in the name and mask of necessity, law and order.

In fact the latter and final phase of this condition is almost upon us—fast crystallizing through the military spirit in church, state and school, and through the aggressive attitude of labor on the one hand, and the stupid arrogance of combined monopoly and government on the other. It is evident that socialism is our only escape from ultimate anarchy or despotism. The question is, Shall we make the moral exodus, or shall we remain in bondage to the task masters of Egypt?

More than any other people on the globe we have a natural birthright to a land literally “flowing with milk and honey,” and rich in all the elements of the highest civilization—a land that belongs to us by moral title deed earned by patriot blood poured out on a thousand battle fields. Shall we arise like men and recover our stolen inheritance, or shall we continue to cower and cringe before a small organized band of financial schemers, more exacting than Pharoah’s task masters, and more aggressive, crafty and merciless than the feudal lords of old?—and all because these same exploiters have gained temporary control of a government resting upon the ballot, and have, for obvious reasons, labelled with malicious lies and misrepresentation the only thing that really represents and embodies systematized and practicalized democracy. In short, shall we continue to fool ourselves with the empty shell of constitutional liberty, while a few privileged characters are subsisting and fattening on the stolen meats? It is a misnomer to call our present “crazy patchwork” industrial medley a “system,” when it is characterized by the utter absence of systematic order and by contending elements out of which nothing except socialism or military dictatorship can possibly evolve order.

Which shall we choose? It is folly even to dream of prosperity returning to us through the channels of a dying competi-

tive trade whose industrial torrents have reached the plains of commercial absorption, where they are fast disappearing in the hungry river beds of corporate greed, whose desert sands drink the product of labor and then refuse to render back sufficient moisture to save the original creators from death by thirst or starvation.

Hamlin Garland in an article in a late number of *THE ARENA* on "The Land Question and its Relation to Literature and Art" says, "Art cannot rise out of the weltering smother of our daily tumult." He then adds: "Our socialist brethren would say, Blot out your competitive system. But it is a lack of competition as a strict matter of fact." It is possible that Mr. Garland and his school of thinkers are in the habit of granting too broad a definition to the term "competition," and that right here begins the seeming conflict between two classes of equally intelligent and sincere reformers who are seeking the same ends and who should work harmoniously together and leave the shaping of results to the "mills of the gods" and to the fingers of evolution.

It seems to me that we sometimes confound competition with *aspiration* — that higher, nobler and more elastic and subtle quality which is the soul of true art, but which the "weltering smother of our daily tumult" and wage-slave competition and corroding uncertainty and dread tend to paralyze. While it is true that competition has been laid aside by intelligent capitalists, there has never been a period when it has been so marked, so fierce and yet so unsatisfactory and hopeless among the many as it now is.

The competitive instinct is in the travail throes of a new birth through which it is to be delivered from the lower levels of animalism and the struggle for physical existence to a plane where it shall take the form of *emulation*. It is certain that economic competition must soon give place to *reciprocity* among the masses as it already has among the classes. The constant tendency intelligently to conserve and utilize human vitality must necessarily force this result. Physical energy and effort, when understandingly organized and harnessed to man's use, can never again be diffused and differentiated into needless, wasteful and warring strife simply for amusement or even for the purpose of giving employment to man. Industrial force along every line of man's material necessities — such as production, exchange and distribution — has, through mutual understanding growing out of more intelligent use of methods and improved machinery, been lifted above the realm of uncertainty and conjecture into processes almost scientific in accuracy of calculation, and cannot possibly reassume its pioneer phase of condition and expression.

Competitive commerce virtually expired in giving birth to its

last but legitimate offspring, the modern trust, which in turn must merge its life in a still broader and final principle of partnership. The central idea involved -- the conservation of force -- has come to stay and expand, because it is a righteous one that cannot always be confined to its present narrow class limits, but must, in exact ratio with the increase of intelligence and sense of equity, continue in its growing sweep and volume till it swallows up private greed and grinding poverty. While in the past thirty years a comparatively few men have discovered and practised the art of accumulating vast fortunes through the *destruction* of competition and the employment of means not familiar to the masses, the latter have through their reform literature and various organizations been informing themselves and learning that under proper economic conditions the common material wants, and even luxuries of life, are within easy reach of every honest, industrious man and woman. They have learned that the game of "finance" has been played with "marked cards," and they propose now to expose the "tricks" and reform, if not expel the gamblers from the temple of liberty.

The hour is near when humanity shall arise and shake off the superstition that the good things of this life are designed only for kings, nobles and usurers. Of course our conservatives, including certain college professors -- who, in their zealous efforts to prepare the upper department of the youthful anatomy for Greek roots and the lower for football, sometimes neglect the intervening space containing the vitals -- will deem this ideal utopian. But is it any more so, or any less easy of realization than what the Vanderbilts, Goulds, Rockefellers and Carnegies have actualized in a single lifetime? Surely, if the latter can build \$5,000,000 mansions in New York and castles in Scotland, and acquire vast estates and principalities through the cunning management and manipulation of other men's earnings, why may not, why shall not the men and women who create all the wealth be made prosperous and independent out of their own industry? Why should we continue to shut our eyes to the logic of facts and to the resistless push of economic evolution? The time is not far distant when the municipality and the state and nation are to be the only "great capitalists" tolerated in a free republic, and simply because we are fast learning that the former cannot "fail" and become insolvent -- unless the people themselves fail *en masse*, which is practically impossible -- while the latter, as a rule, fail us at the supreme moment, and invariably consider their own selfish interests paramount to the general welfare. Hence public economy and safety imperatively *demand* state socialism.

The term "socialism" has so long been subject to vague and conflicting definitions -- so long misrepresented by selfishly in-



interested parties and misunderstood by others — that the following statements by standard authorities may serve to enlighten the public mind: —

The ethics of socialism are identical with the ethics of Christianity.— *Encyclopedia Britannica*.

The whole aim and purpose of socialism is a closer union of social factors. The present need is growth in that direction. — *Prof. Richard T. Ely*.

SOCIALISM — A theory of society which advocates a more precise, orderly and harmonious arrangement of the social relations of mankind than that which has hitherto prevailed. — *Webster*.

No thinking man will controvert that associated industry is the most powerful agent of production, and that the principle of association is susceptible of further and beneficial development. — *John Stuart Mill*.

The answer of socialism to the capitalist is that society can do without him just as society now does without the slave owner or feudal lord, both of which were formerly regarded as necessary to the well-being and even the very existence of society. — *Prof. William Clarke*.

The citizens of a large nation, industriously organized, have reached their possible ideal of happiness when the producing, distributing and other activities are such that each citizen finds in them a place for all his energies and aptitudes, while he obtains the means of satisfying all his desires. — *Herbert Spencer*.

Socialism is often summarily dismissed with the remark that "The time is not ripe for it." Socialists have no idea of harvesting a crop before it is ripe. They do contend, however, that the unripeness of a crop is no reason for not cultivating it. Socialism being the product of the social evolution, the only danger lies in obstructing it. — *Rev. F. M. Sprague*.

Note the last sentence of Rev. F. M. Sprague's definition and, in connection with it, consider the desperate efforts of the money power as represented by our great dailies, and the municipal, state and national authorities, to poison and kill the fraternal instinct among the people, thus breeding and nourishing violence and "anarchy," which they pretend to deprecate and condemn. The very existence of socialism, as defined by Webster, depends upon mutual agreement, and this cannot possibly result in oppression to any individual member of society except to him who desires, through undue wealth and artificial social or official position, to distinguish himself from his fellows. Our unjust and abnormal economic conditions have begotten and placed in position a large element of this class — men who are determined to subjugate or destroy all that threatens their designs and ambitions. They hold the seat of power to-day, and having gained it by robbery and bribery propose to retain it through force and blood if necessary. Until they are rendered powerless for evil the "ideal of happiness" proclaimed by Herbert Spencer is absolutely impossible of realization. They must be *deposed*, and state socialism offers the only effective and peaceful means to that end.



I am aware that certain excellent reformers oppose socialism on the plausible ground that *personal character evolution*, through which the lion is to become a vegetarian — “eat straw, and lie down with the lamb” — is the only complete remedy. But we must not forget that state socialism alone can *destroy* the *teeth* and *claws* of the *man-eating monster in our midst*.

It is true that Mr. Spencer became somewhat pessimistic in old age and referred to socialism as the “coming tyranny.” This unfortunate degeneracy on his part was doubtless induced — unconsciously, perhaps, to Mr. Spencer himself — by the pressure of a growing aristocratic and wealthy constituency such as gathers and asserts itself around the closing years of nearly every great and successful human career, and which is almost as inevitable and irresistible as the sea fogs that sometimes crowd in and obscure the evening twilight of a cloudless day. It has not only been a powerful anti-socialistic weapon in the hands of financial and civil tyrants, but it has helped to scatter and weaken the reform forces, by confusing the minds of thousands of honest people concerning the peaceful and beneficial aims and ends embodied in fraternal and industrial coöperation. But it proves and settles nothing. It only shows that a man may be a great and profound thinker and philosopher and yet be lacking in the intuitive or prophetic instinct that lifts its possessor above atmospheric earth currents, and which characterized men like Hugo, Garrison, Phillips, Lowell and Whittier — whose moral vision grew clearer to the end of their earthly pilgrimage.

It would be as unnatural for a community based on the idea of industrial reciprocity to evolve tyranny towards individual members as it would be for a sane and healthy man to allow the different members of his body to war with and oppress one another. The more closely the separate community units are knit together by interdependent interests, the more harmonious they become socially and the more safe are the individuals from the danger of oppression both from within and without. Mr. Spencer's latter-day objection to socialism as “the coming tyranny” is as ancient and as platitudinous as tyranny itself. In one sense it is well taken, but only in the relative sense which applies with equal force to the “tyranny” through which the rich man was sent to hades and Lazarus to Abraham's bosom, and to every law or system of laws that have in any manner annulled or abridged the liberty of the individual or the few to pursue criminal or selfish ends at the expense of their fellows and in defiance of justice.

The abolition of the feudal system — which our corporation barons are trying to restore — and of chattel slavery seemed tyranny to the ruling classes, but it was the divine dispensation

of equity which is no respecter of persons, and unless the law of compensation, which decrees that the first shall be last and the last first, is a myth, it is certain that a like form of retribution awaits and must swallow up our present money lords who claim the right to concentrate and hold centralized the great bulk of a community inheritance in land and product while millions of their fellows are — no matter for what direct cause — disinherited and being driven to pauperism and starvation. The parable in which Abraham is represented as saying, "Son, remember that thou in thy lifetime receivedst thy good things and likewise Lazarus his evil things: but now he is comforted and thou art tormented," was but the outline and shadow of an eternal law as old and new as the Infinite, whose ultimate penalties cannot be shirked. In every great revolution — either peaceful or violent — we see those penalties literally applied to nations as well as individuals. We need not wait for a spiritual hades to reveal the truthfulness of the words, "The wicked shall be turned into hell, and all the *nations* that forget God." Who under the sun *can* be more wicked than men who wallow in untold, ill-got riches while their near neighbors are starving? And what nation more forgetful of God than a nation like this, which, instead of comforting and succoring its famished and pleading children who have been driven out of doors to make room for robbers and usurers, turns loose upon them the blood-hounds of municipal and state authority and drags them to prison to eat, sleep and associate with the vilest of criminals and murderers? Is there any good reason why the drama of Dives and Lazarus should not be reproduced now and here and with all Christendom for an audience, even though the performance may involve seeming "tyranny" on the part of Father Abraham?

Men who have either assumed or inherited undue and abnormal power or position through an unjust apportionment of the product of toil will very naturally regard as "tyranny" any agency, either socialism or even "single tax" — which would destroy landed aristocracy — that removes the foundations of this power or position, and we cannot wonder at their resistance any more than we should at the frantic struggles of a man who, when falling from a tight rope or balloon, protests and kicks in mid air against the decrees of the law of gravitation. Nevertheless, in each case, the law remains and the man falls and takes the consequences. Abstract justice itself is, relatively, the worst of tyranny to those who are persistently and wilfully, or even ignorantly, unjust. Lincoln's Emancipation Proclamation seemed tyranny to those who claimed ownership in the bodies of their fellowmen and lived in ease and luxury and dispensed the most generous hospitality from the proceeds of chattel slave

labor, precisely as our Wanamakers, Carnegies, Pullmans and other wage-slave masters are doing now. Nevertheless, the abolition of chattel slavery came — as that of wage slavery is surely destined to come — and all the world, including the South, says Amen.

The application of the single-tax idea, which the more conservative reformers regard as just and necessary, will prove the worst possible tyranny to Herbert Spencer's friends, the English aristocracy, whose very corner stone is concentrated injustice and tyranny in the form of law compelling parents to disinherit the younger members of the family in favor of the eldest. Socialism will be, and ought to be, tyranny to those who claim the "liberty" to secure wealth — or even their living — through the misfortunes, mistakes and ignorance of their fellows. Socialism simply proposes to banish all occasion for human buzzards. It is singular that those who defend competition on the ground of "natural law" are, in the same breath, the most zealous champions of the very corporations that wax fat by *killing* all competitors.

Henry Wood, in his late work, "The Political Economy of Natural Law," in which he comes to the rescue of competitive trade — perhaps because it has yielded him a fortune — says:—

The fault is not with the social system but with abuses which are the fruitage of moral delinquency in personal character.

Mr. Wood's excuse can, with equal force, be urged in favor of every offensive and oppressive institution in the past or present, and is no less a vindication of violent anarchy, or the circulation through the mail of lottery tickets or of obscene literature, than of modern commercial piracy. It simply transfers the responsibility to that old familiar pack mule, "human depravity," and permits every evil to have its own way. Mr. Wood considers the government ownership or control of railroads "unworthy of serious attention," and yet he must know that under private control they embody the very essence of fraud and the most burdensome despotism, and that for the public to wait for relief to come through the elimination of "moral delinquency of character" on the part of railroad kings or their subjects would be much like asking a family of unfledged birds to look for redemption through the development of wings, and the personal reformation of the serpent that is already winding up the tree toward the nest. Socialism proposes to arrest and destroy the corporation snake. Possibly Mr. Wood may yet realize that what he styles "the social system" consists chiefly of certain phases of social evolution — successive "rings" in civilization's horn — and that what he gravely defines as "immutable laws" may, on

*post mortem* examination, prove to be only the most flagrant violations of, or deviations from, those laws. The fact is, human society has but one immutable law — i. e., equity, or the golden rule, by which all conflicting laws and compromises must ultimately be gauged, but which is constantly being outraged by our great railroad and other corporations, the proposition for whose ownership by the people themselves Mr. Wood regards unworthy of serious consideration.

Mr. Wood's treatment of immutable law is not unlike that practised by the medical "experts" in the case of President Garfield, when they deflected from the original track of the bullet and with their instruments created an independent wound which they diligently worked for all it could produce in "healthy pus," and, in their daily bulletins, proclaimed as the original "cavity." Nevertheless, an autopsy revealed the truth, precisely as it will in the case of certain wounds in the "social system" now being probed by "regular" experts in political economy.

One of the latest productions of our gifted prose-poet, Robert G. Ingersoll, is a premature epitaph for the People's Party, which he claims has "no foundation" for existence and whose platform is chiefly composed of the "remnants and rags of socialism," as expressed in the demand for legal-tender currency and the ownership and management of great corporations by the government — which he styles a "pauper," to be "supported" but never appealed to for aid in a crisis. It is a pity that one so successful in the search for obsolete pagan "gods" and dead Christian dogmas should be so utterly blind to living issues. Mr. Ingersoll is a powerful engine for the generation of mental and emotional force, and, like certain other engines, he has periods of pausing between "trips" to rest and be lubricated and to expel surplus force — a process involving considerable noisy vaporizing that really means nothing beyond the temporary relief it affords him, while it appeases the corporations that pay for his services. His large brain is a mine of rich ore out of which many striking poetic phrases have been coined, some of which, unfortunately, pass current in lumps among thoughtless people, before being "reduced" or even "smelted" — much less "puddled" — but he has never given to the world a single new or philosophical proposition, and he is utterly lacking in the prophetic instinct essential in the true reformer. It was not his fault but his infirmity that he was a northern pro-slavery man until the breaking out of the civil war. And while this fact proves nothing either for or against him as an honorable, genial, high-minded citizen and strong social factor of his time, it does prove that he is not the man to judge correctly of new party "foundations" and principles.

There is but one true ideal of government for an enlightened people; i. e., government of, for and by the people. This ideal is now struggling for the fresh air and the light and environment of its new and predestined birth. A nation properly governed is organized citizenship—a complex family in which certain members are chosen by the whole to conduct the community business. To say that these official members constitute a “pauper” which the rest must “support” is not talking to the point, and Mr. Ingersoll knows it. He knows equally well that, practically, our present government is far from being organized citizenship and partnership, and that it is by no means a “pauper,” but a small body of rich men who, through corporations and trusts, constitute an organized conspiracy to enrich themselves “by controlling labor through the control of the currency” and by thwarting the will and trampling on the interests of the people who are compelled by taxation to support and perpetuate the infamy. To prove this charge I need only to refer briefly to Pullmanism, Carnegieism and to the history of the sugar trust as exposed by such plutocratic authorities as the *New York World*, *Times* and *Sun* and the *Brooklyn Eagle*.

Mr. Ingersoll is aware that this condition—inflicted upon us by the very corporation rule he upholds and defends—is growing constantly and rapidly worse, and that nothing short of a new order can kill the tendency, cure the disease and prevent a relapse. Yet he goes on prescribing the same old-school regular corporation “calomel” dosing and interest “bleeding” system of practice that has brought us to the verge of death, and all because the new party—whose “foundation” is equity—has not yet sufficiently materialized for recognition by his senses, which are always slower than his big heart. In doing this he has made a worse blunder than all the “mistakes of Moses,” both real and imaginary, combined.

There is, in the moral no less than in the physical realm, a central and controlling force that makes for equilibrium, that cannot long be defied, and from whose ultimate decrees and penalties there can be no appeal. In the physical world the attitude or manifestation of this force is positive, unmistakable and uncompromising, and, hence, we adjust ourselves to it accordingly, without questioning its righteousness. But in the moral realm, where man’s free moral agency, so-called, constitutes a wheel within a wheel, our relation involves experience and more or less of compromise, governed or moulded in degree and expression by circumstances, and thus the penalty, like the offence, is not necessarily sudden in operation but may be prolonged through generations until the Infinite Judge forecloses the mortgage.

Socialism should be regarded and treated, not as a “system”

but as an *idea* — and a final one — in racial progress, subject to natural, rational development. But we must ever bear in mind the fact that the *expression* of social equilibrium, or equality, follows in its evolution the lines and channels of mental and moral enlightenment as naturally as water runs down hill, or as light follows the risen sun when artificial walls and temporary mists and obstructions are removed.

In short socialism, in its comprehensive and *spherical* sense, is the legitimate offspring of justice and intelligence, and grows in stature as fast as ignorance decreases. It can be temporarily arrested and dwarfed in its development by those who attempt to impose fixed, arbitrary conditions upon civil and industrial institutions, on the ground that it is "tyranny" to interfere with the "individual liberty" of a small class to live upon the toil of the many, but this opposition cannot quench the source of light nor prevent the invisible workings of the human mind. It can only, by obstructing natural and gradual processes, invite the flood, the thunder bolt, the lightning stroke, the earthquake and tidal wave — those reserve forces that lie latent behind all the appeals, signs and omens vouchsafed by an overseeing and guiding Presence to save man from his own blind egotism and injustice without overwhelming nations, systems and races with violence and calamity. There comes a time not only of harvest but of planting and sowing, when the seeds of a purer and more divine civilization are seeking higher planes and warmer soil. That time is now and here. The question is, Shall those seeds be irrigated with the dews and showers of peace, or with the blood and tears of another civil war?

I believe that general coöperative industry alone can bring a peaceful answer and solution, and that its rejection by government force means, in a republic, the ultimate extinction of the old order of wage slavery through violence. The word of the Infinite has gone forth and it will not return void. It rings and reëchoes like a trumpet in the very mottoes and devices of labor unions everywhere.

ALL FOR ONE AND ONE FOR ALL.\*

All for one and one for all,  
 With an endless song and sweep,  
 So the billows rise and fall  
 On the bosom of the deep;  
 Louder in their single speech,  
 More resistless as they roll,  
 Broader, higher in their reach  
 For their union with the whole.

Wheeling systems sink and rise,  
 In one shoreless universe,

---

\* Motto of the A. R. U.

And forever down the skies  
Myriad stars one hymn rehearse;  
Countless worlds salute the sun,  
Planets to each other call,  
Ages into cycles run,  
All for one and one for all.

Kissed by sunshine, dew and shower,  
Leaping rill and living sod,  
Sea and mountain, tree and flower,  
Turn their faces up to God;  
And one human Brotherhood,  
Pulsing through a thousand lands,  
Reaches for one common good  
With its million million hands.

Through all warring seas of life  
One vast current sunward rolls,  
And within all outward strife  
One eternal Right controls, —  
Right, at whose divine command  
Slaves go free and tyrants fall,  
In the might of those who stand  
All for one and one for all.



# SHE PLAYED, AN INNOCENT DARLING.

---

BY JAMES H. WEST.

---

SHE played, an innocent darling, 'mid the flowers;  
Hid ivy foully poisoned her. She sang,  
A child, on forest edge — till suddenly rang  
Her agony from bee-stings 'mid the bowers.  
Grown to fair maidhood, golden were her hours!  
Love beatific, holy, filled her breast.  
A tempter met her. Why reveal the rest?  
Above her wave-lapt corse no marble towers.

Happy and prosperous one, by Fortune crowned!  
Thee doth thy "virtue" keep? And was it "sin"  
That wrecked her of her all? Nay, world, begin  
More wisely Nature's secret depths to sound.  
Man needs a knowledge not yet taught in schools.  
Seek out yet more her laws. Causation rules.

# A DRAMA IN TATTERS: A STORY.

---

BY WALTER BLACKBURN HARTE.

---

THE Honorable Lemuel Quigg was returning from one of the greatest dinners of the season, and he alternately strummed an air half mentally and half aloud, as he recalled critically or good-naturedly, according to his attraction or repulsion, some of the things which had been said. The dinner had been given in honor of Lord Bufferin, one of the most distinguished diplomats in the British service, and all the most brilliant men in politics, diplomacy, literature and the arts, not forgetting the ears of journalism, had been assembled to make the occasion a worthy and notable one.

The Honorable Lemuel Quigg was one of the younger men in politics, that is, young as senators go, but he was generally regarded as one of the coming men in his party; and by the indefinable concurrence through which such verdicts get immediately into the air, without any point of departure or expression, it was felt by all in the great banqueting hall that he had made the speech of the evening. He felt it, too, and he was filled with the joyousness of life. He was in the prime of life, and he believed he had a grip on the forces which would carry him to the zenith of his ambition; and so those signs of power, those glances and murmured, pursed-lipped judgments he covertly saw and heard all around him, meant more to him than to some other man for whom the coming years could bring only decline or satiety.

He had excused himself to some friends so as to be alone and keep the pictured night in his memory, unbroken by the irrelevancies of a genial warmth that, amid the realities of the streets, inevitably falls to the lower key of banter and gossip. For the same reason he had walked all the way down town to the ferry, because, in a cab, he would have seemed too much removed from this life of the pavements, and he wanted to keep close to his mood this bustling, crowded world, and yet retain his sense of isolated dignity and power in it. Thus, with set lips and a lurking smile in his eyes, which burned now with a little touch of self scorn and now with undisguised self satisfaction, he had

walked down Broadway; proud, erect and sternly self-complacent under the gas lamps—and just a little more philosophic and humble in the interspaces of the gloomy shadows.

The delay at the ferry station calmed his nerves a little, and the long stretch of black water, starred and streaked with light, added a disquieting touch or two to the philosophy that hinted the mockery of such triumphs; but the glare of lights in the waiting room, and the crowd, with its peculiar infection of midnight gayety, swept him back into the mood that was most in accord with the tangle of his hopes, feeding upon the fuel of vanity, which he could now openly acknowledge to himself in the form of early resolves fulfilled in a dominion admitted alike by friends and foes. This was but the latest triumph; it was only a new presage of others in a greater arena.

He had been, as was his custom, very abstemious, and was not in the least heated with wine; but he was drunk with the beating of his pulses, the quick flow and color of his thoughts, the very shadow of himself. He was in that potential mood which blazes in men outwardly calm and marble, and which makes their doings on the stage of life the merest rag of their restless, tortuous imaginations. But this is such men's compensation for the reality of life; and Lemuel Quigg did not starve or pinch his dream with poor realities. He kept mentally repeating his strongest phrases, momentarily tantalizing himself with new turns of thought and then returning with renewed ardor to the spontaneous *coup*. Again he heard the hush—there was electricity in the criss-cross flash of eyes—the sibilant murmurs in the galleries, the pause, an accentuation of the silence before the peals of laughter, the recurring bursts of applause and comment; and later the musical rattle of the women's rich gowns as they crowded to catch a glimpse of him descending the broad staircase. As he walked nervously up and down the waiting room the whole chaotic series of pictures assailed him; his nostrils seemed again filled with the grateful, exciting heat and dust and smoke of the disordered dining hall. He was actually drugged with those illuminated faces, the lights, the sounds, the colors, the beating of his own pulses. Once or twice he caught himself wondering how much attention would be paid to his speech in the morning newspapers, and then, half ashamed of this glimpse of his satisfaction in such vanity, he put the thought away from himself. But as a climax of triumph, it would recur.

On the ferry-boat he leaned over the side, and the splashing of the lacy froth of water seemed to give back to him his own tongue, his own words. In mid-stream, when the water began to fade into the mysterious, pregnant blackness of the East River, and its sombre melancholy fell with its chill hints upon his ela-

tion, he quickly retreated into the more genial light of the cabin; and as he stepped on to the landing on the Brooklyn side, it was with a more erect carriage and a step firmer and lighter than usual. He had a sense at the moment that the world was not so difficult to rule, if one were but of the heroic cast to will, to command it.

The Honorable Lemuel Quigg was certainly a handsome and commanding man, as the world's eye goes for these qualities. His was a face to attract attention from both men and women, and many people turned their heads to look at him as he paced up and down. When the boat glided into the dock there was the usual bustle to get through the gates. It seemed to him, in his preoccupation, very trivial; and he stood aside and let the crowd sway past him. Then he slowly sauntered up the incline in its wake. It was already very late, and that was all the more reason for being leisurely, for nights of such import cannot last long. One or two women, hurrying past him on the arms of their escorts, turned to give him a quick glance, half challenging and half admiring — the glance women often give to such men under such circumstances, in the freedom of unacquaintance and the *chiuroscuro* of the night and the dispersing crowd, and the glance many handsome men, as vain as women, seek and recognize with pleasure and an assumption of indifference or preoccupation almost feminine.

The crowd quickly scattered in all directions, and as he slowly followed the few lagging couples up the fitfully-lighted incline, leading from the ferry to the main street, the chill of that gloomy interregnum between night and morning suddenly seized upon him, and for a moment the gaunt and ugly world about him, with its uncompromising realities, broke in upon his musings and turned their current. He dismissed them with a shiver as merely the impertinences of the damp gray mist stealing up from the river, and regained his self-complacency in buttoning up his opera cloak. But he quickened his pace.

Just as he was about to cross the street to gain the begrudging cheerfulness of a line of wan street lamps, a voice, issuing from a shadowy angle in the wall, arrested his progress with trembling diffidence: —

“Will you buy some violets, sir?”

He was almost startled, and then the note of diffidence and something in the quality of the voice tingled again in his ear, and he stopped. The voice was young and it was very low and sweet. He was in no hurry, and it chimed in with his mood to play the gracious benefactor. He halted deliberately, and said with the ripple of good humor in his tone, which he could command at will: “Well, missy, it is late for favors, but step into

the light and we will see what can be done. We cannot go marketing in the dark."

A woman moved forward hastily, bringing her basket of wares under the flickering gas lamps. He stooped over it, fingered daintily a bunch of the flowers, and then looking up said smilingly, "They are a little faded, aren't they?"

"Are they?" asked the voice, with a suspicion of tears in its hopelessness and lowness.

"Why, you've not been having good luck, eh, little girl?" in a cheery tone. "How is it you did not sell your flowers earlier in the evening? They look rather wan and ghostly now."

"Perhaps — perhaps. I was not able to sell them in time. But they were fresh this morning."

He took out his watch and held it within the arc of the lamp-light. The hands pointed to two o'clock. "You mean yesterday morning," he said a little quizzically, with the light cruelty of that deficient sympathy which cannot penetrate the tension of another's hope. She raised her eyes momentarily, with a despairing look in them, and then he added in a kindlier voice: "But one cannot expect violets to be any fresher than poor human nature in this great city. You look very wan and tired, poor girl. Have you been out in the streets since yesterday morning and not sold your flowers — or are you making your fortune?"

"I did not know it was so late. I am a stranger in the streets, and the other flower-girls would not let me sell my flowers round about here. So I had to go elsewhere, and I had no luck and then came back when all the rest had sold out and gone home. The hours have been long, long — but I have waited for every ferry, hoping to sell my flowers and get home, but I get no luck. All the world is against us — even the folks as poor as we are."

"Ah, that is the pity of it. Desperation makes the poor prey upon one another. But if you have been carrying that basket since yesterday morning you must be quite worn out. Poor little woman! and it is getting chilly. What made you stay so late? Ah, I forgot, you wanted to sell out. Well, there'll be no more people who care for flowers along here to-night. I guess I'm a little belated — but then I have whims. Poor little girl! I suppose you do not know the luxury of whims? What would you say, now, if some good fairy came along and bought your whole stock in trade at the eleventh hour — at two o'clock in the morning, when only ghosts should be out of bed? Eh, now; what would you say to such luck as that?"

He thrust his hands deep into his trousers pockets, and leaning against the lamp post laughed heartily. He had thrown back

his cloak, forgetful of the night air, in his complacency of benefaction.

She raised her face with a little more courage, noting his leisurely tone and attitude: but what could she say to such a flow of banter as this? Only light hearts can banter, and life was all tragedy to her. But as her eyes flashed into his, half appealingly, half fearfully, he saw that they were very beautiful. Indeed, although the face was sadly drawn and haggard and anxious, the features and expression held his eyes more steadfastly upon them than he was aware of. A warmer pulse of blood throbbed through his veins and a new light came into his eyes, a new ring in his voice. She was very pretty — yes, beautiful. With a rapid glance he noted her scanty, ill-fitting, poverty-stained garments, and pictured her in warmth and luxury. “Ah, yes, comfort is half the source of beauty,” he mentally commented; and added, to keep himself in countenance with the conscience running through his thought, “of morality, too.”

The events of the earlier part of the evening seemed suddenly to have become very far away, somewhat vague and almost insignificant. He was wondering whether she was anything like as innocent as she looked or whether there were unfathomable depths beneath this transparence. He glanced up and around at the grim streets, and shrugged his shoulders in a worldly conclusion. It could not be otherwise in such a nightmare world as she must know in this street life. Her reddened eyelids betrayed recent tears, but they were tearless now and seemed to burn. His mental comment upon the haggard, cowed woe in her face was that “She looked sufficiently miserable.” If it were acting, and he had all the scepticism of a man of the world, it was certainly good art, and therefore none the less attractive to him; for a part of his scepticism was an endeavor to delude himself in his pleasures, and he only hated pretence when its transparency made delusion impossible. He was interested. The exaltation of the evening, the half-remembered furtive glances of one or two richly dressed women at the ferry, and the feeling of security and power, made the iron in his blood tingle; he was in the mood to look into a pretty face, even if its possessor was not in silks and laces, but in tatters, as was this poor flower-girl.

She knew nothing of what flashed through the mind of the self-indulgent, successful politician. She only feared him, as she feared all the people who passed her in the street wrapped up in their comfort and prosperity — mere voiceless or brutal shadows from another and unreal world. The only real world for her was misery; and there was as vast a gulf, in thought and feeling, set between her and this man as if they came from different planets. The rich and comfortable do not always realize this.

The poor and wretched are vaguely conscious of it all the time. She was no conscious sceptic or cynic; it was merely the habitual despair of misery that animated her, not the low worldly wisdom of disillusion. She lacked the divination that comes to minds suddenly quickened with vice; and so she merely shrank from the pitiless good humor of one of the strange rich. She had belonged to that world forever on the verge of destitution, the world of mechanics and small wage workers, and she was not long enough accustomed to the more precarious penury of the streets to know all its phases. But she was desperate, and undeniably famished, cold and heartsick and ill. She was afraid of this man's careless banter, but she thought of her husband dying for lack of food and fresh air and medicine; of their dark, narrow room; of the landlord's threat of eviction if the rent was not paid; of her ill-success with the flowers in which she had invested all the money she had managed to borrow; she saw that home so dear and precious to her; she thought of the long, dreary hours the sick man, racked with pain and tortured with thought and solitude, passed day after day; of the hunger and suffering they had endured together; of the desolate, horrible streets — and she nerved herself to be brave.

"You are kind, sir. Won't you buy a bunch? They are not quite fresh, but a little water will revive them, I think, and — oh, we are so poor, and I cannot sell them. They'll all be dead, too, in the morning, and God will bless you if you will help me now."

She looked very beautiful with the tears welling from her big, pleading eyes. The Honorable Lemuel Quigg mentally congratulated himself upon the chance of an adventure. He put his hands deep into his pockets and regarded her intently.

"I am amazed that with such a pretty face, you cannot sell your violets, faded or fresh. Why, you are the loveliest flower in the whole lot, don't you know that?"

She colored and hung her head.

"We are starving, that's all I care about. We need money, and I can't sell the flowers. I've tried, God knows. It is money I want, sir, and not compliments."

"Of course. But compliments are often bred of the desire to give, or the desire to possess and pay for possession. I do not know who 'we' may be; but I am astounded that with such a pretty face you cannot sell your flowers. With such a face you should find a ready market for anything — your own sweet self included. Did it never really occur to your sweet innocence that the possession of such a pair of dark, intoxicating eyes was in itself as good as a bank account? Come, you are joking. A woman with such ripe red lips cannot be starving. It is foolish



for a lily to stand in the streets selling lowly violets. If you starve you will lose your good looks, and so rob yourself and your friends. Come, my dear, I'll be your banker, if" — and he leaned forward and whispered in her ear, at the same moment drawing some bills from his pocket.

She started back as if stung.

"I thought you were human," she cried huskily, a great sob rising in her throat. "I appealed to your mercy, because something in your voice and eyes misled me, and because we are literally starving. My husband is dying for nourishment and medicine. But no, there is no mercy, no pity in these horrible streets — only devils, devils!"

She reeled back into the shadow of the wall, exhausted with her sudden blaze of passion.

He was stricken dumb before this despair. Then he approached, and with a gasp, for his tongue clove silent to the roof of his mouth, he extended the bills towards her.

"No, no!" she cried, "life is not so dear to me that I must live at any cost. God knows life is not sweet to us. There is nothing for us to live for, but misery. We can starve, and rot, and die."

He stood silently regarding her, as if stunned with her desperation. He was used to tragedy on the stage, but his philosophy of life did not admit it into the everyday drama of the hunted and the hunter, the weak and the strong. Finally, by an effort of will, he regained control of his voice.

"Take the money," he said, in tones that sounded almost unfamiliar in his own ears, "and may God forgive me."

He threw the roll of bills into her basket, and literally ran up the street as fast as he could go. He feared she would fling his money back into his face.

As he was turning the key in his own door, he said, half aloud: "Why can we men only be generous when we are proved ignoble? Why is our humanity stifled until we are frightened with the spectres of ourselves? Ugh, I have lived too long with the worldly-wise, shrewd Mr. Quigg; I have seen the real Lemuel Quigg to-night. My God! what a coward! What a dull, brutal coward! What a mean, successful failure!"

But at breakfast in the morning, when he took up the morning paper and opened it, with a reassuring crackle at the familiar realities of politics, he added, to the reflections that had troubled his dreams and his waking thoughts, "And yet, poor devil, *she* may yet come to it; misery murders much and many."

Still, it was not a triumph Mr. Quigg saw in his newspaper, even the party paper, that morning, but the blanched face of a beautiful woman.

# THE DIGNITY OF LABOR: A SKETCH FROM LIFE.

---

BY ADELINE KNAPP.

---

HE was breaking stones on the highway. There was nothing about his appearance to distinguish him from the other men of the gang in which he was working. He wore a pair of trousers of no particular color, very much worn, and ragged about the heels, where the tatters hung over his broken, cheap shoes. His shirt was of red flannel, open at the neck, where a button was missing, showing a triangular patch of sunburnt, hairy chest. There was a week's growth of stubble on his chin. His mouth was vague and irresolute. His nose was not classic. His eyes were set close together, and there was no speculation in them as he raised his head, now and then, to answer a comrade, or to rest a moment from his stone breaking. It was very hot. The mellow sunshine of California's November beat full upon his back, and the sweat dripped from his matted hair, and from the ends of his rough mustache, as he toiled, stooping over, breaking stones on the road.

He did not consider his work particularly hard. He was used to it. When he stood upright the inelastic muscles of neck and shoulders still kept their stoop. He could not possibly make a straight back, but it was not hard to stoop. He did not often try to straighten up. The change from the stooping posture made him a little dizzy. The air about him grew dark, and his head swam, curiously. It passed off after a bit, but it was on the whole easier just to keep bent over his work. It was not necessary to stand up straight.

Now and then his hammer struck fire on a stone, and the peculiar, half grewsome odor of flint against steel assailed his nostrils, but the spark did not fire his imagination. He was used to it.

He was not thinking of anything in particular. His brain, like his back, was a little muscle-bound. He did not talk much, either. He said "thank'ee," when a mate proffered him a chew of tobacco, and once, when a fragment of stone rebounded and struck his hand, he swore, but for the most part he kept quietly and steadily at his work, breaking stones on the road.

His breakfast that morning had been bread and coffee. In his dinner-pail, stowed yonder behind some lumber, was his noonday meal — more coffee, more bread and two potatoes, with a little paper of salt wherewith to season them. His wife was charwoman for a business block. They had five children. He made a dollar and a half a day, breaking stones on the road.

A millionaire was driven by in his carriage, behind a team of high-stepping bays. His correct coachman handled the reins like a very Jehu; only he did not drive furiously, for the millionaire was taking his friend, the poet, out for an airing, and they were admiring the scenery.

They stopped where the man was breaking stones, and gazed at the varying landscape, the green hills, the beautiful bay, the wonderful coloring. The man stepped aside to make way for them, and stood regarding them, unspeculatively. He did not flinch nor cast down his eyes before the millionaire's gaze. He was not thinking particularly about the rich man. He was only looking at him. So, a short time before, he had watched a steer being led by on the way to the shambles.

The millionaire noticed him. "I am impressed," he said, "whenever I observe it, by the spectacle of toil! How it dignifies a man! Notice that laborer, now. He stands there in the simple dignity of his honest labor, watching us, neither grovelling nor cringing, merely observing us, as one man may observe another. I like to see that independent spirit. It augurs well for the permanency of our institutions. After all, say what we will, it is not money but labor that counts in this world. I sometimes think it would be worth the loss of the millions gained by anxious thought and the wear and tear of brain and nerve tissue, to know the pure luxury of breaking stones on the road; to stand in my birthright of simple manhood and the dignity of labor and know the sweets of honest toil.

"How many hours do you work, my man?" he asked the laborer.

"Ten," the man replied.

"Think of it!" the millionaire exclaimed as they drove on. "What would I do with fourteen hours' respite from the day's cares and responsibilities? In very truth, I would rather be the man who helps make the road, than he who is merely driven over it!"

"I wonder," said the poet, very slowly, "I wonder how the man who helps make the road would feel, to be driven over it?"

The millionaire and the poet passed from view.

The man went on breaking stones on the road. He did not straighten up again, even when another elegant equipage passed him. It made his head swim so queerly, and the air got so black

•

when he did so. He dropped his hammer, and bent his back still lower to pick it up. He was growing clumsy at his work. He made some slight mismove. He meant to strike in the centre of the big stone, but instead his hammer grazed the edge. A great splinter of stone flew up and struck him on the temple. The air grew black about him, and he fell forward upon the stones he had been breaking.

They rang up the patrol wagon. He was lifted into it and stretched along the floor. A police officer sat on the seat beside him and another stood on the steps at the end of the wagon.

They met the millionaire and the poet returning from their drive. The poet looked away. The millionaire shuddered. "Some drunken fellow, I presume," was his comment. And then he said, anxiously, "How are we to do any good in the world? One longs to help one's fellows, but idleness and drunkenness are the bane of the poor."

They never knew that the man who helped make the road was being driven over it.

# THE SWEATING SYSTEM IN PHILADELPHIA.

BY REV. FRANK M. GOODCHILD.

THE prime difficulty in this discussion is to keep within the limits of prudent speech. I have been an almost daily witness of the horrors of the sweating system for the last five years. The iron has entered into my own soul. Within five minutes' walk of where I stand several times a week to preach the gospel of Jesus Christ, there is iniquity enough along this line to call down the wrath of God on our fair city. 'There is sorrow enough among the victims of the system to melt the heart of a demon.' If the reader could see and hear what I have seen and heard the difficulty of using mild words would be appreciated. Sometimes I have been almost frenzied by the perpetual cry of distress that has come to me. 'If all the sufferers' voices could be lifted at once, it would make a groan that would shake the foundations of the city and summon all heaven and earth to the rescue.'

'To get the subject clearly before us, let us have a definition. What is the sweating system? The sweating system is the practice, particularly in the tailoring trade, of employing men, women and children to make up clothes in their own houses for scant pay. There does not seem to be much in that definition to arouse wrath. But if you could see the filthy dens in which the work is done; if you could see the luckless wretches working like mad day and night; working sometimes from four o'clock in the morning till ten o'clock at night; working during the busy season thirty or thirty-five hours at a stretch; working without time for meals, eating while they work, working till the blood almost spurts from their nostrils — and all this simply to keep soul and body together; if you could count over with them the paltry prices paid for their toil by prosperous and professedly Christian firms, I think you would feel the sickening sensation about your heart that I often have felt.

'In the days of Queen Anne the name sweater was given to a class of ruffians who went about the streets and formed a circle about any hapless wayfarer whom they met, and by pricking him with their swords compelled him to dance until he sweated from the exertion. The sweater is not now looked upon as a ruffian, but he gathers his victims by the score in city shambles and goads them to work until their life blood fairly oozes out of their

pores. In the Middle Ages the name sweater was given to the man who put gold coins into a bag and shook them so that particles of the metal were worn off. The coin passed for just as much and the man had for his profit the gold dust in the bag. In these days the sweater does not grind his coin. He grinds humanity, and out of wornout human bodies and ruined souls he coins his profits. ʘ

There are about seven hundred sweaters' dens in Philadelphia. Not long ago only five hundred were reported for New York. Of the nearly seven hundred that Philadelphia has, nearly six hundred are in the square mile of area in which my church stands. A few squares below the church they are most numerous, in a neighborhood celebrated for foul odors and stagnant gutters. Inside the houses the sanitary conditions are still worse. The rooms are small and crowded. In a room ten feet by twelve, will be found huddled together seven or eight people and several machines. Air space is contracted. I have often stood squarely on the floor and laid my hands flat on the ceiling. The walls are as grimy as though they had never known the use of a brush. The floors are at times inches deep with dirt and scraps of clothing. The whole place wallows with putrefaction. In some of the rooms it would seem that there had not been a breath of fresh air for five years. One whiff of the foulness is enough to give you the typhoid fever; yet what you cannot endure for five minutes these people live in from year to year.

ʘ In those human stys the creatures who make the clothing we wear work, eat, sleep and perform all the operations of nature. Sometimes they have not the time, at others they have not the spirit, to clean them up, and some of the abominable kennels no amount of cleaning could much improve. The men and women who bend over the machines and ironing tables are ill fed, unwashed, half clad. Proprieties do not count for much in a sweat shop. Conveniences and common decencies are unknown. Nothing counts there that can not be turned into hard cash. The dearest things on earth are given for that. Health goes with the rest. The toilers' hands are damp with slow consumption. Their breath is like that of a charnel house. ʘ

ʘ Even their children's lives are sacrificed to get the work done. The child is set to work just as soon as it can draw a thread. The factory age in Pennsylvania is thirteen years. They know it, and so if you ask them their age, even if they cannot yet speak plainly, their prompt answer is "Thirteen." And sometimes before you ask, they will say mechanically, "I'm thirteen." It is pretty sure to pull strongly on your heart when you see the little children toiling with the look of age on their faces before they are out of babyhood. ʘ

The young lambs are bleating in the meadows,  
The young birds are chirping in the nest,  
The young fawns are playing with the shadows,  
The young flowers are blowing toward the west.  
But the young, young children, oh, my brothers,  
They are weeping bitterly.  
They are weeping in the playtime of the others,  
In this country of the free.

What do the toilers get for this work? For overcoats, 45 to 98 cents. Frock coats, 40 cents to \$1. Sack coats, 30 to 75 cents. Vests, 14 to 28 cents. Trousers, 25 to 50 cents a pair. Boys' knee pants of the best quality, \$1 a dozen. Down on Lombard Street a man makes wrappers and is able to earn 60 cents a day. Another earns a dollar a day, but has ten mouths to feed, and pays \$6 a month for his hovel of a home. Another, a young woman with whom I talked, received \$2 a week before and said, "I know not vot I get dis veek."

The goods made in this fashion are not simply "cheap clothes and nasty." I am assured there is not a clothing house in the city that is not a patron of the sweat shops. I have seen with my own eyes, attached to the goods, the labels of some of the best houses of the city, firms whose names are household words among us, whose members stand high in Christian churches—Presbyterian, Baptist, Episcopal and Friends'. All sorts of goods are sweated. Letter carriers' and police uniforms are, so is clerical clothing. Ready-made clothing is sweated, of course, but so is custom-made clothing. To pay a high price is no protection to you. I have seen custom suits in those dens of filth, sometimes with the buyer's name on them. The price you pay seems not to be an element in the system.

It is utterly idle to tell me that rigid competition in trade has brought all this evil about. I know the cost of the goods. I know what is paid for the making. I know the prices paid by the customers. No one can tell me that the rigors of competition require any man to make 75, 100 or 150 per cent profit, while men and women starve working for him at fifty cents or a dollar a day. The fact is, as Chauncey Depew once said in speaking of this matter, "It is one of the most painful elements of our human nature that when avarice gets possession of a man, that moment humanity and compassion and a recognition of the rights of others are as completely worked out of him as if they were never put into him."

We are told that in the reign of the Cæsars, Rome's conquests were so frequent and the accumulation of slaves was so great, that in the island of Sicily cultivated young men and young women were sold for twenty-five cents apiece. They were branded with a hot iron on the forehead and cheek and sent to work on



the plantations. Their average length of life after they got there was one month. Nobody cared. It was cheaper to work them to death and buy more than to feed and care for them. That was in heathen Rome, but much the same thing goes on in Christian America.

One man was asked to repair the roof over his workmen. It was giving them rheumatism, asthma and consumption. He said, "Men are cheaper than shingles; no sooner does one drop out than a dozen are ready to take his place."

When another, a woman, remonstrated with a Market Street house about a low price offered, the door was opened and she was ordered out with the remark, "It makes no difference to us whether you live or die."

"Alas that gold should be so dear  
And flesh and blood so cheap."

All this goes on, too, within easy hearing of the bell of the State House where it was declared that all men are born free and equal, and that every human creature has a right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. It would seem time for a new declaration to be issued.

If we feel no interest in working against this system because of its iniquity, another consideration may weigh. It is the easiest thing in the world for contagion to be carried from these dens into our own homes, and it is constantly done. Sir Robert Peel made his daughter a present of a handsome cloak. She soon sickened and died. Typhus fever was the trouble. They could not understand how she had contracted it. But by and by they discovered that in the poverty-stricken home where the cloak was sent for making, a child was sick with the fever. Bed clothes were scant and the air was chill, so the cloak was used for awhile as a covering for the child. In New York in one apartment five persons were making cigars. Two children were sick with diphtheria. Both father and mother attended the patients. They would syringe the nose of each child, and then, without washing their hands, return to their cigars. In another, a garment maker's shop, three children were sick with scarlet fever. Work went on just the same.

Of course as soon as the disease is discovered, the Board of Health inspector forbids all work during its continuance. But the harm may already have been done in the spread of contagion. And if not, it is impossible to keep all under constant surveillance, and as soon as the inspector's back is turned the people are again at their work. The small-pox epidemic that lately startled Chicago is said to have had its origin in this fashion in the clothing sweat shops of that city.

Down on Christian Street, Philadelphia, is a man who does

only custom work. He is being eaten up with a cancer. He eats, sleeps and works in one room, and the stench and disorder of the place are frightful. One physician says that he has found in the dust and dirt of these places, germs of diphtheria, scarlatina, erysipelas, measles and small-pox, and has examined clothing that was infected with the germs. How could it be otherwise? I have seen workingmen wearing the coats given them to make. I have seen coats and filthy bed clothes tumbled together. I have seen a baby half covered with sores lying on a bed of coats, while another stack stood by its side to keep it from rolling off. In this fashion the filth of the slums comes into our own homes, and outraged humanity has its revenge.

Of course there is a remedy, and I have a clear conviction that Christian people are the ones to apply the remedy. They stand, as a class, apart from the parties in the conflict, and so may be expected to be fair-minded arbiters. And then, if down-trodden humanity may not look to Christian people for sympathy and succor, in the name of Christ to whom may they look? But the Christianity that will prove helpful must do something more than preach and pray. There is little use going among these people simply and solely with the gospel. Had Christ so done His work among the needy there would be no Christendom to-day. Their hearts are dulled. Their brains are numb. Their condition has thrust them into an infidelity like that of the poor German girl, who, when told in the midst of her wretchedness that God is good, said: "No, no, no good God. Just look at me. No good God."

The limits of this article do not allow a statement of the details of the remedy. But it is clear that nothing will do short of a complete abolition of the system, and a legal requirement that manufacturers shall provide large, airy, well-lighted rooms in which the work shall be done. The thing for you and me to do is to make public opinion. Agitate, agitate, agitate! Show people the prevalence of this iniquity, and I have confidence that if it is exhibited once, twice, thrice, to a humane public, the sovereign decree will come forth, "Abolish it." And it would be done. God speed the day!

# THE CENTURY OF SIR THOMAS MORE.

---

BY B. O. FLOWER.

---

## SECOND PAPER, THE REFORMATION AND SOME OF ITS LEADING SPIRITS.

---

### I.

WHILE the new learning was influencing such men as Sir Thomas More and Erasmus, a moral and an intellectual revolution destined to make this period one of the most memorable in the annals of civilization, burst upon the world. It was a profound protest of the sincerely religious against the corruption which was practised under the cloak of religion — a great moral upheaval which in its initial stage was merely a remonstrance within the church, with no thought on the part of its leaders of a separation from Rome. The church, however, was in no mood to be dictated to, even though the cry was for a purer religion, and she vainly endeavored to suppress what was at first a revolt, but which ended in becoming a revolution of civilization-wide proportion — a movement which changed the thought of nations and altered the convictions of millions of sincere, truth-loving men and women.

Many and complex were the influences which made the Reformation inevitable; the primary cause was the corruption of the church, but the printing press had given wings to thought and the influence of the new learning had broadened the horizon of the best thinkers. The New Testament in the original Greek had been devoured by scholars who had hitherto been accustomed to study and discuss the works of commentators chiefly concerned with the writings and opinions of the church fathers. The New Testament in its original tongue proved a new inspiration to the college youths of this age, while its translation into German by Luther and into English by Tyndale gave a new impetus to religious thought and speculation among the less scholarly.

Political contentions also in various ways favored this great protest, and what Erasmus had unconsciously accomplished among the German people, Rabelais had in a different manner and with more daring wrought in France. In England the apprehension felt by patriotic Britons lest Henry VIII. should

die without male issue, and a hated Scot ascend the throne, led many prelates and statesmen to favor a divorce, while the death of the king's sons confirmed the superstitious in the belief that the curse of God rested on Henry's union with Catherine of Aragon. The hesitation of the Papal See to decide as to the right of Henry to put away Catherine and marry again convinced many devout and thoughtful men that policy rather than ethical or religious scruples prevented Rome from taking a positive stand on this important question. These were some of the positive influences which operated upon public opinion north of the Alps. They were tributaries to a deep flowing current of unrest which ran through the brain of the age. Luther and Melancthon in Germany, Calvin in France, Zwingli in Switzerland, Cranmer in England and Knox in Scotland merely touched the tinder of the universal discontent in their various lands.

The Reformation demonstrated the essential heroism which dwells in the soul of man, whether he be peasant, priest or noble. It held before the mind's eye of those who hungered for a higher life and a purer exemplification of religion than was to be found in the conventionalism of the day, an exalted though austere ideal which awakened a responsive echo, causing men, women and children to sink all thoughts of present happiness, comfort or even life, rather than yield what they believed to be the eternal truth. It illustrated anew the wonderful power which an idea or conviction may exert in transforming human lives. As though a trumpet blast had called them to come up higher from a plane of sordid selfishness and license, or a condition of sodden hopelessness, a multitude of high-born souls in various European lands became living examples of a purer conception of religion. To the student of human nature nothing is more instructive than the constantly recurring illustration of the transforming power of ideas or ideals which appeal strongly to the imagination, and the Reformation furnishes a striking illustration of this truth, which when appreciated by civilization will render a redeemed humanity an assured fact instead of a persistent but elusive dream.

## II.

The spirit of the Reformation was unconsciously heralded by the Italian priest, prophet and statesman, Savonarola. His was essentially the spirit of Luther, Zwingli and Melancthon. He was a man entirely possessed by what he conceived to be the divine truth, and from his advent in Florence until he entered the torture chamber he ever manifested an utter contempt for self and an absolute allegiance to a high but sombre conception of religious duty, which made him one of the most striking figures

of his age. Savonarola was a poor, obscure monk when he entered Florence, and Lorenzo de Medici was at the height of his power.

At that time, to the superficial observer, Florence was one of the brightest jewels in the crown of civilization. She was the patron of art and letters, the throne of culture and beauty, and her noble sons and daughters seemed to move in a ceaseless round of gay and joyous life, though the outward forms of religion were scrupulously observed. But under this engaging mask seethed uncontrolled passions. Cruelty and injustice were practised on every hand by the rich and powerful. The spirit of soulless selfishness seemed to have anæsthetized the people, from the prince to the peasant; even the church was honeycombed with immorality. In one of his sermons preached in Lent, 1497, Savonarola boldly declared "that the priests were slaying the souls of their flocks by their wicked example." "Their worship is," he adds, "to spend the nights with strumpets and their days in singing in the choir. The altar is their shop." Savonarola beheld with horror the profligacy on every hand. He felt that he was inspired of God. He heard voices and beheld visions. In his sermons he seemed to lose himself in the thoughts which filled his brain.

His terrible prophetic predictions were fulfilled in a manner which startled the Florentines. Even Lorenzo, whose evil conduct had not escaped the scathing denunciations of Savonarola, when on his death bed sent for the austere monk. In the dark days which followed it was Savonarola to whom Florence turned as to a wise father. He proved his patriotism and statesmanship to be as lofty as his spirituality. His one great desire was to make men better, to bring them to God. But after the glory came the gloom. He had sought to throttle the hydra of evil, and it at length struck him down at a time when virtue was still weak in the city of Florence. His fate was one common to high-born souls who choose to be the pioneers of truth. At the moment when the flames wrapped the body which had endured the most frightful torture, faint hearts doubtless felt that all was lost for which he lived. As a matter of fact, however, his life, deeds and teachings were to prove an inspiration to the Reformation. The influence of a noble life lives in memory and in story, ennobling all who come under its spell. So also the lofty utterances of a truth-inspired brain carry the contagion of moral heroism which mould and shape other lives. The ashes of Savonarola were thrown into the waters of the Arno, but the mighty spirit of truth which he had awakened lived in the hearts of others, and long ere he died men were born destined to carry forward on a broader scale the work he had essayed in Florence.

## III.

At the time when the divine fires were burning brightest in the soul of Savonarola, and the glory of the beyond was mantling his brow, north of the Alps in the German town of Eisenach, Martin Luther, a poor student with a charming tenor voice, was singing for alms before the homes of the well-to-do. In early life this young man caught the spirit of his age, which is essentially the spirit of our time; he sensed the universal unrest and the ill-defined desire for something better, purer and truer. He turned to religion as naturally as did Titian to art, Copernicus to the stars or Columbus to the ocean. In 1505 he entered the Augustinian Convent at Erfurt. Here he found a Bible and greedily devoured its contents. But it did not give him peace. A great fear canopied his mental horizon. An angry God and a lost world filled the range of his vision. "I tormented myself to death," he declared, "to make my peace with God, but I was in darkness and found it not." Fortunately for him Staupitz, the vicar general of his order, had passed through a similar ordeal. "He had learned *heart religion* from the mystics," and he greatly aided Luther.

In 1511 Luther went to Rome. Here he was disillusioned. Instead of a holy city, he found a gay, pleasure-loving, voluptuous metropolis with a sacred college composed of men who were the antipodes of his ideal of holy fathers. The scandals current, the easy morals, the prodigality and profligacy he witnessed on every hand, where he had expected to find profound spirituality and an all-compassionate charity, filled his mind with horror. He returned and took up his life work. In 1517 he boldly denounced the sale of indulgences, and shortly after was summoned to appear before the cardinal legate, James de Vio of Gasta. The cardinal expected to crush him with a few words. Luther convinced him that he had a man to deal with.

"I can dispute no longer with this beast," the cardinal exclaimed, "he has two wicked eyes and marvellous thoughts in his head."

Another ineffectual attempt was made by Rome to bring Luther to submission. The breach widened. The reformer's voice and pen were busy. He electrified the German people. The vigor of his thought, his sincerity, earnestness and undoubted religious fervor touched the hearts of his countrymen, and his appeals to their patriotism fired them with admiration for the bold, brave man who was battling unaided for a purer church and a truer life.

In 1520 Pope Leo X. issued a bull condemning Luther as a heretic. This bull Luther publicly burned. He was next ordered

by the Emperor Charles V. to appear before the Diet of Worms. His friends urged him to remain away. His superb courage was displayed in his memorable reply, "Were there as many devils in Worms as tiles on the roofs of the houses, still would I enter." He faced his accusers, and departed under the safe conduct of the emperor.

From this time he worked indefatigably. He translated the Bible into German; he wrote much and preached frequently. He became the great incarnation of aroused religious thought of his day, and as such was recognized alike by the nobility and the people. This fact explains the extraordinary results which attended his labors and made it possible for an obscure monk to change the faith of nations and kindle the fires of reformation in many lands. In the history of Protestantism Luther will always remain a colossus among giants. Yet he was not without his faults. His language was often intemperate, coarse and bitter. Moreover, he was intolerant. The great man who braved Rome was not great enough to accord the same tolerance to the pure souled Zwingli which he had demanded for himself.

#### IV.

Second only to Luther in the *coterie* of great theological reformers of the sixteenth century stands John Calvin. The influence exerted by this stern but sincere man has colored the thought of millions of human lives, and largely shaped the current of history in many lands. He was educated for a Roman priest but afterwards studied law, and thus became at once a master in ecclesiastical learning and an astute reasoner. Indeed, an aptitude for scholastic philosophy seemed natural to him. In life he was simple, severe and inexorable. Even when a youth at school, he condemned all frivolity and absented himself from all popular pastimes and pleasures. He was a tireless student, and pondered deeply upon religious problems.

His rigid views of life led him most naturally to dwell upon those texts in that library of many minds and complex thoughts, the Bible, which coincided with his gloomy musings. It was as natural for John Calvin to focus his intellect upon the passages from which he drew his inferences and upon which he builded his theory of unconditional election, as it was for Whittier's thought to rest on those luminous passages which feed instead of poison a civilized soul. We are largely what our ideals and thoughts make us. Calvin's conception of God colored his every thought and deed. The frightful philosophy which foredoomed babes to eternal damnation was a part of his conclusions based upon passages which appealed most strongly to him.



To question the accuracy of that philosophy which to him was clearly the eternal truth, was to be guilty of a heresy worthy of death.

Calvin failed to comprehend the important truth that the great ethical and spiritual verities can differ only in degree as we ascend from the savage to the most loving and tender nature who follows the Golden Rule as the supreme law of conduct, and from this truly civilized child of the Infinite, to the source of all life, love, justice and intelligence in an All-wise God, who while greater as the mountain is greater than the hill, nevertheless is of the same nature as the most luminous expressions of these attributes in the noblest of His children. *These great moral verities which in the savage are a rivulet, in the barbarian a stream, in the civilized man a river, are in God the ocean of life.* But this supreme truth Calvin failed to discern, else he would never have drawn inspiration from a chain of passages which logically made the Creator of the universe a monster, who in His creation of man committed a crime of measureless proportions.

In justice to Calvin, however, we must remember that in his day religion was on a much lower plane than to-day. Crude literal conceptions of texts had created an atmosphere of savage intolerance. The most sincere believers who followed the masterly reasonings of the founder of Presbyterianism, interpreted all the seemingly savage passages literally, but when they came to such passages as "The letter killeth and the spirit maketh alive," they passed them over as something meaningless or incomprehensible. Therefore they believed in the resurrection of the physical body, in a lake of literal fire, and an endless sentence to undying torment for those foreordained before the foundation of the world to be damned, even though they died in infancy. The popular conception among those of other faiths at this time held none the less jealously to the theory of a never-ending torment in a lake of fire and brimstone in which the bodies of the condemned would forever writhe. Thus the church came naturally to seek to destroy heresy by a weak imitation of what she conceived to be the divine method of punishment. This is a fact we must bear in mind when viewing the atrocities of this age, the intolerance of Luther or the crimes of Calvin. Their actions were the reflection of their ideals. They were imitating the God they worshipped.

Calvin was a very young man when the powerful spirit of the Reformation spreading over France took possession of his susceptible nature. He studied the Bible in French, and later the New Testament in Greek, and soon became an influential teacher among those who dissented from the prevailing faith. Indeed, when only twenty-four years old he was recognized as the

leading spirit of the Reformation in France. It soon became necessary for him to fly from city to city and land to land to escape the fires of Rome. In 1536 he published his great book, "Institutes of the Christian Religion," a work which though it came from the brain of a man not yet thirty years old, has shaped the faith of millions of thoughtful people. The "Institutes" gave Calvin prestige as a logician, and he soon became regarded as the foremost reasoner of the Reformation.

In his twenty-eighth year he settled in Geneva, where he sought to establish an absolute theocracy. He insisted on his religious views being taught in the schools, and sought to compel the people to conform to his ideas in regard to their mode of living and the cut of their clothes. This, as might naturally be expected, excited the indignation of the citizens, and Calvin was for a time banished. He was, however, recalled in the winter of 1541, after which he succeeded in carrying into effect his views of reform. He was an indefatigable worker, a man of deep convictions and absolutely sincere. He lacked the enthusiasm and fire of Luther and most of the other leaders of the Reformation, but he was far superior to them as a logician. After his return to Geneva, he labored night and day. There is something pathetic in these lines taken from a letter to a friend:—

I have not time to look out of my house at the blessed sun. When I have settled my usual business I have so many letters to write, so many questions to answer, that many a night is spent without any offering of sleep being brought to nature.

During Calvin's supremacy in Geneva many persons were banished on account of loyalty to religious convictions which did not accord with his views. But the deepest stain on his reputation is the part he played in the terrible death of his old-time adversary, Servetus, whom he caused to be arrested, and who was vigorously prosecuted by Calvin. A sentence of death by burning was passed. It is claimed that Calvin exerted his influence to have Servetus slain by the sword instead of being burned. In this, however, he failed, and Servetus met death at the stake. As before observed, intolerance permeated the spirit of the age. Calvin, who when driven from France had written, "Every step towards its boundary cost me tears," afterwards abetted the banishment of Dr. Bolsec from his home and practice because he opposed Calvin's view of predestination. Indeed it seems that tolerance is the hardest of all lessons for mankind to learn, unless it be *faith in freedom*; and yet not until humanity stands squarely upon the Golden Rule, which is the epitome of both, will man be truly civilized.

John Calvin was simple in life. He ate little, dressed plainly, and avoided all extravagance and ostentation. There is some-

thing very attractive in the simplicity and purity which characterized this life; but one cannot fail to regret that a soul so gifted and so sincere could not find a resting place on the loveliest peaks which reflect lofty spirituality in the Bible, and which contain the prophecy of that dawn when all shall abide in the light.

## V.

Luther and Calvin were the two great luminaries of the Reformation. But there were many other bright lights. Zwingli in Switzerland drew his inspiration from the New Testament in the original Greek. He was a great friend of Erasmus and essayed to purify church and state, but he perished on the battle field. Knox, the Calvin of Scotland, by his superb intrepidity, his intense earnestness, his profound belief in the truth of what he preached, moulded the religious thought of his people. Melancthon was another colossal figure. He was the friend and counsellor of Luther, a scholar who almost always counselled moderation, who strove to live up to the maxim, "In essentials, unity; in matters of doubt, liberty; in all things charity"; yet even he failed to entirely escape the intolerance of the age, for he justified Calvin in compassing the death of Servetus.

All these men possessed that dauntless courage, that intensity of conviction, that love of truth, that stern morality, which are eminently the characteristics of reformers. And while they were frequently fanatical and intolerant, in justice to them we must bear in mind that their ideal of God tended to make them intolerant toward all who preached contrary to what they believed to be the saving truth, and the spirit of their age favored persecution. It was a period of brutality which was soon to pass into a night of such merciless savagery as has seldom been equalled in the history of man's ascent. The exhibition of the spirit of intolerance to-day cannot be excused or condoned; but it would be manifestly unjust to judge the great souls of the century of Sir Thomas More by the standards of the present. And aside from their fanaticism, and the intolerance which was so largely due to their low ideal of God, they were noble figures towering above the popular exemplars of the religious thought of their age. They were men who believed they were right, who had the courage of their convictions, and who sought to make the world purer and better.

# CHARITY, OLD AND NEW.

BY REV. HARRY C. VROOMAN.

At the beginning of the Christian era the foundations of the social structure were militarism and slavery. Atheism among the upper classes and a brutalized superstition among the masses, were the common expression of the religious life. A certain kind of liberality, however, with some phases of pity and survivals of such civic virtues as patriotism, physical bravery and public munificence, were not wanting; but compassion, the recognition of the sacredness of human life, was unknown. The whole world was bent beneath the crushing weight of hopelessness and decay. Slavery, tyranny and licentiousness had destroyed the ethical stamina, the very vitality of the Græco-Roman world.

Into this waste of life came the gospel of Jesus with its realization of the Divine Fatherhood through human brotherhood. Christ's brotherhood was the great democracy born of the ideal that every man is a divine being whose true nature is expressed by a self-sacrificing activity for his fellows. Christ never attempted to teach an elaborate system of philosophy or theology. He lived an applied philosophy and taught men how to live and love. Breaking with the formal static religions of His time He inaugurated the dynamic religion of service. He sent out His disciples on errands of human helpfulness, arousing hope, deepening faith in the kindlier virtues, and bidding them proclaim that the kingdom of heaven was at hand. Christ's philanthropy was based on the recognized value of common human life, and did not partake in the least of that weak sentimentalism, the source of so much modern charity, which gives because it lacks the courage to face the misery upheld by its own selfish luxury. Jesus never instituted a charity ball where amid the voluptuous swell of the dance, the rustle of silks, the sparkle of diamonds, the stimulus of wine and of women dressed *decolleté*, He could dissipate His love for the lowly. It was not so in that early time. Christ bore the cross in life as well as in death, to bring life and hope to the despised classes. He demonstrated the magnitude of the Christian paradox by building His kingdom of those elements which were considered the refuse of the old

civilization — the poor, the untaught, the uninfluential, women, children and slaves. .

His gospel of human service, expressed by His brave words and compassionate life, and crowned by His martyr death, stamped on His followers a character of kindliness, which embodied the democratic ideal that "God is no respecter of persons." Pentecost was the larger birth of this social ideal.

The new Christian brotherhood instituted a community of goods which though never compulsory was the spontaneous expression of their spirit of helpfulness. This established on the plane of property, the ideals of their faith, and sealed their entire devotion to their cause. Christianity became an enthusiasm for humanity, and taught its votaries to minister to all needs, making no distinction between the physical and spiritual, aiming only to help every man to realize his truest self. The church developed into a monster fraternal order, which offered a refuge from the increasing poverty which followed the disintegration of the empire. In common with their age they lacked the scientific spirit, for which they have often been blamed, but their clear intuition, inspired by their noble purpose, led them aright in meeting the conditions of their time.

The laws of government and the methods of industry being absolutely under the control of Roman despotism, all hope of political or social reformation was necessarily shut out. The strength of their activity was thus confined within the brotherhood which now became the social and labor movement of the early centuries. It spread from city to city, to the uttermost bounds of the then known world, carrying everywhere the spirit of tenderness, fraternity and democracy. They accepted the slave into their communion on the basis of perfect equality, so that they were called by their enemies, "brothers of the slave." Masters were taught that before God, the slave ranked equal with them; thus the spirit of respect was developed and emancipation encouraged until at last it became a religious act. It grew in sentiment until slavery was abolished in Europe. Thus we see that the charity of the early church was based on a deep-seated recognition of the worth of human life, the uselessness of money and of rank, of all material things except as they minister to life.

The early method of expressing a Christian humanitarianism was the only one possible in a world order in whose management they had no voice whatever. Its limitations cannot possibly be taken as a criterion for the expression of the same spirit by men of different powers and in different circumstances, but the freshness and vigor of the fraternal spirit in the primitive church must be the inspiration of all true beneficence. In short, Christian

helpfulness uses all the forces and institutions of life over which it has any control, which may include political and industrial ones, to its one redemptive purpose, the uplifting of human life. This was the old ideal in its purity, this is again the new ideal awakening the world to-day.

In the fourth century the church entered a great period of ethical decline marked by its assuming the power and authority of the empire. Luxury and worldly honor corrupted the leaders and changed the spiritual tone of the activities of the church; while on the other hand, to offset this worldliness, the spiritual devotees fled into the wilderness and developed the great movement of asceticism. From this time on the charity of the church was for the most part utterly devoid of that heroic hope and redemptive purpose which impelled the early fathers. It ministered only to the conditions that were, and made the then existing order endurable. This charity needed to be constantly applied, and was, according to their debased ideal, not a means to a virtuous end, but a virtue in itself.

In the Middle Ages, true to the spirit of the institutionalism of the time, the monasteries were the great resources of the poor. With all their abuses they still kept alive the spirit of tenderness and obligation to the weak. There was a partial excuse for the action of the monks in the Middle Ages, in confining themselves to personal almsgiving. With them also there was little hope of changing the political or industrial methods that caused the poverty of the people. They could be little else than good Samaritans to bind up the wounds of the suffering. The immense landed endowments of the monasteries were the only sufficient bulwarks against the greed and heartlessness of the ruling classes. Notwithstanding the heroism and compassion manifested by large numbers of priests, monks and saints, it can scarcely be claimed that the church universal has at any time since the fourth century shown the heroic fraternity of the first three centuries. Possibly one reason why the later church failed to see the beauty of the true democratic spirit, was that the tyranny of their time was labelled Christian, and shielded by all that was to them most sacred in organization and historic association; while the tyranny and greed which confronted the early Christian was heathen in name and tradition, and their very partisan zeal aided them in seeing its baseness.

Another marked feature of Middle-Age beneficence was seen in the guilds of that period, the great secular fraternities of the people. The guild included all the members of any given craft and held a monopoly of its entire business as a social function administering it with due regard to all interested. Every member was a brother, whose rights were protected, whose wrongs



were avenged, who was cared for in sickness and buried in death. As the guilds increased in power and wealth they, too, became aristocratic and finally succumbed to the spirit of their time. Notwithstanding their imperfections they were a protecting power to the industrial classes during the beginnings of commercialism.

With the great growth of the commercial spirit under Henry the Eighth, with the destruction of the guilds and monasteries, and with the wholesale enclosure of the common lands by the barons, for sheep pastures, thus depriving the people of their inheritance and an opportunity to till the soil, England was confronted by a monster unemployed problem. Her sturdy yeomen were compelled by thousands to tramp her highways, begging for work and bread, and were met with the severest repression, being hanged by hundreds for being vagrants. The widespread destitution caused a serious social unrest, and led in the year 1541 to the first legislation for the relief of the poor in England.

The first acts of Parliament only systematized the voluntary contributions, but in 1601, the famous statutes of Elizabeth were enacted, which with a few modifications remained in vogue until 1834. They were not acts of mercy and beneficence, but of self protection by the upper classes, a guarantee against social revolution. They protected the working classes against starvation by giving them a certain right in a pauper's income. While they seemed to be very considerate of the laborer, they utterly failed to recognize his manhood, and treated him only as a beast of burden whose ferocity was to be assuaged. Their methods were demoralizing in the extreme. The unthrifty could lean on them, while the honest toiler's wages were gauged to be supplemented by the poor rate until both barely sustained an ordinary family. So utterly was the laboring class pauperized that it came to be the custom to pay "a minimum wage," which was a close estimate on the bare necessities of a family of three, an added allowance from the poor rate being given whenever this number increased.

In 1834 these abuses were largely rectified, as a more humane spirit was abroad in England. The first breathings of the new time were manifesting themselves in many directions. The outdoor relief was in a large measure reformed, and the distribution of funds for the poor was placed in charge of a central board of commissioners, who were to see that the expenditure was not abused. From that time until the present there has been a gradual increase in the ethical quality of this social function, which is most markedly seen in very recent years in what is called the Associated Charities. Side by side with public



care for the poor there have developed a large number of religious and private charity organizations.

In our large cities the distribution of the charity work through so many societies, became wasteful to the funds and hurtful to the morals of the recipients. The recent movement aims to put more ethical quality into their work, and to unify their activities through some central body of investigation. Its strongest points are its ideal of unity, its demand for knowledge before action, and its aim of helping to self support. The central organization seldom dispenses any funds, but acts only as agent for the various charity organizations. The applicants for aid are thoroughly investigated by visitors and past records taken into account. If an applicant is considered worthy he is commended to some one of the affiliated bodies for help, and is also apportioned to a friendly visitor whose duty it is to look after him, aid him in securing employment, and to give him all the assistance that friendly counsel can lend. In details these methods differ in every city. When this method, at its best, is contrasted with any past methods, except those of the mediæval guilds and early church, where those helped were personally known and their conditions understood, it must be recognized as a great step forward.

Other means of helping the weak are seen in various colonization enterprises. In Germany private beneficence has endowed country homes, where vagabonds may find work, free from the temptations of drink, where under kindly influence and good industrial training they may receive an impetus toward a new life. In Holland, the state established penal institutions for tramps on something the same line. In America, the reformatories with the indeterminate sentence, which always gives the hope of freedom, as soon as the prisoner can be trusted with it, also embody this idea, which is becoming more and more popular every year. Special charities, such as asylums for the blind, the feeble minded, and others, have been highly developed in recent years. These are noble efforts to bring out the latent possibilities in the unfortunate, and are perhaps the most worthy feature of general charity.

It is seen that the expressions of helpfulness have taken great varieties of forms, and been inspired by a diversity of motives from the highest to the lowest. Thus the charity of the early church was impelled by a dynamic ideal of altruism; that of the Middle Ages expressed a medley of perverted religious sentiment difficult to classify. The guilds show a manly, democratic spirit, which was confined, however, to their own members and was very limited in outlook. The poor law *regime* was the most degrading and heartless of all. It has been only since the new

ideals of our time that charity has been systematized into something suggesting scientific order.

Our age is both one of rising sentiment and of scientific method, and the combination of these two principles promises much for the future. But there is a new expression of the philanthropic ideal arising to-day, which while it embodies the spirit of Christian beneficence transcends all its former expressions. Occupying a higher point of view, it is at variance with the whole spirit of the present charity movement, which is criticised for merely sustaining men in an unhealthy social order, when it should be a regenerating force to create a higher order; for being paternal instead of fraternal.

The new ideal asks for the realization of brotherhood and equality instead of the doling out of life's necessities, in a manner to emphasize and make permanent the inhuman inequalities. It has studied the cause of the social unrest, of poverty and of crime, and with the true insight born of the altruistic ideal and the scientific method it sees that these causes lie in human selfishness, as expressed in the institutions of the political and industrial life of to-day, with their accompanying special privileges, class distinctions, pride and brutality. It would arouse the community to abolish class privileges, to remove from wealth the power of controlling the destinies of the millions; to equalize opportunities in life by the establishment of the coöperative commonwealth. In short it is the rejuvenation of the Christ ideal again going forth to conquer the world, in the name of universal brotherhood, peace and love. Many believe this to be the second advent, the coming of the immanent Christ, when the Divine shall abide with man.

It is not surprising that the method and hopes of the mere charity worker are severely condemned by social idealists of this larger purpose. They see that the great problem of extreme want represents a social disease, which can only be cured by awakening society to discover its cause and inducing it to observe the hygienic laws of life, which will lead to health. Recipients of charity are themselves members of the body politic, thinking, feeling, acting units, joint guardians, with their fellows, of the social inheritance, and with them responsible for their present conditions. Each paroxysm of pain, as expressed in seasons of special want, should be used to arouse them, and all who sympathize with them, to the need of something more than a social opiate. Society should search for health as its ultimate ideal, and for such methods of social life as will lead to it, and not be content with those eleemosynary activities, which but bribe the weak and smother revolt, resting on the idea that society is hopeless, man prostrate and God impotent. The enthusiasm of hope

and of promising ideals, must be infused into the drugged and deadened senses of the pauperized class. Temporary help must be made to coöperate with the advance of permanent progress.

The government being the only representative of the whole people, this progress must be secured through its instrumentalities. The municipality, the state and the nation each in its own sphere should increase its functions of public service as far as is practicable, assuming the ownership and management of street cars, docks, railroads, mines, insurance and other activities as fast as they become monopolies, or inimical to the public welfare.

Among other reasons why these should be operated by the state, is that a standard of wages and ideal conditions of labor might be established, which private enterprise would have to approach, and that in times of special dulness in the labor market, the public would have an industrial plant that could easily be expanded to employ large numbers of men for special work, thus tending to establish an industrial equilibrium. Even before these enterprises are assumed by the public, the unemployed should be organized under the management of a state commission, whose duty it would be to find public work for them. The help thus given the unfortunate would not rob him of self-respecting manhood, but would be the simple right to labor.

All these steps are but preliminary until the state guarantees to every citizen the right of being honorably employed, and of receiving the full product of his labor. Once having provided the opportunity for employment, then all vagrants should be colonized in reformatory institutions with an indeterminate sentence, taught to labor and made to earn their living. In short, man must not only be helped, he must be respected. There must not only be the tenderness which cannot look upon emaciation and disease, but there must be the democracy of fraternity which cherishes no privilege which all do not share.

The antagonism to charity in its present form, by the working classes and social reformers in general, does not arise so much from opposition to positive methods as to the spirit of reactionary conservatism which the charity movement in general represents, and which so often zealously opposes the programme of social progress. The fact is, charity organizations are sustained largely by people of wealth, whose financial interests represent the wrongs of to-day's civilization. These well-to-do people are willing to express certain tenderness toward the poor man if he will remember his place of inferiority; but to treat him as a man, to grant him an equal right in the social inheritance, to guarantee him, by law and economic methods, his proportionate interest in the soil which God gives free to all His children, to so organize the work of the world that he will not

need to bow at the feet of privilege for a chance to toil, and to so provide universal education, with economic opportunity to utilize that education, that the child of the poor may rank with the child of the rich — these demands of the spirit of human brotherhood, the well-to-do donors of charity absolutely refuse to consider; but these are the reforms which the fraternal ideals of our time demand, in order that the present degrading charity may be abolished forever.

In the meanwhile, until these reforms are accomplished, there will be need of relief measures, but they should be made to coöperate with the great movement of social progress. If organized charity can be induced to stop posing as the solution of the social question, and recognize its place as a subordinate and a temporary one, it may become a more useful social function.

On the whole, the survey is luminous with hope. During the last century and a half there has been a constant development in ethical quality. To describe this progress by the familiar ethical terms, it was egoism, it is prudentialism, it promises altruism. The English poor laws were selfish and egoistic to the last degree, but they have been gradually improved by the introduction of a more humane feeling, until the present charity activities represent the spirit of prudentialism that is everywhere so expressive of commercial ethics. But the rising tide of idealism is clearly discernible; the altruist is everywhere proclaiming his message, and the masses are gladly responding to his call. The future is most certainly his.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY OF CHARITY, COMPILED BY THOMAS E. WILL.

### *Books and Reports.*

(Numbers are for Boston Public Library.)

#### *Charities.*

- American Social Science Association. [Various Publications.] 7560b.1.  
 Balch, Emily Greene. Public Assistance of the Poor in France. 1893. 5560a.10.8.  
 Chandler, William Henry. Universal Exposition at Paris, 1889. Report on Class 64. Hygiene and Public Charities. 1893. 3764.101.  
 Fields, Annie (Adams). How to Help the Poor. 1883. 5574.82.  
 Gurteen, Stephen Humphreys. A Handbook of Charity Organization. 1882. 3570a.57. — Provident Schemes. 1879. No. 2 in 7578.19.  
 Hale, George Silsbee. Medical Charities. 1875. No. 2 in 7573.65.  
 Harrison, George L. Chapters on Social Science as Connected with the Administration of State Charities. 1877. 3574.58.  
 Hill, Octavia. Essays. 1880. No. 7 in 7573.65. — Our Common Land, etc. 1877. 3577.74.  
 Loch, Charles Stewart. Charity Organization. 1890. 3576.104.  
 Moggridge, Matthew Weston. Method in Almsgiving: a Handbook for Helpers. 1882. 3570a.107.  
 National Conference of Charities: Proceedings. 1878. 5576.77.  
 Schuyler, Louisa Lee. The Importance of Uniting Individual and Associated Volunteer Effort in Behalf of the Poor. 1878. No. 3 in

7573.65. — Voluntary, Unofficial Supervision of Public Institutions, in Coöperation with Official Boards, etc. 1893. 3575.142.

Snell, H. Saxon. Charitable and Parochial Establishments. 1881. 8100a.9.

Warner, Amos Griswold. Charities. The Relation of the State, the City and the Individual to Modern Philanthropic Work. 1889. No. 7 in 3565.100. — Syllabus of a Course of Ten Lectures on Pauperism. University of Wisconsin. 1892. 7574.59.

World's Columbian Exposition, Chicago. The Bureau of Charities and Correction. Introduction to the English Exhibits. 1893. 4024.110.

#### *Associated Charities.*

Of Boston. Publications. 7578.73. — Annual Report, 1st–13th. 1880–92. 7578.74. — Constitution, 1879. 7578.109. — Directory, 1880–91. 5576.29. — Rules and Suggestions for Visitors. 1879. No. 5 in 7573.65. — Statement of the History and Operation of the Loan Fund. 1883. 7578.108. — Conference of Ward 9. Annual Report. 1892. 5570a.31. — Publications, No. 8. No. 7 in 7573.65. — Publications, No. 21. No. 10 in 7573.65.

Of Cambridge. Annual Report. 1883–85. 5570.64.

Of Denver. Annual Report, 3d. 1890. 5570.66.

Of Fall River. Annual Report, 1st, 2d. 1889–90. 5570a.82.

#### *Charities, Bibliography.*

Adams, Herbert Baxter. Notes on the Literature of Charities. 1887. No. 8 in 2356.69.5.

Granier, Camille. Essai de Bibliographie Charitable. 1891. 2154.79.

Lamothe, L. Bibliographie Charitable. 3570.76. — Charity Organization Review. 1885–1891. 7580a.50.

Charities Register and Digest. 1882. 7575.88.

#### *Charity.*

Fawcett, Henry, and Millicent Garrett, Essays and Lectures on Social and Political Subjects. 1872. 3565.61.

Gurteen, Stephen Humphreys. Phases of Charity. 1877? No. 1 in 7578.19.

Kimball, James William. Giving. 1867. 7469a.34.

Krauskopf, Joseph, D. D., Rabbi. Uncharitable Charity. A Sunday Lecture. 1868. 7466.236.

Layman, A., *pseud.* Proportionate or Christian Giving. 1877. No. 16 in 7572.72.

Lowell, Josephine Shaw. Public Relief and Private Charity. 1884. 3577.31.

Paine, Robert Treat, Jr. Pauperism in Great Cities. Its four Chief Causes. 1893. 3573.125.

Ministry at Large in Boston, Principles and Results of the. Tuckerman, J. 3570.85.

Spencer, Herbert. Negative Beneficence and Positive Beneficence. 1893. 3584.38.3.

Uhlhorn, Johann Gerhard Wilhelm. Christian Charity in the Ancient Church. 1883. 3570a.76.

Wayland, Heman Lincoln. The Old Charity and the New. 1886. 7578.73.

#### *Charity Periodicals.*

• *Charity Organization Reporter*, The. 1883, 1884. 7570a.5. Continued in 1885 under the title, *Charity Organization Review*, The. 7580a.50.

*Charity Hospital.*

Blackwell's Island, N. Y. Bellevue and Charity Hospital Reports. 1870. 779a.12.

New Orleans. Report of the Board of Administrators. 7763.45.

*Charity Organization.*

International Congress of Charities, Correction and Philanthropy. The Organization of Charity. Report. 1894. 3572.135.

National Conference of Charities and Correction. Charity Organization. Reports and Papers. 1881-90. 5576.80.

*Charity Organization Series.*

Insurance and Saving. A Report on the Existing Opportunities for Working-class Thrift. 1892. 3577.105.

Society for Organizing Charitable Relief and Repressing Mendicity. 1893. 3807.113.

*Charity Organization Society.*

Baltimore. Report of a Conference on Charities held April 15, 16, 1887. 1887. No. 1 in 5576.86.

Birmingham. Annual Report, 11th. 1880. No. 4 in 7577.120.

New York. Annual Report, 10th, 11th. 1891, 1892. 3575.140.

Brighton, Hove and Preston. Annual Report, 9th, 1880. No. 6 in 7577.120.

Baltimore. Annual Report, 6th, 8th, 10th. 1887-92. 5570.67.

New York City. Handbook for Friendly Visitors among the Poor. 1883. 5574.74.

New York City. New York Charities Directory. 1883, 1888, 1890. 5574.87.

*Charity Schools.*

Canfield, S. A. Martha. Statements Relating to Reformatory, Charitable and Industrial Schools for the Young. 1875. 7596.59.

*National Conference of Charities and Correction.*

Proceedings. 1875-93. 5576.77.

Programme [etc.] for the 6th, 7th, 18th and 19th Annual Conference. 1879, 1880, 1891, 1892. 5572.107.

Programme, etc., for Conference held at Cleveland, Ohio, 1880. No. 3 in 4479.68.

History of Child Saving in the United States. Report. 1893. 5576.81.

*The Poor Law.*

Barnard, Charles Francis. Extracts from the Report of His Majesty's Commissioners for Inquiring into the Administration and Practical Operation of the Poor Laws. 1835. 5578.43.

Fawcett, Henry and Millicent Garrett. Essays on Social and Political Subjects. 1872. 3565.61.

Extracts from the Report of His Majesty's Commissioners for Inquiring into the Administration and Practical Operation of the Poor Laws. 1835. No. 3 in 5570a.61.

Great Britain, Parliament. Acts, Laws, etc. Metropolitan Poor Act, 1867. 1867. 5570.59.

The Metropolitan Poor Act, 1867, with Introduction, Notes, Commentary and Index. By R. Cecil Austin. 1867. 3570.19.

Great Britain. Poor Law Guardians. Questions for Poor Law Guardians. [Fabian Tracts, 20.] 1893. 3564.173.

Peek, Francis. *Social Wreckage: A Review of the Laws of England as they Affect the Poor.* 1883. 3570a.69.

Plea, A, for Poor Law Reform. [Fabian Tracts, 44.] 189?. 3564.173.

Nicholls, Sir George. *A History of the English Poor Law in Connection with the Legislation and other circumstances affecting the condition of the People.* In 2 vols. 1854. 3570.55. — *A History of the Irish Poor Law, in connection with the Condition of the People.* 1856. 3570.57. — *A History of the Scotch Poor Law, in Connection with the Condition of the People.* 1856. 3570.56.

Spencer, Thomas, M. A. *The New Poor Law; its Evils and their Remedies.* 1843. No. 8 in 5576.36. — *The Outcry against the New Poor Law; or, Who is the Poor Man's Friend?* 1843. No. 7 in 5576.36. — *Reasons for a Poor Law Considered.* 1843. No. 10 in 5576.36.

Turner, Charles John Ribton. *Suggestions for Systematic Inquiry into the Cases of Applicants for Relief [etc.].* 1872. 3576.69.

Webb, Sidney. *The Reform of the Poor Law.* 1891. 3564.173.

Martineau, Harriet. *Poor Laws and Paupers illustrated.* 1. *The Parish; A Tale.* 1833. E.230.2.

### *Friendly Societies.*

Ansell, Charles. *A Treatise on Friendly Societies, etc.* 1835. 3570.44.

Beecher, John Thomas. *The Constitution of Friendly Societies, etc.* 1826. No. 11 in 7573.70.

Cunningham, John William. *A few Observations on Friendly Societies and their Influence on Public Morals.* 1823. No. 4 in 6575.1.22.

Forster Prize Essays on. 1879. 5579a.104.

Report of the Registrar of. 3571.21.

Report on the Laws Respecting. 7090.12.

Hardwick, C. *History, Present Position, and Social Importance of.* 3570.50.

Oke, G. C. *Friendly Societies' Accounts.* 3570.18.

*Instructions for the Establishment of Friendly Societies, with a form of Rules and Tables.* 1835. No. 14 in 7573.71.

*Insurance and Saving.* 1892. 3577.105.

Scratchley, Arthur. *A Practical Treatise on Friendly Societies for Sickness Pay, Life Assurance and Pensions [etc.].* 1886. No. 1 in 3664.29.

White, Nathaniel. *A Handy Book on the Law of Friendly, Industrial and Provident Building and Loan Societies.* 1867. 3667.52.

Wilkinson, John Frome. *The Friendly Society Movement; its Origin, Rise and Growth, its Social, Moral and Educational Influences. The Affiliated Orders.* Bibliography, pp. xiv.-xvi. 1886. 3578.102.

Wilkinson, John Frome. *Mutual Thrift.* 1891. 3567.110.

*The, Together with Heaving Anchor.* No. 75 in 4500.102.

### *Provident Societies.*

Sanders, William, M. R. C. S. L. *Rules and Tables for Provident and Independent Institutions.* 1834. No. 16 in 7573.71.

### *Savings Banks.*

*Instructions for the Establishment of Savings Banks, with Rules and Forms.* 1837. No. 2 in 7573.71.

Keyes, Emerson Willard. *A History of Savings Banks in the United States, from their Inception in 1816 down to 1874.* In 2 vols. 1876. 3650.71.

Lee, Henry, Jr., A. M. *A Massachusetts Savings Bank [etc.].* 1893. 3643.41.



Lewins, William. *A History of Banks for Savings in Great Britain and Ireland [etc.]*. 1866. 3645.23.

Pratt, John Tidd. *The History of Savings Banks in England, Wales, Ireland and Scotland [etc.]*. 1842. 3571.20.

Scratchley, A. *Treatise on Savings Banks*. 3646.31.

Townsend, Jonathan P. *Savings Banks: A Paper read before the American Social Science Association*. 1877. No. 21 in 5643.70. — *Savings Banks in the United States*. 1888. 3644.81.

Woodward, C. A. *Savings Banks: their Origin, Progress and Utility, with a History of the National Savings Bank for Colored People*. 1869. No. 14 in 5648.72.

Woodward, William. *History of the Massachusetts Savings Banks*. 1889. 3643.31.

*Toynbee Hall, London.*

Montague, Francis Charles. *Arnold Toynbee. With an account of the work of Toynbee Hall, by Philip Lyttleton Gell*. 1889. No. 1 in 2356.69.7.

*Annual Report, 2d, of the Universities' Settlement in East London*. 1886. 3592.130.

*Universities' Settlement in East London. By-laws and Regulations of the Council*. 1887. 3566.126.

*The Work and Hopes of the Universities' Settlement in East London*. 1887. 3566.125.

#### *Current Literature.*

*Charitable Endowments*. (B. H. Holland) *National Review*, 15:641.

*Charitable Endowments of England*. *London Quarterly Review*, 29:253.

*Charitable Institutions*. (H. L. Synnot) *Contemporary Review*, 26:487. — *Westminster Review*, 2:97.

— and the Church. (J. H. A. Bomberger) *Mercersburg Review*, 12:64.

— *Essays on Principles of*. *Monthly Review*, 142:574.

— of Genoa. *Dublin Review*, 14:97.

— of Naples. *Dublin Review*, 15:29.

*Charitable Relief, Clergy and*. (C. E. Maurice) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 36:168.

*Charitable Trusts*. *Dublin Review*, 34:407.

— *Administration of*. *Edinburgh Review*, 83:475.

— *Bill in England and Wales on, 1846*. *Eclectic Review*, 83:618.

*Charitable Uses, Law of*. *Christian Remembrancer*, 12:30.

*Charitable Work and Women*. *Cornhill Magazine*, 30:417.

*Charity*. *All the Year Round*, 73:29 (Jl 8). — (J. G. Fitch) *Fraser's Magazine*, 80:679.

— *Administration of, Principles and Chief Dangers of*. (B. Bosanquet) *International Journal of Ethics*, 3:323 (Ap).

— *Abuse of, Case of*. (W. Gilbert) *Contemporary Review*, 31:770.

— *Aims and Means of*. (B. Lambert) *Contemporary Review*, 23:462.

— *Almsgiving as Taught by Cyprian*. (J. W. Nevin) *Mercersburg Review*, 4:552.

— *Almshouse at San Francisco*. (Mrs. E. A. Weaver) *Lend a Hand*, 9:28 (Jl).

— *Ancient and Modern*. *Leisure Hour*, 31:216.

— *Ancient Christian*. *Spectator*, 57:495.

— and Decision. (O. Dewey) *Christian Examiner*, 14:200.

— and Knowledge. (D. C. Gilman) *Science*, 14:11.

*Charity; Adequate Relief versus Dole Giving*. (F. B. Ames) *Lend a Hand*, 1:226.

— and Misery. *Democratic Review*, 40:441.

Charity and Pauperism. (W. G. Howgrave) *St. James's Magazine*, 33:78.

—and Philanthropy. (O. A. Brownson) *Catholic World*, 4:434.

—Buddhist. (Max Müller) *North American Review*, 140:221.

—Chalmers' Plan. *Lend a Hand*, 1:573.

—Christian, and Political Economy. *Dublin Review*, 81:361; 82:89; 83:13.

—The Fetish of. (Emily G. Ellis) *Westminster Review*, 135:301, 373.

—(W. G. Thorpe) *Academy*, 40:504. — (C. H. Firth) 538.

—in Talmudic Times. (K. Magnus) *National Review*, 10:701.

—Growth and Character of. (J. G. Schurman) *Charities Review*, 1:191 (Mr).

—in the American Colonies. (C. L. Rutherford) *Magazine of American History*, 13:95.

—in the Early Church. *London Quarterly Review*, 59:342. Same article, *Living Age*, 156:401.

—in England. (D. O. Kellogg) *American*, 5:313.

—J. Edwards on. (T. Edwards) *New Englander*, 10:222.

—Indigence and Benevolence. (W. C. Taylor) *Bentley*, 6:575.

—in New York; Penny Provident Fund. (M. M. McBryde) *Charities Review*, 1:280 (Ap).

—Medical, Extent and Abuses of. *Westminster Review*, 101:174.

— — in England, Methods and Administration of. *Westminster Review*, 101:464.

—Methods in. *Spectator*, 55:1616.

—More Excellent Way of. (O. Hill) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 35:126.

—New Method of. (H. A. Stimson) *Andover Review*, 3:107.

—Noxious and Beneficent. *Westminster Review*, 59:62.

—Official. (G. Howard) *Catholic World*, 15:407.

—Organization of. (F. P. Cobbe) *Theological Review*, 4:553. — (W. H. Hodge) *Penn Monthly*, 11:177.

—Organization of. (Mrs. J. S. Lowell) *Chautauquan*, 9:80.

— — and Pauperism. (R. G. Moses) *Church Review*, 50:546.

— — Supervision of. (F. B. Sanborn) [American] *Journal of Social Science*, 1:72. — *Penn Monthly*, 11:649.

—Out-Door Parish, in England. *Westminster Review*, 101:323.

—Out-Door Relief. *Quarterly Review*, 19:463.

—Out-Door Relief. *Saturday Review*, 54:847.

—Out-Door Relief. (W. E. Welby-Gregory) *National Review*, 20:849 (F). — (A. M. White) *National Review*, 21:283 (Ap).

— — Thrift as the Test of. (G. C. T. Bartley) *Journal of the Society of Arts*, 22:267, 529.

—Pauperism, and Self-Help. *Westminster Review*, 103:107.

—Private, Osborne on Regulation of. *Monthly Review*, 146:520.

—Private Relief. (H. Spencer) *Popular Science Monthly*, 34:307 (Jl).

—Problem of. (F. G. Peabody) *Charities Review*, 3:1 (N).

—Public and Private, Economic Study and. (J. Mavon) *Annals of American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 4:34 (Jl).

—Public. *Hogg's Instructor*, 1:369. — (F. B. Sanborn) *North American Review*, 110:327.

— — Without Humiliation. *Cornhill Magazine*, 6:44.

—Public, International Study of. *Lend a Hand*, 4:350.

—Public Institutions and Private Care. *Lend a Hand*, 1:637.

—Quasi-Public, Incidentals of. (A. Johnson) *Charities Review*, 1:152 (F).

—Reformation of. (D. O. Kellogg) *Atlantic Monthly*, 57:449.

—Science of. (C. L. Brace) *Nation*, 8:457.

- Charity: Relief by Work in France. (M. Grossetete-Thierry) *Charities Review*, 3:17 (N).
- Relief of Casual Distress. *St. James's Magazine*, 23:415.
  - Scientific (A. G. Warner) *Popular Science Monthly*, 35:488.
  - in Hamburg. (J. H. Crooker) *Lend a Hand*, 4:7, 113.
  - State Aid to Private. (J. R. G. Hassard) *Catholic World*, 29:127, 255.
  - State Relief in Denmark. (C. H. Leppington) *Economic Journal*, 3:325 (Je).
  - Systematic. (L. Bacon) *Christian Monthly Spectator*, 7:645.
  - Superintendents of, Need of Training-Schools for. (A. L. Dawes) *Charities Review*, 3:47 (N).
  - Things to do. (A. B. Mason) *Charities Review*, 1:211 (Mr).
  - Village Homes for Girls, *Victoria*, 17:376.
  - Wisdom in. (C. G. Ames) *Penn Monthly*, 8:48.
  - Work of Prevention. *Lend a Hand*, 2:65.
  - Work of Volunteers in Organization of. (O. Hill) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 26:441.
  - Works of. *Dublin Review*, 41:123.
- Charity, the Word, in English New Testament. *Christian Observer*, 36:466, 648.
- Charities, Abuse of. *Edinburgh Review*, 33:109. (H. Brougham) Pamphleteer, 13:1. — *Christian Observer*, 17:798.
- Charities and Corrections, Conference at Denver, 1892. *Lend a Hand*, 9:308 (N).
- — — Separation of. (R. Butler) *Charities Review*, 2:164 (Ja).
  - — — National Conference of. *Lend a Hand*, 1:389.
  - — — 1888. (L. S. Houghton) *North American Review*, 147:474.
  - Associated, Special Work of. (Mrs. Annie Fields) *Lend a Hand*, 4:285.
  - — Methods of. (O. C. McCulloch) [American] *Journal of Social Science*, 12:91.
  - Association in, Advantages of. (D. O. Kellogg) [American] *Journal of Social Science*, 12:84.
  - Bogus, of New York. (R. J. Hinton) *Old and New*, 11:477.
  - Christianity and. (S. W. S. Dutton) *New Englander*, 11:571.
  - City, Future of. (R. Hunter) *Nineteenth Century*, 27:72.
  - City Parochial. (A. J. Wilson) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 41:469.
  - Cold-Weather. (M. Harrison) *Sunday Magazine*, 19:58.
  - Continental. *Meliora*, 9:1.
  - East and West. (Countess Spencer) *Fraser's Magazine*, 82:348, 432.
  - Endowed, and Pauperism. (H. G. Robinson) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 41:242.
  - English. (Sir F. B. Head) *Quarterly Review*, 53:473. — (S. G. Grady) *St. James's Magazine*, 1:131.
  - European. (K. G. Wells) *Monthly Religious Magazine*, 44:467. — Same, 17:73.
  - Inspection of, State and Volunteer. (E. L. Godkin) *Nation*, 32:345.
  - Literature of, Notes on. H. B. Adams. Johns Hopkins University Studies, 5:283.
  - Loch on Reform of. *Spectator*, 55:600.
  - Medical, Confusion in. (C. S. Loch) *Nineteenth Century*, 32:298 (Ag).
  - Misapplied. *St. Paul's Magazine*, 8:222.
  - of Cities a Draw for Beggars. (W. Chambers) *Chambers' Edinburgh Journal*, 51:337; 55:273.

- Charities of Dives; A Story. (A. R. Carman) *ARENA*, 8:248 (Jl).  
 — of Europe. (E. Smith) *Theological Review*, 3:49.  
 — of France (O. B. Frothingham) *Nation*, 4:270.  
 — of London in 1861. *Eclectic Review*, 117:167.  
 — — Parochial (W. H. James) *Contemporary Review*, 33:67. — (R. H. Hadden) *Nineteenth Century*, 9:324. — *Good Words*, 20:602.  
 — — Ethics of. *Good Words*, 20:573.  
 — — Unseen. *Fraser's Magazine*, 39:639.  
 — of New York City. *New York Quarterly*, 3:559.  
 Charities of New York City, Catholic. (L. B. Binsse) *Catholic World*, 43:681, 809.  
 — of Switzerland. *Spectator*, 56:703.  
 — Principle of Volunteer Service. (F. B. Lockwood) [*American Journal of Social Science*, 12:125].  
 — Private and Public. (D. McG. Means) *Andover Review*, 4:220.  
 — of Paris. (G. W. Lincoln) *Church Review*, 44:282.  
 — Public (R. H. Clarke) *Catholic World*, 17:1. — (F. Parkman) *Christian Examiner*, 7:367.  
 — and their Abuses. *St. James' Magazine*, 33:665.  
 — Public, and Christian Ethics. (H. C. Potter) *Century*, 7:113.  
 — New York Aid Association. (E. V. Smalley) *Century*, 2:401.  
 Charity Electioneering. (C. Trevelyan) *Macmillan's Magazine*, 29:171.  
 Charity Funds in England, Appropriation of. (A. Jessopp) *Nineteenth Century*, 27:957.  
 Charity Organization. (H. V. Toynbee) *Longman's Magazine*, 21:409 (F).  
 Charity Organization Society. *Macmillan's Magazine*, 40:224.  
 Charity Organization Society, Work of. (C. J. Bonaparte) *Charities Review*, 1:201 (Mr).  
 Charity Organization Society of New York City. *Lend a Hand*, 1:196.  
 Charity Schools in England. *Westminster Review*, 99:450.  
 — in France. *London Magazine*, 21:17.  
 Charity School System. *Penny Magazine*, 6:349.  
 Charity Studies. (H. D. Stevens) *Unitarian Review*, 29:12.

NOTE. — This bibliography might be considerably extended by including the contributions to periodical literature on related topics, as Almsgiving, Beneficence, Benevolence, Provident Societies, Poor Law, etc.



*Isabel Aberdeen*

# THE ARENA.

No. LXIII.

FEBRUARY, 1895.

## PENOLOGY IN EUROPE AND AMERICA.\*

BY SAMUEL J. BARROWS.

ONE of the most important and evident results of the work of John Howard and the agitation which he began was a general improvement in the physical condition of prisons. A new standard of structure, cleanliness, comfort and discipline was recognized and gradually accepted. We can judge now the civilization of a country as easily by its prisons as by its art galleries, factories, laboratories or its homes. Ninety-nine out of every hundred people who go to Venice visit the old dungeons under the ducal palace; not one in ten thousand visits a modern Italian prison. But the dark, damp dungeons below the level of the Grand Canal, whose walls could tell terrible tales of cruelty and death, are just as much of a curiosity to the Italian of to-day as they are to the American. He has only to take a gondola across the Grand Canal to find prisons which belong to the nineteenth century, with abundance of light, air, opportunities for work, and a humane system of administration. If the new Rome cannot vie in magnificence with the old, yet over against the Mamertine prison, which tradition says once held the Apostle Paul, we may find a better incarnation of his gospel in Regina Cœli, which structurally, administratively and in other ways is not only the best prison in Italy, but one of the finest in Europe.

Italy labors under the disadvantage of putting new wine into

\* In a twelve months' trip to Europe, completed in the fall of 1893, I visited representative prisons in England, France, Germany, Italy, Hungary and Greece. The object of this inspection was not to satisfy a tourist's curiosity, but to see what light the penal methods and problems of Europe might throw on our own. With this object in view, which was materially aided by letters from the United States government to our ministers and consuls abroad, it was rather my plan to seek out the best prisons and the best systems, those which show the most progressive tendencies and the most encouraging results, than to examine those which are but survivals of an inferior method or a lower stage of civilization. Such prisons were not shunned when they came in my way, but they furnished that instruction which may come from a warning instead of from a good model. It is no purpose of this article to give a detailed description of European prisons, but rather to furnish a study in comparative penology by showing some of the results and tendencies of European experience as compared with our own.



old bottles. The old prisons are ill-fitted for the application of the new reforms she is introducing, and none are better aware of this than the prison authorities and prison reformers of that country. Yet as an example of Italian tendencies and ideals, and as an illustration of what may be done under the congregate system, the new prison at Rome is unsurpassed. Let one after visiting this prison buy a copy of the Italian criminal code, and he will understand what great progress Italy has made in penal legislation, and how much she has to offer the student of penology.

A type of prison structure found frequently on the continent has but one conspicuous example in this country, for the reason that the system which requires it has not been adopted here to any extent. I refer to what is called the separate system. Of this system there are notable illustrations in Belgium and France, and the type of building, with various modifications, may be found in Italy, England and elsewhere. When this system is strictly enforced, there is but one person in each cell, and no association or communication is allowed between prisoners. This is the great argument in its favor with those who believe that crime is increased through the prison intercourse of criminals, and who maintain that the separate system prevents contamination, and permits each prisoner to be treated individually. The objections to the system are the cost of the plant, which covers a great deal of ground, the limited facilities for machine labor, the unnatural conditions imposed by solitary confinement, and the belief that reformation is more easily secured under more natural social conditions.

The familiar example of this system in this country is the Eastern Penitentiary of Philadelphia. That the separate system is disastrous to health is disproved by the generally good health rate of the prisoners of that institution. That it is possible to make such a system an economical success is shown by the unique fact that some of the counties in Pennsylvania have through the labor of the prisoners sent by them to this penitentiary actually made a slight profit above the cost of their maintenance. It yet remains to be demonstrated, however, that the separate system is superior to the congregate system when properly graded and conducted in the same reformatory spirit. There are no facts or statistics available to show that there are relatively fewer commitments of prisoners under the separate system than under the congregate plan. Where the reformatory spirit is entirely absent from the administration of a prison, it matters little on what system it is conducted; the results are sufficiently bad. Reformation cannot be produced by architecture or mechanical devices alone.

It is noteworthy that in England there has been an important departure from this system. It was found that communication between convicts could not absolutely be prevented and that prolonged solitary confinement debilitated the mind, if not the body, so that the limit for solitary confinement is now placed at nine months. The method is combined advantageously with the congregate system. Every prisoner who is sentenced for a term of years to penal servitude must serve nine months in solitary confinement at what is called a local prison, of which Wormwood Scrubs is one of the best examples. He is then transferred to a prison on the congregate plan.

Whatever difference of opinion there may be among penologists concerning the merits of different systems, there is substantial agreement among them, that in jails where prisoners are held for trial or committed for short sentences, and where in the nature of the case no extensive reformatory system can be applied, the separate system is absolutely essential. No more effective brewery for crime has been devised than that of the county jail, in which young persons, hardened offenders, misdemeanants and felons have been promiscuously thrown together in the same vat.

One of the finest examples of what a jail ought to be is furnished in Budapest. Structurally it is an excellent example of prison architecture. In cleanliness, order and administration it suggests the Suffolk County jail in Boston, or the one in Baltimore, which are among the best, though not the only examples of good jails in this country. One good feature of this jail is that it furnishes some productive work for each prisoner in his cell. Those under sentence are expected to perform a certain amount of work daily. Those who await trial may work if they wish, thus gaining a little for themselves and their families. The mental as well as the economical value of this feature is evident. I have known a man to be shut up in a cell in an American jail—and that, too, one of the better class—for six months without work or exercise. At the close of the confinement insanity resulted, and he has been in an insane asylum ever since.

The extensive use of the separate system in Europe has resulted in the development and application of many forms of industry, such as the making of boxes, shoes, steel traps, mats, chairs, stockings, and other industries which can be carried on by hand in cells with the aid of few tools. It is easy to conceive of the extension of this method through electric motors, but the indications are that, except as to jails, the separate system is not likely to be adopted extensively in this country.

Another form of prison structure results from a combination of the congregate and the separate system in the same building.

Prison de la Santé in Paris is perhaps the best illustration of this method. In its details of construction it has features of convenience and adaptability which those who are contemplating the erection of a prison may well consult. About half of the prison is arranged on the separate plan. First offenders mainly are assigned to this part, old offenders to the congregate part. No such prison exists in America, but Massachusetts is about to build an addition to the state prison at Charlestown on the separate plan, and an elaborate report on the new system, with copious illustrations, has been prepared by Mr. Frederic G. Pettigrove, secretary of the Massachusetts Prison Commission. When completed the new state prison will thus combine the advantages of the separate and congregate systems.

Escapes from English prisons are very rare, and I believe that no escape has occurred from Santé in Paris since it was constructed, some thirty years ago. Good architecture is certainly an important element in security, but nothing has been better demonstrated than that the best prison architecture will not prevent prisoners from getting out, if there is not some strong disciplinary force to hold them in. This is well illustrated in Mr. Pettigrove's report. The original buildings at Charlestown were constructed of stone weighing a ton each, but the great strength of the building did not prevent frequent escapes. "In tearing down the west wing in 1867 to provide for the enlargement, not a stone could be moved by the most experienced workmen until it was drilled and split into pieces. Nevertheless in the preceding year, a desperate convict made an escape by displacing one of these large stones with tools made of iron taken from the scrap heap." In visiting certain Greek prisons in the Peloponnesus and also at Zante immediately after the earthquake, I marvelled that prisoners were so willing to stay in when it was evidently so easy for them to get out. At Zante I saw over twenty men in one large room on the ground floor with nothing but a feeble iron-grating door between them and the outside world. Neither walls nor discipline constituted any barrier to their exit. I could only conclude that they stayed in because they had little disposition to get out.

On the large subject of prison administration the tone of the best prisons in Europe is much the same as that of the best prisons in this country. Prison discipline here has been weakened by political interference and demagoguery. Discipline in Germany and in England is more even and more strict. Yet it is a common error to suppose that European prisons are much more severe in methods than our own and that whatever advantage they have comes mainly from this feature. Nothing is clearer to penologists there and here than that extreme severity

or brutality of any sort does not produce the best results. A prison discipline may be strict, exacting, uniform, and at the same time stimulating and humane. Nowhere in Europe have I found a discipline so thorough, and one which at the same time furnishes so many incentives to the prisoner, as in the Elmira Reformatory of New York. It was interesting to note that the managers of every reformatory I visited regarded this as a model.

In making comparisons between European prisons and our own, one cannot overlook the fact that there is a wide difference in theory and management in the different states of our own country. While the State of Alabama, for instance, has in the admirable institution at Tuscaloosa one of the finest insane asylums of this country, the prison system of that state is about one hundred years behind that of most of the Northern States. The Southern States generally are under the same condemnation. The lease system has wrought dreadful ravages. Its evils have been powerfully exposed by Dr. P. D. Sims of Chattanooga, who has given several years of his life to the study of this question. As a member of the Tennessee State Board of Health, his attention was attracted to the great mortality under the lease system, which ran up to seventy-seven per thousand per annum, and in some branch prisons to a hundred per thousand. Comparison showed that the average mortality in all the lease prisons was sixty and four-tenths per thousand, while the mortality in non-lease prisons throughout the country was fifteen and one-tenth, exactly one-fourth of that of leased prisons. This system has proved to be very expensive financially to the State of Tennessee, but the worst of it is that the reformatory element is entirely absent.

Just before going to Europe I visited the convict mines in Birmingham, Ala. The fate of prisoners compelled to work in them may not be much worse, so far as conditions of labor go, than those of free coal miners; but with the exception of separating negroes from whites after they come out of the mines, "because a white prisoner, you know, wouldn't like to associate with a nigger," there was no attempt at classification and no pressure of intellectual and moral influences for the reformation of the prisoner. It was through appeals of a Northern woman, Dorothea Dix, that the admirable state insane asylum in Alabama was established before the war; an earnest and able Southern woman, Miss Julia A. Tutweiler, is now appealing to the people and legislature of her state to reform the prison system. From the inspections and reports which have followed agitation it is to be hoped that permanent improvement may come.

Three days before the mob in New Orleans broke into the jail

of that city and shot the Italians, whom a New Orleans jury had acquitted of the murder of Hennessy, I went through this prison. In Italy I found myself powerless to defend the action of that mob against the mild but just animadversion of the governor of an Italian prison; but I wondered what that director would have said, if he could have visited the prison in which the outrage was committed. He would have thought this as great a blot on American civilization as the murderous vindictiveness of the mob. Nowhere in Europe, not even in Grecian prisons still submerged in Orientalism, have I found such a filthy and abominable relic of barbarism as that of New Orleans.

In Austria prison discipline is uniformly strict, but classification might be improved, and I was surprised to find in Vienna as many as fourteen women in one cell, and cells in which from three to eight men were together. The cells were proportionately large, but the error was in massing them in this way.

France and Italy are trying the experiment of giving the prisoner a portion of his earnings, a method already successfully applied in other prisons. In France the amount thus obtained is small but the accumulation may be useful to the prisoner when he is discharged. In Italy this feature is combined with a new and interesting experiment. The rations issued have been reduced in quantity and variety. They are sufficient to sustain life and health, but the prisoner is allowed to supplement his dietary by spending a portion of the money which he earns. The method of allowing prisoners a share of their earnings has been successfully applied in the Eastern Penitentiary, Philadelphia, for a number of years, and is a feature of the excellent prison bill of the State of New York. At the time of the Johnstown flood the prisoners in the Eastern Penitentiary subscribed about five hundred dollars from their earnings for the benefit of the relief fund. A portion of these earnings, over a certain amount required by the state, is held until the prisoner is released, and a part may be assigned to his family. One man has supported a wife and family outside of prison by overwork. Undoubtedly this feature could be more widely extended, especially if restrictions on prison labor were removed.

In England and on the continent the method of commutation of sentences has been generally adopted; that is, a sentence for a definite number of years is reduced according to a certain scale by the good behavior of the prisoner. This system is in vogue in a number of our own states. As to a system of probation I have seen nothing equal to that in use in Massachusetts, where a large number of first offenders are released on probation and officers are appointed in every county to examine and take charge of such cases.

The indeterminate sentence has not become embodied in European law, although it is accepted by some European and by the great majority of American penologists as the goal of agitation. In Europe the flagrant injustice of the present system is not so immediately apparent as in our own country. While the criminal code of Italy is different from that of France, and the code of France from that of Germany, they are yet consistent within the limits of each country. But except in the case of offences against the federal laws, crime in the United States is a matter for local treatment. Each state has its own criminal code. How utterly inconsistent and contradictory these codes are, was made strikingly evident at the meeting of the National Prison Congress at St. Paul, in June last, by a remarkable paper prepared by Mr. F. H. Wines, giving the results of comparisons and statistics gathered and analyzed in his preparation of the census report on crime.

The different penalties affixed for the same crime in different states show the different moral estimates in the community. Thus the maximum penalty for counterfeiting in Delaware is three years, but in Maine, Massachusetts, New York and Michigan it is imprisonment for life. The minimum penalty in Missouri is five years, which is the maximum in Connecticut. The maximum penalty for perjury in New Hampshire, Connecticut and Kentucky is five years; in Maine, Mississippi and Iowa it is imprisonment for life. In Delaware, on the other hand, it is punishable by fine, without imprisonment, of not less than \$500 nor more than \$2,000. A man who commits grand larceny in Louisiana may be sent to prison for two years; in Connecticut he may be committed for twenty. A barn-burner in Kansas may get four years; in Maryland, South Carolina and Georgia he may be sentenced to death. A burglar, breaking and entering a dwelling by night in Arkansas, may have seven years, but in North Carolina the penalty is absolute, and is death. In Kansas the guilt of forgery, judging from penalties, is four times that of larceny, but in Connecticut the guilt of larceny is four times that of forgery. In some states the guilt of arson is held to be twice that of larceny; in other states the guilt of larceny is twice that of arson.

The death penalty is in force for murder in all the states except Rhode Island, Michigan and Wisconsin; in Louisiana for rape, assault with intent to kill, administering poison, arson and burglary; in Delaware and North Carolina for rape, arson and burglary; in Alabama for rape, arson and robbery; in Georgia for rape, mayhem and arson; in Missouri for perjury and rape; in Virginia, West Virginia, South Carolina and Mississippi for rape and arson; in Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, Texas and



Arkansas for rape; in Montana for arson of dwelling by night; in Maryland for any variety of arson.

The actual sentences imposed by court show the same inequality. Thus the possible sentences for burglary range from six years to life, but the actual average sentences range from one year and nine months in Delaware to twenty-two years in Alabama. The possible sentences for arson derived from the code range from ten years to death, but the actual average sentences, from two years in Arkansas to seventeen years and six months in Rhode Island. The vast array of facts and figures presented by Mr. Wines, and which should be put into the hands of our lawyers and legislators, furnish curious and melancholy illustrations of the inequality of sentences for crime in this country.

How is this state of things to be remedied? Something might be done by the American Bar Association, in coöperation with penologists, by preparing what might be deemed a model code, and striving for its adoption in different states. In 1888 and 1889 Italy undertook the revision of its penal code as the demand arose for a more equitable and uniform system. Seldom has a new code been more thoroughly discussed or more deliberately framed than it was in that country. In its classifications and distinctions, its freedom from traditional standards, its apportionment of penalties, the care taken to weigh mitigating circumstances, the provisions for conditional liberation, it is regarded by some jurists as the best criminal code in existence. In this code the death penalty has been abolished, and the greatest pains has been taken to adjust penalties to crime, not only in the duration but in the form of imprisonment.

It is evident that the adoption of any model code such as that of Italy would not be easily secured in the forty-four states of this country, nor if adopted would it secure consistency in the treatment of crime. It is one thing to have a uniform code and another to have a just and uniform administration of it. The most striking inequalities occur in sentences imposed in the same state and by the same courts. Thus I have known a lenient judge to sentence a batch of prisoners to the penitentiary for a year each; but another judge, who occupied the same bench a month later, sentenced prisoners arraigned for the same offences to from two to five years. In fact the same judge, influenced by physical or mental conditions, affected by an east wind or bad digestion, may give a man a year to-day or five years to-morrow.

The practical relief from this inconsistency is to be found in the indeterminate sentence. Under this system the court and the jury simply decide as to whether the accused has committed the crime charged. Instead of being sentenced for a definite number of years, he is committed to a system of grading and



marking which compels him to remain there until by his work, his schooling and conduct he has earned a sufficient number of marks to secure release on parole, and eventually, if his conduct warrants, a full discharge. The destiny of the prisoner depends not on the caprice of a code or the fluctuations of a judge, but is largely in his own hands.

The prevailing spirit of prison discipline in Europe is reformatory in its tendencies. The idea of punishment has not been surrendered, but reformatory measures are becoming more and more prominent. The young are sifted out for special treatment. This method has long found illustration in reformatories in England and America. It is extending on the Continent. One of the finest reformatories, well organized, classified and administered, I found in Tivoli, not far from Hadrian's villa. In Hungary, while I did not have time to visit their largest and best reformatory, I saw at Koloszvár an institution which shows that that country is wheeling into line. The Greek government is too poor to spend money on prisons at present, but a generous Greek has given money for the establishment of a reformatory near Athens, and I had the pleasure of submitting plans of some of our best American reformatories at the request of the committee. We have little to learn abroad on the subject of reformatories.

In the administration of prisons, there is no more important element than the personality of governors, wardens and prison officials. The best system will suffer without the best men and women to administer it. In Venice, Rome, Florence, Tivoli, Budapest, Vienna, Paris and London, I was impressed with the superior class of men and women who have been called to the administration of prisons. One who attends a meeting of the Wardens' Association in our own country may find similar reasons for respect and satisfaction. The bane of our prison management is political patronage and interference, and none are more conscious of this than the wardens themselves. At a meeting of the National Prison Congress at St. Paul in June last, a resolution was unanimously passed, earnestly recommending that "ability, fitness, merit in character, and not politics, be made sole test in the appointment or retention of all officers of such institutions." Permanence of tenure is one of the most valuable features in the English system. Severe competitive examinations are passed for subordinate positions; promotions do not depend in any way upon political power; pensions are given in proportion to length of service. This system is abandoned, however, in the appointment of governors and directors, who have been drawn largely from the army. Their experience in handling men does not always compensate for their lack of experience

in prison service. This is a cause of complaint among English prison reformers.

As in this country, prison labor has been the subject of much discussion abroad. The labor system is the weak side in the otherwise strong system in England. This is seen in the use of the fly wheel. Prisoners sentenced to hard labor may fulfil the sentence by turning the crank of a fly wheel so many thousand revolutions registered on an indicator. None of the prison officials with whom I spoke favored this plan. It cannot be called thrifty for the prisoner or for the prison. Nearly every application of labor for productive purposes in England is in making articles for the government. Everything used in the army and navy, in the postoffice and other departments, that can be made in prison is made there. Hand labor is chiefly used, but this work is of but little use in educating the prisoner for outside labor. It is strange that English labor agitators, so generally intelligent in regard to industrial and economic questions, are so easily deluded into the belief that prisoners who labor for the government are removed from the arena of competition. The indifference to productive labor in England makes the system an expensive one.

On the other hand there is no greater fallacy than that which assumes that the prison which pays all expenses is the best one or the cheapest. In some of our states the determination of legislators that prisons shall be self-supporting has been a barrier to reform. That prison is cheapest financially, as well as best ethically, which succeeds in reforming the largest number of prisoners.

In no country have I seen the specialization of labor carried to such an extent as in France, where in making toys one man works all day in painting the buttons on a soldier's coat, while another simply puts a dab of red on each cheek. A good deal of this work is done by repeaters. The best office of labor in prison is as a therapeutic. Statistics of the trades and occupations of prisoners collected by the Wardens' Association of the United States, and analyzed by Dr. Roland P. Faulkner, Ph. D., of the University of Pennsylvania, show plainly "that the higher the character of the daily pursuits the greater the unlikelihood of falling into crime. They show that prisoners as a rule are accustomed to only the rudest forms of labor. In the main they are unskilled, and probably also irregularly employed." The rate of illiteracy was found to be very high. 19.56 per cent of the prisoners could neither read nor write.

Penologists in both Europe and America turn towards England with the greatest interest because that is the one country in which there is evidence of a gradual, almost steady, decrease in

crime during the last fifteen or twenty years. Statistics bearing on this fact are interesting and significant. The following figures show the remarkable decrease in the prison population since 1877.

Year ended March 31, 1878	.	.	.	.	.	20,833
" " 1879	.	.	.	.	.	19,818
" " 1880	.	.	.	.	.	19,835
" " 1881	.	.	.	.	.	18,027
" " 1882	.	.	.	.	.	17,798
" " 1883	.	.	.	.	.	17,876
" " 1884	.	.	.	.	.	17,194
" " 1885	.	.	.	.	.	16,619
" " 1886	.	.	.	.	.	15,375
" " 1887	.	.	.	.	.	14,822
" " 1888	.	.	.	.	.	14,536
" " 1889	.	.	.	.	.	14,758
" " 1890	.	.	.	.	.	13,877
" " 1891	.	.	.	.	.	13,076
" " 1892	.	.	.	.	.	12,663

Diagrams and tables in the report of the Commissioners of Prisons show that "For thirty years, until 1877, the prison population rose and fell alternately about every three years, but with a general tendency, on the whole, to rise during that period. Since the year 1877, in which the prisons were transferred to government, the prison population has almost continuously fallen, notwithstanding the increase of the general population, so that the number for 1891-92 (12,663) is 37.8 per cent below the number for 1876-77 (20,361)." During the period of thirty years under review in the same comparison the general population has risen from twenty to thirty millions, which, if there had been no improvement, would have involved a corresponding increase of crime of fifty per cent. It will not do to say that England has deported her criminals and thus shifted the problem. On the contrary, the transportation of convicts to Van Diemen's Land and Australia, which was carried on for nearly a century without lessening crime in England, was stopped in 1867. Alarmists predicted a great increase in crime. The result has been just the opposite, and largely because England, instead of shifting and avoiding the problem, has grappled with it on her own soil.

The report of the Prison Commissioners is confirmed by those of police authorities. The Commissioner of Police of London shows in a recent report that there were fewer felonies committed in 1890 than in any year since 1875. The security for person and property in London is greater to-day with a population of six millions than it was in 1875 with a population of four millions. The report of the Chief Constable of Liverpool shows that never have the statistics disclosed so small an amount of crime. In the last two years there has been a falling off of nearly a thousand a

year in indictable offences. A study of the reports of the Prison Commissioners, kindly furnished me at the Home Office, shows that the diminution is most marked in "offences against property without violence, which comprise the great bulk of crimes committed, and in forgery and offences against the currency, and, in a smaller degree, of offences against property with violence." Crimes in these classes are mainly those committed by habitual criminals. These conclusions correspond in a remarkable degree with fluctuations in the estimated number of the criminal classes at large in prisons and reformatories from 1867 to 1890. The number of habitual criminals in 1867 was 87,688, and in 1890 it was 52,153.

When we ask for the causes which have contributed to this reduction, they are ascribed by the Commissioners to the increased efficiency of the police, to the establishment of industrial schools, the effective punishment and reformation of criminals in prison, the development of societies to aid prisoners on discharge, and the operation of statutes enacted for the suppression of the habitual criminal class.

Of these reasons one of the most important is the extension of industrial training. A large number of persons go to prison because they have never learned how to earn an honest living outside and have failed to acquire that mental and moral discipline which honest industry assists in developing. It has been found necessary to establish trade schools and industries in reformatory prisons; but why should we wait to do in prison a work which ought to be done outside?

Another reason for the decrease in crime is the great improvement of the prison system by its transfer to the government, which took place in 1878. Before that time prisons were conducted by local authorities. The government soon reduced the number from one hundred thirteen to fifty-nine, at a saving of \$420,000 a year. The continued diminution in the prison population has had the effect of reducing the numbers in some of the prisons to very small proportions. Two prisons had each at one time in the year 1892 no more than seven prisoners, fewer than the number of officers. Other English prisons have therefore been closed, one of the last being that of Kirkdale, Liverpool.

The work done by societies for aiding discharged convicts is important. There are ninety-four such societies in England, and in 1892, according to the last report in hand, they assisted 19,366 prisoners. There are a large number of private charities and bequests available for this purpose. The British government gives liberally for this purpose, the assumption being, that as much more will be raised by private subscription.

I am sorry to say that English statistics and experience, so far as I could learn, do not show any marked decrease in drunken-

ness, and the problem what to do with the repeaters is a grave question there as here. Indeed neither in England nor on the Continent did I get any light on the question. The only really practical suggestion was that of a cumulative sentence.

In regard to capital punishment it is interesting to note that while the death penalty, as shown above, is in force in all but three of our states, and in some of them not only for murder, but for arson, mayhem, rape and burglary, it has been stricken from the codes of several European countries. Capital punishment for ordinary homicides has been abolished in Russia for more than a century, although it is still the punishment of treason. In 1874 it was abolished in Switzerland; permission to restore it was given to the cantons in 1879, but up to 1890 no canton had availed itself of the permission. Holland abolished the death penalty in 1870, Italy in 1889, Portugal in 1867. Facts collected by Mr. William Tallack of the Howard Association of London show that in most of those countries capital punishment had long ceased to exist *de facto* before it was abolished *de jure*. The general testimony is that there has been no increase of murders in any of these countries since such abolition.

Again it appears that in countries where the death penalty exists the number of executions for murder is very small. In Austria the average is four per cent on convictions, in Prussia less than eight per cent; in Sweden, Norway and Denmark there is one execution in every twenty sentences for murder. In England, out of 672 committed for wilful murder, 299 were convicted and sentenced to death, while 373 were either acquitted or found insane; of the 299 condemned to death, 145, nearly one half, had their sentences commuted. "Persons charged with murder have many more chances of escaping conviction than any other class of offenders." In our own country it is a matter of common knowledge, that the number sentenced to death is small compared with the number arraigned for murder.

As a result of this comparative study, the penological reforms and improvements, which seem to be needed in this country, are the improvement of jails; the abolition of the lease system; the extension of the reformatory plan; the adoption of the indeterminate sentence with the parole system; the extension of the probation system both for youths and adults, as in Massachusetts; work for prisoners committed to jail on short sentences; a higher grade of prison officers; the abolition of the spoils system in relation to prison management; an allowance to prisoners of a portion of their earnings, and its application to the needs of their families; the extension of manual education and industrial schools among preventive measures; and the organization of societies for aiding discharged convicts, mainly in the direction of procuring them employment.

# THE DYNAMICS OF MIND.

---

BY HENRY WOOD.

---

IN the light of recent psychical demonstrations, it has been said that thoughts are things, but perhaps a more exact statement would be that they are forces.

In physical science, the present trend of teaching is distinctly from the former accepted atomic basis, which included the solidity and potency of matter, towards a hypothesis in which energy is regarded as the underlying principle of all phenomena. Thus the atom, which has never been discovered, and is not likely to be, is no longer recognized as the real unit in the physical economy, energy being now accepted as the primal starting point.

Manifestations to our senses, which we call light, heat and sound, are only differentiated modes of vibratory force. Primal energy, unitary in its essence, and always conserved in the aggregate, takes on, to us, one of several qualitative appearances, according to the form of its waves, or rather, perhaps, the rapidity of its vibrations. Under certain circumstances and through the action of laws yet imperfectly understood, these various modes of manifestation are interchangeably transformed in constant repetition.

Modern science has accepted the conclusion that vibration is a universal law, and the recognition of this fact is the key which is unlocking mysteries and solving phenomena hitherto unexplainable. It has furnished an all-comprehensive working hypothesis. Beginning with an inter-molecular rhythm of inconceivable rapidity in all bodies, even those that appear to be solid and at rest, its domain of wave movements extends through all space, and its impulses are coursing in every conceivable direction. They are ceaseless and endless. The cosmos may truly be said to be "all of a quiver."

The basic medium of these innumerable wavy motions is undoubtedly the universal ether, the nature of which can only be dimly conjectured through its multiform manifestations. Who can say that this is not the boundless common meeting ground between the spiritual and the material? Unaccountably enough, the myriads of vibrations of different kinds and velocities that



are sweeping through space do not appear to disturb or neutralize each other in the least. There is a clear path for all.

These late developments in physical science, which have only been hinted at in the most general terms, carry with them necessary inferences and correlations, the scope of which can yet hardly be imagined. The dematerialization, or perhaps what may even be called the spiritualization of physics, as a science, is one of the marked logical tendencies. There is also a growing demonstration and conviction of the deceptive and utterly unreliable nature of sensuous appearances. Science, before finally accepting any proposition as proven, has always insisted upon material and mathematical demonstration. This is well in its place but it is not all, in fact it is only the lower and cruder side.

Matter as formerly regarded seems to be consciously melting into mind or spirit. It is no longer inert or dead, but instinct with life. Its transformations are ceaseless and mysterious. Can any one explain just how and why a visible solid can take the form of an invisible gas and *vice versa*?

The theoretical boundary line between the immaterial and the material is getting very faint if not actually disappearing. Let us drop our crude, childish materialism and rise easily and reasonably to the grand conception that differentiated forces are being traced back, even through the methods of the physicist to the *One Primal Energy* — INFINITE MIND. The veils which in our infantile development we have hung around external nature are growing so attenuated that we can almost discern with unaided vision the active operation of Supreme Intelligence, Goodness and Beneficence.

All profound discernment and analogy lead back to the grand fundamental premise, that behind all manifestations, energy is One, that it is an Intelligent Energy, and is therefore Omnipresent Mind. Monism, or the inherent unity of all things, is the growing inspiration of science. It is thereby confirming the impressions already received through the delicate vision of the unfolded interior faculties. Paul's immortal aphorism, that "in Him we live and move and have our being" has waited long for scientific endorsement, but it is apparently soon to be realized. We behold the universe as soulful and not mechanical. This is no ancient superstitious pantheism resurrected. Rather the Deity is infinitely honored as compared with any and all past human concepts.

If all energy, in its last analysis, be Intelligent Mind, and vibration the universal method, we may reasonably infer that human mind or volition, being in, and a part of the whole, should form no exception in the working plan of its orderly activities. If essential, potential and ideal man be the "offspring," "image"



and manifestor of God, nothing unlike it could be normal. As the former ideals of a Deity, localized, personified, changeable and in every way unconsciously limited, are slowly replaced by the transcendent ideal of the unconditioned "All in All," the interrelation of all things, to and in God, is being grasped. When man refines, enlarges and elevates his consciousness of Divinity, he does the same for his own deeper and generic spiritual nature, which though temporarily obscured, is in reality, himself. If God be spirit, man, His reflection and likeness, must also be spirit and not dust. By a traditional and distorted self consciousness he has thought himself to be a poor, sinful, *material* being, and the formative power of his mental specification has externally actualized his model. He is mind or spirit, but his physical expression, which should be of ideal quality, outpictures his perverted estimate of himself. Not recognizing his true being, he has drawn a mistaken outline and then naturally filled it out. He has thus unwittingly hidden his own potential and divine forces, though they are still within. The mirror of false consciousness has reflected a doleful image which he has seriously taken for himself.

The purer and higher trend of science is characterized by a gradual refinement and immateriality. The laboratory should become a sanctuary, for in it are gained glimpses of the Eternal. Man himself is being more truly interpreted as the highest expression of divinity. He is a concrete manifestation of the One Mind, finited, but with unlimited possibilities. He is inconceivably great, though ignorantly unaware of it. But a significant indication of his growing consciousness of the possession of supernal power is found in the recent discovery of the dynamic and formative potency of his thought. The Infinite Uncreate is the primal and universal energy, but man is its embodier and manifestor. His mental forces cannot create *de novo*, but they can mould, utilize and express. The unfolded soul having developed a self-consciousness of its transcendent power, intelligently sends out its own vibrations from its own centre. Conforming to the divine plan and chord, it becomes a reflection, or secondary radiator of rhythms which are concordant with the Original.

We are logically led to the conclusion that the recent recognition of the potency and utility of the projective vibration of thought, is an unprecedented and immense step in scientific achievement, human unfoldment and spiritual evolution. Man is finding his rightful dominant place in nature, in the arcana of soul force and expression, and in his relation to the Infinite.

Before considering specifically the dynamic relations between mind and mind, it may be well to note briefly these relations as they exist between a human mind and its physical counterpart.

Man is mind, and this statement implies that the physical organism is not man, but only his visible index or expression. To attempt to prove this is like demonstrating an axiom, but yet mankind at large indicate by their action that they do not practically believe it. Nine-tenths of the care, labor and attention of the world is bestowed upon the body and its gratification, or upon those subordinate mental powers, the product of which will command the greatest commercial value. Most of the prevailing systems of education, so called, have the same end more or less directly in view. The trained intellect, including not only technical and professional attainment, but also the powers of literary, poetic and dramatic ability, eloquence and wit, are largely rated and valued on an economic and material basis. To train, control and uplift the mind, and develop its higher faculties for its own sake, and that of others, is not common. The world is still endeavoring to "live by bread alone."

Prevailing systems of philosophy, science, theology, therapeutics, sociology and charity, including Darwinian evolution, all proceed upon the general hypothesis that man is intrinsically a *material* being. He has an attenuated quality called a soul, dependent upon fleshly brain cells.

The "fall," not historic but continuous, is from the ideal, potential and inmost actual, into the external of appearances, and this comprises the Adamic consciousness. Men cling to the sensuous Eden until they are startled and driven from it by the loud calling of the divine voice within. The beneficent expulsion from that Eden, and the succeeding necessary restlessness, furnish the true and only impetus for voluntary moral and spiritual evolution. The world is still largely peopled with Adams who practically believe that they are made of red earth or dust.

Is man to grasp, mould and rule that little portion of dust that he has temporarily taken on, and which before has often been used to express and embody other qualities of life, or must he believe himself in bondage to it? Shall the shadow, even though real as a shadow, dominate the substance? Not forever, even in what is called this life. So soon as man recognizes the fact that he is a mental and spiritual dynamo he will no longer remain a vassal in his own legitimate kingdom. But the more specific treatment of the relations of mind to body must be reserved for a subsequent paper.

A dominant vibration in the thought-atmosphere is able to arouse a nation, or a continent. Great minds, as well as those of less development, are submerged and swept along by it. Crusades, reformations, revolutions and reforms, furnish numberless illustrations of psychic upheaval and contagion. Through sym-

pathetic vibration a vast number of responsive mental strings are stirred into action. As the rhythmical step of a regiment will powerfully shake a strong bridge, so the concerted energy of mind will generate tidal waves of tremendous import. The result is not merely from a contemporaneous logical process, carried on respectively by many individuals, but from a great immaterial gulf stream, deep and mighty, though silent and unconscious.

Mind, as a *force*, is no more unintelligible or unthinkable than other vibrations of unseen energy. And here lies the tremendous significance of the new psychology or recognition of soul force. Till recently conventional science, as taught in all accepted textbooks, recognized no extension of the dynamics of thought beyond the confines of the physical organism. The mind, with feeble domination, through nerve channels, could transmit its orders to different parts of its visible counterpart, but it was not believed that it could go one inch beyond that limit. Any suggestion that telepathy, or thought transference, could take place at a distance of a thousand miles, or even one mile, would have been pronounced impossible.

We shall waste no time in the mere attempt to prove the fact that thought is, and can be, projected through space, both consciously and unconsciously. No well-informed individual who has given any adequate attention to the subject now questions it. Scores of pages might be filled with examples, now on record, which are entirely beyond collusion or coincidence. Every one of thousands of hypnotic experiences proves it, and every case of healing through mental treatment attests it. There is no fact in physical science better assured.

And how has the world received this transcendent truth which is transforming in its potency, all-inclusive in its sequences, and divine in its possibilities? Very much as it would a new *curio* or an ingenious toy. The institutional psychologist fondles it, turns it over, weighs and measures its properties in his laboratory, speculates *about* it, and makes a profession of it. But the last thing to be thought of, is to make it useful to mankind. That would be unprofessional. To harness and utilize this force of all forces for the good of humanity would lower it from the select and charmed circle of professional theory and speculation to the broad plane of practical and beneficent agencies.

The average psychical researcher shows much of the same indifference as to any utilization of his favorite principles and pursuits. He is engaged in a never-ending pursuit of phenomena. He will strain his investigative powers, and burn midnight oil in testing, comparing and recording curious manifestations, and in interpreting their methods and laws, but as to their prac-

tical application in ethical culture, therapeutic potency or spiritual unfoldment he is as innocent as a child. It has not occurred to him. These reflections are made in no impatient spirit as applied to individuals, but rather to show the negative character of systems of thought into which we have allowed ourselves to become crystallized. How much freedom, originality and progress would at once be manifest if the fear of being called unprofessional and unconventional, which now holds men in bondage, could be eliminated!

Besides the classes already noted there are many excellent people, lovely in character and pure in motive, whose temperamental fondness for the mystical leads them to seek visions, dream dreams, and to cultivate an order of phenomena more dramatic than profitable. Abstract truth and vivid demonstration are well, but the world is hungering for their application to its woes.

If we have gained some knowledge of the laws which govern a force inconceivably grander and higher than electricity, may we not dismiss undue sensitiveness as to deviations from traditional scholasticism, and for the sake of humanity, step out of the ruts which have been grooved by the schoolmen of the darker and narrower past? All great advances in their earlier aspects have been irrational innovations.

Regarding the fundamental basis of psycho-dynamics, not only as admitted but overwhelmingly proven, let us now concisely sum up a few of the results which logically should be realized. They are of stupendous significance, but surrounded as we are by the blank walls of our self-imposed and traditional limitations we can hardly picture them even to the imagination.

Thoughts being forces, every mind is a creative centre from which rhythms of qualitative energy are going out in all directions. By their impact upon corresponding chords in other minds, these are also swept into active vibration. Throw a pebble into a lake and the placid surface at once becomes vibrant with a series of ever-widening circles which go out to its utmost boundary. They are never quite lost, or neutralized, though we may be unable to trace them to their final destination. So every soul is the seat of a great centrifugal current, which is generated and set free in the simple process of thinking. This is true — though less in degree — of desultory or aimless thought, as well as of that which is concentrated and projected with definite intent. Every thinker is a battery of positive forces even though he utter never a word.

The soul — which is the man — is a resonant instrument with innumerable tremulous strings of the most delicate quality. The water in the lake responds to the pebble, but the medium

through which thought-waves pass is infinitely more subtle and elastic.

What volumes of potential energy are wasted, and far worse, in negative and discordant mental activities! We are not thinking for ourselves but for the world. With the shuttle of thought in the loom of mind, we are weaving the multi-colored fabric of conditions, and these not merely immaterial but to be outwardly actualized and manifested. If one in his own soul strikes the discordant notes of anger, envy, avarice, selfishness or even those seemingly more harmless ones of simple fear, weakness, grief, pessimism or depression, he is creating and vibrating those conditions far and near, thereby stirring the corresponding chords in other souls into sympathetic activity. The sphere of outward action is limited, while that of thought is boundless. Mere doing makes ephemeral reputation, while quality of thinking determines, or rather is, vital character.

Every one's thought-images are being constantly impressed both upon himself and others. His mind is a busy factory where conditions are positively manufactured. He weaves their quality, consciously or unconsciously, into every nerve, muscle and tissue of his own body. His materialistic thought tethers him in a little circle of limitation, while boundless green fields lie beyond waiting for occupation. His mental pictures of evil, disorder and disease, photograph themselves not only upon his own mind and body but upon those of his fellows.

One cannot afford to think much about evil, even for the well-intentioned purpose of its suppression. The true remedy is its displacement. Thought-space given to it confers realism, familiarity and finally dominion. To silence discordant strings in ourselves or others we must vibrate their opposites. To truly sympathize with a friend who is quivering with trouble or sorrow, is not to drop into his rhythm and intensify it — as is usual — but to lift his consciousness by striking a higher chord in unison. The road to mental and physical invigoration lies through the dynamics of formative thought. Our way to elevate other lives is also through their creative mental energies.

When the art of projecting thought vibrations on a high plane is systematically cultivated, and the concentrative habit developed, potency for good is increased a hundredfold. Force is no longer squandered in worse than useless discordant negations, but intelligently conserved in positive vigor and exuberance. Purposeful thought ministration, spiritual and pure in quality, accurately and scientifically projected, like an arrow towards a target, will be the great harmonizing and uplifting agency that will transform the world. Vibrations of love, peace, spirituality, health, sanity and harmony, will be radiated in ever widening

circles, striking responsive unisons that are only waiting for a well-directed concordant impulse.

The dynamics of mind, when generally utilized, will be the sovereign balm that with scientific accuracy will heal all the infelicities of society. It will usher in not only reform but regeneration. In its copious fulness it will overflow from the altitude of spiritual development, until the subordinate plains of intellectuality, ethics, therapeutics, sociology, economics and physics are swept, purified and uplifted. The highest includes everything below. With the kingdom of heaven—which is subjective harmony—first sought, “all these things” will be added.

# THE ITALY OF THE CENTURY OF SIR THOMAS MORE.

BY B. O. FLOWER.

## Part I.

The Renaissance was the liberation of the reason from a dungeon, the double discovery of the outer and the inner world. — *John Addington Symonds.*

THE Italy of the century of More presents many striking and incongruous phenomena, in order to comprehend which intelligently it is necessary that we keep in mind the centuries immediately preceding the Renaissance; centuries in which the human mind had been darkened by superstition and dwarfed by dogma; centuries in which religion made the pious believe that danger lurked in beauty's cup, and that pleasures which did not spring from theology lured to death; that ignorance and credulity were evidences of faith most pleasing to the God who had given man a searching spirit and doubting mind, and that scepticism merited torture and death. "During the Middle Ages man had lived enveloped in a cowl. He had not seen the beauty of the world, or seen it only to cross himself and turn aside to tell his beads and pray. Like St. Bernard, travelling along the shores of Lake Lemman and noticing neither the azure of the waters nor the luxuriance of the vines nor the radiance of the mountains, with their robe of sun and snow, but bending a thought-burdened forehead over the neck of his mule—even like this monk, humanity had passed, a careful pilgrim, intent on the terrors of sin, death and judgment, along the highways of the world, and had scarcely known that they were sight-worthy or that life was a blessing." \*

The long night of superstition which entombed reason, exiled science and slew the prophets of progress was so markedly characterized by an absolute fealty to religious dogma, no matter how absurd, and a blind fanaticism in regard to the letter of theological law, that one wonders at the greatness which remained in man to make a freer day resplendent with triumphs of genius in literature, science and art.

The Italy of this century had behind it the long and sanguinary struggles of the Communes, followed by the darker though more

---

\* John Addington Symonds, in "History of the Renaissance in Italy."



picturesque period, when petty tyrants ruled and the people had grown so sodden that they had in a degree lost the old-time passion for liberty, and with it the power to discriminate between a name and the soul for which the name was the symbol. They had yielded inch by inch to oppression clothed in the mantle of a vanished popular liberty, until an absolute despotism, which still bore the name of free government, was tolerated in more than one of the states of Italy.

At the moment when the news of the fall of Constantinople struck terror to the various Italian states, no less than to the papal see, Italy was the victim of numerous petty despots whose histories were not infrequently a catalogue of crimes — men of power, gifted in intrigue, of indomitable will, intrepid in war, congenial and affable among friends, but merciless toward enemies, and as treacherous as they were courageous. The wars of petty tyrants and despots, the larger struggle of the party of the Roman Empire against the party of the Roman Church, lust for power mingled with lust for gold, and over all the pall of superstition which condemned the free thinker and philosopher, but permitted the grossest immorality to pass unchallenged — such were some of the phenomena presented by the Italy of the Middle Ages, such were the precursors of the Renaissance on this peninsula.

The political history of Italy during the early years of the century following the fall of Constantinople in 1453\* presents one of the most anomalous spectacles in the annals of civilization. A mad ambition, as short-sighted as it was selfish, permeated the peninsula, which at this time was divided into numerous states, united by customs, habits of thought, and, to a certain degree, by language, but separated by a deadly jealousy. Venice, opulent and independent, with its unique government, was the object of envy throughout Italy. Florence, holding still to the shell of a republic, was the slave of the shrewd banker and diplomat, Cosmo de Medici. Milan was governed by a duke and Naples by a king; but it mattered not whether the state was an alleged republic, a ducal dominion or a kingdom, despotism prevailed everywhere. The old-time freedom was lost. The people had lost courage. The political eclipse of the peninsula was at hand. Perhaps we can best gain a clear insight into political conditions by briefly noticing some of the Italian states of this period.

---

\* Many eminent historians place 1453 as the year which marked the close of the Middle Ages and the commencement of what we term Modern Times, as this year witnessed the fall of Constantinople and the diffusion of the Greek scholars of the East throughout Italy, and it also witnessed the end of the hundred years' war between France and England. The knell of the era of feudalism had sounded; the dawn of centralized government had opened — centralized government, which though despotic was to prove the precursor of a representative government, and under the expanding light of freedom and knowledge to bloom one day into something the world has not yet seen — a social democracy or a true republic.

In Venice we find an oligarchy springing from a hereditary aristocracy, which had gradually supplanted a republic, but which shrewdly held to the popular name of republic. It is a long, sad story, the destruction of free government and the establishment of a hereditary aristocracy, the narrowing of the power of the privileged rulers, the establishment of the council of ten, the crushing of opposition by aid of hired informers, and lastly the creation of the three inquisitors of the state. In discussing this last mentioned body, an eminent historian observes: "They could, without giving account of their decision, pronounce sentence of death and dispose of public funds. Justly the ambition of these men was feared. Two of the inquisitors of the state, with the approval of the Doge, could condemn the third. The three inquisitors of the state had the right of making their own statutes and of changing them as they pleased, so that the republic was ignorant even of the law which governed it." \*

This government, strange as it may seem, apparently prospered for a time, much as did Rome under Augustus Cæsar. It sought to overcome dissatisfaction in two ways: it destroyed those supposed to be in opposition to the ruling power, while it assured labor to the citizens of the state. But material prosperity, while much, is not the chief end of life for man. A full stomach is something, it is true, but it means far more to a pig than to a man. Work with good wages is much, but if that work and material prosperity are attainable only at the price of free thought, they cost far too much for noble, aspiring men and women; and though Venice was in one sense the envy of Italy and the wonder of the world, she was canopied by a great fear. Though she boasted of three thousand ships and thirty thousand soldiers and was noted for the "luxurious life led by the rich and oftentimes by the people, the spy and the informer reigned, being encouraged, paid and organized, and terror hovered over every head. The noble who spoke ill of the government was twice warned and for the third offence he was drowned. Every workman who exported any commodity useful to the republic was stabbed. Judgment, execution, all were secret. The mouth of the lion of St. Mark received the anonymous denunciations, and the waves which passed under the Bridge of Sighs carried away the corpses." †

Venice, proud, prosperous, arrogant, despotic and treacherous, was hated and feared both by the Ottomans on the east and the states of the Peninsula on the west. When it seemed good policy for her to treat with the Mussulman she did not scruple to break the solemn treaty of Lodi, which bound the states of Italy toge-

---

\* Victor Duruy's "History of Modern Times," pp. 60, 61.

† *Ibid.*, p. 61.

ther in a mutual bond against the Eastern invader, and when reproached her citizens replied, "We are Venetians first and Christians second." The oligarchy which ruled Venice with watchful eye was jealous for the material prosperity of the state, and from afar the so-called republic was regarded as the *beau ideal* of government. It is well to remember, however, that states thus ruled have no glorious to-morrow; only where freedom is fostered, where free speech and free thought are encouraged, where a broad, sturdy, ethical, intellectual and industrial education is insisted upon, and where the citizens of a commonwealth are taught that true safety lies in the light of knowledge rather than in the darkness of ignorance, do we find a condition which fosters content, guarantees progress and foretells a to-morrow more glorious than any yesterday the race has known.

Turning from Venice, the opulent mistress of the Adriatic, with her tints of mother of pearl, with her splendid sunrises and gorgeous sunsets, we come to notice Florence on the banks of the Arno; Florence, which had struggled so long and so blindly for freedom, had finally fallen into the snares of a *bourgeois* family, and as Venice had lost her freedom through the machinations of an arrogant aristocracy, so Florence passed into abject slavery through the subtle but settled policy of the de Medici family of bankers and traders. This remarkable family won over the masses by cunning devices; its heads for successive generations expended money liberally and judiciously to obtain power for their house, and when once the goal was won they found little difficulty in ruling the republic while seeming to be private citizens, until the advent of Savonarola, and indeed until the death of Lorenzo. The most illustrious English historian of the Renaissance in Italy thus describes the method pursued by this family in retaining the power they had wrested from the Florentines: "The de Medici, in effect, bought and sold the honor of the public officials, lent money, jobbed posts of profit and winked at speculation, until they had created a sufficient body of men who had everything to gain by a continuance of their corrupt authority." \*

After Florence fell into the power of this family the prospect of her becoming a stable republic diminished with each successive year. Her great weakness was an excessive intellectual development without corresponding moral culture; she lacked the sturdy elements which the Germany of that epoch possessed, and which Norway and Sweden, and to a certain extent the Russia of to-day, possess. A soil in which intellectual culture and artistic development flourish without being accom-

---

\* "The Renaissance in Italy: Age of the Despots," by John Addington Symonds. Pp. 230-232.

panied by a high appreciation of justice and a noble ideal of manhood and womanhood is a soil unfavorable to free government, for liberty can only be maintained by a passion for freedom and justice in the hearts of the people so strong that the martyr spirit is awakened whenever free government is imperilled. Florence lacked this. She became morally enervated. The struggle of generations wearied her. She submitted to the rule of the de Medici and gave herself up, for a season at least, to the cultivation of the beauty of life and, be it said with sadness, to the indulgence of the sensual passions. From this slumber Savonarola sought to awaken her, and for a time it seemed as if he had succeeded, but the poison had too thoroughly permeated the public mind, and in the end the Florentines lapsed back into the long, melancholy sleep which comes upon a body from which the soul has flown.

From Florence we turn to Milan, nestling in the lap of one of the most fertile plains in Italy. This unfortunate dominion had been for many generations the victim of the heads of the Visconti family, whose lust had been only exceeded by their rapacity, and whose rapacity was eclipsed only by their cruelty. Thus, for example, Giovanni Maria Visconti, the last but one of these despots, distinguished himself for his lust and brutality. He used his hounds to run down and tear to pieces all the criminals of Milan; even the participators in his own criminal excesses, when discovered and denounced, were given up to the hounds. The count, it is said, went into ecstasies of delight while beholding the poor prisoners being torn to pieces by the savage hounds. Giovanni was succeeded by his brother Filippo, and after his death, in 1447, the people of Milan hastened to declare themselves in favor of a republic. Seldom has history presented a more pathetic page than the spectacle of Milan, after groaning under the galling and degrading tyranny of the Visconti family for many weary generations, rising as one man on the death of the last of the tyrants of that house, and declaring that henceforth Milan should be a republic.

The dream of freedom, however, was of short duration; for in a war with Venice the citizens of Milan had rashly called in Francesco Sforza to aid them. This ambitious and unscrupulous soldier, after conquering the Venetians, besieged Milan. The republic, although it held out bravely for a time, succumbed in 1450, and Francesco Sforza became Duke of Milan. Sforza was the son of an Italian peasant who had early become a soldier of fortune. Francesco had followed his father's adopted trade, and was a soldier of more than ordinary ability; he ruled over Milan sixteen years.

Here let us pause, for we are in the presence of some facts of history of special value to all lovers of free government. In the enslavement of Venice, Florence and Milan we have striking illustrations of the three agencies which have wrought the overthrow of republics in all past ages. In Venice, in her slow transformation from a republic to a hereditary aristocracy, from which issued a despotic oligarchy, we see a repetition of the triumphs of the patricians in old Rome, in which a class or hereditary power remorselessly trampled upon the rights and liberties of those born into less favored homes.

In the ascendancy of the de Medici family of Florence, we see the triumph of the shrewd, calculating money lenders, who by careful and cautious steps advance from simple *bourgeois* to the mastery of one of the most opulent and cultured states of the age. It was the conquest of the usurer over a people weary of battling for freedom, who in an unguarded hour listened to the velvet voice of professed friendship, and later permitted themselves to be seduced by golden gifts lavishly offered and pleasures provided without stint. There is nothing more subtle, dangerous or essentially immoral than the machinations of wealth when it assails freedom; and republics have nothing to fear so much as vast accumulations in the hands of the few.

The new-born republic of Milan, springing from her long and odious bondage, was only suffered to draw a few deep inspirations of freedom ere the sword of the son of a peasant slew her liberty. Thus we see (1) *the fiction of birth*, (2) *the cunning of wealth* and (3) *the sword of savage force* accomplish their deadly work in destroying these three republics; and the significance of this lesson is heightened when we remember that one or more of these baleful influences have wrecked the republics which strew the pathway of history. A people who would be free *must never slumber*, and the important facts emphasized by the fate of these republics hold a peculiar interest for the patriots in our great republic to-day, for the de Medici still live and Sforza is born again.

While noticing some of the political aspects of Italy, it will be necessary to consider in a general way the political influence of the papal see during the century following the fall of Constantinople; for during this period the church reached the height of secularization, and history records gloomy facts which are pregnant with lessons for thoughtful men and women. It was at this time that scholarly Italians and Spaniards as skilled in *finesse* as they were lacking in spirituality, reached the papal chair by means which put to blush all pretensions of purity and probity; men whose vision was canopied with ambitious dreams of temporal power and personal aggrandizement. Sixtus IV., Innocent

VIII. and Alexander VI.—what images do these names bring before the mind of the sincere student, concerned only about the sober facts of history and in no way actuated by a desire to cover up the gross crimes of men who under the scarlet robe degraded religion and brought additional reproach upon the civilization of their time.

The history of the church during this period reminds one of the Jewish church when the Scribes and Pharisees brought Jesus to the cross while they enlarged their phylacteries and lengthened their prayers; but there is this essential difference: Judaism never approached the moral degradation which marked the century in which flourished Sixtus IV., Innocent VIII. and Alexander VI.

The baleful effects of the union of church and state were probably never more vividly portrayed than in the debasement of true religion during this period. In referring to the shame which Christianity suffered from men without any true conception of religion who filled the papal chair, Mr. Symonds says: \* “The popes acted more as monarchs than as pontiffs, and the secularization of the see of Rome was carried to its utmost limits. The contrast between the sacerdotal pretensions and the personal immorality of the popes was glaring. . . . The history of Italy has at all times been closely bound up with that of the papacy; but at no period has this been more the case than during these eighty years of papal worldliness, ambition, nepotism and profligacy, which are also marked by the irruption of the European nations into Italy, and by the secession of the Teutonic races from the Latin church. In this short space of time a succession of popes filled the holy chair with such dramatic propriety—displaying a pride so regal, a cynicism so unblushing, so selfish a cupidity, and a policy so suicidal, as to favor the belief that they had been placed there in the providence of God to warn the world against Babylon. Undisguised sensuality; fraud, cynical and unabashed; policy marching to its end by murders, treasons, interdicts and imprisonments; the open sale of spiritual privileges; commercial traffic in ecclesiastical emoluments; hypocrisy and cruelty studied as fine arts; theft and perjury reduced to system—these are the ordinary scandals which beset the papacy.

“It would be possible to write the history of these priest-kings without dwelling more lightly on scandalous circumstances, to merge the court chronicle of the Vatican in a recital of European politics, or to hide the true features of high papal dignitaries beneath the masks constructed for them by ecclesiastical apologists. That cannot, however, be the line adopted by a writer treating of civilization in Italy during the fifteenth and sixteenth

---

\* “History of the Renaissance in Italy.” By John Addington Symonds. Part I., “Age of the Despots,” chapter vii.



centuries. He must paint the popes of the Renaissance as they appeared in the midst of society, when Lorenzo de Medici called Rome 'a sink of all the vices,' and observers so competent as Machiavelli and Guicciardini ascribed the moral depravity and political decay of Italy to their influence. It might be objected that there is now no need to portray the profligacy of that court, which, by arousing the conscience of Northern Europe to a sense of intolerable shame, proved one of the main causes of the Reformation. But without reviewing these old scandals, a true understanding of Italian morality and a true insight into Italian social feeling as expressed in literature, are alike impossible."

Even Machiavelli, who participated in the evils of his age, was philosophical enough to see the political ruin being wrought by the appalling corruption of the church, as will be seen by the following scathing criticisms in which the intellectual Italian thus sums up the evil conditions of his time: "Had the religion of Christianity been preserved according to the ordinances of its founder, the states and commonwealths of Christendom would have been far more united and far happier than they are. Nor is it possible to form a better estimate of its decay than by observing that, in proportion as we approach nearer to the Roman Church, the head of this religion, we find less piety prevail among the nations. Considering the primitive constitution of that church, and noting how diverse are its present customs, we are forced to judge that without doubt either ruin or a scourge is now impending over it. And since some men are of opinion that the welfare of Italy depends upon the church, I wish to put forth such arguments as occur to my mind to the contrary; and of these I will adduce two, which, as I think, are irrefutable. The first is this, that owing to the evil ensample of the papal court, Italy has lost all piety and all religion: whence follow infinite troubles and disorders; for as religion implies all good, so its absence implies the contrary. Consequently to the church and priests of Rome we Italians owe this obligation first — that we have become void of religion and corrupt."

Whenever the church and state become united, one of two things follows — either religion is debased until it becomes a thing of reproach and contempt, as during the rule of the popes mentioned above; or bigotry flames forth and we have cruel persecution, as in Germany under Calvin, in Spain under Ferdinand and Isabella and their successors, and in England under Henry VIII., Edward VI., Mary and Elizabeth. And not only does persecution follow, but progress is retarded, science is placed under the ban, ancient ideals are exalted over new thought, and the eyes of the people are turned from the dawn.

With this brief summary of some of the political aspects of



Italy during the Renaissance, we turn to the general conditions which prevailed throughout the Italian Peninsula in the early years of the century commencing with 1450. The people were weary of struggles; they longed for something which might serve as a bright diversion; they hungered for something better and brighter than the gloomy years behind them had unfolded. And the diversion came with the scholars who flocked to Italy after the fall of Constantinople. Greece was rediscovered.

"The gods of the ancients were reborn, revealing in their frames of marble all the secrets of the sculptor's art, and the works of artists were burned in bonfires, stirred by a population of monks in the Piazza de Firenze. The Perugino Convent still preserved the penitence and the mortifications of the cloister, and the Farnese Hercules was erected on Roman soil to show all the force and power of antiquity. Ariosto wrote his sensual work — in which the heroes dance as in a brilliant carnival, and dream in delicate language the Platonics of Florence with mysterious sentiments, with Heaven concealed behind the sepulchre, and God hidden from the world. Savonarola, the political Francois d'Assisi, invoked saints and angels, recommended fasting and penance, and renewed the imitation of Jesus Christ. The Florentine people selected for their chief the Crucified, while the Romans chose Cæsar Borgia, handsome, but vicious and infamous, a traitor, stained with the blood of his brother and brother-in-law, which splashed his forehead and that of the Pope; degraded by orgies like those of Nero, reproducing the erotic delirium of Heliogabalus in conjunction with the slaughterings and poisonings of Tiberius."\*

The splendid triumphs of Italian life of this period are not found in the fields of morals and religion. It is true that for a time Savonarola aroused Florence, but his influence was so fleeting that it serves to emphasize, in a most startling manner, the religious apathy of the Italian mind. On the other hand Grecian thought enthused the scholars, while for sensuous art it was the most glorious day that ever had dawned on earth. We must not, however, imagine that man was at peace with man, that love in its higher aspect ruled society, or that art was the handmaid of virtue. There were two sides to the civilization of Italy during the Renaissance. If she clothed herself in glory, beneath that glory were the rags of shame. The contrasts which impress the student of history, who from the Germany of Luther turns to the Italy of Leo X., are scarcely more impressive than the antitheses presented by the professions and practices in high Italian life.

Among the poor here, as elsewhere, ignorance and supersti-

---

\* Emilio Castelar, in "Old Rome and New Italy."

tion prevailed. They were for the most part pawns subject to the will and caprice of the reigning powers; practically serfs without fully realizing it. The savagery of their natures, largely due to the long and bloody wars of the Guelphs and Ghibellines, was expressed in brutal deeds whenever individuals or the masses were aroused.

Among the titled, wealthy and educated classes conscienceless sensuality flourished by the side of transcendent art. It was a period of appalling moral degradation, suggesting vividly the most vicious days under the pagan emperors. Unbridled passion, revolting sensuality and a refined savagery — if I may use such a paradoxical term — ran through the fibre of society; while at the same time poetry, *belle-lettres* and the classics received a recognition never before accorded in a Christian land. Side by side with the moral eclipse which marked the lives of so many of the most powerful and scholarly men of this time, art flourished as never before. It was a summer day for poetry, sculpture, architecture and painting in Italy. To the superficial observer a halo of golden glory rests over the land of the Cæsars during this period; the student of history, however, perceives that the gold was reddened with blood. Comedy and tragedy went arm in arm; the peninsula was clad in beauty; she was holding a Belshazzar's feast, but sensuality had blinded her eyes to the handwriting of destiny.

It has been observed that animal organisms live by devouring others, and that spiritual organisms live by aiding others. This is the supreme truth of the ages, the capital lesson for humanity to learn. There are two phases of life — the sensuous or animal, and the spiritual or divine. The soul flourishes when man lives for his fellow-man; it shrivels and dies when he lives for self alone, when for his own gratification he tramples upon virtue and justice, or destroys the happiness of the humblest of his race. Now it was on this lower plane that the majority of the ruling minds moved during this period, and hence for the philosopher it was a tragic age.

At this point it is well to note a fact which illustrates most impressively the inadequacy of all educational systems of the past — that art, culture and scientific progress frequently flourish luxuriantly when a nation through moral enervation is sinking into comparative insignificance from a foremost position in a civilization. The condition of immense wealth centralized in the hands of a few, and that few dominating the legislation of the nation, speaks of a mortal disease in the body politic; and this condition is invariably accompanied by blunted moral sensibilities, most apparent at the zenith and nadir of society. But not infrequently at this melancholy stage in a nation's decline, a

passion for art takes possession of the public mind; it becomes a mania or a fad; artists become the idols of the very rich. Then we have a spectacle such as the Italy of this age presented; a spectacle that leads short-sighted people to imagine that art is sensuous. This mistake was made by Savonarola and the leaders of the Reformation, and it served greatly to retard progress along one of the most exalting highways of human progress.

It is doubtless true, however, that we shall never appreciate how essentially ennobling is the spirit of true art until just conditions prevail and the wealth created by a people is enjoyed by its creators, while a rational educational system produces sturdy morality, pure ideals and ennobling aspirations, as our present educational system produces trained intellects. And it is a sign at once hopeful and significant of a distinct moral advance that the finest natures among the younger artists, sculptors and poets of our time begin to appreciate the force of this truth in an intelligent way. William Ordway Partridge, the sculptor, poet and essayist, gives voice to this thought in the following lines, which are in striking contrast to the artistic spirit of the Renaissance:—

Down with your roses into the dust!  
 Let the lips of your song be sealed!  
 Snatch manhood's sword from the scabbard of rust,  
 And strike till this curse be healed!

Let us hymn no more to Apollo and Pan!  
 What use in the face of a wrong,  
 To be wasting the life and the strength of a man  
 In a cowardly, meaningless song?

We are wearing the linen and purple rich,  
 Made of heart, of soul, and of brain,  
 Of the children who strain, and the women who stitch  
 Till their eyes burn out with pain.

Oh, down with your roses into the dust!  
 Let the lips of your song be sealed!  
 Awake your soul from its scabbard of rust,  
 And strike till this wrong be healed!

These stanzas breathe the spirit of a better and truer age as truly as the poetry of Ariosto breathed the prevailing spirit of his time. Just social conditions and an educational system broad enough to take in ethics in a vital way—these are the crying needs of our day; and there are many evidences that glimpses of their splendid possibilities flashed upon the mind of Sir Thomas More as he penned “Utopia.”



W. J. Bryan

# THE PRESIDENT'S CURRENCY PLAN.

---

BY HON. W. J. BRYAN, M. C.

---

ON the third day of December, 1894, the president of the United States sent to Congress a message which concluded with the recommendation of a plan for reforming the nation's currency. Mr. Cleveland raises an issue which will not be finally disposed of until bank notes are substituted for all government paper, or, until government paper is substituted for all bank notes. It may be interesting to note that the position taken upon this subject by the present Democratic president is exactly opposite to the position taken by the first Democratic president, Thomas Jefferson. Mr. Cleveland has elaborated the war cry, "The government must go out of the banking business" into the statement, "The absolute divorcement of the government from the business of banking is the ideal relationship of the government to the circulation of the currency of the country," thus declaring the issue of paper money to be a function of the bank. Mr. Jefferson, on the other hand, regarded the issue of paper money as more properly a function of government, and in a letter written to Mr. Rives, Nov. 28, 1819, declared in substance that the banks should go out of the governing business, saying, "Interdict forever, to both the state and national governments, the power of establishing any paper banks, for without this interdiction we shall have the same ebbs and flows of medium, and the same revolutions of property to go through every twenty or thirty years."

The plan proposed by Mr. Cleveland contemplates the annihilation of government paper, while that proposed by Mr. Jefferson contemplated the annihilation of bank paper. Which plan should be adopted? If those who prefer Mr. Jefferson's are disturbed by the expressions of contempt showered upon them by self-styled financiers, let them be consoled by a remembrance of the fact that the author of the Declaration of Independence did not escape attacks from the same source. In a letter written to ex-President Adams, Jan. 24, 1814, Mr. Jefferson said:—

I have ever been the enemy of banks, not of those discounting for cash, but of those foisting their own paper into circulation and thus banishing our cash. My zeal against those institutions was so warm

and open at the establishment of the bank of the United States that I was derided as a maniac by the tribe of bank-mongers who were seeking to filch from the public their swindling and barren gains.

“So persecuted they the prophets which were before you.”

The president's plan, outlined in the report of Secretary Carlisle, and later embodied in a bill presented by the secretary to Mr. Springer's committee on banking and currency, is in substance as follows: —

**SECTION 1.** — Repeals all laws authorizing or requiring the deposit of United States bonds as a security for national bank circulation.

**SEC. 2.** — Authorizes national banks to issue not to exceed seventy-five per cent of their paid up and unimpaired capital in notes, uniform in design, prepared by the secretary of the treasury (redeemable in gold for any bank that so desires), in denominations of ten dollars and multiples thereof, such circulating notes to be a first lien on all assets of the issuing bank. Secretary of the treasury to keep on hand blank notes for each bank to avoid delay. Bank must deposit with treasurer of the United States, United States legal tender notes and treasury notes to the amount of thirty per cent of bank notes applied for, same to be held as a guaranty fund.

**SEC. 3.** — Imposes a semi-annual tax of one fourth of one per cent on average circulation, in lieu of all existing taxes.

**SEC. 4.** — Requires each bank to redeem its own notes at par at its own office and at such agencies as may be designated by it for that purpose, and provides for withdrawal of guaranty fund in proportion to notes returned for cancellation.

**SEC. 5.** — Imposes a semi-annual tax of one fourth of one per cent on average circulation, for the creation of a safety fund, until such fund amounts to five per cent of total national bank circulation. Now banks must pay into the fund their *pro rata* share, but retiring banks cannot withdraw any part. The guaranty fund of insolvent banks is turned into the safety fund, and all notes are redeemed from latter fund. Safety fund can be replenished when necessary by an assessment on all banks *pro rata* on the amount of circulating notes, and assessed banks shall have a first lien on the assets of failed banks for the redemption of whose notes assessment is made.

**SEC. 6.** — Authorizes secretary of the treasury to invest safety fund in United States bonds, accruing interest to be added to the fund. Such bonds may be sold when necessary for redemption of circulating notes of failed banks.

**SEC. 7.** — Requires existing national banks to withdraw bonds and comply with this law on or before July 1, 1895.

**SEC. 8.** — Repeals Sections 9 and 12 of Act approved July 12, 1882, and Section 31 of Act of June 3, 1864.

Section 9, to be repealed, limits the total withdrawal of national bank notes to three millions of dollars in any calendar month, and forbids any bank increasing its circulation within six months after withdrawing any of its circulation (the purpose of the repeal being to give perfect freedom to banks to increase and decrease circulation at will). Section 12, to be repealed, authorizes the issue of gold certificates on gold deposited in the treasury. (Secretary of treasury thinks that the issue of gold certificates interferes with the accumulation of free gold in the treasury.) Section 31, to be repealed, requires national banks to keep a reserve fund equal to twenty-five per cent of deposits and capital stock in reserve cities, and fifteen per cent in other cities (the object of the repeal being



to leave each bank to determine for itself the amount of reserve to be held for the security of depositors).

SEC. 9. — Authorizes the secretary of the treasury, in his discretion, to use any surplus revenue for redemption and retirement of United States legal-tender notes, but aggregate amount of such legal-tender notes retired shall not exceed seventy per cent of national bank circulation taken out under this act. Hereafter no United States notes or treasury notes shall be issued in denominations of less than ten dollars, smaller denominations to be reissued in denominations of ten dollars and multiples thereof as they come into the treasury.

SEC. 10. — Exempts from present ten per cent tax notes of state banks which comply with certain conditions, substantially like those provided for national bank notes issued under this act, but without requiring the five per cent safety fund collected from all national banks.

SEC. 11. — Permits the use of distinctive bond paper for state bank notes, but provides that no state bank shall print or engrave its notes in similitude of a United States note or certificate, or national bank note.

It will be noticed that provision is made for a national bank currency and for a state bank currency. There are three objections, fundamental in character, which apply with equal force to banks of issue whether organized under national or state laws. The fact that a considerable profit can be derived by a bank from the issue of its own notes as money explains the interest which bankers take in this kind of currency, and suggests the first criticism to be made against the system.

The principle enunciated in 1776 that "all men are created equal," is generally accepted in the abstract, but it is difficult to secure its application in the concrete to all forms of legislation. And yet, who will deny that laws should be measured by this standard? All laws which grant valuable privileges to favored individuals are wrong, unless the real purpose of those laws is to advance the public good, leaving the special advantage as a mere incident, and even then it ought to be certain that the same good cannot be accomplished by impartial laws. The proposed plan confers a valuable privilege upon the bank of issue, and denies this privilege to other associations and individuals. If a bank organizes with a paid up capital of \$100,000 it can secure \$75,000 in bank notes by depositing United States notes, generally called greenbacks, and treasury notes to the amount of thirty per cent of the bank notes applied for. The money deposited offsets a like amount of bank notes issued, leaving the net gain to the bank in bank notes, \$52,500.

The bank must pay a tax of one half of one per cent annually upon the issue of \$75,000 to cover expenses, and for the first ten years must contribute an additional one half of one per cent to the safety fund. Without considering the indefinite liability which attaches to the assessments for failed banks, the issue is equivalent to a loan of the \$52,500 net circulation at a little less than one and one half per cent for the first ten years and at a

little more than one half of one per cent thereafter. If a farmer is willing to put up his farm instead of bank capital and accept all the conditions imposed upon a bank, why should he not in equity be allowed the same privileges? Is it fair to say to the farmer, "The government will not loan to you, but it will loan to the banker at a low rate, and he can loan to you at from six to ten per cent"? If it is wise for the government to loan money on banking capital, why should it not loan to the business man on his stock of goods, to the professional man on his library, to the street-car company on its franchise or to the railroad company on its road bed and rolling stock? Why not loan to states, counties, cities and townships on their bonds? This would save interest to the tax payers. In all these cases allowance could be made for the degree of security in the amount loaned.

At this time, when political discontent is manifesting itself in many ways, when criticism of class legislation is becoming frequent and forcible, is it wise to enact laws so conspicuously partial as that proposed by the administration plan? Favoritism breeds discord among citizens to-day as effectually as it did four thousand years ago among the brethren who tended their flocks in Dothan. It is not recorded of the original Joseph that he ever asked for a distinguishing mark of parental affection, but "the coat of many colors" is boldly demanded now by these modern dreamers who even in their waking hours expect the obeisance of all. If a plan can be devised which will meet the requirements of commerce and supply for the people a money good in quality and sufficient in quantity, without showing favoritism to a particular class, it ought to be accepted in preference to a bank note system desired by banks for the interest of banks.

The second objection urged against the bank note system is that it gives to private individuals control of the volume of the currency. When we remember that the purchasing power of each dollar is affected by a change in the volume of the currency, we can appreciate the immense influence which can be exerted over the value of all property by those who regulate the amount of money.

Section 8 of the bill repeals all present restrictions on national banks and allows them to increase or decrease their circulation at will, while Section 2 provides that the secretary of the treasury shall keep blank notes on hand to guard against delay. The power to control the volume of the currency can only be entrusted to private individuals or corporations on one of two theories; either bank managers are unselfish and will always regulate the amount of money for the benefit of the public, taking it for granted that

they always know just how much is needed, or, being selfish, the banks will always find it profitable to increase the volume of the currency when the people need more money, and to decrease it when less money is desired. The history of national banks proves that the circulation of bank notes depends upon the profit of the circulation and not upon the demand for money. In the testimony taken by the banking and currency committee, one of the questions asked in regard to each plan was, "Will the profit to the banks be sufficient to induce them to take out circulating notes?" If, then, we take it for granted that their action will be determined by the amount of profit promised, we must conclude that they will not hesitate to use the power to expand or contract the currency whenever there is an advantage to be gained by doing so. That banks can act in concert when their interests demand it, is certain; that they have acted in concert is equally certain; that they will again act in concert when occasion requires cannot be doubted. It will be easy enough to find an excuse for either increasing or decreasing the currency when money is to be made by it.

Whenever the free coinage of silver is broached the financiers shout in chorus that we have plenty of money now, and point to the surplus in the banks as conclusive evidence of a redundant currency, and yet every plan proposed by the financiers for the issue of bank paper contemplates an increase in the circulation. Those who fear a flood of good money, if it is to be issued by the government, and yet are ready to welcome a flood of bad money if it can be issued by themselves, will be able to reason themselves into favoring any volume of currency that is profitable. We do not expect perfection in any currency system, any more than we expect perfection in other things under human control; but which is the safer plan, to trust the banks or to trust the government? If we trust the government, the volume of the currency will be regulated by representatives of the people who act openly and are responsible to their constituents. While there is danger that the currency may be subject to expansion or contraction, as one influence or another may predominate in the legislature, yet the danger is not so great as when the banks have control, for they are responsible to no one but themselves and may act in secret council. If representatives lack knowledge on a financial question, the financiers are always willing to give information, but if bank managers lack a desire to care for the public interest more than for their own, who can supply this lack?

A third objection to any kind of banks of issue is that such banks, when once organized, become interested in preventing any legislation which will interfere with their business. It is

much more difficult to withdraw a privilege than to grant it, and banks of issue, if established, will soon claim a vested right in the issue of paper money. It is impossible to overestimate the importance of this objection. The influence of a bank is far-reaching, and can be exerted on debtors as well as on stockholders, so that it can bring an immense pressure to bear against legislation which it considers hostile. This criticism does not apply to the banking business alone. Any business is likely to look after its own interests, and very naturally so, but is it wise, if it can be avoided, to give to so influential a business a pecuniary interest in the currency? In the nineteenth chapter of Acts is recorded an instance where the preaching of Paul was objected to because it interfered with an occupation. Demetrius, the silversmith, was not the last man to drown the voice of truth with praise of Diana, because the making of shrines for the goddess "brought no small gain unto the craftsmen." It is possible that we may make the restoration of a really sound currency more difficult if we establish a few thousand banks, state and national, and set them to work making currency notes, which are at best but images of money.

The excuse usually given for an immediate change in our currency system is, that greenbacks and treasury notes are being used to withdraw gold from the treasury. This argument may sound strange, coming from those who are sometimes suspected of withdrawing gold from the treasury for the purpose of securing bonds as an investment for surplus capital, but the argument is intended to impress those who oppose an increase of the public debt. The same cry was raised against the treasury notes issued under the Sherman law; in fact, in the public mind that was one of the great objections to the notes issued for silver purchases. It was said that we must stop issuing coin certificates because they were being used to withdraw gold. It was not sufficient to reply that the one hundred millions gold reserve could be withdrawn three times over with greenbacks, not to speak of the reissue of greenbacks, even if every coin certificate were destroyed. But the clamor continued. Nor is it sufficient now to assert the self-evident truth that one hundred millions of greenbacks or treasury notes outstanding, or even fifty millions, can be used as effectively as the total five hundred millions to drain the treasury of gold, so long as the option to demand gold is exercised by the note holder. The advocates of a bank currency seek to justify their demand on the ground that the gold reserve must be protected, and then propose a plan which brings no relief whatever. The administration plan requires the deposit of thirty per cent of the desired bank circulation, and in order to protect the treasury gold that deposit must be made in

greenbacks and treasury notes ; but the amount of this kind of paper outstanding is nearly five hundred millions, so that it will require a net increase of paper currency of more than one billion dollars in bank notes to absorb all the government paper calling for coin. If such an increase in government paper or in silver were proposed, what a wild and reckless scheme of inflation it would seem to the advocates of "good money."

But let us suppose that some plan is devised which will take out of circulation all paper issued by the government and payable in coin on demand ; will that protect the gold reserve ? Not at all. The government paper is presented because the gold is desired and because that is the easiest way of obtaining it, so long as the secretary of the treasury gives the option to the note holder to demand gold. The secretary holds that a refusal to furnish gold on demand would send gold to a premium and leave us on a silver basis. When the greenbacks and treasury notes are all gone a demand will at once be made for the redemption in gold of silver dollars and silver certificates, and the same argument will be made, that any failure on the part of the government to redeem a silver dollar with gold will bring commercial ruin. Mr. C. C. Jackson of Boston, who appeared before the banking and currency committee, insisted that any plan adopted for the reform of the currency should provide for "the slow and gradual cancellation of greenbacks and treasury notes and oblige the treasury to give anybody who asked for it gold dollars in exchange for silver dollars." The above language is quoted from a letter dated Nov. 23, 1894, and sent by him to members of Congress, but the same argument was made by him when he testified before the committee on banking and currency, and he assured the committee that he expressed the opinion of the Boston brokers.

It is evident, therefore, that the administration plan does not afford any real relief to the treasury from the drain on its gold, and it is further evident that those who are urging the cancellation of greenbacks and treasury notes at this time have as a part of their purpose — generally concealed — the ultimate destruction of silver as money of redemption.

The currency provided by the proposed plan is not absolutely safe. The Baltimore plan contemplated a government guaranty. Such a provision would make the paper as good as greenbacks, but no better. To be sure, it would be a partnership in which the banks would receive the profits and the government would stand the loss. The president's plan protects the government from loss, but does so at the expense of security to note holders. In prosperous times a guaranty fund of thirty per cent of each bank's circulation, and a general safety fund of five per cent of

all circulation, with right of assessment, would probably secure note holders against final loss; but during such a panic as we had in 1893 the constant fear of loss on bank notes would increase the run of depositors and hasten a collapse. It is the storm rather than the calm that tests the strength of the ship, and we can hardly afford to adopt a currency system which will add confusion just at a time when good money is most needed. The state bank notes, permitted by the plan, are much less secure than the national currency provided for, because they are secured by no general safety fund. The temptation to counterfeit will be greater also in case of state bank notes.

The proposed plan requires each bank to redeem its notes at its own office, and at such agencies as it may establish. If these notes are good enough to circulate among the people they ought to be so good that there would be no danger in compelling each bank to redeem the paper of every other bank. If bank notes have a general circulation they will become widely scattered, and redemption at the bank of issue will be practically impossible without considerable expense and delay. They are not a legal tender, but are expected to take the place of legal tender greenbacks and treasury notes. As a result, the people will be using money which can only pay debts by unanimous consent, and the debtor will be constantly in danger of being compelled to shave his bank notes in order to pay what he owes. Since the banks have better facilities than the individual for collection, and since they are finally liable through assessments for the payment of the notes, they certainly ought to be required to redeem each other's notes in lawful money on demand, so that the people, if they are compelled to use bank notes, may be able to convert them at any time and without inconvenience into legal tender money.

The agencies suggested in the bill will of course be located in the large cities, and the money deposited at the agencies for purposes of redemption will increase the congestion of money at money centres and give such centres a great advantage over other communities.

There is another objection which ought not to be overlooked. The proposed plan will make depositors less secure, since the banks are, on the one hand, relieved of the legal necessity of keeping a reserve for the protection of depositors, while, on the other hand, the liabilities of each bank are increased because of possible assessments to pay notes of failed banks. The additional risk to depositors will doubtless make them more timid in times of threatened panic, and hence more liable to embarrass the bank by a run.

If the proposed plan is generally accepted by the banks, it will



cause an immediate inflation of the currency, with the possible effect of expelling gold from the country; if it is not generally accepted by the national banks now in existence, the provision requiring the withdrawal of bonds before July 1, 1895, will probably cause a contraction of the currency.

To summarize: The president's plan gives a special privilege to a favored class; surrenders the control of the volume of paper money to private corporations; builds up an influential class which will be interested in preventing all legislation hostile to its business; substitutes non-legal tender paper for legal tender paper, and lessens the security of bank depositors. And all this without bringing any real relief to the sacred gold reserve.

If the secretary of the treasury would exercise the option vested in him by law, and redeem coin obligations in silver when silver is more convenient, the treasury would no longer be at the mercy of those who may for selfish interests conspire to withdraw gold and force an issue of bonds. The plan proposed by the president is worse than a makeshift—it is a surrender of a portion of sovereignty itself, and will be as futile to bring back prosperity as was the repeal of the purchasing clause of the Sherman Law.

It is to be regretted that the chief magistrate did not propose a substantial remedy for our financial ills. We suffer from a disease which is world-wide in its extent, namely, the appreciation of gold. There is but one remedy, the restoration of silver; and the longer we delay, the greater will be the difficulty in applying it. When the United States, without awaiting the aid or consent of any other nation, opens its mints to the free and unlimited coinage of gold and silver at the present legal ratio of sixteen to one, it will bring real relief to its people and will lead the way to the restoration of bimetallism throughout the world. It will then be prepared to perfect its financial system by furnishing a paper money invested with legal tender qualities and sufficient in volume to supply the needs of commerce. Its paper money will not be loaned then to favorites, but will be paid out in the expenses of government so that all may receive the benefits in decreased taxes.



# THE CHICAGO POPELIST CAMPAIGN.

BY WILLIS J. ABBOT.

THEY who watched the People's Party movement in Chicago this autumn from its inception to the close of the campaign are surprised that the vote of the party was so small, and perhaps almost as much surprised that it was so large.

In certain respects Chicago was, and is, the ideal place for beginning the welding of the radical reform elements in the artisan class with the radicals of the rural districts who, despite the cant about the conservatism of the "independent farmer," are leading the national revolt against the monopoly of money and of transportation. If anything is to come of a third party it must be through the union of these elements. The radical movement is strong in Chicago, and though split there, as everywhere, into half a dozen schools of reform thought more or less antagonistic — the socialists, anarchists, single taxers and trades unionists having each a considerable following — all were in this campaign brought into reasonable harmony of action. It must be noted that the third party campaign in Cook County — which is mainly Chicago — was a Populist movement in name chiefly. The Omaha platform, as qualified by the platform adopted by the reform conference at Springfield, July 4, was accepted as the declaration of principles by which candidates and speakers were guided. The very slender Populist organization existing in the city at the time the convention was called was given generous recognition therein, and the name of the People's Party was used in the campaign and on the official ballot. But in all essentials the movement was a labor movement, having its first inception in a labor union, supported throughout by the efforts of organized labor and drawing its principal support at the polls from the manual working class. It was such a movement as might and should be organized in Boston, Philadelphia or any other great industrial city where no Populist organization exists. Assuming the name of the People's Party and coöperating with the organizations of that party in the country districts gives to the labor movement thus conducted a national character and an enthusiasm which it can in no other way attain.

That there would be a third party ticket in Cook County in

Willis J. Abbot.



the fall was pretty well understood in labor circles in the early summer of 1894. It had been discussed freely in the carpenters' council, in the Central Labor Union and in other labor organizations, though definite steps in that direction had not been taken. July 4 there met in Springfield a state convention of delegates from labor organizations and reform clubs of every kind. It was, as was to be expected, a heterogeneous and not wholly harmonious gathering, and upon it the talented young gentlemen of the Chicago dailies exercised their powers of satire and ridicule to their hearts' content and with the entire approval of their masters, for there was not in the whole assemblage at Springfield a "merchant prince," a "railroad king" or even a "prominent citizen" — only the men whose labor enables others to be princes, kings and prominent citizens in a theoretical democracy. Doubtless there was some reason for the merriment of the hired jesters, for seldom was gathered a body of men more difficult to handle than then. The socialists and single taxers of Chicago, between whom rages a feud as bitter as it is unintelligent, glared at each other and both looked askance at the philosophical anarchists — and some not wholly philosophical — who were well represented. Delegates from that continually decreasing school of trades unionism which holds that all industrial ills can be cured by organization of the workers without political action, were there, and joined the farmer delegates from the Populist organization in listening with mingled amazement and contempt to the arguments and wrangles of the champions of rival schools of economic thought.

The most violent debate occurred on the effort to incorporate in the declaration of principles, or platform, that pronouncement for the public ownership of all means of production and distribution known widely as "Plank 10." This was urged strenuously by the socialist delegates from Chicago and combatted as fiercely by the single tax delegates, the few anarchists and most of the farmer representatives. The struggle over the proposition was prolonged and bitter, but a happy compromise was finally effected by Mr. Henry D. Lloyd, well known to readers of *THE ARENA*, who presented to and carried through the convention a resolution urging members of the People's Party to vote for such candidates at the pending election as would "pledge themselves to the principle of the collective ownership by the people of all such means of production and distribution as the people elect to operate for the commonwealth." Before this diplomatic presentment of the socialistic theory factious antagonisms disappeared and the conference adjourned after recommending independent political action by the bodies therein represented. Its full platform declared for

the nationalization of railroads and mines, the municipal ownership of street railways and electric light plants, "such taxation as shall compel the using of land to make ownership profitable, and also favor local option in taxation," the issuance of legal tender notes, the establishment of an eight-hour day, proportional representation, the initiative and referendum, and for other reforms commonly regarded with favor in Populist assemblies. This platform was, in the main, followed by those who formulated the later declaration of principles for the Cook County People's Party, the Lloyd plank being expressly reaffirmed.

Pursuant to the plan outlined at Springfield, a convention was soon after called at Bricklayer's Hall in Chicago to nominate a full People's Party ticket for Cook County. As it meant the formation of an entirely new organization the plan for the convention was necessarily experimental. Every labor union and every "reform club" was allowed a certain number of delegates to each one hundred members it had enrolled, while the regular Populist organization in the county, which was in existence but wholly without political power, was assigned a fixed number of delegates. The immediate effect of this arrangement was the sudden and amazing multiplication of trades unions and reform clubs, and a most abnormal increase in the reported memberships of such organizations as already had recognized existence. It was evident that conflicting interests were endeavoring to control the convention. The rivalry between the individualists and the socialists was to some extent responsible for this effort, but a more forceful and more sinister cause was to be found in the determination of the Democratic machine in Chicago to use the People's Party movement to its profit or to break it up. Many mushroom clubs and unions were formed by old party politicians, Democrats undoubtedly being the more active in the underhanded work, in the expectation of forcing many of their henchmen upon the convention as delegates, and either controlling it or bringing it to an impotent conclusion. The full programme of these plotters, however, was defeated by a very efficient committee on credentials which, several days before the convention, considered all applications for representation and relentlessly weeded out scores of "Karl Marx Clubs," "Political Assemblies," and bogus labor unions of divers kinds.

It must be remembered that the work of preparation for the convention went on while the sympathetic strike and boycott of the railroads, growing out of the Pullman strike and led by the American Railway Union, was in progress. The great mass of the working people of Chicago sympathized warmly with the strike and bitterly denounced the course of the newspapers, which — with the single exception of the *Chicago Times* —

applauded the general managers' association, vilified the strikers, exaggerated their reports of violence and outlawry and did all that lay in their power to foment riot and strife. The utterances and demeanor of the workingmen, even those in organizations wholly disconnected with the strike, seemed to indicate that they would as a body vote against both parties represented by the "capitalistic" press. Conservative labor unions voted to join in political action and selected delegates to the People's Party convention. Mr. Debs, who was, and is, personally exceedingly popular with organized labor in Chicago, spoke publicly in furtherance of the movement. The Democratic politicians, entrenched in the city hall and alarmed for the continuance of their power, made every effort to avert the threatened revolt of the working classes — normally Democrats.

When the convention was assembled the legitimate delegates found numbers of easily recognized "heelers" and "strikers" of both old parties on the floor bent on making trouble. Some had sneaked in by a back entrance, a few through a window, some by means of forged credentials, others by correct credentials forcibly taken from regular delegates whom they had waylaid in the street outside. Not a few violently pushed past the ticket takers at the door. The convention had hardly been called to order when it was thrown in confusion and turmoil by people who were there for that purpose. The police, who doubtless had their orders, looked placidly on while the disturbers had their way, as they had looked on the struggle at the doors and the highway robberies in the street outside. Finally the chairman in despair declared the convention adjourned. There was enough irregularity about his action to threaten serious results. When the regular convention was called for a few days later by the properly constituted authority, it was discovered that the Democrats had won over an official of the first convention who claimed authority to call the adjourned meeting. This he did and nominated a ticket made up principally of nominees on the regular Democratic ticket. The main body of the original convention meantime met at Uhlich's Hall and nominated a straight Populist ticket. It is significant that they declined police protection, availing themselves of the offer of the Turn Verein which furnished some thirty athletes in gymnasium garb who kept that perfect order which the city police had pretended to be unable to enforce at Bricklayer's Hall.

It is not necessary here to go into details as to the *personnel* of the People's Party ticket. Enough to say that not all the fierce light which a hostile press threw upon it showed any save honest and intelligent men thereon. In the seven congressional districts within the limits of Cook County, the Populist nominees

were, man for man, with possibly two exceptions, superior in ability and in character to either of the old party candidates in any district. There were radical thinkers among them — men little versed in the quibbling ways of the politician and who spoke out bravely for the single tax, for the coöperative commonwealth, for a government divorced from Wall Street bankers, and which would not put its troops at the disposal of the men who unwarrantably hold our highways. Their bold utterances disquieted many possessors of governmental privilege who, being unable to answer with argument, straightway employed the servile press to denounce the whole movement as one of “anarchists” — a word which in Chicago is accepted by the comfortable classes as evidence, argument and verdict.

The campaign which followed the nomination of the People's Party ticket was a model for all independent movements. Chicago is fortunate in having a number of brilliant men, many of them in the prime of life, who are radical in thought and intolerant of the restrictions imposed upon them by old party ties. Such men as Henry D. Lloyd, Clarence S. Darrow, Howard S. Taylor and John Z. White flung themselves into the campaign with enthusiasm bred of conviction. Some were candidates, others not, but the candidates knew they were enlisted in a hopeless fight, and their efforts were as wholly unselfish as those of the volunteers. The first great triumph for the new party was the appearance of Judge Lyman Trumbull, for many years senator of the United States, and the author of the civil rights bill, as the principal speaker at one of its meetings. Henry George, Dr. McGlynn, Governor Waite, all lent their voices to its cause. Mass meetings were held weekly in the largest of the down-town halls, which were crowded to the doors, although no money was spent on advertising, brass bands or the other devices by which the old parties lured voters to their meetings. The collections taken up at these meetings in most cases nearly met all expenses for hall rent and incidentals. So for several weeks progressed the campaign. Visible enthusiasm for the People's Party ticket was most impressive. The old party leaders, with all their experience and with their facilities for polling the city, were utterly unable to estimate the strength of this new rival. The Republicans, who at the outset had encouraged the movement as one which would draw principally from Democratic ranks, became alarmed lest it should defeat them as well. The Democrats, despairing, made efforts to deprive the Populists of proper place on the official ballot, thus to disenfranchise them upon a shallow pretext which the county judge with whom the decision rested very justly set aside. It may be worth while to note in passing that this honorable judge, who was then a candidate for



reflection, was, perhaps because of this decision, very heavily "scratched" in those precincts in which the Democratic machine is most powerful. The last People's Party meeting held during the campaign resulted in gathering not less than fifteen thousand people, and left the old party leaders utterly at sea as to the vote which this new party would cast at the election three days thereafter.

That vote was, in round numbers, thirty thousand in the average, thirty-four thousand for the most popular candidate. No congressman was elected. John Z. White, single taxpayer, running in a district favorable to People's Party teachings, received over eight thousand votes, the largest number cast for any congressional candidate of the People's Party. Henry D. Lloyd, socialist, running in the district least favorable to the new party, received the next largest number, more than seven thousand. In the distinctively workingmen's districts the People's Party vote was wholly disappointing.

I have said that the People's Party vote in Cook County seems to us who watched the campaign with interest and with hope, at once less and more than might have been expected. Let me explain the paradox. It seems inexplicable that in a great manufacturing city, with workingmen in labor unions to the number of more than one hundred thousand, and with many others not organized, a city which has seen its central park turned into a camp for federal troops marshalled against workingmen by order of a Democratic president, a city which has seen its Republican press and its Democratic press join in denouncing all strikers and in demanding their blood, a city in which both old parties, so far as their relations to the workingmen are concerned, are as like as tweedledum and tweedledee—it seems inexplicable that in such a city, with such experience fresh in their memories, only thirty thousand people should have voted for the party which stood boldly in antagonism to the aggressions, the intolerance, the brutalities of the privileged classes.

Yet on the other hand, when we remember that the People's Party had no campaign fund wrung from city employees, or contributed by corporations and capitalists expecting valuable favors from public officials at the expense of the public; when we reflect that it had no daily newspaper press enlisted in its behalf; that its workers had to earn their living by day and serve the party by night instead of drawing salaries from the city treasury and giving their whole time to politics; that the efforts, both covert and overt, of the old parties were to break up the movement; that the press dubbed it "anarchistic," and employers and moneyed men looked askance upon men bold or rash enough

to declare themselves Populists; and finally, when we consider that in the counting of the votes when cast no Populist had a hand, nor over it any supervision, — even those of us who were most sanguine before election must admit that those thirty thousand votes cast, counted and recorded, represent an achievement to be proud of, and one which gives earnest of greater triumphs yet to come.

So long as the two old parties cling to their present policy there will be a People's Party movement in Chicago — or a propaganda for the advancement of the principles of the People's Party under some other name. In Chicago radical thought thrives, grows and will be dominant. But perhaps the sole danger which threatens independent political action in that city is the tendency to be too radical, to ignore the political necessity for temporizing and compromise — a necessity ignoble, perhaps, but which still exists. An anecdote may illustrate the point. A People's Party candidate, a member of the single tax club who mingled in the very thickest of the fray, said to the writer, "We would have had a bigger vote had the socialist plank been left out of the platform and had the socialists been less prominent in the campaign. Many workingmen who would have rallied to a party proclaiming 'Equal rights to all, special privileges to none,' were affrighted by the apparent domination of the party by socialists. I know it was so in my district, and I know too that the socialists knifed me and every other single taxpayer on the ticket." Within a few hours the writer received a letter from a man whom all aggressive reformers in Chicago hold as the very foremost in their work in which he said in effect: "Lay stress upon the prominent place in this campaign given to the plea for the coöperative commonwealth. I employed it in all my speeches and it always aroused the audience to the utmost enthusiasm." The one held the socialistic feature in the People's Party programme its source of greatest weakness, the other believed it to be its greatest strength.

Now that force in politics which for lack of a better name we call Populism is going to grow in Chicago. It suits the temper of a great section of the workingmen and has the added strength of having several men of liberal education and notable talents interested in and committed to it. What is chiefly necessary to its fullest development is a declaration of principles to which all radical reformers will subscribe, under which the most radical reforms may be undertaken by its elected representatives, yet which will not be so radical as to frighten away the timid voter, nor so wholly committed to the advancement of one economic theory as to antagonize the proponents of all others. The land question, the transportation question, the currency question,

might well be given chief prominence as the problems nearest at hand and most feasible of immediate solution. The collective ownership of the means of production and distribution — a dogma to which no one who has studied the progressive effects of labor-displacing machinery can lightly take exception — should also be given place in the platform, but distinctly as a reform to follow the accomplishment of the other three, if it shall then appear necessary. With this stop. Long platforms confuse. Men elected to representative bodies, who have subscribed to radical pronouncements on these four issues, may be trusted to meet the lesser and temporary issues of the day and deal with them in the spirit of the great common people. With such a platform, effective work can be done by the Populist-labor party not in Chicago alone but in all the great cities of the land.

# THE COMING TRIENNIAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF THE UNITED STATES AT WASHINGTON.

---

BY THE COUNTESS OF ABERDEEN.

---

WITHOUT a doubt, a movement towards unity and union is in the air. Everywhere do we see traces of its influence — whether in the drawing together of various rival nations into closer bonds of friendship for great international purposes, or in conferences between the representatives of the ancient churches, or in the constant formation of new leagues and associations and unions for the promoting of this or that object in church or state, affecting sometimes the world itself, at other times an individual country or maybe but a small town or village in that country, in their operations.

Our day has learned the lesson that “union is strength,” and the women of our times have at last caught up the note. For many years a sort of fixed idea existed that women had an inherent incapacity for combination and coöperation. “Women could never work together” — so it was said. That is not said now. That fancy has been shown to be a false one and women have proved their ability for organization and for deriving benefit and strength from common work in the numberless societies which they are managing throughout the world with such far-reaching results. It is interesting to inquire into the history of these various bodies, and to find how comparatively recent is the growth of even those whose names are now as household words amongst us.

For many centuries organized woman’s work existed only in the convents of our Roman Catholic sisters; all honor to them for the noble work which they have accomplished for the poor, the sick, the afflicted and the young, all through the ages of the Christian church down to our own day. The Quaker women next showed us what could be achieved by earnest, undaunted, womanly women banding themselves together for works of charity and love. But apart from these, what shall we find if we look back a hundred years? Only a few brave-hearted, large-minded women here and there working in loneliness —

opposed, misunderstood and ridiculed for their efforts to benefit their fellow-creatures and to alleviate the misery and woe which was left unheeded around them on every side.

We have entered into the results of the labors of these pioneers, and it is good for the workers of these days, to whom so many doors of usefulness are open, and to whom the way has been made so plain, to read and meditate over the circumstances surrounding the work of such women as Sarah Martin and Mrs. Fry. What would these noble women say if they could come back amongst us and mark the change from their day; how not only in every town but almost in every village can be found societies for all manner of religious, philanthropic, educational, literary and artistic effort; how the work of women is welcomed and recognized and honored, and how all doors are now open to train them for a useful life's work in any direction? It would not be surprising if they were somewhat bewildered by the multiplicity of the activities existing amongst us, and in truth we all admit that we are often tempted to groan within ourselves day by day as the post brings us appeals for sympathy and help and coöperation, for some fresh attempt to carry out some mission, or to start some new institution for the benefit of humanity. There seems, as it is, to be scarcely room for those already existing.

We are often barely aware of the very *names* of many of the existing associations in our own districts, much less can we be in touch with them. And so it comes about that walls grow up between various sections of workers. They neither know nor appreciate one another's work — nay, they are sometimes disposed to be jealous and prejudiced in regard to it; associations overlap into one another's province, strife and envy enter in, an unholy competition is set on foot as to which church or society shall gain the most success or the greatest number of adherents, and the real usefulness and inner life of the work itself is destroyed. It is this position of matters which has brought about a longing for some link between all our many organizations which, while not interfering with the internal regulations of any society, will yet have the power of bringing all workers for the good of the community into connection with one another for ends common to all.

It is this longing which has brought about the federation of a large number of kindred societies and which has been the main-spring of so many of the conferences and congresses of which we hear. It is this longing which during the past six or seven years has gradually been evolving the National Union of Workers in Great Britain out of the annual conferences which have been held yearly since 1888 with ever-increasing benefit to those who have

taken part in them, and whose latest session last October in Glasgow attracted much attention. It is this longing which has created the National Council of Women of the United States, the National Council of Women of Canada and similar bodies amongst the women of France and Germany. See the expression of this longing in the basis drawn up in 1888 by the originators of the council idea : —

We, the women of the United States, sincerely believing that the best good of our homes and nation will be advanced by our own greater unity of thought, sympathy and purpose, and that an organized movement of women will best conserve the highest good of the family and the state, do hereby band ourselves together in a confederation of workers committed to the overthrow of all forms of ignorance and injustice, and to the application of the Golden Rule to society, custom and law.

And see in the following article the safeguards for the organizations who join the council : —

This council is organized in the interest of *no one propaganda*, and has no power over its auxiliaries beyond that of suggestion and sympathy; therefore no society voting to become auxiliary to this council shall thereby render itself liable to be interfered with in respect to its complete organic unity, independence or methods of work, or become committed to any principle or method of any other society, or to any utterance or act of the council itself beyond compliance with the terms of the constitution.

It may be mentioned that the terms of the constitution simply involve agreement with the general basis quoted above, a vote of each individual association federating to join the council, and payment of the dues prescribed.

It argues great foresight and breadth of mind in those who drew up this constitution that from the beginning they should have so definitely laid down the principle that no federated society could be interfered with nor committed by any act or utterance of the council, and that the council itself is distinctly stated to have been formed for the furtherance of *no one propaganda*.

Very plain speaking was needed on these points, for the mere fact that the national and international councils were originated by women who had been mainly identified with the movement for the extension of the suffrage to women, and the further fact that the first president of the National Council of Women of the United States was the much beloved president of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, have led to a belief that the council is pledged as a council first and foremost to further the temperance cause and the woman suffrage movement. If this were the case the main object of the council would be destroyed, for in order to make it of real service to the country it must include representatives from *all* sections of workers, from *all*

classes, from *all* creeds and churches, from organizations holding many different, yes, even many opposing, views — only all pledged to do something, according to their own lights, towards furthering “the application of the Golden Rule to society, custom and law.”

The advocates of rival schemes for education and reform can all join on such a basis and each have an opportunity of supporting its views before the others, and the public; ardent woman suffragists and workers who oppose all participation by women in public affairs can both make their voices heard, and yet feel that they are both actuated by the same high motive; women who believe that prohibition and total abstinence are the only methods of solving the drink question, and others who fear that legislation in this direction would be followed by a lamentable reaction, can learn to believe in each other's single mindedness by meeting in this common council; and women of the highest culture and education can join hand in hand with women whose lives give no opportunity for study, but who are giving their best — their all — to redeem the world according to the mission which they feel has been entrusted to them.

The National Council of the United States is at present simply composed of national organizations, but the list of the seventeen which have affiliated amply testifies to the diversity of operations represented in education, literature, social reform, philanthropic, religious and missionary work. Seven hundred thousand women are already represented, not to mention many more thousands who have joined the local councils which have been formed, and which are composed of local associations of women in the same way as the National Council is composed of national organizations of women.

It is hoped that, at the coming triennial meeting of the National Council at Washington, from February 17 to March 2, 1895, a plan may be formulated whereby local councils can have representatives on the national council and whereby state councils composed of state associations may also be formed and represented.

But it may well be said, “All this is very well and the constitution looks very well on paper, but why should you think that it has the power of attaining its aims — of bringing about ‘greater unity of thought, purpose and sympathy, and the furtherance of the application of the Golden Rule to society, custom and law’?” “What is really its use?” “What are you going to *do*?” are questions with which organizers of the council are very familiar.

I am not sufficiently familiar with the work of either the local or national councils in the United States to be able to give any testimony as to what they have already effected. But, in com-



mon with many thousands of other women, I can testify to the deep impression and lasting influence exercised by the Women's Congress at Chicago during the World's Fair, which after all, was in truth an International Council of Women on a grand scale, foreshadowing what a permanent international body of this sort might mean, if it could directly and officially represent national councils of women in all civilized countries.

I can testify to the results of the Conferences of Women Workers in Great Britain, to which allusion has already been made, gathered from all parts of the country and representing many different schools of thought. And I can testify to what the National Council of Women of Canada is doing already in this country, although it has been in existence for only one brief year. Its constitution and the relation of local councils to the National Council varies somewhat from that adopted in the United States, but the basis is practically the same, and it insists equally on the absolute freedom from interference which each federated society must enjoy and on the fact that the council exists for no one propaganda, but seeks to be a link between all workers.

Our National Council and our fifteen local councils are led by many of the most representative women in Canada, belonging not only to all sections of the Anglican and Protestant churches, but also to the Roman Catholic church and to the Jews. Every variety of effort for the good of body, mind and soul has its adherents in our ranks, and we have enlisted the cordial approval and coöperation of most of the clergy and of many of the leading men, notably that of the noble-minded and able prime minister whose irreparable loss Canada mourns to-day.

Again and again during the past year have I had the opportunity of seeing packed halls of earnest-faced women, Roman Catholics, Protestants, Jews, Liberals and Conservatives, rich and poor, sitting side by side listening eagerly to explanations of the council's aims, or reports of the work carried on by different bodies in our own district, or of work which needed to be done, and bending together in prayer to our common Father in Heaven to bless and direct all our various work. Is this in itself a small thing? Must not the mere fact of gatherings so constituted taking place tend towards the unity which we have set before us as our ideal?

It must in any case enable the public to acquire some knowledge of the work that is being done in their midst, and we well know how even in a comparatively small place people are often unaware of what is being done by the different societies and institutions. But it is well for us that we should know what is being accomplished, even though we may not be able to take a

personal part in it; it draws out our sympathy; it widens our charity to know of the noble work that is being accomplished by other bodies — bodies against which we may perhaps have had some prejudice; it deepens our faith, and we learn to be humble as we are taught the truth of how much we have to learn from one another, as well as to teach one another. That is a wonderful lesson to ourselves, and often a much needed one — to take as well as to give; to cultivate a teachable mind, ready to receive light and truth from any quarter; but it is one that opens a new world to us, and sends us to our homes rejoicing to know how God is working by many and divers means for His good end.

Then, too, these council meetings give many institutions and organizations opportunities for bringing their various needs forward — their needs either for material help or for more workers; and it is the means of drawing into actual work some of the younger women who have not yet found their vocation, but who are stirred to action by hearing what is being done by others. It has a further advantage in enabling any general need in the city or district to be brought before the public — some general want which all citizens in the place are concerned in relieving, and which if they determine together shall receive attention, will undoubtedly be taken in hand by those who can meet such need. These are, I think, the chief benefits which come to any particular district through the establishment of such a council.

I cannot give you any hard and fast lines on which these councils shall develop. When a council is first formed, there are often at first many inquiries as to what work it can take up, but if it is in good hands a very few meetings suffice to show the vast field which exists for its energies, even without leaving that department of home life which we recognize ever as woman's first mission. The care and sanitation of the home, the nurture of the children, their physical, mental, moral and spiritual education, offers by itself wide opportunities for the deepening of the sense of responsibility amongst our mothers and a sense of how much we all need light and training in these matters so essentially our own; and this brings us to consider our own physical and mental, moral and spiritual needs — how they can be supplied so as to fit us for our life's work, so as to fit us for raising that high ideal of life and duty in all our departments of the home, or in the social and public life with which we are in touch.

We cannot think of these things without having our more public responsibilities pressed upon us, at least as far as concerns the poor, the sick, the orphans and the erring ones in our own town and district. How to do our duty towards these, without pauperizing them; how to inspire the rising generation with a

high sense of patriotism and of a citizen's duty; how to develop a proper estimate of the value of skilled and trained manual and industrial work, — these are some of the subjects which have engaged our attention, and the fruits of this consideration are already being manifested in a practical way in different directions according to the needs of the locality.

We have reason to be very thankful for the *tone* which has existed amongst the women who have taken up our council in Canada, for everywhere it has been marked by earnestness, by an entire want of that aggressiveness towards men which must mar any movement where it appears, and a deep consciousness that, whatever may be the work to which woman may be called in these days, if she is to succeed in it, she must take her *womanliness* into it with her, that womanliness which means that her woman's duties in her home life have taught her to forget self and to live for others and thus to learn the secret which alone can regenerate the world.

Having watched these results already flowing from our Canadian Council, I am glad to respond to the invitation of the editor of THE ARENA to witness to the essential good which we may expect from the development of the council idea, joining together in the golden bonds of a united sisterhood the women workers of each town and city and district and country, and afterwards going out further and uniting together in the same way the women workers of the world. We owe much to the women who developed this idea, and I am myself deeply impressed with the responsibility of finding myself so unexpectedly placed at the head of this international movement.

I am sure that many, many women are looking forward with me to further inspiration and help from the triennial meeting of the United States Council at Washington, and I would fain hope that, both in the United States and in all other countries where councils are started, all women's organizations may perceive the duty of joining hands from the beginning, so that all sections of the community may have their legitimate share in moulding a force which may be destined to count for much in the future history of our race and of the world.

# AN OPEN LETTER TO SENATOR JOHN SHERMAN.

---

BY GEORGE W. PEPPERELL.

---

*Hon. John Sherman, U. S. S.,*

SIR: It is impossible for a prominent man who has played an active part in the public affairs of a great nation to escape history. During the past thirty years, few men have been more prominent and active in the public affairs of the United States than yourself. You have helped to make much of the most important history that this country has witnessed in that period. You have not been preëminently active with that great war power known as "the sword," which overcomes an enemy in the field, but you have been an adept with that other and greater war power known as "the purse." You have not slain very many thousands through the formality of battle lines, yet by your quiet movements on the financial chess board you have paralyzed the energies and murdered the activities of a great nation. You have been in my judgment, more than any other man, the author of the enforced idleness and consequent destitution, beggary, starvation and death, of millions of innocent people. And, sir, I am sorry to add that, judged from your own utterances, I am forced to the conclusion that you did all this with a full and malicious knowledge of the inevitable results of such a policy as you have advocated and forced. In the light of your own utterances at various stages of your political career, there but is too little doubt that you wrought all this misery with "malice aforethought," or, as did Judas, when for gain, he betrayed the innocent man who trusted him.

I have before me a little volume written in 1880, by Rev. S. A. Bronson, D. D., entitled, "Life and Public Services of Hon. John Sherman." The writer is ardently your friend, and the book seems to have been intended to advance your boom for the presidency. The author says the book was written by your "consent, with the caution that there should be no exaggeration." Hence, I infer that it will not do you a wrong, and that I may safely use it as authority in your favor. On page 147 of this book I find the following statements:—

The grand and crowning work of Mr. Sherman, in popular estimation, has been the resumption of specie payments. . . . Mr. Sherman may be said to have been shaping his course unconsciously, to this very end, from his first entrance into Congress. It seemed to be instinctive with him.

Chapter IX., beginning on page 147, is entitled, "Resumption Contemplated." Chapter X. is entitled, "Preparing for Resumption." Chapter XIII. is entitled, "The Resumption Act," and Chapter XV. contains your defence of the Resumption Act against efforts for its repeal. Your defence of your life work is earnest and able, and confirms the statement of the author, as well as the public impression among the people of the country, that specie resumption by means of currency contraction was your special work.

Now, sir, this fact being established, I desire to call your attention to some of your public utterances which condemn you as a cruel, heartless man, acting a double part, apparently to deceive the people while you crushed and ruined them. In your speech in the United States Senate, Jan. 27, 1869, you discuss very fully the subject of the appreciation of the currency by specie resumption, showing most conclusively that it would be a cruel wrong, and that you were then opposed to it; and to most minds it would appear that only a devil incarnate would attempt, with his eyes open, such a crime against humanity. I quote from that speech somewhat freely as follows:—

But the distress caused by an appreciation of the currency falls mainly on the debtor; others suffer only by reason of his inability to pay. What does specie resumption mean to a debtor? It means the payment of one hundred thirty-five dollars where he has agreed to pay one hundred, or, which is the same thing, the payment of one hundred dollars where he has agreed to pay seventy-four. Where he has purchased property and paid for one fourth of it, it means the loss of the amount paid; it means the addition of one fourth to all currency debts in the United States. A measure to require a debtor now to pay his debt in gold or currency equivalent to gold requires him to pay one hundred thirty-five bushels of wheat when he agreed to pay one hundred; and if this appreciation is extended through a period of three years, it requires him to pay an interest of twelve per cent in addition to the rate he has agreed to pay. When we consider the enormous indebtedness of a new country like ours, where capital is scarce, and where credit has been substituted for capital, it presents a difficulty which may well cause us to pause. We may see that the chasm must be crossed, but it will make us wary of our footsteps. Good faith and public policy demand that we appreciate our currency to gold; but in the process we must be careful that bankruptcy, distress and want do not result. The debtors of this country include the active, enterprising, energetic men in all the various employments of life. It is a serious proposition to change their contracts so as in effect to require them to pay one third more than they agreed to pay. They have not paused in their business to study questions of political economy. They have based their operations upon this money, which has been declared to be lawful

money. Its relative value may be changed, but a reasonable opportunity should be given them to change their contracts so as to adapt them to the new standards of value. . . .

If senators wish other examples of the severe process of passing from a depreciated currency to a gold currency, or to a paper currency convertible into gold, let them read the story of the times after the Revolution and the War of 1812, and after the revulsion of 1837, all of which were periods of transition from a depreciated paper currency to a convertible paper currency. Sir, it is not possible to take this voyage without sore distress. To every person except a capitalist out of debt, or to the salaried officer or annuitant, it is a period of loss, danger, prostration of trade, fall of wages, suspension of enterprise, bankruptcy and disaster. To every railroad it is an addition of at least one third to the burden of its debt; and more than that, deduction from the value of its stock. To every bank it means the necessity of paying one hundred fifty dollars for one hundred of its notes and deposits, except so far as the bank may transfer this to its debtors. It means the ruin of all dealers whose debts are twice their capital, though one third less than their property. It means the fall of all agricultural productions without any very great reduction of taxes. To attempt this task suddenly, by a surprise upon our people, by at once paralyzing their industry, by arresting them in the midst of lawful business and applying a new standard of value to their property, without any reduction of their debt or giving them an opportunity to compound with their creditors or distribute their loss, would be an act of folly without example in modern times.

It is sometimes said that we did this in the passage of the legal-tender act; that we inflicted the same loss on the creditor that we now deprecate for the debtor. This is not true. The effect of the legal-tender act was, undoubtedly, to depreciate our notes, but the process was very slow and gradual. For more than a year it scarcely operated as a depreciation, and during all that time the capital paid off by depreciated notes was invested in bonds, bank stocks, railroads and manufacturing pursuits created by the war, which yielded as much in gold as the capital produced before the war. Capital lost nothing by the war even when paid in greenbacks, for the demands for capital during the war made ample amends for the loss by the depreciation in greenbacks. It is estimated that the interest-bearing capital of this country now is, upon the gold basis, more than double that of 1860. And if it were true that appreciation now would only work the same injury to the debtor that depreciation did to the creditor we should not be justified in inflicting in peace the injuries which were justified by war; and the creditor, who is usually the holder of property, is better able to bear a loss of a portion of the money due him than the debtor is to bear an addition to his burden. Our power over the creditor is unlimited. We may levy taxes upon him to any amount; but we have no power to vary a contract or add to the burden of an existing debt.

From these statements it would seem that you, Senator Sherman, could never be guilty of the evils and cruelties which you have so truthfully and fully described. And yet this is the crime of crimes which your chosen biographer says was "the grand and crowning work" of your life. You did it too, sir, by the cruel process of currency contraction, through the funding of the legal-tender notes "into interest-bearing bonds of the United States." You adopted that plan in spite of the fact that in a former speech (April 9, 1866) you had shown that a reduction



of the volume of the currency was not necessary in order to increase its value. In that speech you said :—

In regard to going back to specie payments, when did ever a nation travel toward specie payment as rapidly as this country has done without a reduction of the currency? Here is a significant fact, that when gold was 280 our currency was \$550,000,000; and now, when our currency is over \$700,000,000 gold is 130, and going down and down, and no power in this world can prevent its going down. This fact shows that the mere amount of legal tender outstanding does not fix the rate of gold. That is the result of the restored confidence of the people of this country and of all nations in the credit of the United States. I believe that if the secretary of the treasury will keep out of the stock market, will just remain in his seat in the treasury department, and pay the debts as they become due, the people of the United States will take care of the currency of the country and of the credit of the government; and it will not be necessary to buy bonds before they mature or do anything else except simply to meet the current indebtedness in order to bring us back to specie payments, and I do not believe any power can prevent it.

That plan of reaching specie payments so truthfully stated could hurt nobody. It would have a natural growth and transfer into a normal condition of the currency without any reduction of its volume or fluctuation of prices. Yet it was not adopted, but the tourniquet plan, placing the country and the people beneath the feet of the money kings, was ultimately considered as operating "least injuriously [?] to the varied business interests of our constituents." You describe the decision of your committee in your speech of 1869 as follows :—

The question then remains, What mode of appreciation of the value of greenbacks will operate least injuriously to the various business interests of our constituents? And upon this point your committee, after the most careful consideration have come to the conclusion that the only and best plan is to allow the legal tender notes to be funded at the pleasure of the holder into interest-bearing bonds of the United States.

Evidently, sir, in the light of your own explanations, the words, "our constituents" meant the creditor and fund-holding class. Surely the debt and tax-paying class was not referred to as your "constituents" who were to be benefited by currency contraction. It is a common remark that you entered Congress a poor man, and that you are now rich. Perhaps your change of front in the interest of the rich fund holders may throw light on this matter. You probably remember that General Logan, in a public speech on the floor of the Senate, March 17, 1874, taunted you with your change of front on the contraction subject, and that you gave him no satisfactory explanation.

Another item in your course of action must not be neglected. At first you merely aimed at "specie resumption"; that is, coin redemption, using both gold and silver coin as the money of ultimate payments. But, as if in the very wantonness of cruelty,



prompted by the greed of your fund-holding "constituents," you joined in the war on silver, resulting in its depreciation as a money metal. You determined on *gold redemption* at all hazards, and did not cease your efforts till that unheard-of scheme of financial cruelty had been accomplished. In 1873 the bullion value of the silver dollar was three cents more than that of the gold dollar, and silver was one of the moneys of final redemption in all the world except England. The warfare of yourself and your party has changed this, making "specie resumption" with a single gold standard twice as burdensome to the people, and twice as profitable to the bond holders as with the double standard of gold and silver. As an indication of what the people of this country suffered under your manipulations, I quote the following from one of your ablest partisan leaders and co-workers in your unholy scheme. Col. R. J. Ingersoll described the sufferings of the people from the time of the demonetization of silver in 1873 till its partial restoration in 1878, as follows:—

No man can imagine, all the languages of the world cannot express, what the people of the United States suffered from 1873 to 1879. Men who considered themselves millionnaires found themselves beggars; men living in palaces, supposing they had enough to give sunshine to the winter of their age, supposing they had enough to leave all they loved in affluence and comfort, suddenly found that they were mendicants, with bonds, stocks, mortgages, all turned to ashes in their aged, trembling hands. The chimneys grew cold, the fires in furnaces went out, the poor families were turned adrift, and the highways of the United States were crowded with tramps.

Prior to the beginning of your efforts for specie resumption, when the people had plenty of money to do business with, the same able writer described the condition of the people of the United States as follows:—

On every hand fortunes were being made, a wave of wealth swept over the United States, huts became houses, houses became palaces, tatters became garments, and rags became robes, walls were covered with pictures, floors with carpets, and for the first time in the history of the world the poor tasted of the luxuries of wealth. We began to wonder how our fathers endured life. Every kind of business was pressed to the very sky-line.

That was the condition of this country at the close of the War of the Rebellion, before the simoon of specie resumption struck it. Since then the sufferings of the people have been greater than human tongue can tell. Senator Ingalls, another leading Republican, described the situation in 1891 as follows:—

A financial system under which more than one half of the enormous wealth of the country, derived from the bounty of nature and the labor of all, is owned by a little more than thirty thousand people, while one million American citizens, able and willing to toil, are homeless tramps, starving for bread, requires readjustment. A social system which offers

to tender, virtuous and dependent women the alternative between prostitution and suicide as an escape from beggary is organized crime, for which some day unrelenting justice will demand atonement and expiation. . . . So it happens, Mr. President, that our society is becoming stratified, almost hopelessly stratified, into a condition of superfluously rich and helplessly poor. We are accustomed to speak of this as the land of the free and the home of the brave. It will soon be the home of the rich and the land of the slave.

There, my dear sir, is the result of your "grand and crowning" life work, as described by one of your wisest and ablest political friends, and as known by every intelligent man to be true. And in order to maintain the present status — in order to prevent matters from growing worse still faster — it is now necessary to continue to load the American people with new issues of gold-bearing bonds; and the general of the army recommends an increase of the regular army in order to hold in check the suffering thousands of "organized hunger." Sir, these are the results of your own "grand and crowning work."

To show still further that you have entirely changed front as to the *personnel* of your "constituents," I now desire to prove that in the seventies you were in favor of an income tax to be levied on men of wealth. In the nineties you are not. In your speech of Jan. 13, 1871, you argued ably and earnestly in favor of an income tax. You said, "It is the only tax levied by the United States that falls upon property"; and you argued most justly that such a tax should not be repealed. As to objections against this tax, you said: —

What objection can be made to the income tax that does not apply to any tax, except one, and that is, that the income tax is from its nature a tax of espionage, while the tax on tea, coffee and sugar is not? But the tax on tea, coffee and sugar takes from the little lump of sugar dealt out in charity, or to penury, as well as from the confections of the rich. There is no argument of injustice or hardship that can be mentioned against the income tax to be compared to the tax upon tea, coffee and sugar. Take also, the tax on salt, an article of prime necessity; and yet we levy on that article \$2,000,000. So upon lumber. So on the stamps, which reach every man's business and every man's transactions, and yet nobody proposes to repeal the stamp tax.

As to the inquisitorial nature of the income tax your arguments in defence were unanswerable. The espionage into a man's business required by this tax, you conclusively showed is nothing to that required in collecting the tax on whiskey or in collecting duties on imports. On the latter subject you said: —

On landing at the city of New York, as I have done once or twice, what is the first thing you meet? A custom-house officer. What does he demand? He wants to look at your trunks; he wants to spy into your baggage. The first feeling is one of resentment. I think no man can arrive at a port from a foreign country where he first meets the custom-house officers without feeling angry that the law authorizes a private inspection of his coats and pantaloons. But no custom-house laws

can be enforced unless this espionage is allowed. It is not allowed for the purpose of interfering with men or women engaged in ordinary travel, but the espionage must extend to them in order to reach the fraudulent importer or the smuggler.

Further arguing this point you said:—

There is not a state in this Union which does not authorize more espionage into a man's private affairs than the income tax law of the United States.

Respecting the claim that the income tax is odious and unpopular, you very properly replied:—

I never knew a tax that was not odious and unpopular with the people who paid it.

As to the inequality of the income tax, because it falls only upon men of wealth, you replied most truly:—

If you leave your system of taxation to rest wholly upon consumption, without any tax upon property or income, you do make an unequal and unjust system.

It was claimed that the income tax was a war measure, and hence should continue only during the war. To this you replied very fully by saying:—

This income tax is just as much a war tax now as when it was levied during the war, because it is now levied to pay expenses incurred during the war.

That statement being true, the same tax is just as much a war tax in 1894 as in 1871, as the charges for pensions, for war claims of various sorts, and to pay interest on the war debt, still continue.

Now, sir, in contrast with your position in 1871, I desire to call attention to your position in 1894. In 1894 you still favor an income tax, but not to be levied by the general government. You say, "This tax ought to be left to the people of the states." And further along in the argument you add the following statements:—

I shall vote against this income tax simply because it is unnecessary. . . . Then, besides, to levy an income tax is an invasion of the rights of the states. . . . But there is another thing. The terms and conditions of this income-tax provision, it seems to me, are utterly indefensible. Why should we levy a tax upon the incomes above \$4,000 a year, and not levy upon the great mass of the incomes from \$1,000 up to \$4,000? . . . Mr. President, this making a line of demarcation on incomes of \$4,000 or \$3,000, is a low, mean form of socialism. Why should a man who has been prosperous, who is a property holder, be aimed at, struck at for special taxation? . . . In a republic like ours, where all men are equal, this attempt to array the rich against the poor, or the poor against the rich, is socialism, communism, devilism; it is the foundation of all the fears that now disturb many of the European governments. I have no sympathy with it whatever.

That, sir, was your opinion of the income tax, June 22, 1894. How changed since 1871! Then you were not so rich as now, perhaps.

On June 23, 1894, you renewed your fight, and, among many other things which I have no room here to quote, you say:—

A tax of two mills on a pound of sugar would yield more revenue than this boasted income tax. It will be looked upon as a discrimination against the few, as a blow aimed against people who have been industrious, vigilant and careful, who have husbanded their resources, and it will not yield you the revenue you demand. In my judgment it will be the most unpopular and the most unproductive tax that has ever been levied in the United States of America.

And are you the same John Sherman, who, in 1871, replied to that view of the case by saying, "I never knew a tax that was not odious and unpopular with the people who paid it." Tell it not in Gath, publish it not in the effete monarchies of Europe, that our most famous American statesman would rather tax the poor man's moiety of sugar than the rich man's surplus income! And this is modern republicanism! How unlike the good old Lincoln variety!

In closing, sir, I beg to suggest that great men who cannot escape history should be careful of their actions, that posterity may recount their deeds with pleasure and not with pain; and that their hearts may swell with grateful joy, rather than their cheeks be fevered with the blush of shame.

# THE NEW WOMAN OF THE NEW SOUTH.

---

BY JOSEPHINE K. HENRY.

---

It is not the purpose of the writer to discuss in this paper woman's right to the ballot or the good or evil results to accrue from her enfranchisement. To argue the question of right is not admissible at this stage of the issue. To forecast results would afford no logical ground to stand on. The article will, therefore, be confined to the limitation of facts and their tendencies as they appear to a Southern woman.

The idea seems to be abroad that Southern women do not desire the ballot. Considering the powerful influences which operate to suppress an open manifestation of opinion among Southern women on this question, as in fact on many others, it is easy to see how those who have given the subject no thought are led to accept such an impression as correct. The true index of existing facts is not always found upon the surface of things. We must probe a little if we would know the truth and its relation to cause and effect. Woman in the South is to such an extent the slave of her environment that it is questionable whether she has any clearly outlined opinion, exclusively her own, on any subject. Chivalry has allotted her sphere, and her soul has been so pressed by social and ecclesiastical rigidity that the average woman dares not transgress the limits. This is an appalling condition of the human mind, and fully accounts for the tendency of women as a mass to crouch under the shelter of silence. But every stronghold of conservatism will fall in line with advancing civilization when it must. The struggle will be fierce. "Broad ideas are hated by partial ideas. This, in fact, is the struggle of progress."

Among our representative women there is a class too ethereal to be troubled with affairs, whose mental lethargy is only disturbed by dreams of *ante-bellum* family legends, and whose thought-power is confined to devising ways and means for retaining their social prestige. With them "the virtue in most request is conformity." They love "names and customs," but shrink from "realities and creators."

Then there is another and quite different class, composed of those who stand on higher intellectual ground, who realize their

potentialities, and who have the courage to demand a field of thought and action commensurate with their aspirations. These are the New Women of the New South. To them the drowsy civilization of the age appeals for some invigorating incentive to higher aims and grander achievements. They believe with Emerson that "all have equal rights in virtue of being identical in nature." They realize that liberty regards no sex, and justice bows before no idol.

Humanity is created two in one, to accord with the law of renovation in nature; not for the purpose of preying upon itself, by permitting its one half to be pillaged by the other. In our duality of life there is a unity of purpose. Man and woman bear a relation to each other similar to that of the sides of an isosceles triangle. They are of equal length, and have exactly the same angle of inclination to the base—humanity. If men cannot or will not see these harmonies and purposes of nature, if they will not rise to the "higher eminences of thought" from whence they can look on woman with the eye of the soul, then woe to civilization's tottering fabric. "It does seem," says Mill, "that when the opinions of the masses of merely average men are everywhere become or becoming the dominant power, the counterpoise and corrective to that tendency is the more and more pronounced individuality of those who stand on the higher eminences of thought." There are many women in the South gifted with genius and endowed with faculties for glorious work, who are struggling to free themselves from the austerity of those environments which "the masses of average men" have fixed for them.

It may be said of the average woman of the South that she is satisfied with her condition. She loves her church and believes in her preacher. She is Pauline in her ideas and therefore loves the music of her chains. But with all this there is pervading this class a strong under-current of sentiment in the direction of larger liberty. With the downward trend of men, socially and politically, confronting them, and their growing sons and daughters around them, they are beginning to question the wisdom of existing customs. To the writer the widening of Southern women's views is one of the most portentous and vital facts in the history of the South. "Events are more concise but tendencies constitute real history."

One of the first noticeable tendencies is what might be termed the reign of woman club life. Literature has been exhausted and art despoiled to find names and devices suitable to the taste and purposes of the women who compose the membership of these clubs. The framing of constitutions and by-laws, election of officers, discussions on ways and means and all the parliamentary

usages which cleverness can bring to the aid of mimicry, go to make up this parody on the exercise of individual liberty. It is not difficult to recognize in these clubs the primary schools which lead to the university of politics.

Another and higher department in which the minds and hearts of advanced Southern women are earnestly enlisted is the investigation and revision of statutory law, regarding its application to the sexes alike. They find in the established codes enacted by men alone, for men alone, a most horrible crucifixion of justice. They see themselves taxed without their consent, their property often confiscated for base uses, their sex arraigned before judges and juries composed of men alone. They see in the barbarous "age of consent" laws young girls exposed to the animal lusts of brutes in human form awaiting their prey under the law's protection. They find all along the avenues of urban life dens of drunkenness and crime, with wide-open doors ready to receive the bodies and souls of their loved ones, and when they ask by what right these modern Gehennas exist, they are told that it is by a right secured from the same source that denies to woman the power to destroy them. They are excluded from town and city councils, from the higher state institutions of learning, and from boards of education of our public schools, all of which they are taxed to support.

Southern women have in the past five years resorted in many states to their constitutional right of petition upon the questions of property rights, "age of consent," and the licensed liquor laws. They have pleaded for admission into state universities, and asked for a division of state funds to establish industrial or reform schools for girls, in states which provide such schools for boys alone. They have asked that women be placed on boards of all public institutions for the benefit of both sexes, and in many cases sought and obtained the county superintendency of public schools. These departures from the line of established customs show that the apparent contentment with present conditions is only on the surface, and that there is a half realized idea among our women that in our social and political organism there is something out of gear.

Rising above the terrorism of popular ridicule, and fortified by the intensity of their convictions, a few leading women in the states of Virginia, South Carolina and Kentucky, directly descended from the founders of the republic, have individually petitioned their legislatures, asking that a power be created to which they can apply and receive their enfranchisement papers, pleading for the restoration of an inalienable right and at the same time testing the honesty of that spirit of chivalry which places much emphasis on the willingness to grant the franchise



when women want it. This initiative move of these fearless women marks a crisis in Southern thought. It gave an impulse in a new direction to the active minds of both sexes.

Their petitions were disregarded by the majority, and ridiculed by some, but the thought force which they imparted is irresistible. Their heroism will prove an inspiration to timid souls illumined by visions of a new creation for woman. The monochord of political liberty for women of the South has been touched by the finger of manifest destiny, and no power on earth can silence its refrain. This forecast of opinion may and doubtless will be received with a smile of derision by some, but the laws which govern society are as fixed as the laws of the material world. The light of Neptune had not reached the lenses of Leverrier when he first announced its existence in space, but the planet was there. "Immense and continued impulsions pushing together govern human facts, and lead them all within a given time to the logical state, that is to say, to equilibrium, or in other words, to equity."

The writer of this article has in her possession the most convincing evidence of these immense and continued forces that are driving onward to that logical state, a completely rounded civilization, grounded on equity. She has received thousands of letters from the foremost and best women in the South, and the number increases each day, expressive of their deep solicitude for the success of the one cause that gives promise of release from social and political incarceration. Back of these facts stand in evidence the constitutional conventions of Mississippi and Kentucky, and the legislatures of South Carolina and Arkansas. Whenever the question of woman suffrage was touched by those bodies it met the approval and elicited the applause of thoughtful and intelligent women throughout the entire South.

As a unit of value in summarizing evidence of existing conditions and tendencies, there is not, nor could there be a fact more potent than the recent congressional contest in the Ashland District of Kentucky. The eyes of the world watched this contest with intense interest. The women of Kentucky forced the moral issue in American politics, and hence followed a political struggle the intensity of which stands unequalled in the history of politics. The very atmosphere seemed to darken under the tension of individual hate and partisan rancor. Woman's softening influence was demanded and she responded with all the finer impulses of her nature strained to the highest point. She pushed her way to the front, and with her natural tact and matchless skill in using her limited power to the best advantage, she gave to the world a victory which her enfranchised ally would have lost without her aid.

There is nothing in history so pathetic as woman's struggle for freedom. Men of the Old South, armed with all the implements of war, and supplied with the wealth of states, fought for empire based on slavery, and lost. The women of the New South, armed with clear-cut, unanswerable argument alone, are struggling for liberty based on justice, and will win. The failure of the former left our section in ruin and despair; the triumph of the latter will bring progress and hope. Woman's political coronation depends upon herself. The average woman must be educated in the new school, and man must become possessed by new ideas. "The key to every man is his thought; sturdy and defying though he look, he has a helm which he obeys, which is the idea after which all his facts are classified. He can only be reformed by showing him a new idea which commands his own." The women of the South are impressing men with new ideas, and hence that ancient spirit of protection which has so long retarded human progress by dispossessing woman of her share of the common heritage, is losing its force as an element in our civilization.

In attestation of existing suffrage sentiment in the South I append the following extracts from letters from representative women in the different states, giving their opinions on the subject. These women are of the highest intelligence and social standing, among them being many lineal descendants of the signers of the Declaration of Independence and the patriots of 1776, social leaders, noted housewives, literary women, teachers and taxpayers.\*

#### MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.

We, the undersigned women of Tennessee *do and should* want the ballot, —

1. Because, being twenty-one years old, we object to being classed with *minors*.

2. Being American born, and loyal to her institutions, we protest against being made perpetual *aliens*.

3. Costing the treasuries of our respective counties nothing, we protest against acknowledging the *male pauper* of Tennessee as our political superior.

4. Being obedient to law, we protest against the law that classes us

---

\* The method adopted to secure expression on the suffrage question from representative Southern women was this. One letter was written to a prominent woman in each of the Southern states, with the request that if she was in favor of woman suffrage she would put her reason in a short, clear-cut sentence, then pass the letter on to women of intelligence and prominence with the request that every woman into whose hands the letter came, would, if in favor of the ballot, formulate her reason, sign her name to same, and forward to the writer of this paper for publication. That one letter in each state could elicit the mass of testimony which has been received is almost beyond belief. It has come from the northern boundary of Missouri to Key West, and from the Maryland shore to the Rio Grande. Enough has been received to fill a volume, and the reasons given by these Southern women why they want the ballot, if compiled, would be a valuable acquisition to the literature of woman suffrage. It is dogmatically asserted what women do and do not want. The only way to get the truth is to let women speak for themselves. As one letter in each state has brought forth such a response the women themselves refute the assertion that "Southern women do not want the ballot."

with the *unpardoned criminal*, and makes the pardoned criminal and the ex-convict our political superiors.

5. Being sane, we object to being classed with the *lunatic*.

6. Possessing an average amount of intelligence, we protest against classification with the *idiot*.

7. We taxpayers claim the right to representation.

8. We married women want to own our own clothes.

9. We married bread winners want our own earnings.

10. We mothers want an equal partnership in our children.

11. We educated women want the power to offset the illiterate vote of our state.

LIDE MERIWETHER, *President Tennessee W. C. T. U. and W. S. A.*

Clara Conway, Mary Jameson Judah, Achsah Bennett Anderson, Livinia Fleurnoy Selden, Grace Carlisle Smith, Mary Abarr, Flora C. Huntingdon, Elise Massey Selden, Rachel Gowling, M. D., Louise Drouillard, M. D., Mary F. Wolf, Rachel H. Menken, Mary A. Brigham, Mary Frayser, Mary B. Abernethy, Addie De Loach, Mary W. Lyle, Mary B. Moseby, M. E. Drouillard, Lizzie Drouillard, Mary Drouillard, Mattie M. Betts, Josephine E. Klophele, Sarah A. Langstaff, C. B. Galloway, M. C. Tuck, F. W. Fisher, S. C. Harvey, Mary Kauffman, Mattie C. Gaines, S. M. Dickens, Margery K. Kane, Anna B. Fisher, Sarah Lacack.

*Memphis Equal Suffrage Club.*

And five hundred other leading women of Tennessee from Memphis, Nashville, Knoxville, Morristown, Maryville, Rogersville, McMinnville, Fayetteville, Athens, Deer Lodge and other points in Tennessee.

*The sentiment here is unanimous — Give us the ballot.*" I am district and local superintendent of franchise. Please send me work and instructions. I am ready to work. — TINA M. DUNHAM, Harriman, Tenn.

Woman demands and requires equal rights with man. She seeks to become a factor in the purification of government, and she is crying out for the ballot. — FLORIDE CUNNINGHAM, Rosemont Manor, S. C.

As a matter of principle the women of the South have joined their Northern sisters in the battle cry for political freedom. — FRANCES SHUTTLEWORTH, Shreveport, La.

I should like to escape from the degradation of disfranchisement. I have committed no crime, and am loyal to the government. The Indians and Chinese may be indifferent to their privation, but all sensible women, understanding the situation, wish to have a voice in the government. — CAROLINE E. MERRICK, New Orleans, La.

I am a heavy tax-payer in Shelby County, Alabama, and I need the ballot to protect my interests. — MINNIE GIST, Calara, Ala.

I believe in woman suffrage because woman is equally concerned with man in all the issues of life. — KATE P. NELSON, New Orleans, La.

I wish my political disabilities removed, giving me the power to help reform all that is oppressive to women and injurious to men. — EUGENIA B. FARMER, Covington, Ky.

Every human being with love of country and good government, and love of home and good morals, ought to want to vote. — ANN J. LINDSAY HOWARD, Columbus, Ga.

It is but simple justice that I should have the suffrage. — FLORA P. DILL, Greenville, S. C.

As long as laws are made by men only, when the interests of woman and man conflict, woman will be forced to the wall. The Golden Rule demands justice, yet the clergy are our worst oppressors by their teachings. — KATE H. STAFFORD, Little Rock, Ark.

I am a sane human being, having the same inalienable right to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, and being an inhabitant, a person and a citizen, I have the right in a republican government to help make the

laws that govern my life, liberty and pursuit of happiness. — MARY B. CLAY, *farmer*, Richmond, Ky.

It requires the best thought of a whole humanity to make laws for the protection and government of the race, in the home and out of it. As people and citizens of the United States women are entitled to the ballot. — M. L. McLENDON, Atlanta, Ga.

I want men to stop calling me a queen and treating me like an imbecile. I have a head as well as a heart, common sense as well as intuition. I am tired of the bullet business. Are men who are exempt from military service disfranchised? If not, why not? — ELEANOR FOSTER COMEGYS, *President Shreveport Woman's Club*, Shreveport, La.

The ballot for woman will give her food for thought outside the cares of home and the frivolities of society, and prepare her to better educate her children for citizenship. — O. C. BINGHAM, Melrose, Fla.

I want to vote because so long as women are prevented from legislation, so long will they be cramped and paralyzed by powers over which they have no control. — HELEN MORRIS LEWIS, Asheville, N. C.

Taxation without representation is tyranny, and is as hard for a woman to submit to as it was for our forefathers. — ADA C. DICKINSON, Limona, Fla.

Woman's disfranchisement is the blot on the escutcheon of the nation that stands for freedom. — HELEN L. BEHRENS, *President Portia Club*, New Orleans, La.

I have the aims and aspirations of a citizen, I abhor class legislation, and the women of the South are dominated by the ignorant and vicious. — ELLA C. CHAMBERLAIN, *President Florida Suffrage Association*, Tampa, Fla.

I want to stand on advanced ground. — CAROLYN A. LEACH, Louisville, Ky.

I want to vote because I am a Southern woman and know the needs of the South. — Mrs. S. A. WHEATLEY, Tampa, Fla.

Every woman who fought and defeated the vile "social disease act" in New Orleans was a believer in woman suffrage, and but for such breadth of comprehension, and study of such vital questions by these women, the city to-day would be cursed by this crime-breeding law. — ELIZABETH LYLE SAXON, New Orleans, La.

I want to vote to help develop morality in government. — Mrs. H. LEWIS, New Decatur, Ala.

I need the ballot because I am a human being, although only a woman. I am the daughter of a woman, the sister of women, I have the care of a little woman child, I am the wife of a man and the mother of a boy, and I need to vote to do my duty to all these and to society. — JEAN JENNINGS, Little Rock, Ark.

I want the ballot because I believe in the fundamental principles of our government, and because I believe principles are not limited in their application by sex. — LAURA CLAY, *President Kentucky Equal Rights Association*, Lexington, Ky.

The franchise is my right. Woman's ballot means the enforcement of social purity and better government. — MARY PUTNAM GRIDLEY, Greenville, S. C.

The most chivalrous deference and tender consideration on the part of men, should not be allowed to usurp simple right and justice. An educational campaign for the cause of woman suffrage in the South will so sensitize the public conscience that the disfranchisement of one half the people will reveal itself as a monstrous injustice. — MARY BRENT READ, Atlanta, Ga.

If I sojourn in Mexico the United States says, "Respect her rights," but when I am in Louisiana I am denied the essential right of citizen-

ship, and for no good reason that has ever been given. — EVELYN W. ORDWAY, *President Louisiana Suffrage Association*, New Orleans, La.

Women could drop their ballots in a box without being as conspicuous as the remonstrants in their "I-don't-want-it-and-you-shan't-have-it" rôle. — MARGARET SCHOFIELD, Shreveport, La.

The ballot is mine by right because I am a citizen of the United States, a taxpayer of sane mind. — MRS. N. O. M. SPEAKS, Varnville, S. C.

I want to vote for the same reason men do, because it is my right. — MRS. M. R. BRECKINRIDGE, Tampa, Fla.

On the simple ground of justice I regard the ballot as my rightful heritage as a citizen of South Carolina and of the United States of America. — S. ODIE SIRRINE, Greenville, S. C.

It is urged by some that woman may perform her part in public affairs more effectually without the ballot than with it. I shall endorse this idiocy whenever I hear men in politics requesting lawmakers to spare them the "dreadful burden" of voting, and declaring that the right to vote is no help to them in their political work. — LIDA CALVERT OBENCHAIN, Bowling Green, Ky.

Liberty being the birthright of all, I desire political freedom. — FLORENCE HUBERWALD, New Orleans, La.

I want to vote because I want liberty. — MARY S. MUGGERIDGE, Belleview, Ky.

Self protection is my inherent right. For that protection I demand the ballot. The question is not debatable. — CLARA A. MCDIARMID, *President Arkansas Suffrage Association*, Little Rock, Ark.

If Americanism means anything I am as much a citizen as my brother. With an equal amount of patriotism and politics we sang together "The Bonny Blue Flag." He marched off to the tune of Dixie, and I stayed at home to scrape lint and sew sand bags. I was a patriot then, and I am one now, and the ballot is mine by right. — FRANCES GRIFFIN, Verbena, Ala.

The suffrage is my right on the principle of abstract justice. I am entitled to the same powers necessary to make my life a success as my brother man. — VIRGINIA D. YOUNG, *President South Carolina Suffrage Association*, Fairfax, S. C.

To control the environment of her child is beyond the question of right or privilege, it is a duty. Only by voting can woman do this. — ELLEN STEPHENS HILDRETH, *President Alabama Suffrage Association*, New Decatur, Ala.

I want the ballot because I am a human being, and desire with my whole heart to stand before the law the equal of any other human being. — BELLE KEARNEY, Flora, Miss.

I am a woman and a mother. I have a son to rear whose pure moral character I am powerless properly to mould and discipline without the ballot. — MIRIAM HOWARD DUBOSE, Columbus, Ga.

A disfranchised class is a servile and subjected class, and the mothers of statesmen should be free. — MARY C. CRAMER, Lexington, Ky.

Woman as an intelligent, responsible being should have a voice in law-making. Now she has indirect influence without responsibility, which is demoralizing. — A. VIOLA NEBLETT, Greenville, S. C.

For four generations my ancestors have been American patriots, and I want to vote to honor them and do my duty to my country. — FRANCES E. BEAUCHAMP, Lexington, Ky.

Women who affirm that they do not want to vote, are voting without effect against their enfranchisement, which proves conclusively, that when enfranchised they will continue to vote, and amazingly enjoy

having their votes counted. — CLAUDIA H. HOWARD-MAXWELL, *President Georgia Suffrage Association*, Columbus, Ga.

I am for woman suffrage first, last and all the time, every part of my being from the crown of my head to the sole of my foot, because of the utter failure of a more capable person to answer for me in questions of right. — M. S. M. CALDWELL, Melrose, Fla.

I think it unjust and selfish in the extreme for one half of the adults of this country to deny to the other half the right they hold so sacred. — MARIETTA SIBERT, Gadsden, Ala.

The ship of state is poetically called "she." I fail to see while women are subject to taxation, imprisonment and capital punishment, why they are too good for the ballot. — MRS. E. RANDOLPH, Shreveport, La.

If I violate the laws of the land, I must suffer the penalty. In justice, then, I want the power to help make the laws. — MATILDA P. HERO, New Orleans, La.

I am a Southern woman wholly Virginian on both sides back to the Revolution. Do I want to vote? Yes! because it is my right. There lives no creature on American soil who has the right to say I shall not vote. The "age of consent" in this state is ten years. If there were no other reason, I want to vote for a man who has the strength and courage to change this. — S. M. HICKS, M. D., Atlanta, Ga.

I want to vote because when men and women work together they build homes, schools and safeguards for the good of society, and men working alone build armies, navies, saloons and dens of vice and perpetuate the same with their ballots. — MARIANA T. FOLSOM, St. Mary, Tex.

I wish to vote because the ballot in woman's hand will purify society. — Mrs. MARY K. JONES, Newport, Ky.

I want the ballot as a weapon for service and defence, the only one possible in a country like ours. — ALBERTA C. TAYLOR, Huntsville, Ala.

The ballot will give woman the power to make the most of her mental and physical capacity. — JULIA DANIELS MOSELEY, Limona, Fla.

Woman suffrage is designed to bring about justice, also moral and political regeneration, and I want to help. — JENNIE W. THOMPSON, Kansas City, Mo.

I want to vote because I am a citizen of the United States, and the constitution guarantees me this right. — VIRGINIA HEDGES, *President Missouri Suffrage Association*, Warrensburg, Mo.

I pay taxes and am not represented, and I am as intelligent and competent to vote as the young men whom I instruct. — ELLEN MURRAY, St. Helena, S. C.

The educated women of the South should claim and exercise their right to the ballot. Their votes are needed to counteract the effect of the irresponsible and venal classes. — Mrs. K. S. G. PAUL, Harrisonburg, Va.

I want the ballot because it is my right, and will be worth as much to me in helping mould the destiny of my country, and protecting my own interests, as it is to man. — GRACE DANFORTH, M. D., *Vice President Texas Suffrage Association*, Granger, Tex.

The United States should not pose as a self-governed nation, while it is governed by only one half the people. — BELLE W. HAMMEN, Sandy Spring, Md.

As long as injustice blots our industrial, civil and social codes, the Maryland Woman Suffrage Association will not abate one jot nor one tittle of its righteous claim for "equal pay and equal say." — MARY BENTLEY THOMAS, *President Maryland Woman Suffrage Association*.



As woman is a rational being it is both her right and her duty to seek the elective franchise. — Mrs. F. C. SWIFT, Atlanta, Ga.

That the mass of men are always agonizing to keep women out of politics is sufficient evidence that women woefully need to be in them. — H. AUGUSTA HOWARD, Columbus, Ga.

The women of the South should be the very first to work for the ballot, to preserve its homes, its institutions and its individuality from the great influx of opposing forces from the North, East and West, from the foreigner and negro. The Southern woman has now the choice to inherit her land or pass into a tradition. — MARGARET L. WATSON, Beaumont, Tex.

I demand the ballot on the ground of human rights. Human nature rebels against class legislation. — SARAH T. MILLER, Ashton, Md.

Southern women need the ballot with educational qualification to protect their personal and material interests and to secure them equal rights with southern men. Virginia women are excluded from the higher institutions of learning they are taxed to support. — ORRA LANGHORNE, *farmer, President Virginia Suffrage Association*, Culpeper, Va.

So long as woman remains a political cipher she counts no more in affairs than the mathematical "naught"; nothing but the ballot will supply the significant figure that will end her reign of zero. — F. S. WHITESIDES, Atlanta, Ga.

Women love their country, but cannot love its injustice nor its boastful falsehoods about universal suffrage. Give me justice or no country. It is righteousness that exalteth a nation. — SARAH FREEMAN CLARK, Marietta, Ga.

I want the ballot because I am a woman and desire to say what I want and do not want. — L. T. WOOD, Laurel Heights, Tex.

I am shocked when I see men too ignorant to read their ballots continue to vote away the rights of women. — Mrs. CRAIG, San Antonio, Tex.

I wish to vote not only that I may be represented by lawmakers, but that I may protect my own son and other mother's sons from the degrading influence of "age of consent" legislation. — Mrs. L. M. DODGE, Berea, Ky.

I wish to vote that I may aid good men to promote purity and justice in law and government, protecting the weak by placing in power those of known probity and honor. — SUSAN E. WILSHIRE, Covington, Ky.

Woman suffrage will come, let who may try to stem the rising tide. Then those who have been captains on the wave may say when passing to the farther shore, "When I am dead lay a sword on my coffin, for I was a soldier in the war for the liberation of humanity." — DORA RICHARDS MILLER, New Orleans, La.

The object of this article is in the main to emphasize the subjective rather than the objective condition of woman in the South, that is to say her "real" condition, by summarizing the tendencies which, let us hope, will lead to the crowning point of tangible history — the complete liberation of woman. Says Buckle: "The real history of the human race is the history of tendencies which are perceived by the mind, and not of events which are discerned by the senses." The writer has stated tendencies among Southern women, and leaves the readers to draw their own conclusions.



# THE ATTITUDE OF SOUTHERN WOMEN ON THE SUFFRAGE QUESTION.

---

BY ANNAH ROBINSON WATSON.

---

"Male and female created He them."

IN the beginning God breathed the breath of life upon unconscious chaos, and therefrom arose the world, a great iridescent, slow-circling bubble which floated upward into space. In the beginning, when the breath of life quickened all things, and finite creatures came at the call of the Infinite to take possession of this great globe, there followed in the fulness of time the magnificent afterpiece of creation — man, male and female.

A dual life began at that moment, an existence of interdependence between the two. It has continued even until now. The very difference between the creatures so indissolubly linked together has been called the "embodied wisdom of the Creator." This very difference has made possible the "complementary and supplementary relations which supply the power and harmony of the moral universe." It is a difference not represented by rates of value nor by weights under the nicest adjustment, but by elementary difference in kind, in texture and in the ultimate purpose of being. This oft-repeated thought, this distinction as recognized from the beginning, has tinged and continues to color deeply the thought of Southern women in connection with the much-controverted theme, "woman's sphere."

In the full glare of these later nineteenth-century days Southern women occupy an attitude of thoughtful questioning and intelligent attention. They are not blinded by the flood of electric light focused upon the life of their sex. Neither are they weakly bound by traditions, by conventionalities or prejudices. Each thinking woman among them would answer from her earnest soul, "Because right is right, to follow right were wisdom in the scorn of consequence." In looking at life with the anxiety born of this age of unrest she longs for a broader, more clarified intelligence, for all uplifting influences which will leave superficiality below, for an education which will render possible a "progressive specialization of capacity," for an equipment of her mental faculties which shall prepare her to cope with the complex conditions of the age. With all these aspira-

tions her soul is fired. Not that she may be fitted for the work of man, not that she may become more like him, but because she craves the best equipment for the work peculiarly devolving upon herself — the work which man, from the limitations of his being, is forever shut out from performing.

Some one has said, "Where women are least feminine, men are hardest and most practical; where the differentiation between the sexes is widest, the finest intellectual and emotional blends result." In the effort to uplift the standard of the species to the highest possible point, woman will best serve by raising herself in the scale of intellectual and spiritual endeavor, and by refraining as much as may be from all that would tend to make her the counterpart of her brother. Southern women of the day cling with unalterable tenderness and admiration to the beautiful, the gracious, the high-minded women of their recollection, and they long to preserve in their daughters the salient characteristics of a past generation at the same time that they open for them the wider range of achievement offered by the life of to-day.

Among problems now claiming attention and demanding unprejudiced investigation, from Southern women as well as those of other sections, is the suffrage question. When such women as Julia Ward Howe, Margaret Fuller, Louisa M. Alcott, Frances E. Willard, Elizabeth Barrett Browning, Mary A. Livermore and Frances Power Cobbe have declared in favor of the move and given themselves wholly or in part to its work, it is time for others to pause and consider.

There have been and still remain great wrongs to right, great wounds to heal, great woes to solace; and those in favor of woman suffrage believe that of all agencies yet arrayed against the evils besetting humanity this will be the most potent. It is looked upon by them as the *finale*, the crowning glory of the immense work that has been done for and by women since the promulgation of Christianity. They believe that suffrage is woman's inalienable right in the same sense that it is man's; that she will rise in the scale of values when her voice carries the same weight in political affairs as his; that taxation without representation is unjust; that the control of a citizen by laws which he or she has had no share in making is oppression.

Many leading men as well as women have held these views. Said Plato two thousand years ago, "In the administration of a state neither woman as woman nor man as man has any special functions, but the gifts are equally diffused in both sexes." Chief Justice Chase, Charles Sumner, Charles Kingsley, Emerson and many others known and revered have declared to the same effect.

There can be no question regarding the fact that each human soul came from the divine a cosmic entirety, that no distinction

in the matter of values was made by Him. But neither can there be a question — returning to the leading thought — that upon each have been bestowed special functions, that each has its own peculiar orbit and limitations, and that they need not and should not conflict nor interfere. In the efforts toward investigating and laboring for the relief of widespread woes all may go hand in hand. All have seen the “weight of cares upon the great world’s altar stairs that slope through darkness up to God.” All have longed for the betterment of mankind; but in the suggested remedies for existing evils will be found the pivotal question, the point of divergence.

That suffragists are earnest and sincere in believing that the success of their work would usher in an era of supreme good there can be no doubt, and with this statement it is well to remind them that “all we have willed, or hoped, or dreamed, of good shall exist; not its semblance but itself; no beauty, nor good, nor power, whose voice has gone forth, but each survives for the melodies when eternity affirms the conception of an hour.” But if the object of their desire were obtained, would it prove for the good or the detriment of the world at large?

In several different states the experiment has been tried to a greater or less degree. In Wyoming woman has full suffrage, in Kansas she has municipal suffrage, in Massachusetts and many other states school suffrage. The result in Wyoming has been declared upon reliable authority to be most satisfactory. But it should be borne in mind that as yet Wyoming itself can only be considered an experiment. Suffrage was bestowed upon women there by a strange chance. It was a species of political jest which in its outgrowth, like many other practical jokes, surprised and disappointed its perpetrators.

Suppose suffrage to be secured. What would follow its train? Giving the matter casual consideration only, one thinks that the great centres of wrong doing would be purified, that woman’s presence in great political gatherings, her influence in legislative halls, her ballot against the crying evils of the day and her united and legalized effort for the salvation of the young, would work such reform as to thrill and regenerate the world from centre to circumference.

But look deeper. In the home, the source of all good to the state, would surely come sooner or later discussions, divisions and dissensions. There would inevitably be two sides and these in many instances hotly contested. It is an old saying that a man and his wife should not play chess. Surely it is a graver question whether they should play at the dangerous game of politics.

Aside from this vital consideration and others which will

suggest themselves, lurks one which seems to have attracted little attention. The admission is universal that the ballot, in its present state of abuse, with its votes bought and sold and controlled by other corrupting influences, has had the most demoralizing effect upon men; their standards of morality have been lowered, their ideals contaminated. What of woman? Is she human and yet utterly impervious to temptation? Does she occupy a plane of such exalted rectitude and incorruptibility that her votes, too, might not be bought and sold, and if corruption should in this manner poison the great fountain heads in thousands of homes, what but polluted streams flowing out to all time and all ages would be the consequence?

The glory of womanhood has been her purity, her superiority to man in the possession of a higher moral sense and standard. Why risk this precious certainty for a doubtful good, when the superiority claimed and admitted by all is the result of protection from the temptations which this doubtful good would entail? Unmolested her instincts and feelings would undeniably lead in the right direction; as a class her predilections would be upward tending. But vest her with a power of marketable value, a power of immediate importance and offering a motive to man, and how many a weak woman would be dominated by a stronger nature. There has been as yet no reason for nor desire to corrupt her, but while many would forever and for all time remain staunch defenders of right and principle, how many more would remain neutral or indifferent, and how many more still in the lower and illiterate classes would simply esteem the ballot for its pecuniary possibilities.

This may appear harsh and cruel as an argument advanced by a woman against women. But let it be distinctly apprehended that it is a reflection not upon woman but upon human nature, a sad and regretful admission that in so many instances it cannot or does not withstand temptation, and that in this matter, added to many other weighty reasons, that of protection from corrupting influences should be seriously considered. It is an intense satisfaction to feel that the perverted human nature we know, the narrow, selfish, ignoble type, is not the primeval being as created in the beginning, but man as he has made himself by yielding to temptation. He has defaced the image of God and for this reason the Son of God came to restore the likeness, to retouch the degraded nature and to re-illumine the picture. The work is advancing but its completion may not be until that day when the dawn of ineffable glory shall shine upon it.

Then, it may be asked, if political equality of the sexes be not a remedy for ills known and deplored, where shall one be found? And the answer would be, in the regenerating work which is

going forward with such rapid strides in all parts of the world; in the higher education of women which fits them to apply and utilize in their homes, in their influence with husbands, brothers and sons, the intelligence gained; in their power to direct the votes of those nearest and dearest for the accomplishment of the uplifting of society. This may seem slow in method and practical results but it is safe. Only an intelligent woman could hope so to influence, and she who is not intelligent had best have no influence either to exert or to barter.

At this point in the consideration of the subject its most serious relations to Southern women are reached. There can be no doubt that to them the suffrage question presents much greater difficulties than to those of any other section of our country. This is true notwithstanding the fact that in several of our states are well known and highly honored Southern women who are its most earnest advocates. There can in no sense be a just balance in this matter between the immigrant or illiterate classes of the North and the illiterate negro class of the South. The ballot should be withheld from both but with greater reason from the latter. Looking at the subject from the Southern point of view, it is seen that to a race just released from slavery was given the right to control, to the extent that votes might control and as their passions or preferences might dictate, the destiny of the section. They were utterly unprepared and unfit for such a gift. As a class they are almost as unprepared to-day. Should this unwise gift be doubled in its weight and power and the ballot given to the women of the race untold misfortune would be the result.

There can scarcely be shown in all the range of history an instance where the dominant race has accepted so generously and so nobly such galling conditions as have the Southern people. There is, in the main, harmony between the two races to-day — on one side much of the generosity and forbearance which characterized the associations of bygone years, on the other there is an increasing confidence in the motives and intentions of the Southern people. But all this would be changed by the temptations, the possibilities, the general upheaval which would result from giving the ballot to reënforced ignorance.

It is stated that in such a case the literate vote of the South would greatly overbalance the illiterate. This may be true if all votes were cast, but the better women of the section, even the small minority in favor of suffrage, would probably forego the right if it must be exercised in common by the two races, while the colored element, elated by the power put into their hands, would, beyond a question, make use of it.

The writer of this paper does not arrogate to herself the right

## THE ARENA.

~~a great~~ for her section, nor does she draw deductions from the ~~views~~ presuming them to be infallibly true. The views ~~presented~~ have been strengthened by opinions from women all over the South, from the Atlantic coast to Texas, from the Ohio to the coast. More than a hundred of the home makers, the teachers and the writers have been consulted, all of them women recognized in their own communities for earnestness and ability. Of these only thirteen declared themselves for woman suffrage outright. Four believed that women should have the right to vote upon property and school questions, while nine declined to express themselves for or against. All the others were most earnestly opposed to woman suffrage in any form whatsoever. From the many letters received a few extracts are given:—

There may be a limited sphere in which the ballots of women might ~~be~~ ~~not~~ with reformatory results; still the accumulation of evils on the other side are overwhelmingly against it.

I think the attitude of Southern women is decidedly opposed to the suffrage move.

I am absolutely opposed to suffrage in the political acceptation of the term. I emphatically disapprove of the ballot box for women.

I certainly believe that the female should legally have the same status as the male.

I have great interest in the good government of the nation, and would like to assist in securing it. Whatever qualifications may be necessary that of "sex" should not be one.

My South is the South of sentiment and history. I have done my best to exalt her traditions and to preserve my best memories of my youth regarding her.

The rapid advance of woman is becoming a prominent factor in the progress of civilization, but I fear the one step forward, that is, the prerogative of suffrage, would be a step backward, because that involves mixture with politics, which is usually degrading.

The right to participate in the benefits of a republic will not be denied women, and being citizens I believe they have the right to make the choice of their representatives.

I look with concern and anxiety upon any movement that seems to bring the sex out of their kingdom, their royal kingdom of home.

I heartily disapprove of universal suffrage for either sex. I believe that the suffrage extended, within very definite limits, to women of the better class, would greatly aid in the progress of reform.

I have ever been opposed to it. I have a sincere desire to keep the lines strictly drawn between what a woman *can* and what she *should* do.

As to the attitude of Southern women generally on the suffrage question I think that there is no doubt that an overwhelming majority of them not only do not want the ballot but are antagonistic to the suffrage movement.

I know of no true Southern woman who feels that she has time or inclination to do duty at the polls after she has filled her higher obligations to society, church and family.

For myself I have all the rights I desire and more than I am anxious to render an account of in the last great day. However, this is a matter deserving more temperate and respectful consideration than is usually accorded by its opponents.

I cannot conceive how her condition can possibly be bettered by giving her the ballot, and as a consequence throwing her into the political arena to contend with the corrupting influences that surround the suffrage question.

It may be a right that many will not wisely use, but it is a right.

I am convinced that to-day woman suffrage is neither a necessity nor an admirable and beautiful luxury. Through it we would lose far more than the world would gain.

I know of not one reason why a woman should vote; I can name ten why she should not.

Such is the attitude of Southern women so far as this investigation has been able to define it. Is not this investigation itself an earnest of the statement that women everywhere are seeking the truth, the light; that they are rising to meet the exigencies of the time, are rising to claim their right to equal knowledge, equal influence with man, an influence, however, to be exerted in a different manner from his? "In woman lies the potentiality of all future generations." Let her be warned, let her fall into no error in this vital consideration. "This world is not to be healed in a day of all its diseases, by any single reform measure." "It is yet but a child in a go-cart. Patience, give it time to learn its limbs; there is a Hand that guides."



# SEXUAL PURITY AND THE DOUBLE STANDARD.

BY J. BELLANGEE.

IN her admirable book, "Is This Your Son, My Lord?" Mrs. Gardener has shown in a masterful way the sexual depravity which is destroying the manhood of our young men, and the low moral standard by which the public estimates such crimes. I have no disposition to question the accuracy of her delineations or to condemn the intensity of her coloring. I have nothing but praise for her courage in speaking a truth that needs to be spoken and admiration for her skill in putting it in perhaps a clearer light than any other author yet has done; although I cannot but feel that more needs to be said, and that she has failed to reach the ultimate generalization in the matter.

It is indeed a hopeful sign that the public conscience is being aroused on this subject, and that the facts are being set forth and their significance interpreted by those whose mental processes, as well as their moral purposes, are characterized by loyalty to the truth. It is not enough that the public should condemn evil practices; it must know the promoting causes and the untoward conditions that foster them before it can intelligently attempt a remedy. Most reform writers content themselves with pointing out evil results, or at most fixing culpability upon some individual or class; but the practical stage of reform is never reached until the underlying principles are discovered and the resultant force which impels the evil is resolved into its constituent elements.

The inherent sanctity of the sexual relation brought it, almost with the beginning of government, within the scope of legal control. As government is supposed to guard the sacredness of personal rights, so its legal sanctions are accepted, in the main, as the expressions of moral principles in human affairs. The prominent place, therefore, that the sexual relation has occupied in our legal codes, together with its position in the Decalogue, has fixed upon it the character of a moral question.

Hence sincere people who have become fully cognizant of the facts are horrified to find that men and women are judged by different standards in sexual affairs. Since moral principles are

immutable and impartial, the discovery of such discrimination suggests a moral obliquity on the part of the public that would seem to justify the doctrine of natural depravity; and with this conclusion investigations cease with many pious and good people, who thereafter duly denounce the immorality and injustice of such double standards but continue to follow the social custom of extending full social recognition to wealth and talent among men and social ostracism to misfortune and weakness among women.

Now I wish to call the attention of earnest men and women to what seems to me to be the fact, viz., that the standard of judgment which condemns the woman and pardons the man is solely a social standard. The moral standard is not applied in the case. Of course this fact is apprehended by the public in a more or less vague manner, but it is not grasped with such distinctness as to banish confusion of thought and argument when we discuss this theme.

Broadly speaking, we say that wealth and talent are made the basis of social distinctions; but a closer analysis reveals the fact that whoever possesses the means, whether they consist of wealth or talent, to minister to his gratification or the pleasure of others, and does so use them, is honored by society in proportion as he makes such use. Let us be just. Neither wealth nor talent can buy high social distinction for the miser or the boor. On the other hand, those who are compelled to sell their treasures and to part with the things essential to their happiness in order to obtain the necessities of life are disgraced, whether they be men or women. It is the horrid spectre of want and its fearful suggestions of misery against which the doors of society are irrevocably barred. Society demands that its members shall be happy; or at least be devoted to the pursuit of happiness or its counterfeit, pleasure.

Against the principle of labor and its legitimate reward there is no discrimination. In the fiction of the public mind all men are supposed to labor in some manner for their support, and by the leisure which some are enabled to enjoy the value of their services is gauged. The one indispensable requisite is, that they shall possess the means to gather more than they need and thus be able to impart to others without loss to themselves. Whenever necessity compels a parting with their treasures they lose the magic power to open the door of social preferment. The more sacred the treasure they thus barter away, the deeper the disgrace. Esau selling his birthright for a mess of pottage has through all ages, and even in the literature of the church, been held up as an example of such base degradation; but who in the light of Christ's teachings fails to see that the moral obliquity of

that transaction was with Jacob in taking advantage of his brother's famished condition to drive a sharp bargain in his own interest?

To woman the most sacred thing is her virtue, the seal of her purity, the crown of her womanhood. That the function of maternity may be guarded from pollution, only at the behest of love may she, in innocence, lay aside the virgin sanctity of her person. This is the law, outranking all other statutes, written only in the hearts of men and women, that makes of woman's virtue the most sacred of human things. And it is because it is so sacred that the enforced parting with it emphasizes the bitter struggle for existence which marks every exhibition of poverty. It is because it tells the tale of misery in language the most pathetic and forcible that society will have none of it. For a woman to make so sacred a thing an article of merchandise is to reach the lowest point in the scale of social ethics.

But the man to whom she panders is above disgrace, not because he is a man, but because the same transaction that places her under the ban gives to him the preëminence of having the means to gratify his desires — a depraved gratification, all will admit, but still lifting him, in a social sense, far above the poor unfortunate who has been his paramour. And he is considered far more honorable even than his worthy but unfortunate brother who tramps the road for an opportunity to earn a living or who, to relieve immediate necessity, is compelled to pawn his watch or his Bible.

But it is not merely that in the eyes of the social world female unchastity is regarded as a badge of poverty, but the various degrees of straits to which women are subjected carry with them more or less suspicion of sexual contamination. The opening to her of many avenues of business has not smoothed the ruggedness of woman's pathway or lessened the temptations that beset her. On the contrary I very much fear that the increased competition which she brings to the labor market will result in such a lowering of wages as to cause the aggregate support of the individual families of the laboring classes to be diminished rather than increased, while the exposure of the female portion to more familiar relations with the employing classes will vastly increase the pressure towards evil to which they will be subjected.

Already the introduction of the typewriter in the hands of lady operatives has started the low, familiar jesting that shows the evil suspicions to which they are being subjected, as well as the temptations that surround them. In the city of Des Moines, where I live, there are many offices which employ stenographers where no self-respecting woman will long remain employed. I

shall never forget the remark of a young friend of mine who has recently abandoned the profession of stenographer for that of nurse. In conversation with her about some trifling matter I made use of the expression, "if you will be accommodating."

"Oh!" said she, "I do not want to hear you use that hateful expression."

On seeking to know why she felt so, she informed me that she was almost invariably met with that remark when seeking employment, and had come to detest it.

Did it ever occur to you, my gentle reader, when in some uncharitable moment you were disposed to deny that women are driven by poverty to the brothel, did it ever occur to you that there are no women tramps? And when you have realized the increase of competition in the labor market brought on by the added supply of women laborers which the present age is witnessing, did this thought ever come to you with its fearful suggestions of depravity and vice? Is not our commercial civilization, imitating the economy of the hive, differentiating a class of female workers rendered unfit for maternity by labor and privation, while the male tramp, like the insect drone, is being cast out and destroyed as a worthless incumbrance?

If there be no taint of traffic upon the woman's guilt, society will not judge harshly, unless the burdens of an unwelcome maternity destroy her position of independence and render her socially obnoxious by their suggestions of discomfort and inconvenience. We all know women moving in so-called good society whose virtue is not above suspicion, but who are recognized as above the reach of poverty and want, and whose position in the social world is therefore secure. Look about you, my reader, and you will be able perhaps to recognize many such. Go to the fashionable watering places and the pleasure haunts of the upper classes, and you will not fail to mark the dissipated abandon and the air of license which pervades the drawing-rooms of their reigning queens. Take many of the favorites of the theatrical world or the honored associates of the Prince of Wales — are they above suspicion? In the social world moral restraints have been superseded by conventional rules, and even these have little binding force on wealth or talent.

But you say, "Let us leave out of consideration the grosser forms of sensuality, and consider the case of the seducer and his victim. There being no commercial transaction here, your explanation will not apply." In this sense does it apply? The seducer, seeking still his pleasures, uses his talent of persuasion to secure them. In the face of the fate that awaits her the action of his victim is so unnatural that his victory is taken as a proof of his talent, while unless she be financially independent she

is not above the suspicion of venality, and if she be so unfortunate as to become a mother her disadvantage is greatly enhanced and her folly emphasized. If, however, her misfortune is followed by marriage to her seducer her crime is usually fully condoned, not because she is less a criminal but because she demonstrates her power to win and hold the affection to secure which she yielded to folly.

But I am persuaded that even in the social world the seducer is not relieved from a certain discount, especially with those among whom the commercial habit is strong. A real dead beat in any line is not looked upon with favor by even the very swell. I have heard men boast with evident pride of their liberality to their victims. One needs but little familiarity with the haunts of vice to be convinced that ostentatious use of wealth determines there, as elsewhere, the social standing of the debauchee.

It was my lot to travel extensively through the south during the past summer, and to observe the social conditions as affected by the race problem. I found the southern whites generous and hospitable to strangers, courteous and urbane to one another, and within certain limitations kind and indulgent to the negroes, but one fact about which all southern civilization crystallizes is the social inequality of the two races. It is the basis of their industrial system; it dominates absolutely their politics, and in the social world it is the one consideration that overshadows all others. Even the theological dogma that "man was created a little lower than the angels" is outranked in importance by the social one that the white man was created a little higher than the nigger. Before the irresistible force of this one idea all others must bow, regardless of consequences.

Now how does this fact affect the question under consideration? Everywhere I went I was told that the negroes were wholly without virtue. This was mentioned not as a *crime*, but as a *characteristic*. It did not seem to affect the judgment passed upon them. The one great fault that covered up all others was the fact that they were *niggers*. Upon this dark background all of the crimes condemned in the Decalogue could cast no shadow. Even the crime of rape, when committed upon women of their own race, attracted only a passing notice among the whites. Aside from their relations to the whites they were held but little more amenable to moral standards than are the beasts of the field, and in those relations everything was subordinated to the one condition of the superiority of the whites.

Now the gist of all this is the one thought that social and not moral standards govern the world, and that the crime of unchastity is usually accompanied by conditions which bring into powerful play the social forces, to the manifest disadvantage of

the woman. Let us not deceive ourselves! Society cares little for the virtue or morality of its members, but it is deeply concerned about their financial standing, and it emphasizes its hatred of poverty by its heartless discriminations in favor of the rich and the influential. The force of this social estimate is wellnigh omnipotent. There is nought so sacred as to escape its blighting breath. It dominates the marts of trade and dictates the courtesies of the street; it formulates the laws of fashion, and tunes the chime of the marriage bells. It presides at the birth of innocence, and covers the grave of corruption with a tribute of flowers. Its voice is heard in the music of the choir, and on the cushion of the pew and the surplice of the pulpit it has traced in threads of gold its brutal trade-mark, the dollar sign.

How pitiable is the attitude of the church and its moral forces in the face of this all-pervading, all-powerful influence. Utterly powerless, with existing means and methods, to stem this tide of debasement, it cringingly bows to what it considers the inevitable, and with a cowardly shifting of the responsibility upon an inscrutable providence, it courts a dependent alliance with those powers of wealth that have proven themselves to be such potent factors in the social world.

I have never been satisfied with solutions of moral questions that begin with a condemnation of nature and end with a futile attempt to supersede her. I believe that nature is one harmonious expression of God's will, and human nature its crowning masterpiece; but the law of self-development which He has stamped upon all life makes its evolution to higher planes a slow and painful process, and in our groping after the ideal we are often wounded and bruised in our experimenting with what proves to be the unreal. And so I believe the church has missed the true conception of its grand mission, and because it has so erred it has failed to become regnant in all the affairs of men. It is not that God's arm is shortened, that He cannot save, but rather that the church has failed to see clearly the way of salvation, and has not met those conditions which He in His wisdom has made essential.

While it has extolled the attributes of deity it has failed to apprehend the possibilities of humanity. While it has proclaimed the virtues of holiness it has neglected to teach the duties of plain, practical, everyday life. While it has taught the first commandment, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart and with all thy soul and with all thy mind," it has forgotten that "the second is like unto it" — like in importance, like in the sacred duty of obedience, and like in the soul-developing exercise of love — "Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself." It has indeed been zealous in warning the individual to flee from



the wrath to come, but it has failed, miserably failed, to arouse the public conscience concerning the evil that is now upon us. I think its primary error has been one of method, and has consisted in seeking for the inspirations and principles of religion in the Scriptures only.

The result has been to narrow religious effort and apprehension of truth and make it dependent upon human interpretations and authority, creating many seeming antagonisms between God's manifestation in the book of nature and His revealed word. But the immutable testimony of the rocks has broken in pieces the traditions of men; above the voice of the past is heard the ever-present cry of to-day; and in the sweat shops of our city slums and the dark caverns of the mines, wherever humanity, bruised and bleeding, is blindly struggling against the bondage of poverty and disgrace, the chosen of the Lord may catch the inspiration of the burning bush and hear the voice of the great I Am commanding them to deliver His people.

Not alone in His holy word is His will revealed. As we learn His love from all the works of His hand, as each discovery of science serves to broaden the perspective and show the illimitable reaches of His benevolence, so may we learn His purposes and will from all the laws that He has planted in the hearts of men. May we not reasonably infer that His will is as unalterably recorded in the essential relations of the elements of social and business life as in the physical laws that govern the movements of the planets and maintain the harmony of the spheres? Can we doubt for one moment that the Lord of infinite wisdom and love, who planted in the breasts of men a desire for human society, has met that desire by a law of social intercourse which, if followed, will satisfy every social want and yet make of human society a reflection of heavenly life? Is it not a just inference that relation of elements is the basis of that law rather than their individual development? And is it not our supreme duty to discover the relation and follow that law?

What are the lessons that we should learn from conditions as they exist to-day? To my mind they are these:—

First: In the very nature of things social considerations take precedence of all others. This tendency seems to be irresistible, and must be admitted and met by making social regeneration and development the object of highest effort.

Second: Industrial conditions are the foundation of the social order, and must be conformed to the principles of justice if we would have a pure social life.

Third: The object and aim of social life is the promotion of happiness. Often its means are questionable and the pleasures it promotes are of a low and degrading order, but it never has for



its direct object the production of misery. Nor can anyone attain to social distinction who does not contribute to the happiness of others to a greater or less degree. Society hates those who cannot and it ignores those who will not.

Insomuch as society requires its members to contribute to the happiness of others, it is allied to the principles of true religion, and it is because it does thus require and in that regard is more zealous than the church, that its influence is more potent. Nature emphasizes that one requirement by making the tendency fundamental to human existence.

But social life is not founded on morality. It is built upon industrial institutions; it is the practical side of life, as religion is the ideal. If we have unjust industrial conditions our social life becomes stratified into classes, whose antagonisms develop pride, ostentation and contempt on the one hand, and envy, hatred and revenge on the other. Under the play of these passions the higher religious pleasures that come from the exercise of altruistic motives are choked out, and give way to those grosser forms of personal gratification that transform the natural and God-given appetites into fiendish passions.

Is it not time that good people as individuals and the church as an organization should learn that "the law of the Lord is perfect"—as perfect in the domain of political economy and sociology as in science or religion? Can the religious effort of the age have a higher mission, a more noble aim, than to seek those harmonies in social and industrial matters that shall be the expression of His most perfect will?

The restlessness abroad in the land to-day is not born of evil. It is the *moral* pathos of existing efforts at industrial and social reforms that gives spur and incentive to effort and urges on their leaders. It is not a selfish crusade that is attacking existing institutions but a deeply religious one. The marching of the armies of the unemployed, the sullen submission of labor to the exactions of monopoly, and the sudden and unexampled political upheavals are indeed portentous signs of the strain to which our civilization is being subjected; but it is the brutal crushing of manhood, the destruction of the tender sensibilities of womanhood and the stifling of the joy and innocence of childhood involved in our industrial system that are kindling in the hearts of the just the consecrated devotion that will redeem this land from the vice and infidelity of materialism. Human progress can make farther advances only along the line of just material and social conditions. "Let justice be done *lest* the heavens fall."

# BIMETALLISM AND LEGISLATION.

BY C. S. THOMAS.

THE demonetization of silver by the act of Feb. 12, 1873, was not generally known for more than two years thereafter. When aroused by the fact the indignation of the people was practically universal. The press, the pulpit and the hustings denounced the law as an outrage and demanded its repeal. Public sentiment was too unanimous upon the subject to admit of its appearance as an issue of the ensuing campaign, and the Congress chosen in 1872 was committed to the repeal of the obnoxious law.

But the influences which had secured the destruction of silver money were as potential in 1877 as ever. Upon the accession of Hayes to the presidency they obtained for Senator Sherman the portfolio of the treasury, and shaped through him the financial policy of the government. From the time of his confirmation to the commencement of the regular session of Congress in December following, they confidently contended that any legislation in behalf of silver would exclude all government securities from its operation. "Honest money" became the theme of the metropolitan press, and a few great journals like the *Chicago Tribune*, which still stood for the cause of bimetallism, were reproached as advocates of repudiation and assailed as blasphemers against the public faith.

On Dec. 3, 1877, President Hayes delivered his annual message to Congress. He recognized the great importance of "the readjustment of our coinage system by the renewal of the silver dollar as an element in our specie currency, endowed by legislation with the quality of legal tender to a greater or less extent"; he urged a change of ratio to the then commercial value of silver in gold and the exemption of all government securities from payment in silver, upon the ground that when the bonds were issued it was contemplated "by either the government or the holders of the bonds" that gold was the only coin in which they should be paid. This recommendation gave unbounded comfort to the public creditor, but Congress received it with ill-concealed resentment.

Precisely one week after the delivery of the message Senator Matthews of Ohio introduced his celebrated resolution: "That all the bonds of the United States payable, principal and inter-

est, at the option of the government of the United States, in silver dollars of the coinage of the United States containing 412½ grains each of standard silver; and that to restore to its coinage such silver coins as a legal tender in payment of said bonds, principal and interest, is not in violation of the public faith nor in derogation of the rights of the public creditor." This resolution was prompted by the practically unanimous declaration of the general assembly of Ohio "that common honesty to the tax payers, the letter and the spirit of the contract under which the great body of its indebtedness was assumed by the United States, and true financial wisdom, each and all demand the restoration of the silver dollar to its former rank as lawful money." The resolution was adopted by a vote of 43 to 22.

In November, during the special session of Congress, Mr. Bland, the chairman of the committee on coinage, weights and measures, had, under a suspension of the rules, secured the passage, by a vote of 163 to 34, of a bill for the free and unlimited coinage of silver which should be a legal tender, at nominal value, for all their debts and dues, public and private, except when otherwise provided by contract. On Jan. 29, 1878, the senate finance committee reported it to that body, with amendments limiting silver coinage to a minimum of \$2,000,000 per month on government account, provided for an International Conference by the invitation of the president, and the exchange of silver coins for certificates of not less than \$10 each.

The bill, as amended, passed the Senate by a vote of 48 to 21, and the House by a vote of 203 to 72. It was promptly vetoed by President Hayes as "an act of bad faith," "because it was not to be anticipated that any future legislation of Congress, or any action of any department of the government would sanction or tolerate the redemption of the principal of the bonds or the payment of the interest thereon in coin of less value than the coin authorized by law at the time of the issue of the bonds, being the coin exacted by the government in exchange for the same." (The coin "exacted by the government" was the depreciated greenback.) The bill was passed over the veto by 46 to 19 in the Senate and 196 to 73 in the House, and became a law Feb. 28, 1878, remaining in force until July 14, 1890, when it was repealed by the act of that date.

On June 7, 1890, a bill authorizing the issue of Treasury notes upon deposits of silver bullion passed the House by 135 to 119. On June 18 the Senate substituted for it a bill for the free coinage of silver, which passed by 42 to 25. This was rejected by the House on June 25, by 135 to 152. A conference committee was then appointed, which finally agreed to what has since been

popularly called the "Sherman Law." It passed the Senate by a vote of 39 to 26 and the House by 112 to 90. On July 14 it received the executive approval. The first or "purchasing" clause of the act was repealed on Nov. 1, 1893.

This act differed from that of 1878 but embraced all its vicious features. It provided for the monthly purchase by the secretary of the treasury of 4,500,000 ounces of silver bullion, and for the issuance of treasury notes in payment thereof redeemable on demand in gold or silver coin at the discretion of the secretary. It made these notes legal tender except where otherwise expressly stipulated in the contract, required the monthly coinage of 2,000,000 ounces of the silver bullion into standard silver dollars, until July 1, 1891, after which the secretary should coin so much as might be necessary to provide for redemption of treasury notes, and declared it to be "the established policy of the United States to maintain the *two metals* on a parity with each other upon the present legal ratio, or such ratio as may be provided by law."

The ostensible purpose of this act was to remedy the deficiencies of the existing law, and take another "step toward free coinage." The constant decline in the gold price of silver bullion under the operation of the "Bland Act," it was thought could be arrested by requiring the government to purchase a greater quantity of it, while the issuance of treasury notes convertible into gold or silver coin at the government's discretion would steady the ratio between the metals, and at the same time keep the government holdings of silver in active circulation. If the experiment succeeded it would be the equivalent of free coinage; if it failed the opponents of bimetallism would be strengthened in their resolution to demonetize silver altogether.

What would have been the outcome of this remarkable piece of legislation had the duty of discharging its requirements been imposed upon the friends of bimetallism, is largely a matter of conjecture. That the exercise by the treasury department of the option to redeem the treasury notes in silver would have powerfully stimulated the gold price of silver bullion and made it impossible to drain the treasury of its gold, seems clear; but that it could have operated to efface the margin between the market and the mint price of silver bullion, and clear the way for it to free coinage, is inconceivable. Its provisions were too much at variance with monetary laws for the evolution of such a result from the most favorable conditions. Its authors comforted its opponents and deluded the people by confidently predicting its speedy solution of the free coinage problem; but this is not surprising when it is remembered that they were at the same time endeavoring to demonstrate the proposition that the

surest way to reduce the price of all commodities is to increase the cost of their production.

But the execution of these laws was necessarily committed to the hands of men whose sympathies and interests were enlisted in the cause of monometallism. They believed in the wisdom of every argument which could be urged against the free coinage of silver. They regarded the possibility of its accomplishment as a reflection upon the wisdom and the honor of the nation. They depreciated the necessity of observing any of the requirements of the statutes. They clung closely to the letter which killeth, and repudiated the spirit which maketh alive. They encouraged every combination which had for its object the defeat of the statutory provisions, and accepted interpretations of their meaning from the advocates of gold. From 1873 to the present hour it has been the deliberate policy of the government by the exercise of all its powers to bring bimetallism into disrepute and make its restoration impossible, to commit the nation irrevocably to gold monometallism, and ostentatiously to declare at all times its earnest desire to return to the free coinage of both metals at the old ratio "as soon as practicable."

In the hands of such men, and under the operation of such a policy, the Bland and Sherman laws became the most efficient weapons which could have been devised for the transformation of public sentiment upon the great question of free bimetallic coinage. We may well understand the attitude and condone the action of those who consented to the first compromise; how they could have accepted the second, with their accumulated experience, and in the face of prophetic warnings from men like Senator Cockrell, passes all understanding.

An evil common to both these acts was the protective feature. The government, for the avowed purpose of sustaining the price of silver bullion and keeping its market up to its mint value, was required to purchase large quantities of it at monthly periods, and coin it into dollars. This was but doing for silver what it had for years done for the manufacturer and the producer of raw materials, although the method adopted by it radically differed from that ordinarily employed. Its purchases were not confined to the domestic yield, yet they were assumed to be the virtual equivalent of the surplus product; hence the public customer would so largely absorb it that demand and supply would be kept at an equipoise. "The market was thus to be stimulated, a great industry saved from ruin, and labor given permanent employment at good wages." The logic of the tariff was applied to a money metal, and the government, not by imposing a high duty but by becoming a regular customer, sought to sustain the product of a class.

It is not surprising that the protectionist sentiment outside of the silver-producing states, true to its instinct for inconsistency, soon became among the most violent of the opponents of the silver law. This hostility may have arisen not so much from its protective feature, as from fear of the effect of an abundant money circulation upon the "American system." Still the unusual application of government aid to the bullion owner, was deemed amply sufficient to gratify savage attacks upon it as an innovation, unheard of in practice, and unwarranted by the Constitution.

On the other hand the anti-protection classes with one accord assailed the propriety and constitutionality of the measure from the outset. If for the purpose of sustaining the price of one metal the government could be required to purchase great quantities of it at frequent intervals and store it away or coin it for circulation, it could with perfect justice and equal propriety be required to purchase unlimited tons of iron, copper, coal, wheat or corn. These and other interests were entitled to public consideration and should not be the victims of adverse discrimination. Protectionist and reformer both declared the primary and ultimate object of the law to be to benefit the owners of silver mines at the expense of the nation and give a fictitious value to one product at the expense of all.

Extravagant estimates of cheap production of silver, combined with vast and unnatural profit through unjust and outrageous class legislation, were widely circulated, and as widely credited, while the farmer, the merchant, the iron, copper, lead, zinc and coal miner were constantly reminded that each had as good a right to demand that the treasury should take his surplus product, as had the owner of silver bullion; that the latter was doomed as a money metal by the laws of commerce, and could be continued as such by no public effort, however well directed; that gold maintained its monetary position and continued to perform its monetary functions without legislation because of its "natural intrinsic value," and that unless the hold of the "silver barons" upon the throat of the nation was loosened, its stock of gold would take wings and fly away, while "cheap money" would entail upon us a list of calamities too long and too appalling to enumerate.

The friends of bimetallism, while denying these conclusions, could not take issue with the premises upon which they were founded. They urged that the objectionable portions of the criticised legislation were the work of silver's opponents and accepted as the only concessions obtainable; that while the owners and producers of silver bullion derived benefit from the law, the increased volume of money resulting from the new



coinage was a general blessing which contributed to the common welfare, while it tended to make the reestablishment of bimetalism less difficult and more certain of realization.

The steady decline in the gold price of silver bullion, and the substitution of the Sherman for the Bland Law, in connection with the McKinley Bill, and as one of the conditions precedent to the passage of the latter by the United States Senate, operated most powerfully to emphasize and enforce the objections we are now considering. Merchants, artisans, farmers, laborers and others whose permanent welfare and prosperity depend upon the rehabilitation of silver and whose predilections were all in its favor, joined in the general denunciation and bitterly assailed the act of 1890 as a shameless and unjust alliance of the government with a small but powerful class of mine owners by which the latter were to be favored and enriched by methods which must inevitably impair the public credit and debauch the national currency. Free coinage and class legislation thus became convertible terms, and the blessings of the one were confounded with the infamies of the other. Appeals to reason and to interest were alike impotent to arrest the progress of this hostile sentiment, which successfully clamored for unconditional repeal without regard to the terrible results which must and did ensue from such a course.

But the protective feature of this legislation was in theory not more pernicious to the principle of free coinage than was the practical application of the law to existing conditions. From February, 1878, to June, 1893, the secretary of the treasury made monthly purchases of the amounts of silver bullion required by the existing law. For this he was required to pay under the Bland Act "the market price thereof," and under the Sherman Act, "the market price, not exceeding one dollar for 371.25 grains of pure silver." The interest of the government naturally impelled it to seek the cheapest market and to patronize the customer who would part with his bullion for the least money. Such was the course invariably pursued. Under such a practice, the supply of bullion continuing, its price must inevitably decline, and instead of approaching the mint value at the established ratio, constantly receded from it. This divergence was accelerated by rumors opportunely created of contemplated sales of treasury bullion through congressional action.

Thus silver, classed as a commodity by the law, was constantly forced downward through its operation. The bullion value of the silver dollars fell as their number increased. The assertion that silver could not be restored by legislation to its old place with gold received apparent confirmation, and the metal was degraded and disgraced by the very agency which its friends



were assured would be the means of its salvation. The melting pot reduced the silver dollar to sixty cents in gold; and that fact, notwithstanding its parity when coined, was made to do yeoman duty in undermining the friendship entertained by public opinion for the bimetallic system.

But the active cunning of the monometallist made the government purchases of silver bullion serve his cause most potentially in another direction. At the close of the fiscal year on July 1, 1890, there was in the treasury, including bullion, \$323,804,555 in silver. On July 1, 1893, this sum had increased to \$481,371,103. Nearly all of it was in active circulation in the form of certificates and treasury notes; yet it was constantly and persistently asserted by the gold press and the gold interest, that it was a useless and inert mass in the treasury vaults, which could neither be forced into circulation nor paid to the public creditor; that its presence in the public custody was a permanent embarrassment, and its constant accumulation a source of grave and serious apprehension; that its increase must inevitably force gold abroad and reduce the republic to the condition of the silver-using countries of South America.

Congress, it was said, was too weak or too venal to repeal the law and restore the status of 1873, and Pandora's box of financial evils would inevitably be opened unless strategy should effect what a direct assault would fail to accomplish. A return to bimetalism by two or three if not all of the European nations would not only encourage but inevitably result in its reestablishment by the United States. The reopening of the mints to silver by the Latin Union, would, at any time between 1878 and 1893, have been followed by similar action in America. Neither contingency was altogether improbable. To defeat them was, therefore, as essential to the ultimate triumph of gold monometallism as was the continued domestic debasement of silver, and if the hope of its restoration by any nation of Europe could be repressed, the return to the act of February, 1873, would become reasonably certain. The great accumulation of silver in the federal treasury was the argument, or, to be more precise, the threat, by means of which the end was sought to be obtained.

The people of the United States were, therefore, artfully represented by the financiers and financial publications of Europe to be insincere in their profession of attachment to the bimetallic system. Their experiments in that direction, it was said, had not only taught them the impossibility of its establishment by legislation, but had congested their treasury with an enormous mass of silver which they would not use as money and could not dispose of for any purpose. This evil was constantly growing. The interests involved in the continuation of the laws requiring

its purchase were too strong to make their repeal immediately possible. The situation was becoming critical, its gravity was appreciated by the more intelligent classes, and relief from some source was highly indispensable to the public safety. This could come only by discovering or creating a demand for the public stock of silver, whereby it could be disposed of without serious loss.

Hence Americans professed satisfaction with the workings of their fiscal policy, proclaimed their devotion to the cause of bimetallism, and urged with apparent zeal and earnestness the creation of international conferences for its general restoration, in the hope that some one or more of the countries of the Old World might be seduced from the paths of financial virtue, and under the spell of the tempter's voice, consent to open their mints to silver as well as gold. Then would the fickle Yankee nation, faithless to its avowed principles, open the floodgates of its treasury and turn the surging torrent of its silver reserves upon the unhappy country whose fatal mistake, realized when the opportunity for its correction was forever gone, must make it an Ishmaelite among its happier neighbors, a silver leper, doomed to perpetual exile and slow but sure decay.

These deep-laid and cunningly contrived schemes, when exposed, seemed to provoke amusement on this side of the Atlantic, and justly so. Yet leading exponents of the gold idea, among the newspapers and the bankers, while repudiating the existence of such depravity and denouncing all such insinuations as atrociously false, always contrived to call attention to the fact that there *certainly was an enormous amount* of idle and worthless silver in the federal treasury; adding that it was not surprising that other countries should look askance at the United States when it invited them to meet in conference on the silver question, and that they should accept our oft-repeated expressions of anxiety for international bimetallism *cum grano salis*, because if one of them should venture upon the experiment of free coinage, *we certainly could, if we would*, topple a mountain of silver over upon it, and the resulting avalanche must bury it forever.

What wonder that all overtures for international bimetallism have been made in vain, that our solicitude for it has been questioned and our motives suspected? What wonder that the enemies of the principle have successfully confronted public opinion, the crying interests of commerce, the needs of humanity, the demands of justice, and have thus far prevented its restoration regardless of the wants or the miseries of mankind? The spectre of this imaginary danger hovering in the western sky, and threatening to descend on the devoted land which might close its ear to greed while listening to the appeal of justice, has been more

passed through the fire that all the arguments under the sun to the reason of the nations of Europe and Wall Street, while curing the silver question would be the subject of present evils, must have their intended result directly as the instruments of future happiness.

The treasury department was required under the Bland Law, and afterwards under the Sherman Law, to coin monthly not less than 2,000,000 silver dollars in government account. The free coinage of silver was thus not only denied but its compulsory coinage enforced by legislative enactments whose avowed purpose was to remove the monetary system. Such a policy could not result otherwise than disastrous. If to-morrow Congress should close the mints to free coinage of gold on individual account, and provide instead that the secretary of the treasury should purchase at the market rate and coin monthly two hundred thousand ounces of gold bullion, a divergence between the value of the coin and of the bullion would soon appear. The latter might be above or below the former; they could not long remain the same.

The essentials to the stability of any metal as money of redemption are that all persons possessing it shall have free and equal access to the mints for its coinage, that no limitation shall be placed upon the quantity which may thus be coined, that no charge for its mintage beyond the actual cost thereof, and of the alloy, shall be made, that the coin shall be a full legal tender at its face value for all debts, public and private, and that the amount of pure metal in the coin shall at all times be the equivalent of and exchangeable for its weight in the same metal as bullion. Under these conditions only can the coin and the bullion retain the same level and their parity be constantly maintained. Under them silver and gold will in ordinary times be stored in coin, or used in the arts, and automatically adapt themselves to the requirements of trade and civilization.

Yet under the progress of the Bland and Sherman Acts, free coinage of silver and government coinage of silver became confounded in the popular mind as different expressions of the same thing. Unlimited coinage came to be understood as the removal by legislation of the minimum imposed by law upon monthly coinages, in consequence whereof the government would be obliged to buy all the silver of all the nations of the earth, pour it into the mills, grind out the dollars, and deluge the nation with them. "Are ye not content with 24,000,000 of your cheap dollars per annum? Will naught suffice but the transformation of all the silver of the earth into them?" was the indignant inquiry of the gold advocate, repeated in shrill *staccato* by his representatives the daily paper, the weekly family journal, the monthly

magazine and in the halls of Congress, until the public ceased to reason and madly clamored for repeal.

The silver dollar and its representative treasury note were made legal tender by these laws, save where expressly stipulated to the contrary. The government by this regulation conferred upon its citizens full authority to discredit a large part of its currency by denying to debtors the right to use it in payment of their debts. This authority was at once exercised. Loans for definite periods were made payable in gold. Municipal and other public securities were discredited unless the money for their cancellation was limited to gold or its equivalent. The bankers forming the Clearing House Association refused to accept silver coin or certificates in settlement of daily balances, and when national banks were prohibited by law from becoming members of such associations, they had a "tacit understanding" that silver should be unavailable for remittances of cash from one banking centre to another.

These constant discriminations against the white metal and its paper representatives, naturally brought gold in demand for payment of interest charges on millions of securities, increased its value by the depression of all other values, including that of silver, and placed the taint of repudiation upon all those whose sentiments applauded its use as money, or even urged the necessity of its international recognition at any ratio with gold.

The power given by these laws to contract against the receipt of silver in payment of debt is a curious one. We have seen that both acts were placed upon the most positive lines of protection, and designed to elevate the price of silver by governmental aid directly extended. But the insertion in them of this contract privilege made it easy for private interest to destroy every possible benefit likely to result from government purchases and coinage. In vain could the government endeavor to restore parity to the two metals under the law, when that law permitted the people to stipulate for the repudiation of one of them. The selfish avarice of the most powerful class of modern society, swift to seize and utilize the opportunity, loaned silver and collected gold. They coldly but surely calculated that such a policy, while bringing profit to them, must bring disaster to bimetallism.

A statute for the preservation of society which provided that the people might if they wished kill with impunity every citizen of German birth or descent, would not be likely to promote the public welfare. About as efficacious for the cause of bimetallism were the Bland and Sherman Laws. Anathemas innumerable have been pronounced against these acts of Congress, but none were ever aimed at their contract clause. That was carefully

placed below the water line and out of danger. It was dear alike to protectionist and free trader. It was to both the precious jewel in the reptile's head, the hidden virtue whose potential force would neutralize the pernicious purpose of its environment, the Aaron's rod which should swallow all the others. How well they employed it is an oft-repeated tale.

But the "culminating atrocity" of this so-called silver legislation was the construction given by the secretary of the treasury to the redemption clause of the Sherman Act, which, it will be remembered, provides that treasury notes issued thereunder shall be redeemable in gold or silver at the discretion of the treasury. Mr. Bland, in September, 1890, aptly termed this statute a masterpiece of duplicity, and predicted that its declared purpose of maintaining parity between the two metals would be construed by the treasury department as requiring it upon demand to exchange gold for treasury notes issued in payment for silver bullion. This is precisely what Secretary Foster at once began to do and what has been done ever since.

Not until the government thus surrendered its right to pay the public creditor in gold or silver at its option did the treasury stock of gold begin to diminish. All the predictions of its disappearance under the operation of the Bland Law came to naught, but the new policy could result in nothing else. England, Germany, France and Russia, whose enormous gold reserves are locked away as part of their military equipment, Austria, seeking to establish her finances upon a gold basis, saw a simple method of increasing their stock by a depletion of ours, and by the exchange of treasury notes, reissued only to be again exchanged for gold, its exodus began. Public apprehension became transformed into positive terror as the hundred million limit of reserve was reached; every fresh purchase of silver was denounced as the creation of an additional obligation redeemable only in gold, and the fear that we were going upon a silver basis ripened into a widespread conviction.

Germany, France and Holland easily check the outflow of gold by paying public creditors in silver. They reserve the right to do so, and debts payable according to the terms of the contract are there conceded to be honorably discharged. The same condition is attached by our laws to every public obligation save gold certificates, yet its exercise is withheld because payment in silver is repudiation. The mere announcement that the treasury department would hereafter exercise its discretion in the use of gold or silver for the redemption of outstanding securities would arrest the outward flow of gold. Its payment of silver in a single instance where gold was demanded would turn the golden current inward.

No silver dollar of the United States has ever been honestly obtained for less than a hundred cents in gold, and no creditor could be in any wise injured by being obliged to receive the money of his contract. Yet the government, upon the plea that it can maintain parity between the two metals only by honoring one and making an outcast of the other, pursues its fatuous policy, whereby the public verdict is, that our silver legislation "has been given a fair trial and has resulted in disaster to that metal, and in confusion to our finances." It is said to threaten public credit, to be a menace to the cause of "honest money," a vain attempt to arrest the operation of natural causes by human legislation, and it is asserted that the speedy and permanent abandonment of bimetallism is absolutely necessary to present needs and future prosperity.

This superficial outline of the manner in which our so-called silver laws have been construed and administered is sufficient to sustain the assertion that they have been more potent for the postponement, if not the defeat, of free coinage than all other influences combined. They are not solitary instances of laws which, ostensibly designed for a great and noble purpose, have been transformed into terrible instruments for its destruction.

The lesson to be drawn from the experiences which I have hastily and imperfectly reviewed is a simple one. The issue of bimetallism admits of no compromise. It must be accepted unconditionally if at all. Its base is right and justice; and limitations imposed upon its free exercise can only result in evil. All compromises involve a surrender of principle, and unless confined to methods of accomplishing given purposes, rather than the ends themselves, they aggravate existing mischiefs and become a greater affliction than the calamities they were intended to prevent. Our country's history is eloquent with reminders of their danger and their futility. Every concession to slavery strengthened its grasp upon the nation's life, and every concession to gold adds to the perplexities which beset the pathway of returning bimetallism. If we would accomplish the restoration of our original monetary system, and again enjoy the incalculable benefits which flow from the free and unlimited coinage of gold and silver, we must be ever deaf to the siren song of compromise. The promptings of duty and the sentiments of patriotism demand that our platform read, "Bimetallism or nothing." Who can doubt the issue?

# THE HOUR.

---

BY A. L. MUZZEY.

---

THE clock has struck the hour, and all the air  
Is vibrant with the sound that rolls and swells  
Like the slow thunder of cathedral bells  
Adown the steeps of time. And everywhere  
Men bowed with toil, and women weighed with care,  
And pain of child-birth in Want's deepest hells  
Pause, listening to each fateful stroke that tells  
The dawn of freedom in which all must share.

For never soul hath entered into life  
That bears not birthright equal with the best,  
And claims in God a place in the vast whole.  
Slow breaks the day where ends unholy strife  
And love that helps the brother in his quest  
Reacts and speeds each runner to his goal.



## AUNT ANGELINE'S TRIUMPH.

BY WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE.

SISTER LONG's opinion of old Aunt Angeline and her shrewdness will give you a more just and accurate picture of her than pen of mine can paint : —

"She wan' a town nigger nohow," Sister Long was wont to say of her, "but wuz fotched fum ole Virginny somewhars befo' de war en sold. I hab heeard sey she uz sold 'count o' stealin'. She tuk a whole hog, dey useter say, en she skunt it, stidder 'scaldin' ob it — skunt it wid her own han's. She uz a toler'ble ole 'oman when she moved ter town, en done been free fur years. Her en me wuz neighbors oncet, en I reckon I knowed her toler'ble well. En dey ain' no denyin' ob de fac' dat Sist' Sims *hed* tuk some, *some* time. Ez fur tricks — ef dey wuz a trick dat nigger 'oman wuzn't up ter hit's des beca'se she ain' nebber heeard tell on it. But it wuz dat last trick o' her'n dat tuk de *premyum*. Dey useter sey dey wan' no hole too little fur Sist' Angeline Sims ter work out ob; but she sho' mighty nigh got inter one too tight fur her dat time. Some laid it all ter de do' ob Brudder Luther Ellis fur makin' ob de motion ter tek her inter de chu'ch."

And there is not the least doubt in the world that the bringing of the old sinner into the church was in truth owing to Brother Luther's smartness in shouldering her cause. But, to do him justice, he did in some measure seek to prevent the bringing of reproach upon his act by always keeping his off eye upon Sister Sims and her goings on. Perhaps he had been hasty in speaking up for her as he did. Her spiritual reputation was not up to the Methodist mark of excellence; while her moral name was, to say the least of it, odorous.

Yet, in spite of all that might be said, there was that about Sister Sims which inspired friendship for the lonely old soul; hers was one of those natures which would bind another's bruises, anoint his sores, give her last crumb to feed his hunger, — and, while ministering to his needs, rob him of his pocket handkerchief, or steal the shoes from off his feet. So perhaps Brother Luther wasn't so mightily to blame after all. She had stood so terribly alone that night when asking admission into the church. Even Brother Bolin, the preacher in charge, seemed ready to

cast a stone at her. The pathos of it appealed to Brother Luther. Moreover Brother Luther was a pillar of the church; he could afford to stoop to the help of the spiritually hungry. His heart went out to the penitent when Brother Bolin called upon her for the "experience" by which it was customary to weigh a candidate's claims to church privileges. She rose, a smile such as the devout are accustomed to wear giving a sort of extra shine to her glossy old face.

"Brudders," she began, "en sisters, I got religion, en I went off inter a tranch; en I see 'Marse Gabul come down out'n de heabens wid 'is ho'n; en he blowed, en he blowed, *en* he blowed, tell I know in my soul he 'uz dest a-blowin' fur me. So I riz up, en sez I, 'I's raidy, bress de Lord!'"

She took her seat amid breathless silence. Back in the country, in the backwoods where she had spent the greater part of her life, such an experience might have passed — might have aroused some enthusiasm, indeed. But this was a *town* church, a congregation of town people. She need not for one moment suppose they would be put upon by any such old-fashioned, unlikely rot as that. She had scarcely taken her seat before Brother Bolin rose.

"Sist' Sims," said he, "I regret ter sey dat de 'sperience jes' related am not broad enough ter remit you inter de communion ob de chu'ch. We ull be obleeged ter pass you by twell dere am some mo' clarifyin' proof dat you hab had de witness ob de Sperit dat you am de chil' ob God."

A giggle followed the preacher's words; perhaps it was the laugh that influenced old Luther. At all events he rose, and —

"Brudder Bolin," said he, "I feel moved ter sey dat you am too sebere in yo' jedgmint ob dis sister. You don' know, brudder, 'bout dat ho'n; you don' know but she might hab heeard a toot or two. I moves dat we remit her inter de church."

Upon the strength of this speech she was taken in; the possible "toot or two" was admitted; but old Luther always felt that the burden of her lay heavy upon his soul.

Jordan is a hard road to travel. The church had taken old Angeline in on trust, so to speak. She was "mighty churchful," they said of her; a great shouter — nobody in the church could out-shout Sister Angeline Sims. She could sing, too; she always sang when the collection was being taken. She had a way of dropping her head back, closing her eyes and rocking to and fro and singing until the contribution hat had passed by.

One night when there was an important collection to be raised, the minister expressly requested that there be no hymn until after the hat had been passed. And said he with meaning emphasis, —

"Brudderin, I hopes fur de glory ob de Lord dat none ob you will go off ter sleep endurin' ob dis collection fur de pore ob de church."

About that time Sister Sims began to sing: —

"Fur you can't stan' de flyah, sinner,  
You can't stan' de flyah."

Brother Bolin raised his hand: —

"De congregation," said he, "will please ter not ter sing, but jest ter keep dey eyes op'n."

There was sudden silence, broken only by the sound of broad, flat soles moving down the carpetless aisles where the stewards were "passing the hat" between the rows of well-filled pews.

Aunt Angeline saw them coming. Not to put a dime into that hat meant everlasting death to her own self-respect, to say nothing of the eyes fixed upon her. She began to fumble in her pocket: it hadn't felt the weight of a coin in six months. Nearer came the hat; more energetic became the search in the seemingly bottomless pocket. It seemed to her that every eye in the house was fixed upon her; she must put something into that hat. She thought once of wadding a bit of paper and dropping that in. But the great white eyes of old Luther Ellis were watching. Moreover, the brother with the hat would be sure to detect the cheat. She wondered if she might not be able to give a little sleight of hand performance that would dazzle the eye of the beholder into the idea that she had dropped in her mite. As the hat came nearer she detected that in the eye of old Luther which said, "No fooling with the Lord's money, if you please."

But old Aunt Angeline was a woman of resources. She had been through slavery "before the war," and according to her own statement had "been through sights since." She caught the look in Brother Luther's eyes; her own flashed back the challenge, "Ketch dis ole nigger nappin' *if* you kin." At the same moment the hand in the pocket of her dress came to a sudden satisfactory stop. A smile of genuine relief broadened the big, thick lips. The hand was withdrawn from the pocket and now lay, half closed, upon her lap. The look she gave old Luther said, "Done foun' it, brudder; done foun' de money fur de pore." She saw that he understood, and so settled herself back contentedly in the pew and gave herself to the business of dodging that hat and at the same time maintaining a degree of respectability becoming a member in good standing.

It was at that moment that her eye chanced to fall upon the lap of the sister at her side. The woman was Sister Long, and she was industriously twisting with a much-beholed handkerchief the nose of the little boy on her left. Sister Sims occupied the end

of the bench upon the woman's immediate right. The benches were tall and narrow and set close together; the lap of the sister upon Angeline's left was well screened from the eyes of the congregation, and upon it lay a bright, glistening, new silver dime. It had evidently slipped from the handkerchief, one corner of which, crumpled and twisted, showed where the precious mite had been tied for safe keeping. Old Angeline, the saint, lifted her knee just a trifle, a shield for the one exposed point of view, gave her head a twirl, lifted her skirts, dropped them—and the sleight of hand act had been performed.

When the hat passed the bit of silver went tinkling down to join its kindred missionaries; and old Angeline through half-closed eyes, saw her "sister in the church" begin the same wild search she herself had but just been engaged upon. "Hit's all de same," she consoled her rusty old conscience; "hit wuz boun' right whar it wint — de only differ'nce bein' hit wint by de way ob ole Angeline stid o' tudder one."

But let him that standeth take heed; sin always leaves an unguarded outpost. In extracting the coin Miss Sims had reckoned without the small boy whose nose was being wrenched. As his mother began to shake her skirts and peer beneath the benches he lifted a short, stubby finger and pointed it with deadly accusing straight at the thief:—

"Her tuked it," he said; but fortunately for old Angeline nobody heard. "Her tuked it," repeated the accuser in a louder key. "Ma? Aw, ma? Ma, I say? *Her* tuked it; I seed her, en her tuked it."

Before he could say another word old Angeline had drowned him out:—

"Ole Satan tol' me not ter pray,  
'Case he wan' my soul at de jedgmint day.  
You can't stan' de fiah, sinner,  
You can't stan' de fiah."

And through her half-closed lids she saw the hat pass safely on and drew a long, deep breath of righteous satisfaction.

But the matter did not end here; the boy made himself understood at last and the next morning Mis' Sims had a call. It was altogether a war of words that was fought "over the fence" in front of old Angeline's cabin, but the words were quite forceful enough in all conscience to have substituted both clubs and shot guns.

"Yo' nasty ole thief," cried the visitor. "I'm good min' ter come in dar en bus' yo' haid open wid a rock. Dat's what I is. Foolin' long dar all dat time wid the pockets ob yo' coat tail 'ten'in' lack dey's some money dar what yo' can't fin'; den when dey ain' nobody lookin' sneakin' de dime off'n somebody else's

lap. Dat's de kin' o' Chrischun you is. You'd steal de money off'n de eyes ob de daid: you sho' would; dat's de kin' ob Chrischun you is. I'm good min' go ter de magistrate en hab him fotch you up in cote, dat's what I'm good min' ter do."

Aunt Angeline stood in her cabin door, her hand upon the key. She had breakfast to get in another part of the town. She had no time to waste upon "low flung niggers what furgits ter fotch deir manners long wid 'em." She was not afraid of the magistrate; they were acquaintances of long standing, he and she. She regarded her irate visitor in silence for a moment, then slowly lifted her smooth, fat black arm, and "Cl'ar out!" she commanded. She was as black as the oft-quoted ace of spades, and glossy as a freshly peeled onion. Her face was shrewd, sharp and jolly — not a trace of ill humor about it. Even when she issued the stern command to "cl'ar out," her long brass earrings dangled about her white head kerchief and her little round fat jaws in a way that was altogether too jolly to suggest anything on top of this earth more formidable than a monkey or a Christmas breakdown with 'possum and cider between whiles.

"Cl'ar out? Who dat gwine cl'ar out, I lack ter know," declared the visitor. "You nasty ole witch; you black wench, et ain't got no mo' business in de chu'ch den a horse thief am; you tell *me* ter cl'ar out? Fo' God if I don' bus' yo' black mouf fur ye. Come out o' dar, yur nigger wench, en tell me to 'cl'ar out,' ef you dar'. Tell me out here in de street, wid plenty o' rocks en sticks handy. You nasty thief! tell a decent 'oman ter cl'ar out. Cl'ar out! I wouldn't set my foot inside yo' gate, you ole rogue, not ef't you wuz ter gimme all de money in dis town; hit's de God's troof. Cl'ar out! Yo' better wait tell some decent 'oman cl'ars in, fo' you invites ob 'em ter cl'ar out; *dat* you had. God knows I ain' gwine put *my* foot in yo' nasty den ob thieves. I's gwine up ter Brudder Bolin's house en fetch my boy Joe long ter tell him 'bout'n you stealin' my money off my knee, an' git him ter hab you up in de meet'n', dat's what I's gwine do. You ole sneak-thief-nigger-wench-you."

Now Aunt Angeline was not a coward, neither was she quarrelsome. If she was possessed of the weakness of Achan she was not without a touch of the chief virtue of the man of Uz as well. And she was proud, she was that nigh of kin to Lucifer. Verily she had royal examples to offer in apology. She was proud of her position in the church. It was a small matter to face a magistrate, a constable, or even, as she had once been called upon to do, to come before a jury. It wasn't any great disgrace to spend a day or two in jail. But to be "brought up in meet'n"! That was the sin unpardonable, the stain past the power of the sweets of Araby. Any other threat would have

passed over her head like drops of rain upon a duck's back. But this one — she hesitated, scowled, gave her brass earrings a toss, and — shot up a white flag. This called for capitulation. In an instant the shrewd old sinner had laid her plans and set her trap. She removed the key from the door and stepped majestically down to the gate.

"Sist' Long," she said, "I ain' got no time ter stan' here quallin', wid de whi' folks waitin' en hungry fur dey's bre'kfus'. You jes' come up here ternight en tek a bite o' late supper wid me, en we ull talk 'bout dat mistake o' dat nice little boy o' yo'n whilst we's eatin' a tender young pullet what I's been a-savin' fur some fine comf'ny. I dunno but I might scrouge roun' a bit en fin' a moufful o' fruit cake en a little taste o' wine ter he'p it down. You jes' be here 'bout nine erclock. En fetch yo' ole man long wid yer. Dey's plenty ob de cake en chick'n fixin's fur de hull o' we-alls."

The invitation was tempting; what was one poor little dime as compared with a supper of young pullet and fruit cake? — fruit cake saved from last Christmas, too, without a doubt. And after all, the poor got the dime just as surely as though her hand instead of Sister Sims' had dropped it into the hat. It had been a long time since she had sat down to a dinner of chicken and cake; while as to *wine* — well, she had a drop at sacrament; that was all. The scent of it filled her nostrils; she belonged to the Methodists and knew the influence of chicken upon the Methodist heart. She felt her anger bubbling off in a hiss of sputtering yellow gravy in which was swimming a nicely toasted fowl stuffed with the fattest of "patty bread." Mrs. Sims was cook for her old-master's oldest son; he was rich, and bought the best of everything. Every darkey in that alley knew the feel of Squire Goodloe's chickens.

It was quite too much for the tottering resistance of Sister Long. She smoothed the wrinkles of her white apron and adjusted her bonnet anew.

"Sist' Sims," she said in her best church voice, "I'll come, yessum; an' we'll talk ober dis matter quiet, all ter ourse'ves. An' ef you tells me dat boy o' mine hab lied ter me 'bout'n dat insigni'cant ten-cent piece, I'll take de hide plumb off'n his back, I sholy will. I won't leave ha'r nor hide ter him. En I'll take yo' word fur it, Sist' Sims; I ain' gwine let no bad chil' o' min' put his word ag'inst de word ob a sister in de chu'ch, I sholy ain't. You kin jes' tek my word fer dat, Sist' Sims."

And as old Angeline hobbled off to get the breakfast for the squire's family, her face wore a mingled expression of victory and of defeat. The dime was settled; she had put down a church trial. It would never do for them to drag her into a

church trial; there were too many things that *might* come up. She must keep out of church fusses, she told herself, "else dey might be a scan'le."

But that supper — she would "haf ter hab a little flour," "a spoonful o' lard," "a spat o' bac'n," "a pinch o' sugar," "a moufful o' coffee," "a little piece o' butter no bigger'n a hen aig," "a bottle o' wine out'n de cellar, *en* — de chickin." The fruit cake had been in a box on the mantel, with Aunt Angeline's Sunday shoes on the box, for more than a month. It would have to be eaten now, "An' all fur a measley little dime," said Angeline.

When she went in the squire's gate her plans were all laid. In the coop there was a great bronze gobbler, bought and put to fatten against the master's birthday, which would be in a week. As Aunt Angeline passed the coop the big, fat beauty rammed his head between the bars and called out with fatal impudence, "Oodle? Oodle? Owdle?" Angeline stopped; a pullet was small for three people — for six, indeed, since she had suddenly remembered that old Luther and his wife might as well be cultivated as not. Then there was another man she had in her mind. Old Angeline usually had some man or another in her mind. In a moment she was in the pantry with her mistress, who had slipped out in wrapper and with bare feet to give out the breakfast.

When Aunt Angeline passed the coop again, on her way to the kitchen, she came to a sudden stop, gave a fierce little shout of alarm, dropped the tray of flour and lard (she was careful that it dropped upon the well kept pavement), and went rushing back to the house. "Ole Mis'!" she exclaimed, at the top of her lungs, "O Lord, ole Mis', *ef* somebody ain' gone en stole ole marster's bufday tuckey."

The gobbler was gone. Aunt Angeline led the lamentations, after which she carefully scooped up the spilled flour and set it aside with the lard, before she went to the pantry again for a fresh supply with which to prepare the master's breakfast. She heard the family at breakfast laughing while they told each other how finding the gobbler gone had "so shocked poor Aunt Angie that she dropped the biscuit tray on the pavement and screamed." While they were enjoying the recital "poor Aunt Angie" quietly took the keys from the basket on the table behind "old Mis'" and went down to the cellar and helped herself to the bottle of wine that was "ter keep down a church scan'le, en no sin ter tek it."

The supper was a great success. The biscuit were as light and as white as ever adorned the table of old Mis' herself. The coffee was strongly akin to that which had been served at the squire's table at breakfast, dinner and supper, and of which the



mistress had remarked, "Three times to-day has the coffee failed to go around." The fruit cake ought to have been as good as the best: "Tuk ole Mis' fo' level hours ter mek it," said Angeline, as with a proudly sad regret she placed the stolen chunk upon the sacrificial board.

Her crowning act, however, was when, an hour later, she rose in her place, carving knife in hand, head gracefully a-tilt, and said, "Brudder Ellis, kin I he'p you to a moufful o' dis tuckey?"

Later still, when she laid her wickedly sharp old head upon her pillow, she promptly proceeded to set aside the threatened tilt with conscience after her own self-satisfying if not strictly logical manner of reasoning: "Old marse's tuckey? What if't am? Jail me fur stealin'? Heh! I wuck fur dat man's pappy thirty years good en faithful. An' now fur one po' ole tuckey gobbler talk 'bout putt'n' o' me in jail? De insurance ob some folks."

# SOCIAL CONDITIONS AS FEEDERS OF IMMORALITY.

---

BY B. O. FLOWER.

---

These burdened ones are hungry and cold. Their indelicate flesh appears through their tatters. Who makes those tatters? The purple? The nakedness of virgins comes from the nudity of odalisques. From the twisted rags of the people fall the pearls of the Fontanges and the Chateauroux. It is famine that gilds Versailles. . . . The pallet of the poor girl is suddenly covered with lace. And in that is the worst misery — by the side of misfortune there is vice, the one urging on the other. Such a society requires prompt succor. — *Victor Hugo*.

THE humanitarian who would intelligently discuss the various factors which contribute to present-day degradation must not overlook unjust social conditions, as they must be classed among the major sources of prostitution. Heredity, prenatal causes, early environment, immoral legislation and other potent influences play their terrible part in the degradation of the race, and they must neither be ignored nor their influence minified by the student of social problems. But the influence of unjust conditions envelops all these, permeating the whole social body, as the subtle infection of a plague permeates the atmosphere of a stricken region, contributing largely to the sting of heredity, producing prenatal and post-natal conditions which darken the soul while it is yet in the bud, and subtly erasing the lines of demarcation between honor and dishonor, virtue and vice, simple naturalism and unhealthy artificiality, in the seething maelstrom of life.

One of the most impressive lessons of history, and one which humanity blinded by selfishness is slowest to learn, is found in the proposition that where extreme wealth exists side by side with extreme poverty, the one being concentrated and the other diffused, we have a condition in which immorality becomes rank in growth, unmanageable in proportions and so general in the spread of its subtle poison throughout society, that the very ideals of right and wrong, justice and injustice, morality and vice, are transferred to artificial foundations, governed by political rank, social station, and above all by the possession of wealth. Such was precisely the condition in ancient Rome when Seneca penned this startling picture of the society in which he lived: —

All things are full of iniquity and vice. We struggle in a huge contest of criminality. Daily the passion for sin is greater and the shame

in committing it less. Wickedness is no longer committed in secret; it flaunts itself before our eyes and has been set forth so openly into public sight, has prevailed so completely in the hearts of the people, that innocence is not rare, but non-existent.

These words, it must be remembered, were written when Rome was given over to sensuality under the most depraved of her emperors, *when the ennui of the rich was only eclipsed by the wretchedness of the poor.*

While such a state does not prevail as yet with us, no thoughtful, unprejudiced investigator of present-day conditions can escape the conclusion that the extremes in wealth and poverty, growing more and more alarming with each succeeding year, are exerting a deadly influence upon the virtue of our people. It is not necessary to go to the London revealed by Mr. Stead to find confirmation of this fact. The fruits of unjust social conditions are very marked in the licentiousness at the zenith and the immorality at the nadir of society in the New World as well as throughout Europe. And what is more, every month that these conditions remain, the outlook for sturdy morality becomes more hopeless; for the reason that the foundations of right and wrong having been transferred from justice and purity to those of wealth or necessity, life is polluted from its inception to its exit from the world.

Few persons realize how much unjust social conditions have to do with social purity. The slothful dilettanteism, the vicious epicurianism and the subserviency to wealth, of our time hold in their fatal grasp so many time-serving clergymen, teachers and editors, that at times one almost despairs when contemplating the extensive ramifications of soulless conventionalism. The servants of commercial feudalism, when confronted by facts relating to unjust conditions, at first deny them; next they try to explain them away; lastly they apologize for them, while they add insult to injury by gravely admonishing the unfortunates who have fallen under the wheel that their fate would have been different if they had pursued other courses; as if the slave of conditions and poverty was all-wise and all-powerful.

At the present time I wish to notice how unjust social conditions are working an incalculable evil to society by lowering the standard of morals and driving maidens to sacrifice virginity or starve. Miss Alice S. Woodbridge of New York, who has accomplished much good by her persistent efforts in behalf of the working woman, makes the following statement in regard to conditions in New York, which should appeal to all thinking people:—

The wages paid to women average between four and four and one-half dollars per week, and are often reduced by unreasonable and excessive fines. The little cash girls do not average two dollars a week. In

one large house the average wages for saleswomen and cash girls is two dollars and forty cents a week. In many fashionable houses the saleswomen are not allowed to leave the counter between the hours of 11 A. M. and 3 P. M., except for lunch, and if a saleswoman has a customer when the lunch hour arrives, she is obliged to remain and wait on the customer, and the time so consumed is deducted from lunch time.

If mistakes are made, they are charged to the saleswomen and cash girls. Generally, the goods are placed in a bin and slide down to the floor below. If a check is lost, the goods are charged to the saleswoman, though it may be the fault of the shipping clerk. In some stores the fines are divided between the superintendent and the time keeper. In one store where these fines amounted to three thousand dollars, the superintendent was heard to reproach the time keeper with not being strict enough. Men's wages are very low, but it seems that they cannot fall below the point where existence is possible. Women's wages, however, have no low limit, since the paths of shame are always open to them. Cases might be cited where frail, delicate women, unable to exist on the salaries they earn, are forced to crime or suicide. The story of Mrs. Henderson, who threw herself from the attic window of a lodging house some time ago, is the story of many another. There have been many such instances in the last two weeks. Mrs. Henderson could not live on the salaries offered her. She could live if she accepted the "propositions" of her employers. The hope of an easier life, the fear of death, and the natural clinging to life, turn many working women into the paths of shame.

We have opened the windows of woman's soul by teaching her to read, think and aspire. We have awakened in her a deathless thirst for knowledge; we have taught her to appreciate music and acting, and have pointed out the beauty of flower and tree; we have opened the highways of life to her, but have thrown around her few safeguards, while we have tolerated as respectable the sons of the rich and the employers of the poor who regard virginity as legitimate prey, and too frequently make the sacrifice of a girl's honor the price of her position. Extreme wealth overshadowing extreme poverty will be invariably accompanied by immorality at the zenith and vice at the nadir of society. And be it remembered that immorality acts precisely as an infectious disease. Its baleful curse will work downward and upward until it meets in the once sturdy middle class, and we see a nation like Rome in her awful degradation, an appalling, loathsome moral wreck. The hope of civilization lies in appealing to the convictions of men and women of conscience in such a way that the siren voice of conventional dilettanteism or the bogies regularly employed by political demagogues and charlatans will have no influence in turning them from the great work of social regeneration.

In discussing social problems, generalization fails to impress the mind with the force of special cases which are typical. When we see the fate of a particular individual, we are apt to think that possibly such a tragedy may come within the charmed circle of our home, if the conditions which produced it continue,

and this oftentimes arouses us more than the most impressive generalization of facts on a gigantic scale. Thus how many who read that there are between forty and fifty thousand women in New York City who are leading the lives of prostitutes pause long enough to appreciate the meaning of that startling record in all its bearings — the sum of misery experienced; the future which confronts this great army; the moral lepers who are supporting them, and at the same time bringing into the world tens of thousands of lives, children of lust, who, in turn, will in many cases be dowered with passions which will lead them to prey upon virginity or make them the easy victims of other children of lust. How many of us ever pause when reading the appalling generalizations of social conditions to consider the effect upon civilization to-day and upon the civilization of to-morrow implied in the revelations set forth.

When, however, we are brought face to face with special cases we seem better able to appreciate their real significance. And for this reason I wish to give some illustrations of typical cases which will show how maidens are being driven to ruin by present social conditions; for I believe that the hope of a brighter to-morrow lies in burning the horrible truths revealed by present-day tragedies into the brains of those who hitherto have been so thoroughly engrossed in the mad battle for wealth, that they have not paused long enough to hear the most profoundly touching cry that ever escaped from human lips — *the cry of a maiden for the protection of her honor*. The facts which I shall give are not pleasant to write. They have to do with tragedies in the truest sense of the word; but they are stories which must be told before a sleeping community will shake off its Stygian drowsiness and nerve itself to a conflict in which all the moral energies of society will be required to overpower an evil entrenched behind wealth and conventionalism, which are ever quick to discredit and to seek the destruction of all who demand radical or basic reformatory measures.

On the fifth day of May, 1894, while at the Florence Crittenden Mission, I met Mrs. Stephen Matthews, national organizer of the British Temperance Association. She had recently returned from Chicago, and during our conversation she gave me the following story of the fall of a New England girl. The statements made by the unfortunate girl were found to be strictly true so far as Mrs. Matthews was able to verify them. I will give the story of this ruined life as related to me, condensing it as much as possible.

The girl, whom we will call Mary, had been a Sunday school worker in New England. She was a member of the King's Daughters' Association; a bright, attractive, proud-spirited and

somewhat headstrong girl. She was a wage-earner and had to depend entirely upon her own exertions for food, raiment, books and the little pleasures of life which are so much to joyous maidenhood. She conceived the idea of going to the World's Fair, obtaining a place in some shop, hotel or restaurant, and thus while earning her expenses being enabled to see the World's Fair. It seems her friends opposed the project, but she believed in her ability to make her way, and gain the wonderful fund of information to be gathered at the Fair. When she reached Chicago she found that thousands of other girls had come to the city with the same expectations that she had cherished, and it was only after a long search that she was able to secure a place, and then only at such wages as left her barely enough to subsist on.

She found a cheap room and patronized an eating house whose chief attraction was the comparatively low price charged. While here a young woman seemed to take an interest in her and the two often exchanged pleasant words. Indeed, this young woman was the only person who appeared to take time to consider the lonely and forlorn New England girl. As time passed by they became somewhat confidential, until one day Mary asked her friend how she made her living, and the girl replied that she was employed to secure girls for one of the most fashionable houses of ill repute in Chicago. Mary was dazed. A thrill of horror passed through her, and after that she avoided the young woman whenever possible. The employee of this house, however, did not at this time in any way seek to induce Mary to turn aside from the path of honest work. She was probably too shrewd a reader of character for that, or perhaps she saw that the moment had not come. Indeed, Mary had only been able to wring a confession of the nature of her business from the young woman in strict confidence.

The weary weeks wore on and the World's Fair closed. Mary had not been able to save anything to speak of, and the little she had saved was soon reduced to almost nothing. She tried in every way possible to obtain work, even expending a portion of her little store in advertising. She walked the streets searching for a place, but Chicago was full. More than once her face burned at the insulting propositions made to the homeless little girl. Her friends at home were poor and they had opposed her going West. There was no one with whom she felt on sufficiently intimate terms to ask for aid or who could raise the money necessary to take her back to New England. And still the weary days went by. Each morning found her hoping and seeking, each night tired and despairing. For more than a week before Thanksgiving Day she lived on one meal a day, which

cost twelve cents. On Thanksgiving Day her last twelve cents was spent. Her landlady had been good to her, but she told her she must find another room, as she could not afford to lodge her longer.

All this time her nervous system had been giving way. The notice that she must leave and the lack of money for food overpowered the girl; she was in a state verging on hysteria. She decided to go to the river. Other girls had done so before her, and it seemed the only place for her. Still the natural buoyancy of young life and the horror of the cold water, together with the fear of punishment after death for self-destruction, harassed her soul. She had, however, determined that it was the only course left to her, when she met the young woman of whom I have already spoken.

"Why, Mary, what is the matter? You look ill."

"I am ill," muttered the poor child. And then she poured out the whole story of her struggles to this woman who had made a confidant of her on a former occasion, and who had always treated her kindly.

"Now Mary, don't be a fool," said her companion, "come with me to——. There, in a week, you can make over a hundred dollars, and then you can go home and no one will be the wiser. —— will charge you twenty-five dollars a week for board and take it out of what you make."

"Is that true, and can I leave in a week?" asked the girl, clinging as a drowning man to a straw.

"Why, yes, but don't say anything to —— about leaving so soon, as she might not take you. It is better than the river, Mary."

The girl faltered. The horror of such a life even for a night overpowered her. Still, she had been taught that if she committed suicide she would be lost; she might live and atone for her sin if she went to this place. The woman urged, and Mary at last consented. They reached the palatial home of shame at supper time and Mary was ushered into a parlor luxuriously furnished. She met the madam, who treated her with great deference, giving her a beautiful room and escorting her to the dining room, where a vision of plenty met her gaze. The table was laden with tempting viands, and the girl was starving. The other girls treated her kindly, but she almost choked as she tried to eat her supper, and had the pangs of hunger been less poignant she would not have been able to eat. And yet in a sense this seemed a haven of rest to this girl even while she loathed the place and herself; for she had suffered so much and had stood on the brink of the suicide's grave. After supper the proprietress took her to her room, explaining that her clothes were not fit for



her to appear in downstairs. She also wished her to have a good night's sleep.

In the morning a book was brought her to sign. In this she agreed to let the proprietress keep twenty-five dollars a week board, and also to retain the price of her clothing from what she earned. Here Mary hesitated, but the madam, who had showered kindness upon her, assured her that her face and form would make her fortune in a little while, and intimated that it would be madness to go back. She yielded and went to the dressmaking and furnishing department of this brothel, which is said to be one of the most magnificent in the West. She was measured for clothing such as is worn by these poor slaves of masculine lust—rich goods, silk underwear, everything of the best. Mary's heart sank within her, and one of the girls said: "Take something to drink, Mary, it will make you feel like another person. You need something to put heart and life into you." She drank some wine, and the bridge was crossed.

Still she loathed her life and loathed herself, even while in the hope of flying from the accursed spot, she acted with something of the reckless abandon which was expected and desired, that she might get the necessary means for flight. When the week ended she called for a settlement. She found that though she had something over a hundred dollars to her credit she was more than a hundred dollars in debt for clothing and board. This filled her with rage, but she felt helpless. She drank to drown her sense of shame, and hoping by the next Saturday to have something more than debts to show for the horrible degradation to which she had submitted, she entered another week of soul-debauching life, at the end of which she was again confronted by debt, as when under the influence of liquor the madam had forced some additional clothing on her on the pretence that her present clothing was too soiled for her to wear. The madam also threatened to have her arrested, if she left, for the money she owed.

One day a man who seemed to have some heart came to the house. To him Mary told her story, and he appeared inclined to help her. An officer in the Young Men's Christian Association received a message the next day, giving the story as related by Mary. The fact that a King's Daughter was imprisoned in a brothel, and that she was vainly trying to get away, was sufficient for this noble-hearted man; he exhibited superb courage by going at once to the brothel and calling for Mary (using the name she had assumed on entering her life of shame) and from her lips he heard the story of her fearful fate. She implored him to save her. He promised to do so, but when he left, the cashier at the door scowled upon him. He did not know why.

It was because he had not purchased any wine. The next day he brought a carriage to within a square of the place. From thence he walked to the house, and asked if Mary might not take a little walk with him. There was some hesitation, but some one suggested that he might make a good customer if he were not offended, so Mary was permitted to go. They went out together and drove direct to the "Anchorage"; and there it was that Mrs. Matthews learned from the girl's lips the story which I have given above, and which as I have observed, so far as Mrs. Matthews was able to find out, was strictly true. But what a commentary on civilization, when a girl must sell her body before she can find an "Anchorage"!

Here is another tragic tale. The facts were brought to light early in October, 1894, on the death of the poor girl who latterly was known as Eva Garland. This young woman five years earlier left her farm home near a well-known New England town to try her fortune in Boston. She had been a great reader, and the life in the old home had grown monotonous. She found work in a dry goods store, but the pay she received was too small to enable her to live comfortably or dress decently. She often suffered hunger. She possessed a pretty face and beautiful eyes. After hunger came temptation, offered by her companions. For a while she bravely withstood all the alluring pictures presented. She had ambition. She wanted to succeed and become an honored wife, but her ambition and hopes did not put food in her stomach and clothes on her shivering body. Finally she yielded to temptation; later her life became quite fast; five years from the time this beautiful, bright-eyed girl reached Boston, buoyant with hope, and with a strong, courageous heart, she was a corpse — she had added one more to the army of maidens yearly sacrificed to the modern Moloch.

About a year ago a lady physician, when discussing the struggles of poor girls, said to me: "Two girls were at my office last night. They room together, and one had a severe cough for which she wanted treatment. It was not their first visit, and I felt impelled to question the invalid, as I feared she was living a life which would render any hope of a cure illusive, if indeed a cure was to be desired. At length the girl broke down, and in a burst of confidence told me the story of her life. She had secured a position in a large store. Her salary was too low to admit of her paying board and dressing as neatly as the floor walker wished the girls in this store to dress." (I think this girl also tried to send home a small pittance to an invalid parent in the country, but I am not positive on this point.) "One day the floor walker said, 'You must dress better or you will have to leave.' She replied she could not dress any better on the wages

she was receiving. He then said, 'I can introduce you to a young man who will see that you are well clothed. But if you stay here you must dress better.'" It was a long story of struggle for virtue and life, but at last the girl succumbed. The young man ruined her, as he had ruined the girl who was her roommate at the time of her visit to the physician.

It is exceedingly difficult to obtain the facts regarding the traffic in girls carried on in the business world, for the obvious reason that, save as they are revealed in confidence, or are told to physicians, they are carefully covered up by the victims as well as by the human hyenas who prey upon the necessity of the unfortunate. In the chapter on "The Relation of Wages to Morals," in "White Slaves of Boston," Rev. Louis Albert Banks says:—

During this past week a thoroughly respectable young married woman, whose evidence is indisputable, and who, prior to her marriage, had worked for several years as a saleswoman in the Boston stores, told me that at one time her employer told her that, on account of the dull season, he would have to discharge her, but that he would give her a good recommendation, and if she would take it to another prominent dry-goods house, which he named, he thought she would at once secure employment. She took the letter of commendation, and went as directed. The employing agent of the firm to which she was sent asked her how much salary she had been receiving, and she answered, "Five dollars a week." He replied, "I cannot pay you that much, I can only give you three dollars a week"; to which she answered, "I can hardly live on what I have now, and I could not possibly live on three dollars a week." He replied, with an insulting and meaning smile, "You would have to depend on the outside friend for that." She looked him in the eye, and said, "I want to earn an honest living, and I don't want any outside friend," and at that walked away. She told her employer of her reception; and he said he did not intend to discharge her, but had heard that this firm was in the habit of doing this sort of thing, and was determined to find out if it were true.

I received a letter from a gentleman in Conway, N. H., this week, who writes: "Can you not take up the question of the girls in the big stores? I have just heard a well-authenticated account of a man high in authority in one of the largest stores, suggesting the way to ruin to a young girl from the country, who said, when she learned what her wages were to be, that they would not be sufficient to give her a bare support. This not only shows the attitude of these wealthy merchants to the souls of their working girls, but it shows that they are conscious of their attitude, and have deliberately chosen to take it." I am told, upon undoubtedly credible testimony, that another young woman who came to Boston from the country, and sought work in several stores, was so outraged at the vile suggestions which were made to her about means of adding to her salary, that she went back to the house of her friend—a lady of as high standing as any in the city—and cried and sobbed all night long. She said she would beg or starve before she would submit herself to such outrage again.

It is impossible to turn these incidents aside as exaggerations. They are horrible, I know; but the most horrible thing about them is, that they are true.



Do," vividly portrays the manner in which a poor girl, cursed by a beautiful face, was hounded from pillar to post, in shop, in sewing room, in a private family; everywhere this poor child went she was pursued. And here is an appeal published some time since in the *New York World*. The writer, the editor of that daily observed, was a young man who had lost his position, on account of protracted sickness, and who found it impossible to protect and aid the young girl who was the object of his attachment. This is the cry for help sent in by the young man: —

In the interests of humanity I beg you will find space for this appeal — an appeal for protection for a young girl struggling against heavy odds in the battle of life — an appeal for some one to show her that vice is not always triumphant over virtue; for some one to prove that it is not always necessary for a penniless girl to sacrifice purity and honor to gain a livelihood in this "modern Babylon."

I seek only the protection of some Christian family or home for one who will not be a burden, for one whose own life has become burdensome to herself from the continual persecutions she has had to resist even in private houses and other places where her lot has been cast while striving to earn a living, and who even now is in daily peril of contamination under circumstances where the word of a defenceless girl would be powerless against the machinations of conscienceless fiends. What mother will stretch out her hand to save this unprotected daughter, not for charity's but for mercy's sake?

The editorial in the *World*, commenting on this appeal, says: —

It is a cry of distress from one of the humble orders of life, and is the more moving and instructive because such cries are usually suppressed by the conditions which cause them. There are doubtless thousands of similar cases — of young girls driven by the stress of poverty to hold perilous positions and to continually expose themselves to repeated temptations of their remorseless employers. It is small wonder that under the prolonged strain, subjected to all forms of enticement and even intimidation, human nature often wearies of the protracted efforts of resistance, and the victim falls at last a prey to the crafts and assaults of a treacherous sensuality.

Some girls prefer death to loss of honor, and after bravely battling until the body becomes emaciated, the mind wearied and the nervous system shattered, seek oblivion in suicide. Such was the choice of little Jessie Adamson, who took her life in New York some time ago. She was a brave little soul, and had worked her way into a fairly good position in a store in New York. But one day she fainted at her desk and was carried to her lodging house. A long illness followed. When she recovered her little savings were all gone and her position was occupied by another. She searched vainly for work. Footsore, heartbroken, hungry and cold, she at last gave up the struggle, took poison and died. It was a most pathetic case, but the money-mad world soon forgot it.

Nor are the terrible temptations and struggles of maidenhood

confined to those in the humbler walks of life. I have known cases, and have had others brought to my attention, where young ladies, upon whom parents had spent almost all their resources to give them fine professional educations, ladies of superior ability, of fine culture and great refinement, have gone forth to secure positions which they were eminently capable of filling most creditably, only to meet with gross insults from base and sensual-minded men. Neither culture, refinement nor superior talent, developed by long years of patient, unremitting toil, protected them from the revolting insults of the moral lepers to whom they appealed for positions. Had they been rich their treatment would have been far different. Virtue, if poor, is no longer her own protector.

In this paper it will be observed that I have not touched in a direct way upon the demoralizing effects of unjust social conditions as revealed among the very rich, a large proportion of whom have acquired vast fortunes through special privileges, speculation and unearned increment. Nor have I touched upon the appalling spectacles of moral obliquity which I have witnessed time and again in the slums of Boston, where great numbers are compelled to live huddled together in squalor and misery. I have merely endeavored to give a glimpse of some of the consequences resulting primarily from unjust social conditions, as seen in one stratum of life, between the froth and the dregs of society.

The illustrations I have cited are as typical as they are tragic. They constitute in themselves a terrible item in the indictment of modern civilization; and were I the pessimist which some of my easy-going, *dilettante* critics seem to imagine me to be, I should remain silent, saying, as do so many, No good can come from thus relating tragedies as terrible as any woven from the thought world of an *Æschylus* or a Shakespeare. But I am an optimist; I believe a better dawn will come; I believe it is in the power of every man and woman to hasten that holier and juster time. I believe that in so far as you and I heed the voice of slothful conventionalism and remain silent, we are culpable before the bar of eternity. I believe that the conscience of the people can be awakened, and I cite these few typical tragedies, out of thousands which might be placed in evidence, for the purpose of forcing home to the minds of men and women of conviction a terrible fact, which must be faced. The sacrifice of maidens on the altar of the modern Moloch will continue until radical social or economic changes are brought about. It is idle to talk of palliatives as ultimates, or charity as a solution for present-day conditions. The mask of selfishness must be torn from the soul of man, that the sleeping conscience may awaken.



Two words blaze in the intellectual heaven of the present — KNOWLEDGE and JUSTICE. They are the beacons of civilization, pointing to a better day. Sow the mind of man with facts; make the landlord and the bread winner see that the increased value which *society creates* in property properly belongs to society; make every man, woman and child understand that class legislation which enables a favored few to become immensely rich at the expense of the millions *is robbery by government*, and if persisted in will in time change any republic into an oligarchy, wherein the despotism of capital will rule through pliant tools in the law-making and executive branches of government, aided by minions robed in ermine.

We must compel the wealth producers of America to see that the lotteries and the gambling of the race course, while essentially evil, are mere kindergarten affairs when compared with Wall Street, where a group of skilled speculators holding control of a certain stock may map out the plan of a campaign of plunder, and, after deliberately deceiving the public by false reports, proceed to play their game with loaded dice. The conscience of civilization must be made to feel that Wall Street is a cancer on the body of productive life. We must point out to the people the vital fact that *unearned increment, special privileges and gambling*, are essentially immoral in character and effect, *transferring as they do the rightful earnings of the wealth producers to the pockets of the parasites who prey upon society*. The incarnation of injustice found in this unholy trinity, like all manifestations of triumphant selfishness, poisons society throughout all its ramifications.

The immorality and degradation of rapid life among the mushroom aristocracy is matched by the grosser manifestations of immorality in the social cellar, while the great middle classes absorb the contagion from above and below. The dulling of moral sensibilities goes on with appalling rapidity; the line of demarcation between right and wrong grows less discernible; the commission of unholy deeds concerns men less than the fear of being detected; the high moral teaching of Jesus, that the thought which prompts the wrong deed is evil, is forgotten, while the growing dependence of the wealth producers upon the wealth acquirers, places maidenhood, as we have seen, at a terrible disadvantage in the struggle for life.

A clear comprehension of these facts on the part of the people is imperative. *Knowledge* is needed, that men may cease to be duped by hirelings and demagogues — that industry may cease to be the slave of cunning; this is the John the Baptist which must precede the reign of justice. Once let the people understand how morality and happiness hinge on just conditions, and



the dawn will be seen stealing over the brow of saddened humanity, for then the sleeping conscience of civilization will have been awakened by the voice of reason.

The dawn is coming, O friends of humanity! For ages man has been slowly rising; the future is with the servants of justice; they fight in a winning cause; but the work of hastening the glad day is the duty of every man, woman and child who loves, hopes and aspires. We cannot evade our responsibility. The cause of morality, growth, progress and human happiness, calls for the consecration of hand, heart and brain.

To every man and woman of conviction, who feels with those who suffer and who is appalled at the sight of struggling virtue in the arms of gilded sensuality, comes this lofty appeal from one of the greatest prophets of the people who has spoken in modern times\* : —

Courage! Let us consecrate ourselves. The task of doing one's duty is worth undertaking. Truth, honesty, the instruction of the masses, human liberty, manly virtue, conscience, are not things to disdain. Indignation and compassion for the mournful slavery of man are but two sides of the same faculty. Those who are capable of wrath are capable of love. To level the tyrant and the slave — what a magnificent endeavor! Now the whole of one side of actual society is tyrant, the other side is slave. A grim settlement is impending, and it will be accomplished. All thinkers must work with that end in view.

---

\* Victor Hugo, in his work on Shakespeare.

## GAMBLING AND SPECULATION: A SYMPOSIUM.

### I. GAMBLING, OR THEFT BY INDIRECTION, BY REV. C. H. HAMLIN.

Criticism of gambling provokes the inquiry, why gambling is wrong. The best short answer is the remark of Mirabeau, "There are but three ways that I know of to get money, earning, begging or stealing, so-called or not so-called," and gambling is not earning or begging. More particularly it is objected that it is as right to risk money upon the speed of horses as upon the hope of a rise in real estate. Possibly there is more risk in the horses, but either way there is risk, and does the legitimacy of a transaction depend upon the size of the risk involved? It certainly may do so. A borrower of ten per cent upon his capital would be thought honest, as surely as he who borrowed twenty times his capital would be deemed knavish. In such a case the moral difference depends wholly upon the size of the risk. The risks inseparable from real work, from moving the crops and the manufacture of raw material, are right, but a risk which is all risk and no work is gambling. This is the principle which underlies the law of Massachusetts, which enforces all contracts which contemplate an actual exchange of stocks, but denies legal validity to all alleged contracts which do not involve actual values. Risks which do real work are legal, but a risk which is only risk, is illegal, because it puts the ability of men to pay their debts and support themselves and their families to needless hazard. The whole can be summed in the sentence, Necessary hazard is as right as needless hazard is wicked.

Human experience confirms this position, "By their fruits ye shall know them." The evil in the soul tends to be marked by a corresponding evil in the flesh. Gambling habits tend to physical degeneration. A high medical authority writes: "The man who, after being engaged in business all day, sits down regularly at night to play rubbers on rubbers, to stake heavily on his games, to bet on his odd tricks, never, I believe, escapes the effects of (organic) nervous shock. Some of the worst forms of such shock I have ever seen have sprung from this cause." The habitual excessive excitement produces organic changes until gambling becomes as imperious an appetite as alcoholism. Then it destroys individual manhood.

Gambling attempts the integrity of legislatures. When New

York passed the Ives Pool Bill legalizing pool selling upon the grounds of enclosed tracks, it was because the moral reformers of the state had the support, doubtless unsolicited, of Mr. Richard Croker's famous telegram, "All our men must vote for it." The Ives Pool Bill gave the race tracks a monopoly of legalized gambling in the state of New York. It was worth money to horsemen. The following summer Mr. Croker went upon the turf for the first season, and netted in stakes and prizes \$92,405. If turfmen took care of him, then that sum represents what went to Mr. Croker for influencing legislation. In the same year in which New York legalized gambling upon race tracks, the legislature of New Jersey did the same, for the alleged sum of \$150,000. Only last winter the legislature of Rhode Island legalized gambling upon race tracks, and so lately as the session of '91 the same privilege was requested from the legislature of Massachusetts, but was defeated by the agent of the New England Watch and Ward Society, who with the help of a single minister appeared before the committees to which it was referred and defeated the scheme.

When the law is against them the gamblers try to prevent an appeal to public opinion and to secure the police. If the silence of journalists and the inaction of the local authorities are not always purchased, it is because they are incorruptible, and never because the gamblers are not willing to pay good money for journalistic silence and police "protection."

Of all the varied types of gambling doubtless the most colossal is that of the great stock exchanges, and the success of every rich man's corner is apt to be followed by the dishonesty or suicide, or both, of some of his victims. The evil grows by its success, and seems to aim at succeeding the endowment orders and bond societies of unblest memory in the absorption of humble savings. Circulars permeate the rural districts, with demonstrations, plausible as Euclid, to show the honesty and good hope of speculating upon a margin of three per cent. There is a difficulty in distinguishing legally between "futures" that are legitimate and other "futures" that are illegitimate, in framing a law which shall quell the bucket shop, and allow the manufacturer to borrow upon the security of orders which will require his whole output for months to come, and the farmer to contract in the spring to deliver potatoes to the starch factory in the autumn. All that can be applied at present is the principle already mentioned, which accounts transactions illegal which contemplate no exchange of real values.

When we pass from the stock gambling there remains what the *Century Magazine* calls "the allied gambling industries" — the city pool room, the lottery and the gambling race tracks —

called allied, to emphasize the ease with which men pass from one to another of these forms of gambling. Men do not usually begin to gamble in stocks; the stake required there is too high. Gambling, say the experts, endangers the public in proportion to the smallness of the risks invited, and this evil preëminence undoubtedly belongs to "policy," in which the smallest risk is one cent. In order to give some idea of the extent of the patronage of gambling dens, it may be worth while to state that the officers of the Watch and Ward Society saw seven hundred ten persons enter a pool room in the city of Boston on a day by no means the most favorable to the business.

We pass from city pool rooms and policy to the next of the allied gambling industries, the lottery. It is not many years since the most reliable estimates obtainable indicated that the Louisiana Lottery drew from Boston and vicinity every year about \$2,500,000.

The third of the allied gambling industries is "playing the races," and at the present time it seems to thrive by the opposition which has been focused in Eastern Massachusetts upon the city pool rooms and the lottery. More attention than it has ever yet received should be given to the mobility of gambling capital. It is not always easy for a merchant to realize upon his stock, or for a manufacturer to turn his plant into cash. The gambler, however, deals in money. All he needs for removal is a pocket for his draft, and a grip for his tickets. No other so well as he lives up to the apostolic injunction, "When they persecute you in one city flee ye to another." The conservation of force, by which all the force that is not motion is found to be heat or electricity, has its perfect parallel in him. The energy which he does not put into one of these forms of gambling he reserves for the others.

Now that lottery and policy are more difficult the gambler resorts by preference to those race tracks which have not yet been closed to pools, by what he fluently and sometimes brilliantly denounces as hypocrisy and squeamishness. His activity is intensified as his area decreases. Perhaps the most notable result yet reached by the growing strictness against lottery and policy in Eastern Massachusetts has been a development of racing hitherto unparalleled in that quarter. It has been assisted, doubtless, by the closure of Connecticut and New Jersey to the "fraternity," and will be furthered yet more by the *status* of New York state under the new constitutional amendment against gambling. The gambling is so essential to the racing that the races are practically dependent upon it. Managers of race tracks receive so much money from the gambling privileges, that the gambling tracks can and do offer inducements which practically

exclude clean tracks from the competition. Nor are the premiums, large as they are, accounted enough to warrant the heavy expense of training horses for races. The owners must rely, so they say, upon the pool box for a certainty, and whenever the pool box is a certainty the race has been fixed. Here we have well-to-do owners of tracks and horses paying for their amusement not out of their own pockets honestly, but out of gamblers' profits, and that even not out of straight gambling but out of "fixed" races. The stock of racing associations is not "watered" but "blooded" with the blood of the "lambs."

Scientific and impartial testimony can be quoted to the value of races in the development of the horse; but if the improvement of the horse can only proceed by the degradation of men, then how much is a man better than a horse. If we cannot suppress all gambling, is it too much to expect that we can prevent racing associations from partaking in the revenues of crooked gambling? If it is said that the usual fine upon pool sellers, \$100 for an offence, is inadequate to suppress transactions whose profits are probably thousands, and that the law as usually applied operates to license what the statute clearly intends to suppress, it can be answered that resolute officers in Springfield and Worcester have stopped pool selling, and that an arrest near Fitchburg caused the pool seller's shed to be torn down and the lumber otherwise applied. If in the Connecticut Valley and Worcester County governments govern, it will be an interesting question why they fail to govern within a radius of ten miles of the gilded dome, if fail they do, in the coming season.

## II. METHODS AND DEVICES, BY HARRY C. VROOMAN.

THERE is a popular saying that there are but three ways to acquire wealth — (1) earning it, (2) receiving it as a gift, (3) stealing it. While this classification may lack specificness without a lengthy definition of terms, yet it is very suggestive of the ethical qualities of various business methods. The general consensus of the moral elements of society would class gambling as a refinement of theft; and yet there seems to be no clear-cut line between gambling and legitimate business. Laurence Gronlund says that the essence of the crime of gambling lies in the fact that it is *unsocial* — it does not consider the other's interest. We can readily see that this is the ethical quality of theft. It takes without giving an equivalent; it ignores reciprocity and social uses; it is strength robbing weakness in some form, even though it be but the strength of knowing a trick of cards. In order to understand the various features of the gambling evil and their relation to each other, we have but to study some

specific forms found in nearly every city. The details differ in the various cities, but the leading features remain the same in all. I base my remarks on gambling as found chiefly in the city of Chicago, where under the tutelage of an experienced member of the fraternity, who from years of inside experience knew every turn and trick of the craft, I investigated the haunts and the mysteries of the goddess of chance.

Some of the simplest forms of gambling—including the numerous manipulations of cards, dice and wheels of fortune are, in consequence of the recent action of the Civic Federation and grand jury of Chicago, not running brazenly open to the public. These forms of gambling which most seriously offend the Puritan conscience are only carried on secretly or as they can secure immunity from police interference through bribes or political power. One instance occurring in Chicago a few months ago illustrates what happens in very many cities. Information regarding the location and operation of a large gambling establishment was telegraphed to police headquarters in cipher. Within a few hours the gambling establishment had received word of an intended police raid—and when the police came, a little later, the evidences of actual gambling had been removed. It seems impossible that the information to the gamblers could have come by any other avenue than through the police department itself. This instance, with hundreds of incidents pointing the same way, indicates to the mind of the average citizen that other cities than New York have their police department controlled by organized crime. This side of the evil influence of the vice must appeal to the social and political reformer. It can never be cured by training the individual in personal ethics or caution.

The consideration of the ethical quality will be postponed until we review the gambling elements in business. The *animus* of gaming is all told in a single statement made to the writer by a man whose whole life is identified with this profession. He says that not a game of cards is played in a regular gaming house, in which the game is not expected to be won by some trick. Gamblers never play fair. It is, to use his words, “dog eat dog.” Legitimate skill and fair chance have but an unimportant part in the game played by professionals. It is war to beat. It is competition to gain the point. It is in gambling as Senator Ingalls said it is in politics—“The purpose is to defeat the antagonist.” Any and all means that accomplish this end are considered legitimate. It is born of a lust for gold and for excitement. The feverish, restless spirit of our time is easily adapted to the sporting world, and its victims, once tasting the excitement of the game, are possessed with it as by a very mania.

It is a passion that takes hold on the life with an all-absorbing intensity, and seems at last be as much a nerve disease as the drink or the morphine habit — which are not infrequently linked with it.

To one not familiar with this evil, it is surprising how many devices are in use to accommodate every class of mind and every size of purse. Not to mention the raffles and chances at church fairs, where under the most sacred auspices is fed the passion for gaining something for nothing, we see provision made for feeding this passion through every grade of respectability and unrespectability. There is a very popular device coming much into use of late called "a nickel in the slot." It consists of a contrivance into which a nickel is dropped, and in case the nickel touches a certain spring it throws out a little shelf containing a handful of nickels. The shelves containing the prize handfuls are in sight under a glass cover, to encourage the player. Some of the machines are large and gorgeous, with the money shelves arranged in a circle which revolves like a wheel of fortune. This contrivance is within reach of the boys, and initiates them into a taste for "trying their luck." It requires but five cents, and there is a chance of winning over a dollar. These machines are most common in saloons, but are not infrequently found in candy stores near schools, where the boys crowd at noontime to take their initial lesson in gambling. Of course, as in every public device of gambling, in which the individual plays against the management of the concern, the game is loaded against them. The device would never exist twenty-four hours except to win money for the management, and the immense profits of the gambling establishments of every sort show how universally the game is, "Heads I win—tails you lose." Perhaps one third of the nickels will be returned as prizes. The remaining two thirds are the winnings of the machine. It is very similar in the nature of its chances to a lottery, where it must be understood that only about half of what goes in comes out. It is vain to warn one possessed of the mania for gambling, never to bet on a man's own game—for the larger proportion of gambling is simply betting in this way.

Another interesting device that requires but a small sum to invest in is called "shooting craps." It is played on a semi-circular table with dice. The point of the game is in certain relations of the dice points to numbers on the board. It seems very trite to describe it, but when money is staked on it it develops into an exciting game. It is a favorite with those with very short purses, especially the negroes. It is a most striking spectacle to see hundreds of negroes gathered in one of these dens awaiting their turn to lose their money, making the night



merry with their characteristic grimaces and striking sayings. At one of the dens in Chicago one of the players as he took up the dice was heard to say, with mock solemnity, "Our Lord in heaven, send us either sevens or elevens" (winning numbers).

Horse racing furnishes a very popular method of gambling on a larger scale. For those who cannot go to the tracks and do their betting there, there are popular resorts, known as "pool rooms," where "the odds" are posted and bets taken just as at the track. The popular name "pool," is a survival from the old French mutual pool system, still in vogue in some places in the South. It is very similar to a "blind pool." That is, each player put in a certain sum against some other player, and the book maker or manager acted merely as a commission agent. The old process was too slow for the ambitious American, and the method now in vogue gives the book maker one side in every play, and is usually so arranged as to give sixty per cent odds in his favor. The "book maker" is the manager of the pool room. He makes a schedule of the horses running and an estimate of the proportion of chances in favor of each, based on the horse's record, the weather, the jockey, etc. This schedule "the book" — most commonly called "the odds" — is posted in the "pool room" twenty minutes before the race occurs, and the betting continues until the telegraph announces, "They're off." The race may occur in New Orleans and the playing in Chicago. The telegraph enables the playing to proceed the same as at the race track.

The betting by the individuals is called "playing the races." The book maker plays against the public. Of course he must be a shrewd, experienced horse man. He arranges the odds on the basis of the patrons playing on a variety of the horses and balances his risks by their variety. The following is an illustration of "the odds": —

Gallop 7-5. Theodore 3-2. Baby Bill 8.

This means that the book maker will stake seven dollars against five dollars on Gallop, three dollars against two dollars on Theodore, and eight dollars against one dollar on Baby Bill. The option is open for the player to take any horse he wishes. The book maker must either know public sentiment in regard to what bets will probably be taken, or he must start rumors afloat to create a sentiment that will turn bets to his advantage. He arranges what is theoretically a balance of chances, giving the patron the option to bet on either horse, and he takes the "field" — that is, the combined chances of all the other horses.

And here is revealed the depth of iniquity of the system. The basest deception is used to create sentiment in favor of the poorest chances. If the races were run with absolute honesty, a

good judge of horses could have a chance to win by his knowledge. But there is scarcely a race that can be trusted to be fairly run. It is intrigue and fraud from beginning to end. To win money is the purpose, and anything that does it without detection is legitimate. It will be interesting to note a few of the methods of swindling connected with this enticement. Gamblers, like other people, very largely follow the crowd. The public opinion on a race is called, in track slang, "the talent." When "the talent" is of one opinion on a favorite horse, the sums staked on him will sometimes rise to a fabulous height. Suppose a case of ten thousand dollars staked on a fast horse that in a fair race is sure to win. Several hundred dollars given by the book maker may bribe the jockey or trainer of a poorer horse to give it whiskey or some powerful stimulant and make it win the race. In the phraseology of the track the ten thousand dollars is "burned up." In a case of this kind if any book maker is not in the secret he becomes a heavy loser. This is called "breaking the book maker."

Another method of "throwing a book maker down," is for the jockey to hold in the fast horse. Some female confederate of the jockey, trainer or owner, whom the book maker will not suspect, takes large bets for him, and thus makes a big haul. One method of checking a fast horse to make it lose the race is to tie a small silken thread around the hind ankle, which cuts the ankle, pulls the cords, and cramps the leg, making him do most of his running on three legs. This has been done so deftly as to defy detection by the best judges. Another method is for the jockey to carry an electric battery, with wires connected with his spurs. When the spurs strike the horse's sides he receives a severe electric shock through the stomach which demoralizes his running. This method was tried on the tracks at Madison, Ill. It is not often resorted to on account of the difficulty of hiding it, the jockey being weighed both just before and just after riding, and the least additional weight being discovered. Another method of slowing a fast horse is to fill him full of water just before the race, having previously fed him liberally on salt. Another trick is to take a fast horse, change his name, and in some way change his marks of identification, by bleaching him or otherwise, and entering him in a race as a "skate," that is, a slow goer. With him they take all the stakes. Canadian horsemen are chief offenders on this line.

It is remarkable how many women "play the races," and what an important function they fill in sustaining the system. A sporting man of thorough information on the subject informed the writer that women are the main support, directly and indirectly, of the race track business. Large numbers of women bet

and sink thousands of dollars annually on the track. They buy up the jockeys for money or special favors to trick the race in their favor. Sometimes it is a success, oftener not. When some one pays him more to trick the race the other way, the jockey accepts both bribes, and as a substitute for fulfilling hers, plays off some excuse and palaver well spiced up to the woman's taste, and promises to do better at the next race he rides. The women are most confiding gamblers, recklessly betting on any "tip" given by men they like, and losing their money on the wildest chances. The easy credulity of this class of bettors makes their ventures no surprise to the book makers, however wild they may seem. This enables them to lend themselves more easily to a conspiracy with the race track frauds, as they can take a large bet on a horse known to be slow without arousing suspicion.

A very large proportion of them are sporting women, but not all. The majority pose as widows. My informant, a man of long acquaintance with them, and intimate through daily contact with them in the chances on the races, gave it as his opinion that in the earlier part of their career, most of them were social outcasts only because they lacked opportunity to regain their financial and social standing. He claims that they are very responsive to better emotions. He cited an instance where at a gathering of these young women several were compelled to leave the room in tears when "Home, Sweet Home" was played on the piano. Those who are most devoted to the races are usually those whose male supporters are men connected with the track. There are, however, women there belonging to families of respectability and social position. I had pointed out to me in one of Chicago's popular pool rooms the wife of a prominent judge, the wife of an army officer of high rank and the wife of a wealthy stock broker. These women come from varying motives. Some who have had too little to do, finding nothing to enlist their affection and energies in this world of need and of hope, have been sent to this gambling by their physicians to relieve their *ennui* and its consequent dyspepsia and other ills. Some indulge only occasionally as a recreation, many seek it for its stimulating excitement, others have a speculative mind and deal in horses and races, and speculate "on 'change," the same as they do in real estate.

One striking feature of gamblers, especially of female gamblers, is their superstition. And here is seen one of the worst uses to which the recent developments of the psychic phenomena have been put. Very many gamblers, in Chicago, at least, particularly women, systematically consult mediums regarding their chances, and blindly follow all they are told. Sometimes they

win, and sometimes they do not. The women hold for the most part the same supernatural reverence for certain elements of the gambling machinery. For instance, "a yellow" — as the telegraph dispatches giving pointers on the races are called — in the hands of a horse man is looked upon as omnipotent authority. They believe anything they read on a telegram, and the horse men, knowing this, unmercifully rob them by manipulating bogus telegrams and leading them to bet on some "dead horse." The hired agents of the book maker who thus circulate false rumors and deceive the women and over-confiding men by means of their pretended private information, are called "touts." From "tips," or suggestions, received from such confidential sources, high hopes are aroused, the player goes and takes a "flyer" — that is, a rash chance — and usually loses. A "newspapered" horse is one similarly boomed for effect in the press. The usual bet of the women is ten dollars, but they often stake more, sometimes as much as five hundred dollars. It is said gamblers never go to the track to win, but to get even with former losses. It is strange, but it is said that the man who makes his first bet usually wins, which fact acts as a magnet to draw him towards future ruin.

Next to the horse racing ranks the "clock" or "tape game," the "bucket shop," the "open board" and the regular "board of trade," — thence on to the subtleties and refinements of general speculative business, such as booming cities to sell real estate, watering stock, manipulating railroads to buy cheap and sell dear, etc. The "clock game" and the "bucket shop" are based on the board of trade methods, only they are gambling pure and simple, never dealing in real commodities at all. The "clock game" is especially barren of any semblance to real business in that the prices quoted do not follow the market but are arbitrarily arranged by the management. There is a central office, where a scale of prices is made up every day on fictitious mining stocks and sent out, with the legitimate prices of wheat and corn and the regular board of trade articles, to the various gambling rooms where the little tickers record on the tape the rise and fall in price. These figures are placed as fast as they come in on a vast blackboard on one side of the room, and the crowd buy and sell the artificial margins in regular "'change" style. Some of them do not even go through the form of pretending to receive by telegraph the regular market prices. The manager makes up a schedule of prices purely from his imagination, adapted to trap the gambling public. This is made on a roll of tape, is unwound right before the crowd and the prices of stocks are recorded on the blackboard. The buying or selling of margins goes on, based on the last recorded figure on the board, looking for gain to the chance of a higher or lower figure on the tape,

which had been written there the night before. Fictitious mining stocks are the favorite basis of "speculation" in these clock games. The writer saw one of these rooms in full blast at mid-day right across the street from the court house in Chicago. The window of the sheriff's office was directly opposite their front window. "Stocks and Bonds" was the sign on the window.

The "bucket shop" is similar to the above, except that the schedule of prices on which the gambling is based is supposed to follow the actual market as quoted in the board of trade. The "open board," as seen in Chicago, is a duplicate of the regular board of trade in form, but is an immense bucket shop in character. The marked difference between the board of trade and the bucket shop is that the speculations on the board of trade have to do with the actual market and heavy buying or selling there is supposed to influence the price of the commodities, while speculation in the bucket shop is gambling pure and simple on how the market is going to turn.

This gambling business is not without its apologies, and the most sacred and alluring facts of life are appealed to by the managers of the business to stir up trade and draw customers. It is invariably called "speculation," and all the ventures of legitimate business are used as a defense of their gambling. I have before me a little booklet, styled "Secrets of Success in Speculation," issued by one of Chicago's "bucket shops" styling itself a "stock exchange." It is an advertisement — an enticing statement of the profits to be gained by dealing "on 'change," particularly through their house. But any reference to losses is carefully omitted. It partakes of all the special flavor of a patent medicine almanac, with its wonderful statements of "before and after taking." Following the introduction it says: —

Speculation — that magic wand of the commerce of all ages — at whose command cities, governments, and the greatest works of man have been built — that great lubricant of the wheels of commerce, which always has been, and always will be, where brain rises superior to brawn, fostered by hope and encouraged by success, is the subject of our "little book." Both sacred and profane history speak of the success attending the speculator and his deals. The first one in our line and probably most successful of all times, was the loading of the *Ark* by *Noah*, the next one was a deal in Egyptian corn by Joseph which lasted several years. And so on down to the day of our own speculative princes, such as Armour, Gould, Rockefeller, Mackay and others too numerous to mention, whose names are quite as familiar and suggestive as Aladdin's of old. Our modern speculators are, without exception, examples of the "mighty oaks from little acorns grow," and our object in this issue is to increase the number of "mighty oaks."

Its one philanthropic purpose is to make "mighty oaks" of finance out of the little acorns of gambling invested with them. What a noble humanitarian purpose! Then it goes on to enlarge on "The Profits in Speculation," in a most encouraging way: —

If the inside story of Wall Street could be written, it would indeed read like some grand romance or fairy tale. With the savings of a few months, or weeks even, many a magnate of "the street" laid the foundation of a colossal fortune. Jay Gould was once a book canvasser; Jim Fisk peddled goods throughout the New England states, driving hard bargains with the housewives; Phil. Armour was a butcher; Cyrus Field worked in a dry goods store, and the great head of the biggest telegraph company in the world was once a poor telegraph clerk. Andrew Carnegie, the Pittsburg iron master, was a messenger boy when he came to this country, earning enough to hardly keep him in salt and potatoes. Nobody supposes these men made their many millions, for they are all many times millionnaires, and there are thousands in the United States in the same company, by hard work simply, and by the process of savings banks (excellent and honorable institutions, but too slow for the go-ahead American, who cannot but see that they have the best of the bargain in the use of his money). No; it may as well be told. The great financial kings of the North American continent made their millions in speculation, and presumably they don't care who knows it.

This encouraging document goes on to say :—

There has hardly been a year within my experience, going back more than thirty years, when there have not been two or three squalls in the "street," during the year, when it was possible to purchase stocks below their intrinsic value. The squall usually passes over in a few days, and then the lucky buyers of stocks at panic prices come in for their profits, ranging from five to ten per cent on the entire venture. The question of making money, then, becomes a mere matter of calculation, depending upon the number of squalls that may occur during the year. If the venture is made at the right time—at the lucky moment, so to speak—and each successive venture is fortunate, as happens often to those who use their judgment in the best way, it is possible to realize a net gain of fifty per cent per annum on the aggregate of the year's investments.

After holding out this flattering prospect it then goes on to connect gambling on margins with legitimate business, and, to appease the conscience of any who have been prejudiced against it, adds :—

A speculator has been defined as "one who buys securities or commodities for other than investment purposes." That is to say, a person who has reason to believe that a particular stock is worth more money than its current price in the open market, and who buys the same, not to hold for the income it may bring, in the shape of dividends, etc., but to sell out at the first opportunity which presents a satisfactory profit. The authority quoted adds that the definition applies the same, whether the stock is bought outright or on a margin. It is not easy to see why a dealer in real estate, who is not an investor, is not a speculator, under the same rule; or the shipper of potatoes, who gets his draft, made against his consignment on the Chicago market commission house, discounted at the local bank in Iowa; or the jobber in Fall River prints, who buys by the car-load to anticipate an advance of one-sixteenth of a cent in the market. But the country has said that the dealer in stocks and grain and provisions, no matter how sharp and conservative a trader he may be, is a speculator, and the point may as well be regarded as a settled one.

And if this is so it would be found, if any one had the time to make the investigation, that "all the world and his wife" is a speculator.



Some buy on one per cent margin, some on ten, and some buy outright; but it is safe to say that you could not talk stocks or grain and provisions on the street for half a block, on the cars, in your hotel, or even at a small country sewing bee, but that your words would fall on the ears of one or more interested listeners. You have been in the market, or intend going into it, but your ideas of the methods are too narrow for your safety. Briefly, then, let us give you an outline of the ways and means of making money in speculation on "the street."

Before credit became a regular and recognized factor in the commercial world, business was done within exceedingly narrow limits. The trader who loaded a vessel with goods for a foreign land locked up just so much of his capital until he received his returns. Credit was introduced, and the trader's money power was increased from ten to a thousand fold. Thus credit promoted commerce, and built up prosperous and wealthy towns and cities out of insignificant country hamlets and fishing villages. The same all-powerful machine, and its modern development in the financial world, enables a man to do as much to-day with a hundred dollars as formerly required ten thousand dollars. Marginal transactions in "the street" are synonymous with discounts (credits) in the world of commerce.

There is a very strong case made out connecting the spirit of the "board of trade" and bucket shop methods with that of business generally called legitimate. In its last analysis, the comparison is not so much in favor of gambling "on 'change" as it is unfavorable to the speculative element in business. To the developed ethical sense the comparison but shows how utterly depraved is the mere money-getting passion, through whatever avenue it is exercised. Ethically, striving to get something for nothing is theft. The difference between the contractor who agrees to build a structure to be completed at a certain future time, basing his estimates on the probable cost of iron and lumber and stone and labor, and the speculator "on 'change" who deals in margins on iron, lumber, etc., is very marked, though having many features in common. Both may be ruined or given fabulous profits by a rise or fall in the market. In this sense both are speculators. But on the other hand the contractor is doing a useful service to society — while the dealer "on 'change" is not. With the contractor, the speculative element is merely an incident involved; in the current method of doing business with the dealer "on 'change," to get without giving is the naked purpose. Production for profits in any line of business under the pressure of the competitive system leads all business perilously near the gambling limit, ethically considered. Whoever "plays" business only for profits and to keep respectable — a limit which covers too much of present-day business — is guilty of the spirit of gambling.

To overcome the cruder forms of gambling, the laws should be more inclusive in their reach and more relentless in their execution; but even to approximate an eradication of the disease, the leading features of competitive business must give way to the



scientific ordering of business in something approaching an industrial democracy. The nationalization of the leading trusts and monopolies, including the building of government warehouses and the storage of the grain and cotton crops for the people, would cut the vitals out of nine tenths of the gambling of our large cities which is born and fostered by the influence of the "board of trade." Repressive laws can restrain and are useful, but the Christianization of business on a mutualistic basis is the immediate hope for the final elimination of that monster of "chance" which has ruled the world so long.

### III. BIBLIOGRAPHY OF GAMBLING — THE LOTTERY, POOL SELLING, STOCK JOBBING, COMPILED BY THOMAS E. WILL, A. M.

#### *Books and Treatises.*

(Numbers are for the Boston Public Library.)

#### 1. *Gambling.*

American Association for the Suppression of Gambling. A few Important Facts [etc.]. 1852. No. 1 in 5587.61.

Chapin, Edwin Hubbell. A Discourse on the Evils of Gaming. 1859. No. 3 in 3570a.47.

Collier, Jeremy. An Essay upon Gaming in a Dialogue between Callimachus and Dolomedes. 1713. 5576.39.

Culin, Stewart. The Gambling Games of the Chinese in America [etc.]. 1891. No. 4 in 4400a.102.1.

Green, Jonathan H. An Exposition of Games and Tricks with Cards. 1850. No. 2 in 5587.61. — An Exposure of the Arts and Miseries of Gambling. 1845. 5574.78. — Gambling Exposed. A full Exposition of all the Various Arts, Mysteries and Miseries of Gambling, with engravings. 1857. 5576.34.

How Gamblers Win; or the Secrets of Advantage Playing Exposed. Together with a Brief Analysis of Legitimate Play. By a Retired Professional. 1868. No. 1 in 5570a.59.

Learock, John B. A Lecture on Gambling and the Delinquency of City Authorities, delivered in Boston, Feb. 24, 1862. 1862. No. 8 in 5570a.59.

Maskelyne, John Nevil. "Sharps and Flats." A Complete Revelation of the Secrets of Cheating at Games of Chance and Skill. 1894. 5577.43.

Proctor, R. A. Border Land of Science, p. 349.

Proctor, Richard Anthony. Chance and Luck; a Discussion of the Laws of Luck, Coincidences, Wagers, Lotteries and the Fallacies of Gambling; with notes on Poker and Martingales. 1887. 3922.75.

Report of the Committee of Twenty-four, appointed at a meeting of the Citizens of Richmond the 28th of October, 1833, for the Purpose of Devising Means to Suppress the Vice of Gambling. 1833. No. 3 in 5570a.59.

Rouse, William. The Doctrine of Chances, or the Theory of Gaming made Easy to every Person acquainted with common Arithmetic, so as to Enable them to Calculate the Probabilities of Events in Lotteries, Cards, Horse Racing, Dice, etc. With Tables on Chance. 1814. 3934.59.

Steinmetz, Andrew. The Gaming Table; its Votaries and Victims in all Times and Countries, especially in England and France. 1870. 6003.3.

Walker, Gilbert. A Manifest Detection of the Most Vile and Detestable Use of Dice Play. 1850. No. 3 in 2537.29.

## 2. Lotteries.

Brief Survey, A, of the Great Extent and Evil Tendencies of the Lottery System as Existing in the United States. 1833. Nos. 4, 5 in 5570a.59.

### Current Literature.

#### 1. Gambling.

ARENA Symposium, February, 1895. — (S. Martin) Exeter Hall Lectures, 12:45, 83. — (G. I. Cowan) *People's Journal*, 6:244. — *Chambers's Journal*, 28:369. — *Quarterly Review*, 168:136. Same article, *Living Age*, 180:771. — *Saturday Review*, 69:11, 44, 107, 134. — (E. E. Hale) *Cosmopolitan*, 11:761. — *Saturday Review*, 71:414; 72:11. — (B. H. Becker) *Fortnightly Review*, 42:75. — (W. Gladden) *Century Magazine*, 6:620.

— Anatomy of. *Fraser's Magazine*, 16:9, 368, 748; 17:269, 538.

— and Deception in England, in Relation to the Prince of Wales. *Andover Review*, 16:68.

— and Gambling Laws, Modern. (G. H. Stutfield) *Nineteenth Century*, 14:840.

— and Suicide. *Spectator*, 57:878.

— and the Law. (Sir J. F. Stephen) *Nineteenth Century*, 30:1.

— at Monte Carlo. *Popular Science Monthly*, 27:571.

— Card Sharping in Paris. (E. Delille) *Fortnightly Review*. 56:37.

— Chapter on. *Colburn's New Monthly Magazine*, 94:56. Same article, *International Magazine*, 5:337.

— Ethics of. (O. B. Frothingham) *North American Review*, 135:162.

— (W. D. Mackenzie) *Contemporary Review*, 60:220. — (J. Sharpe) *Theological Monthly*, 4:388. — (J. Oliphant) *Westminster Review*, 137:518 (My).

— Faro. (E. Crapsey) *Galaxy*, 12:57.

— Growth of. *Spectator*, 61:1386.

— History of. (E. B. Rowlands) *Westminster Review*, 135:648. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 117:195.

— Increase of, and its Forms. (W. B. Curtis) *Forum*, 12:281.

— in England, 1840. *Saturday Review*, 57:275.

— in Paris. *Saturday Review*, 60:48.

— in Paris Clubs. *Saturday Review*, 57:201.

— Is it on the Increase? *Spectator*, 66:235.

— Laws Against. *Saturday Review*, 71:348.

— San Carlo Superstition, A. *Cornhill Magazine*, 46:195. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 99:559.

— Strange Chances. (R. A. Proctor) *Knowledge*, 10:276.

— Superstitions of. (R. A. Proctor) *Knowledge*, 5:11, 136.

— Systems of. *Knowledge*, 10:29. — (N. Young) *National Review*, 106:449.

— The *De Aleatoribus*, ed. by Harnack. (C. Merk) *Academy*, 36:422.

— What is? *To-Day*, 9:39.

Gambling Clubs. *Spectator*, 62:675.

— — and Gamesters. *Bentley's Magazine*, 18:333, 489, 593; 19:44, 397; 20:288, 622.

— — French. *Westminster Review*, 11:303.

— — in Germany. *Dublin University Magazine*, 77:466. — *St. James's Magazine*, 4:441.

— — of New York. *St. James's Magazine*, 20:252.

Gambling Instinct. *Spectator*, 60:286.

Gambling Spirit in America. (R. A. Procter) *Knowledge*, 6:519.

- Gambling Speculations. *Bankers' Magazine* (N. Y.) 34:19.  
 Games, History of. (E. B. Tyler) *Popular Science Monthly*, 15:225.  
 — in the Eighteenth Century. (A. Andrews) *Colburn's New Monthly Magazine*, 103:361. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 35:13.  
 — in London. *Fraser's Magazine*, 8:191.  
 — Paris Bourse. *Colburn's New Monthly Magazine*, 62:105.  
 — Paris Gambling Houses. (J. D. Osborne) *Putnam's Monthly Magazine*, 3:303. — *Broadway*, 9:528.  
 — Roulette, Trent et Quarante, etc. *Every Saturday*.  
 — Spas of Germany. (J. H. Browne) *Harper's Magazine*, 45:1.  
 — Superstitions of. (R. A. Proctor) *Gentleman's Magazine*, n. s., 22:219. — *Cornhill Magazine*, 25:704. Same article, *Eclectic Magazine*, 79:157. Same article, *Living Age*, 114:105.

## 2. The Lottery.

- Lottery and Hazard. (Dr. Michelsen) *Bentley's Miscellany*, 56:35.  
 — of 1567, Scheme for. (W. Bray) *Archæologia*, 19:79.  
 Lotteries. (S. H. Walley) *North American Review*, 37:494. — *American Quarterly Review*, 21:355. — *Christian Monthly Spectator*, 8:196.  
 — and Law of Chance. *Cornhill Magazine*, 39:23. Same article, *Living Age*, 140:561.  
 — English State. *Leisure Hour*, 3:781.  
 — Evils and Methods of. (J. B. Bishop) *Nation*, 53:503.  
 — Federal Taxation of. (T. M. Cooley) *Atlantic*, 69:523 (Ap).  
 — in France, *Spectator*, 60:252.  
 — in the United States. (E. N. Vallandigham) *Chautauquan*, 10:689.  
 — Relation to Gambling. (J. T. Tully) *Christian Quarterly Review*, 4:254.  
 — Suppression of, by Taxation. (H. White) *Forum*, 12:807 (F).  
 Lottery in Austria. (W. B. Scaife) *Nation*, 43:232.  
 — in Continental Europe. *Dublin Review*, 20:408.  
 — in District of Columbia. *Niles's Register*, 19:3; 20:6 — 183.  
 — in New York. *Niles's Register*, 32:122.  
 — in Northern Italy. *Chambers's Journal*, 16:281.  
 — Louisiana. (A. Comstock) *Our Day*, 4:437; 5:472. — (B. R. Forman) *Nation*, 44:402. — *Public Opinion*, 9:405, 449. — *Public Opinion*, 12:319 (Ja 9), 474 (F 13). — *Spectator*, 68:49 (Ja 9).  
 — History of. (E. A. Farrar) *Charities Review*, 1:143 (F). — (J. C. Wickliffe) *Forum*, 12:569 (Ja).  
 — State. (R. A. Proctor) *Knowledge*, 7:362.  
 Lottery Gambling. (T. Flint) *Western Literary Journal*, 1:173.

## 3. Pool Selling.

- Pool Rooms and Pool Selling. (A. Comstock) *North American Review*, 157:591 (N).  
 Gambling in, Suppression of, in Connecticut. *Andover Review*, 19:358 (My).

## 4. Stock Gambling.

- Democratic Review*, 18:79.  
 Who Profits By? *Bankers' Magazine* (N. Y.) 30:756.  
 Stock Exchange, English, Gambling on (T. H. S. Escott) *Fortnightly Review*, 40:758.  
 Stock Gambling Contracts. *Bankers' Magazine* (N. Y.) 39:690.  
 Stock Speculation, French. (E. L. Godkin) *Nation*, 34:6.  
 Stock Exchange Speculation. *Chambers's Journal*, 64:481.  
 Stock Speculation; a Paradise of Gamblers. (E. Fawcett) *ARENA*, 4:641.

## INDEX TO THE ELEVENTH VOLUME OF THE ARENA.

- Abolition of War. The, (Symposium.) 118. Rev. H. C. Vrooman. 118. Prof. Thomas E. Will, A. M. 127.
- Abbot. Willis J., The Chicago Populist Campaign. 330.
- Allen. Rev. T. E., Experimental Telepathy, 227.
- America's Shame (Symposium.) 192. Aaron M. Powell, Helen H. Gardner, Frances E. Willard, A. H. Lewis, D. D., O. Edward Janney, M. D., Will Allen Dromgoole, Emily Blackwell, M. D.
- Attitude of Southern Women on the Suffrage Question. The, 363.
- Aunt Angeline's Triumph. 391.
- Barrows. Samuel J., Penology in Europe and America. 289.
- Bellangee. J., Sexual Purity and the Double Standard. 370.
- Bimetallism and Legislation. 378.
- Blackwell, M. D. Emily, Another Physician Speaks. (America's Shame Symposium.) 212.
- Bryan, M. C. Hon. W. J., The President's Currency Plan. 321.
- Century of Sir Thomas More. The, (Second Paper.) 266.
- Charity, Old and New. 274.
- Chicago Populist Campaign. The, 330.
- Christian Missions in India as Viewed by an Eastern Scholar. 157.
- Cinch: A Christmas Story. 100.
- Clark. James G., If Christ Should Come To-day. (Poem.) 79. The Coming Industrial Order. 238.
- Coming Industrial Order. The, 238.
- Coming Triennial Meeting of the Council of Women of the United States at Washington. The, 338.
- Countess of Aberdeen. The, The Coming Triennial Meeting of the Council of Women of the United States at Washington. 338.
- David A. Wells' Downfall. 27.
- Dignity of Labor. The, 258.
- Drama in Tatters. A, 251.
- Dromgoole. Will Allen, Cinch: A Christmas Story. 100. The Age of Consent in Tennessee. (America's Shame Symposium.) 209. Aunt Angeline's Triumph. 391.
- Dynamics of Mind. The, 302.
- Experimental Telepathy. 227.
- Fate of Major Rogers. The, 71.
- "Fin-De-Siècle" Vision. A, (Poem.) 98.
- Flower. B. O., Wellsprings and Feeders of Immorality. 56. Lust Fostered by Legislation. 167. The Century of Sir Thomas More. (Second Paper.) 266. The Italy of the Century of Sir Thomas More. 310. Social Conditions as Feeders of Immorality. 399.
- Gambling and Speculation. (Symposium.) 413. Rev. C. H. Hamlin, Rev. H. C. Vrooman.
- Gandhi, B. A. Virchand R., Christian Missions in India as Viewed by an Eastern Scholar. 157.
- Garland. Hamlin, A Woman in the Camp. (A Story.) 90.

- Gardener. Helen H., Our Little Neighbor in the East. 176. What Shall the Age of Consent Be? (America's - Shame Symposium.) 196.
- Goodchild. Rev. F. M., The Sweating System in Philadelphia. 261.
- Guy de Maupassant. 15.
- Hamlin. Rev. C. H., Gambling, or Theft by Indirection. (Gambling Symposium.) 413.
- Harte. Walter Blackburn, A Drama in Tatters. 251.
- Henry. Josephine K., The New Woman of the New South. 353.
- Hensoldt, Ph. D. Heinrich, The Fate of Major Rogers. 71.
- Hinton. Richard J., Present Political Aspects. 216.
- Hour. The, (Poem.) 390.
- If Christ Should Come To-day. (Poem.) 79.
- Italy of the Century of Sir Thomas More. The, 310.
- James. Bushrod W., Oliver Wendell Holmes. (Poem.) 55.
- Janney, M. D. O. Edward, A Physician's View of These Laws. (America's Shame Symposium.) 206.
- Knapp. Adeline, The Dignity of Labor. 258.
- Latchford. Henry, William Penn and Peter the Great. 80.
- Lewis, D. D. A. H., The Primary Source of Age-of-Consent Legislation. (America's Shame Symposium.) 202.
- Little Neighbor in the East. Our, 176.
- Lust Fostered by Legislation. 167.
- McCrackan, A. M. W. D., Politics as a Career. 233.
- Müller. Prof. F. Max, The Real Significance of the Parliament of Religions. 1.
- Muzzey. A. L., The Hour. (Poem.) 390.
- New Woman of the New South. The, 353.
- Oliver Wendell Holmes. (Poem.) 55.
- Open Letter to Senator John Sherman. An, 345.
- Penology in Europe and America. 289.
- Pepperell. George W., An Open Letter to Senator John Sherman. 345.
- Politics as a Career. 233.
- Powell. Aaron M., Present Status of Age of Consent Laws. (America's Shame Symposium.) 192.
- President's Currency Plan. The, 321.
- Present Political Aspects. 216.
- Real Significance of the World's Parliament of Religions. The, 1.
- Religion of Holmes' Poems. The, 41.
- Religion of Longfellow's Poetry. The, 145.
- Savage. Rev. M. J., The Religion of Holmes' Poems. 41.
- Savage. Rev. W. H., The Religion of Longfellow's Poetry. 145.
- Sexual Purity and the Double Standard. 370.
- She Played, an Innocent Darling. (Poem.) 250.
- Sibley. Margaret Stewart, A "Fin-de-Siècle" Vision. (Poem.) 98.
- Social Conditions as Feeders of Immorality. 399.
- Sweating System in Philadelphia. 261.
- Thomas. C. S., Bimetallism and Legislation. 378.
- Tolstoi. Count Leo N., Guy De Maupassant. 15.
- Vrooman. Rev. H. C., The Ethics of Peace. (Abolition of War Symposium.) 118. Charity, Old and New. 274. Methods and Devices. (Gambling Symposium.) 416.

Watson. Annah Robinson, The Attitude of Southern Women on the Suffrage Question. 363.

Wellsprings and Feeders of Immorality. 56.

West, James H., She Played, an Innocent Darling. (Poem.) 250.

William Penn and Peter the Great. 80.

Willard. Frances E., Arousing the Public Conscience. (America's Shame Symposium.) 198.

Will, A. M. Prof. Thomas E., The Abolition of War. (Abolition of War Symposium.) 127. Bibliography. (Charity Symposium.) 281. Bibliography. (Gambling Symposium.) 426.

Wilson. George, David A. Wells' Downfall. 27.

Woman in the Camp. A, (A Story.) 90.

Wood. Henry, The Dynamics of Mind. 302.





"We do not take possession of our ideas but are possessed by them.  
They master us and force us into the arena,  
Where like gladiators, we must fight for them."—HEINE.

# THE ARENA

EDITED BY  
B. O. FLOWER.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

H. W. LONGFELLOW . . . . .	FRONTISPIECE.	PAGE.
REV. W. H. SAVAGE . . . . .	THE RELIGION OF LONGFELLOW'S POETRY	146
VIRCHAND R. GANDHI, B.A. . . . .	CHRISTIAN MISSIONS IN INDIA AS VIEWED BY AN EASTERN SCHOLAR . . . . .	167
B. O. FLOWER . . . . .	LUST FOSTERED BY LEGISLATION	167
HELEN H. GARDENER . . . . .	OUR LITTLE NEIGHBOR IN THE EAST (With numerous Illustrations sent direct from Japan) . . . . .	176
<b>AMERICA'S SHAME</b>		
A Symposium on the Age of Consent Laws in the United States, with Portraits of Five Contributors and a Table of the Black List of States . . . . .		192
AARON M. POWELL . . . . .	PRESENT STATUS OF AGE OF CONSENT LAWS.	
HELEN H. GARDENER . . . . .	WHAT SHALL THE AGE OF CONSENT BE?	
FRANCES R. WILLARD . . . . .	AROUSING THE PUBLIC CONSCIENCE.	
A. H. LEWIS, D. D., THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF AGE-OF-CONSENT LEGISLATION.		
O. EDWARD JANNEY, M. D. . . . .	A PHYSICIAN'S VIEW OF THESE LAWS.	
WILL ALLEN DROMGOOLE . . . . .	THE AGE OF CONSENT IN TENNESSEE.	
EMILY BLACKWELL, M. D. . . . .	ANOTHER PHYSICIAN SPEAKS.	
RICHARD J. HINTON . . . . .	PRESENT POLITICAL ASPECTS	216
THE SECRETARY OF THE AMERICAN PSYCHICAL SOCIETY, EXPERIMENTAL TELEPATHY		227
W. D. McCrackan, A. M. . . . .	POLITICS AS A CAREER	233
JAMES G. CLARK . . . . .	THE COMING INDUSTRIAL ORDER	238
JAMES H. WEST . . . . .	SHE PLAYED, AN INNOCENT DARLING (Poem)	250
WALTER BLACKBURN HARTE, A DRAMA IN TATTERS: A REALISTIC STORY OF PRESENT-DAY CIVILIZATION . . . . .		251
ADELINE KNAPP . . . . .	THE DIGNITY OF LABOR: A STORY OF TO-DAY	258
REV. F. M. GOODCHILD, THE SWEATING SYSTEM IN PHILADELPHIA		261
B. O. FLOWER, THE CENTURY OF SIR THOMAS MORE: Second Paper, THE REFORMATION AND SOME LEADING REFORMERS . . . . .		266
<b>UNION SUBJECT.</b>		
REV. HARRY C. VROOMAN . . . . .	CHARITY, OLD AND NEW	274
A Comprehensive Bibliography of Works Dealing with the Question of Charity, Compiled by Professor Thomas E. Will, A. M. . . . .		281
BOOKS OF THE DAY AND CAUSERIE, by W. B. Harte, B. O. Flower, Elbert Hubbard, and Others . . . . .		289

THE ARENA PUBLISHING COMPANY. BOSTON, MASS.:  
PIERCE BUILDING, COPLEY SQUARE.

LONDON AGENTS:—Gay & Bird, 5 Chandos Street, Strand, London, W. C.  
PARIS:—Brentano's, 17 Avenue de l'Opera; The Galignani Library, 224 Rue de Rivoli.

Copyright, 1894, by The Arena Publishing Co.  
Entered at the Post Office at Boston, and admitted for transmission through the mails, as second-class matter.

Single Numbers, 50c. Published Monthly. Per Annum, \$5.

BOOK REVIEWED BY B. O. FLOWER. WALTER BLACKBURN HARTE

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL PROBLEMS.

THE SWEATING SYSTEM IN PHILADELPHIA.

CHARITY, OLD AND NEW.

POLITICS AS A CAREER.

THE COMING INDUSTRIAL AGE.

TOGETHER WITH A BLACK LIST OF STATES, IN THIS ISSUE.

ILLUSTRATIONS BY WALTER BLACKBURN HARTE.

## THE HUNTINGTON.

### BOSTON'S FASHIONABLE WINTER HOME.

Facing the beautiful Copley Square. This elegantly appointed establishment has been thoroughly renovated in all its departments during the summer, and is now ready for the reception of either transient or permanent guests on the EUROPEAN PLAN, with rooms single or en suite. Cuisine unexcelled. The most elaborate gentlemen's Cafe on the Back Bay has been added to the many other attractions of this house.

C. A. JONES & CO., Proprietors.

The New Model No.

## 6 Remington STANDARD Typewriter

Will do every kind of work Better, Quicker, and Easier than any other machine made.

It retains and carries to a higher stage of development every excellence found in former Remington models.

Many Notable Improvements in Design and Construction are added.

SEND FOR CATALOGUE.

Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict,  
347 Broadway, New York.

## ARE YOU LOOKING

### FOR A CHANGE IN LOCATION?

If you are not satisfied with your present site, or if you are not doing quite as well as you would like to, why not consider the advantages of a location on the Illinois Central R. R. or the Yazoo & Mississippi Valley R. R.? These roads run through South Dakota, Minnesota, Iowa, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi and Louisiana, and possess

### FINE SITES FOR NEW MILLS BEST OF FREIGHT FACILITIES

(CLOSE PROXIMITY TO)

### COAL FIELDS and DISTRIBUTING CENTRES

AND

### INTELLIGENT HELP OF ALL KINDS MANY KINDS OF RAW MATERIAL

For full information write the undersigned for a copy of the pamphlet entitled

### 100 Cities and Towns WANTING INDUSTRIES

This will give you the population, city and county data, death rate, assessed valuation of property, tax rate, annual shipments, raw materials, industries desired, etc.

To sound industries, which will bear investigation, substantial inducements will be given by many of the places on the lines of the Illinois Central R. R., which is the only road under one management running through from the North Western States to the Gulf of Mexico. GEO. C. POWER, Industrial Commissioner I. C. R. R. Co., 306 Central Station, Chicago. 1-2 '04.

### WHO ARE THE LEADING OFFICE FURNITURE MANUFACTURERS OF THE WORLD?

### DERBY, KILMER & POND DESK CO.

Stand at the head for standard excellent quality, great variety in styles and prices, and world wide reputation based on absolute satisfaction given to all buyers of DERBY DESKS.

Roll top Desks, all sizes, Library or Flat top Desks, Office and Directors' Tables, Chairs adjustable to fixed height, High Desks, etc.

DERBY, KILMER & POND DESK CO.  
93 CAUSEWAY ST., OPP. UNION STATION, BOSTON.

10 Beckman Street, New York.  
Write for cuts and prices. Mention "Arena."

# Why

not go to Florida for the cold season?

The journey is a pleasure if you take it in the luxurious and comfortable trains of the

## QUEEN & CRESCENT ROUTE.

Three sleeping-car lines daily, Cincinnati to Jacksonville. Superb solid vestibuled trains with standard day coaches, and through Pullman sleepers, parlor, observation and café cars on the "Florida Limited."

164 miles shortest car line. 25 hours Cincinnati to Jacksonville.

Send your name for schedules and literature. W. C. RINEARSON, Gen. Pass. Agent, CINCINNATI, O.

---

# THE MONARCH IS KING.

**Design Elegant**

**Workmanship Unsurpassed**

**Material the Finest**

**Fine Models**

**Weight, 18 to 25 lbs.**

**Prices, \$85 and \$100**

CATALOGUE MAILED FREE UPON APPLICATION

## MONARCH CYCLE COMPANY

RETAIL SALESROOM  
280 WABASH AVENUE  
BLISS & LUMSDEN, MANAGERS

FACTORY AND MAIN OFFICE  
LAKE AND HALSTED STREETS  
CHICAGO

EASTERN WAREHOUSE  
97-99 READE STREET, NEW YORK  
THE C. F. GUYON CO., LTD., MANAGERS

BRANCHES: { DETROIT, DENVER, SAN FRANCISCO, LOS ANGELES, SACRAMENTO,  
PORTLAND, SALT LAKE CITY.

**LOOK FOR US EVERY MONTH,**

FOR WE ARE

**Headquarters** { **Metaphysical, Occult,**  
**FOR** { **Theosophical,**  
**Christian Science,**  
**AND ALL ADVANCED LITERATURE.**

We also keep a full line of the famous

**White Cross Library**

*(Your Forces and How to Use Them),*

BY PRENTICE MULFORD.

**PRACTICAL HEALING FOR MIND AND BODY**

BY JANE W. YARNALL.

Is one of the most valuable books ever penned for sick and suffering humanity. From it you can learn how to heal yourself and also learn how to heal others. It is

**Just what its name implies,**

and does really give what it claims to give, viz., practical directions for healing both the sick mind and the sick body. One of the business men of Chicago carries his copy with him to his office every day. Bound in cloth only, price \$2.

*For sale by all booksellers, or order direct of*

**F. M. HARLEY PUBLISHING COMPANY,**

*87-89 Washington St., Chicago.*

*Send for our complete catalogue.*

**THE TRUTH SEEKER**

ILLUSTRATED

**THE LARGEST AND MOST POPULAR  
FREETHOUGHT JOURNAL IN  
THE WORLD**

*Published every Saturday, at \$3 per year,  
by the Truth Seeker Company, 28 Lafayette  
Place, New York City.*

**THE TRUTH SEEKER CONSTANTLY PRINTS**

**The Most Varied and Entertaining  
Selection of Original Papers of  
any Liberal Journal.**

**The Truth Seeker Gives All the  
News of Freethought, and is  
always on Guard Against the  
Encroachments of Ecclesiastics  
Upon the People's Liberties.**

THE TRUTH SEEKER is devoted to the defence of Mental Liberty, of American Institutions, and the preservation of the United States Constitution, so far as regards religious tests, and the maintenance of human rights. It advocates the abolition of all laws based on religion or religious observances. It demands the taxation of church property. It is uncompromisingly opposed to anything tending toward a union of church and state, either in name or in fact.

Send \$3 for a Year's Subscription,  
or \$1 for 4 Months' Trial.

**THE TRUTH SEEKER COMPANY**

28 LAFAYETTE PLACE, - NEW YORK.

**EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.**

CONNECTICUT, Greenwich.

**Academy and Home for 10 Boys.**

69th year of Academy, 15th of Home. Preparation for College or Business. Absolutely healthful location and genuine home, with refined surroundings. Gymnasium. References required. J. H. ROOT, Principal.

NEW YORK North Granville.

**Green Mountain Seminary for Both Sexes**

Has special offer to student or child who sends stamp and names ARKNA. No profits; tuition free. Opportunity will surprise you; no one need lack education 88th year; steam heat; large rooms; good board. Health, comfort, morals, knowledge. College, business, private life.

NORTH CAROLINA, ASHEVILLE.

**Bingham School for Boys.**

1793. Major R. BINGHAM, *Superintendent.* 1894.

Established in 1793.

Major Charles L. Davis, U. S. Army, Professor of Military Science and Tactics.

NEW JERSEY, BERGEN POINT.

**School for Young Ladies.**

Location on Salt Water, eight miles from New York.

A. E. SLOAN, M. A., LEPHA N. CLARKE, B. A.,  
Principal. Lady Principal.

**The Baltimore Medical College.**

Preliminary full course begins September 1; regular winter course begins October 1.

Excellent teaching facilities; magnificent new college building; superb lecture halls; large and completely equipped laboratories; capacious hospital and dispensary; lying-in-department for teaching clinical obstetrics; large clinics. Send for catalogue, and address

DAVID STREETT, M. D., *Dean,*  
403 N. Exeter St., Baltimore, Md.

CONNECTICUT, Simsbury.

**McLean Seminary for Girls.**

College preparatory and English courses. French, German, Art, Music. Attractive and healthful location. Terms \$300 to \$400.

Address REV. J. B. McLEAN.

CONNECTICUT, New Haven, 56 Hillhouse Avenue.

**School for Young Ladies.**

West End Institute. Mrs. Cady and Miss Cady, Principals. Prescribed and Elective Courses of Study, or College Preparatory Course. Circulars. Early application necessary.

**Miss Katherine L. Maltby, B. A.,**

Will open her Home and School, September 28, 1893. Highest art, musical and academic advantages. Circulars upon application. Fifth year.

160 Joralemon St., Brooklyn, N. Y.

OXFORD, NORTH CAROLINA.

**Horner Military School.**

A model home school for boys. Healthful climate. First-class appointments in every respect. For catalogue, address

HORNER & DREWRY.

**ROCKFORD COLLEGE FOR WOMEN.**

Fall Term Opens September 19, 1894.

Classical and Science Courses. Excellent Preparatory School. Specially organized departments of Music and Art. Well equipped Laboratories. Fine Gymnasiums. Resident Physician. Memorial Hall enables students to reduce expenses. For catalogue address Lock Box 50.

SARAH F. ANDERSON, Principal, ROCKFORD, ILL.

BOSTON, MASS., Franklin Square.

**New England Conservatory of Music.**

*(The Leading Conservatory of America.)*

Founded by Dr. Eben Tourjée. CARL FAELTEN, Director. Send for Prospectus, giving full information.

FRANK W. HALE, General Manager.

## Educational Institutions — Continued.

### MASSACHUSETTS, GREENFIELD.

#### Prospect Hill School for Girls.

A favorite school for families in Boston and vicinity.

JAMES C. PARSONS, *Principal*.

References: } Rev. E. E. Hale, D. D.  
} Rev. M. J. Savage.

NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 6 West 48th Street.

#### Miss Spence's Boarding and Day School

FOR GIRLS. Primary, Academic and College Preparatory Courses. Special students admitted. No more than eight pupils constitute any class.

NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY.

#### Miss Chisholm.

SCHOOL FOR GIRLS.

Will re-open Oct. 3, 1893.

15 E. 65th Street.

#### Educate for business at Watertown Commercial College

And School of Shorthand, Typewriting, and Telegraphy. *Shorthand successfully taught by mail.* Send for free trial course. Large illustrated catalogue for stamp.

H. L. WINSLOW, *President*.

Watertown, S. D.

#### Mt. Carroll Seminary, Carroll Co., Ill.,

Gives tuition and use of books, *free*, to one student from each county, who meets certain requirements. "*OREAD*," *free*, gives particulars—send for it. No earnest, faithful student of marked ability, though small means, need fail to secure a Normal, Collegiate, Art, or Music education.

CONNECTICUT, Norwalk.

#### Miss Baird's Institute for Girls.

Twenty-second year. Primary, intermediate and college preparatory courses. Careful attention to morals and manners. New buildings, steam heat, incandescent light, gymnasium. Circulars.

RHODE ISLAND, East Greenwich.

#### East Greenwich Academy.

Founded 1802. Both sexes. On Narragansett Bay. Steam heat. Electric light. Endowed. Twelve Courses. \$200 a year. For illustrated catalogue write to

Rev. F. D. BLAKESLEE, D. D.

MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR.

#### School of Chemistry and Pharmacy

In the University of Michigan. Training for service as an analytical or manufacturing chemist. The register of Alumni, with the professional occupation of each, furnished on application.

MISSOURI, St. Louis, 2826 Washington Avenue.

#### The St. Louis Hygienic College

Of Physicians and Surgeons. Seventh annual session opens September 28, 1893. Men and women admitted. Full three years' course. Address for announcement, S. W. DODDS, M. D., *Dean*.

#### Harned Academy, Plainfield, N. J.

A select boarding school for twenty boys. Pleasant home. Thorough instruction. Send for circular. EDWARD N. HARNED, *Principal*.

KINGSTON, NEW YORK.

#### Golden Hill School for Boys.

JOHN M. CROSS, A. M.

*Principal*.

## Educational Institutions — Continued.

### A Good School.

Do you want an education, classical, scientific, business, legal, pharmaceutical, musical, or fine art, or do you want to educate your children? If so, send for catalogue of the OHIO NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ADA, OHIO, one of the largest and best schools in the country. Last annual enrollment, 2,834. 30 States represented; also several foreign countries. Advantages unexcelled. Expenses low; will furnish room, good board in private family, and tuition ten weeks, for \$28; 49 weeks, \$118. Has university powers and confers all degrees. Teachers are thorough and experienced. Students can enter at any time to advantage. If things are not found as represented, we will pay all travelling expenses. Send for catalogue.

H. S. LEHR, A. M., *President*.

NORTH WALES.

#### Miss Carrick, Professor of Music,

Late of Munich and Frankfort, would be glad to receive one or two young ladies to live with her at her house in Penmaenmawo, N. W., and to take lessons in music and harmony, also German if wished. Magnificent sea and mountain scenery in most picturesque part of the Welsh country. Good bathing, splendid, bracing climate.

Address, R. C. Teet,

Penmaenmawo, North Wales.

#### The Grammar School, Berthier en haut P. D., Canada.

A thorough school with all comforts of home. French Conversation. Preparation for commercial life or universities. The number being limited (about thirty) and there being four teachers, each pupil is assured of a large amount of individual attention. Boys can enter at any time.

MAX LIEBICH, *Principal*.



BUSINESS  
COLLEGE.

This is one of the oldest and best schools of its kind in America.

Young people thoroughly prepared as Bookkeepers, Stenographers, Teachers, and business men supplied with such assistants without charge.

A set of 46 Finely Engraved copies of writing mailed free to those intending to enter a Business College, who will notify us of that fact.

Enclose 2-cent stamp for postage and address.

J. T. HENDERSON, *Prin.*,

Box 998, Oberlin, O.

**OFFICE DESKS LIBRARY**

CHAIRS, TABLES, Etc.

Prices and styles to meet all requirements.

**DERBY, KILMER & POND DESK CO.,**

93 Causeway St., Opp. Union Station.

## Works by P. B. Randolph.

### SEERSHIP: Guide to Soul Sight.

Its art and culture, with rules for its attainment. Lucidity is no gift, but a universal possibility common to the human family. Those fond of Occult Science will revel in the pages of this book. *Price, \$2.00.*

### EULIS. The Third Revelation of Soul and Sex.

A work containing many secret and inner doctrines of the Rosicrucians. In it and by it both man and woman have not merely the road to enormous power, mental and individual, but the grand energy of effecting wished-for changes in others, prolongation of life, and rendering existence a road to perpetual power. *Price, \$2.50.*

### SOUL WORLD.

A work dedicated to those who think and feel; who are dissatisfied with current theories and rash speculations regarding the soul, — its origin, nature, destiny, etc. *Price, \$2.00.*

Address, **K. C. RANDOLPH,**

210 E. Bancroft St., Toledo, Ohio.

OLD MAGAZINES AND BOOKS bought, sold or exchanged. We have branches in several cities. Send list to Magazine Exchange, Pope's Bld'g, St. Louis, Mo.

## The New Order

. A sixteen page paper  
. Ordinary book size  
. Published Fortnightly

Subscription price, 25 CENTS A YEAR. Sample copies free  
Our Handsome Illustrated Christmas Number, 5c. a Copy

A Favorite Paper whose purpose is to help to develop a better social system.

### DEPARTMENTS:

1. Comments on Significant Events of the Day.
  2. Record of Work Along Altruistic and Co-operative Lines.
  3. Reviews of New Books.
  4. Discussions of Most Nutritive Foods and Their Preparation.
  5. Humorous Column.
  6. Program of some Literary Club.
- Some Four of these Six Departments in Every Issue.

The Rev. PHEBE A. HANAFORD says of THE NEW ORDER: "Your paper gives a common-sense view of the reforms and philanthropies of the day; its literary tone is excellent, and I shall take pleasure in mentioning it to those who wish to subscribe for a periodical which keeps abreast of the times, but who will get even more than their money's worth."

A Handsome Picture of Fort Dearborn, together with a history of it, to every new subscriber. Address

**THE NEW ORDER PUBLISHING CO.,**  
McCormick Block, Chicago, Ill.

### NEW LIGHT FROM THE GREAT PYRAMID.

The Astronomico-Geographical System of the Ancients Recovered and Applied to the Elucidation of History, Ceremony, Symbolism and Religion. By Albert Ross Parsons. Elegant library edition, illustrated, \$4.00.

This work contains a map describing the surface of the Globe and the constellations in the Heavens, with numerous rare and significant illustrations of great value.

A book not only novel in its arrayed facts, but charmingly told, and so full of suggested novelties as to be highly entertaining — *Chicago Inter-Ocean.*

A great deal of curious information is woven into this exposition of the book of revelations as contained in the pyramid. — *Rocky Mountain News*, Denver, Col.

A strange book. It offers room for deep reflection. — *Baltimore Methodist.*

Its speculations are certainly very interesting. — *Portland Transcript.*

### THE PHILOSOPHY OF MENTAL HEALING.

By Leander Edmund Whipple. Handsome cloth edition, \$2.00. A clear exposition of the scientific status of the mental healing movement.

A remarkably interesting chapter of the work is that entitled, "How mental action causes disease," in which the effect of the mind upon the body is illustrated by familiar examples. There is a constant temptation to quote, in turning over the pages of the volume, but we must leave interested readers to enjoy the work as a whole. Meanwhile, we commend it as the most valuable contribution to the literature of mental healing yet given to the public; dignified in tone, clear and definite in statement, and logical in its conclusions. — *Boston Evening Transcript.*

Being written for the general reader and appealing directly to scholarly minds it is indispensable to every well-informed person, and an acquisition to every library.

Any Book Postpaid to Any Part of the World Reached by Postal Union.

**THE METAPHYSICAL PUBLISHING COMPANY,** 503 FIFTH AVENUE,  
NEW YORK.

Publishers, Importers and Dealers in

**ORIENTAL LITERATURE, OCCULT, PHILOSOPHIC AND SCIENTIFIC.**

— Including —

**A FULL LINE OF MENTAL HEALING WORKS BY THE BEST WRITERS. CATALOGUE FREE TO ANY ADDRESS.**

### THE METAPHYSICAL MAGAZINE. MONTHLY.

The only first-class Magazine in the world devoted to the higher or Metaphysical side of every important subject of life, presented in a trustworthy manner by the best writers of the day in all parts of the world. **Always Best.** Subscription price, \$2.50 per annum. Single copies, 25 cents. At all news stands, or mailed to any address upon receipt of price. Agents wanted in every locality.

THE METAPHYSICAL PUBLISHING CO., 503 Fifth Avenue, N. Y.



# The Sacred Books of the East.

Translated by various Oriental Scholars,  
and edited by F. MAX MÜLLER.

**First Series, Vols. I.-XXIV.** Demy 8vo., cloth.

- Vol. I. The Upanishads. Translated by F. MAX MÜLLER. Part I. 10s. 6d. \$2.75. <sup>A</sup>
- Vol. II. The Sacred Laws of the Aryas, as taught in the Schools of Āpastamba, Gautama, Vāsishtā, and Baudhāyana. Translated by Prof. GEORG BÜHLER. Part I. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. III. The Sacred Books of China. The Texts of Confucianism. Translated by JAMES LEGGE. Part I. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. IV. The Zend-Avesta. Part. I. The Vendidad. Translated by JAMES DARMESTER. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. V. The Pahlavi Texts. Translated by E. W. WEST. Part I. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vols. VI. and IX. The Qur'ān. Translated by E. H. PALMER. 21s. \$5.25.
- Vol. VII. The Institutes of Vishnu. Translated by JULIUS JOLLY. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. VIII. The Bhagavadgītā, with The Sanatsugātīya, and The Anugītā. Translated by KASHINATH TRIMBAK TELANG. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. X. The Dhammapada, translated from Pāli by F. MAX MÜLLER; and The Sutta-Nipāta, translated from Pāli by V. FAUSBÖLL: being Canonical Books of the Buddhists. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XI. Buddhist Suttas. Translated from Pāli by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XII. The Satapatha-Brāhmaṇa, according to the Text of the Mādhyandina School. Translated by JULIUS EGGELING. Part I. Books I. and II. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XIII. Vinaya Texts. Translated from Pāli by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS and HERMANN OLDENBERG. Part I. 10s. 6d. \$2.75. <sup>A</sup>
- Vol. XIV. The Sacred Laws of the Aryas, as taught in the Schools of Āpastamba, Gautama, Vāsishtā and Baudhāyana. Translated by GEORG BÜHLER. Part II. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XV. The Upanishads. Translated by F. MAX MÜLLER. Part II. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XVI. The Sacred Books of China. The Texts of Confucianism. Translated by JAMES LEGGE. Part II. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XVII. Vinaya Texts. Translated from Pāli by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS and HERMANN OLDENBERG. Part II. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XVIII. Pahlavi Texts. Translated by E. W. WEST. Part II. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XIX. The Fo-sho-hing-tsan-king. A Life of Buddha by Asvaghosha Bodhisattva, translated from Sanskrit into Chinese by Dharmaraksha, A. D. 420, and from Chinese into English by SAMUEL BEAL. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XX. Vinaya Texts. Translated from Pāli by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS and HERMANN OLDENBERG. Part III. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XXI. The Saddharma-puṇḍarīka; or, the Lotus of the True Law. Translated by H. KERN. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XXII. Gāyā-Sūtras. Translated from Prākṛit by HERMANN JACOBI. Part I. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.

Vol. XXIII. The Zend-Avesta. Part II. Translated by JAMES DARMESTER. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.

Vol. XXIV. Pahlavi Texts. Translated by E. W. WEST. Part III. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.

**Second Series, Vols. XXV.-XLIX.** Demy 8vo., cloth.

- Vol. XXV. Manu. Translated by GEORG BÜHLER. 21s. \$5.25.
- Vol. XXVI. The Satapatha-Brāhmaṇa. Translated by JULIUS EGGELING. Part II. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vols. XXVII. and XXVIII. The Sacred Books of China. The Texts of Confucianism. Translated by JAMES LEGGE. Parts III. and IV. 25s. \$6.50.
- Vols. XXIX. and XXX. The Gṛhya-Sūtras, Rules of Vedic Domestic Ceremonies. Translated by HERMANN OLDENBERG.  
Part I. (Vol. XXIX.). 12s. 6d. \$3.25.  
Part II. (Vol. XXX.). 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XXXI. The Zend-Avesta. Part III. Translated by L. H. MILLS. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XXXII. Vedic Hymns. Translated by F. MAX MÜLLER. Part I. 18s. 6d. \$5.00.
- Vol. XXXIII. The Minor Law-Books. Translated by JULIUS JOLLY. Part I. Nārada, Brihaspati. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XXXIV. The Vedānta-Sūtras, with Saūkara's Commentary. Translated by G. THIBAUT. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XXXV. The Questions of King Milinda. Part I. Translated from Pāli by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS. 10s. 6d. \$2.75.
- Vol. XXXVI. The Questions of King Milinda. Part II. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XXXVII. The Contents of the Nasks, as stated in the Eighth and Ninth Books of the Dinkard. Part I. Translated by E. W. WEST. 15s. \$3.75.
- Vol. XXXVIII. The Vedānta-Sūtras. Part II. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vols. XXXIX. and XL. The Sacred Books of China. The Texts of Taoism. Translated by JAMES LEGGE. 21s. \$5.25.
- Vol. XLI. Satapatha-Brāhmaṇa. Part III. Translated by JULIUS EGGELING. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.
- Vol. XLII. Hymns of the Atharva-veda. Translated by M. BLOOMFIELD. [*In preparation.*]
- Vols. XLIII. and XLIV. The Satapatha-Brāhmaṇa. Parts IV. and V. [*In preparation.*]
- Vol. XLV. The Gāyā-Sūtras. Part II. [*In the Press.*]
- Vol. XLVI. The Vedānta-Sūtras. Part III. [*In preparation.*]
- Vol. XLVII. The Contents of the Nasks, Part II. [*In preparation.*]
- Vol. XLVIII. Vedic Hymns. Part II. [*In preparation.*]
- Vol. XLIX. Buddhist Mahāyāna Text. Buddhakarita, translated by E. B. COWELL. Sukhāvati-vyūha, Vagrakkhedikā, etc., translated by F. MAX MÜLLER. Amitāyur-Dhyāna-Sūtra, translated by J. TAKAKUSU. 12s. 6d. \$3.25.

CATALOGUES FREE ON APPLICATION.

LONDON:

HENRY FROWDE, Oxford University Press Warehouse.

NEW YORK:

MACMILLAN & CO., - - - 66 Fifth Avenue,





*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

---

## A VALUABLE REFERENCE BOOK.

JUST PUBLISHED.

### **HYPNOTISM: How It is Done, Its Uses and Dangers.**

By **JAMES R. COCKE, M. D.**

*Cloth, extra, \$1.50.*

The author states in his introduction, that in writing this book it is his purpose to place the phenomena of hypnotism in a clear and intelligible light. He describes the different methods used in producing the hypnotic state. He also describes the methods of dispelling the hypnotic condition. The description of the methods of producing the hypnotic state is clear even to simplicity. He deals with the effect of the hypnotic state upon the senses. He shows that the conscious perception of images brought to the mind by the senses may be either increased, diminished or wholly abolished. Dr. Cocke describes the effect of hypnotism upon the sense of touch and upon pain, but reserves the subject of anæsthesia generally for discussion in the chapter on "Hypnotism in Surgery."

The fact is demonstrated that many persons, if not all, have the power of hypnotizing themselves, even to such an extent that they believe their personality to be for the time changed. He states that if this condition of auto-hypnotism is induced upon an exceedingly sensitive and nervous person, he may, while in this state, be the subject of many delusions and hallucinations. The "Dangers of Hypnotism" are set forth in a succinct manner. Several chapters are devoted to the use of hypnotism as a remedial agent. Among the most interesting chapters will be found the one on "Hypnotism in Surgery." It is full and complete, and many cases are reported, both from the author's own experience and from the experiences of other medical writers.

A good idea of the thorough scope of the work is given in the Table of Contents. The work is divided into chapters dealing with facts and leading up to theories and conclusions.

I. A Definition of Hypnotism and Allied Terms, together with Considerations of what the Hypnotic Condition Is. II. The Effect of Hypnotism upon the Special Senses. III. Auto-Hypnotism. IV. How to Detect the Attempted Simulation of the Hypnotic State. V. The Dangers attending the Practice of Hypnotism. VI. Hypnotism in the Lower Animals. VII. The Curative Power of Hypnotism. VIII. Method of Applying Hypnotism in Disease. IX. Hypnotism in Surgery. X. The Value of Hypnotism and Therapeutic Suggestion in the Cure of Dipsomania (Chronic Drunkenness), Morphio-Mania (Morphine Habit), and other Drug Habits. XI. Hypnotism as a cure for Illusions and Hallucinations. XII. The Application of Hypnotism to Functional and Organic Disease in General. XIII. Neurasthenia. XIV. Transference of Sensation by Means of a Magnet. XV. The Relation of Sleep and its Accompanying Dreams to the Phenomena of Hypnotism, and the Hallucinations in that State. XVI. Telepathy, Thought-Transference, Mind-Reading.

I. Introduction and General Considerations in Part II. II. Theories of Hypnotism. III. A Condensed Sketch of the History of Hypnotism. IV. Bibliography.

---

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## **NEW AND VALUABLE WORKS ON MONEY.**

### **A SCIENTIFIC SOLUTION OF THE MONEY QUESTION.**

By **ARTHUR KITSON.**

*Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

In the discussion of this most perplexing, most momentous Social and Economic question of this age—the Money Problem—the author has shown the absolute necessity of dealing with it wholly from a scientific standpoint. Heretofore, as a general rule, the question has been left in the hands of men who are, or have been, mere apologists for certain private interests—advocates of certain schemes, whose labors have consisted in endeavoring to create a science that shall harmonize with preorganized institutions.

In order to give it the consideration it demands, the writer has found it necessary to take an entire survey of the field occupied by the science of Economics, of which the subject of Exchanges is a branch. Dealing as it does solely with quantities, the Science of Exchange becomes, properly speaking, a Mathematical Science. All its terms—such as Value, Supply, Demand, Purchasing Power, etc.—are quantitative terms, hence all Exchange Problems—including the Money Problem—can be treated by the Science of Numbers. As a result of ignoring Morality, Economists find themselves confronted with problems they are quite unable to answer, and their so-called Science ends in paradoxes, antinomies, ambiguities and vagaries.

### **OUR MONEY WARS.**

*The Example and Warning of American Finance.*

By **SAMUEL LEAVITT.**

*Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

This is without doubt one of the most important recent publications on the fundamental social question—Finance. Mr. Leavitt's "Our Money Wars" is the most complete and comprehensive history of American finance ever published. The book is the result of a lifetime of study and work and will be indispensable to all who wish to keep posted on the money question.

Henry Carey Baird of Philadelphia, a prominent citizen and still running the publishing house run by his grandfather and uncle since 1785, is generally considered by reformers the most accurate and reliable writer upon money reform in the world. He has read the work and says: "It is a source of amazement to me how you have gotten together so much information. It is just the book we have been wanting for twenty-five years, and should have an immense sale." Order the book now of your dealer.

### **A BETTER FINANCIAL SYSTEM: or Government Banks.**

By **GEORGE C. WARD.**

*Price, paper, 25 cents.*

An important work just issued.

George C. Ward in his timely and valuable work, "A Better Financial System," attempts to demonstrate that every principle of the Populist finance platform may be subserved and every demand realized by the nationalization of the banking system of the United States, and the assumption, as a public function, of the banking business of the nation. A system of governmental banks which would be operated by the people, for the people, at cost, would materially aid the progress of civilization, by destroying and forever abolishing usury. It would rob money of its present terrible slave-making power.

### **FREE SILVER.**

By **SIDNEY DELL** (of the U. S. Supreme Court Bar).

*Price, 25 cents.*

This book is written by an American lawyer from the standpoint of the old bi-metallist party, which held possession of American finance from the Revolution until the Civil War. The central idea of the author's argument is that the panic of 1893 was caused by the rise in gold, through money contraction by foreign nations, and that the resulting confiscation in *falling values* can be defeated by the United States Congress by restoring free coinage and full legal tender power to the old silver dollar.

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

---

## PSYCHICS AND METAPHYSICS.

### THE ASCENT OF LIFE.

By **STINSON JARVIS.**

*Cloth, \$1.50.*

This is one of the most important contributions to psychical science which have appeared in this decade of scientific activity. The author is an evolutionist in natural philosophy, and he applies the method and the principles of evolutionary science to an investigation of such psychic phenomena as are well authenticated and within common knowledge. This work fills the gap which exists in the evolution philosophy as it has been developed by the greatest scientists and philosophic thinkers of our day — a gap which Professor Huxley most emphatically points out in his famous Romanes lectures on “Evolution and Ethics,” which have rent the scientific world from end to end. Mr. Jarvis takes up the evolution philosophy where its investigations have stopped in physical and moral science, and carries on its work both by analysis and synthesis in the field of psychical inquiry. The work has been enthusiastically received by the press and has been endorsed by the Theosophical Society of New York.

### AN APOCALYPSE OF LIFE.

By **W. T. CHENEY.**

*Cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

This is a metaphysical work of great importance, imbued with the new hope and the new thinking. The author reflects herein such light as he has focused in his mind after many years of patient study of the sciences and philosophies, religions and theologies, of the civilized nations of the world. He has attempted to illuminate in a rational but optimistic manner the purpose, dignity and destiny of that wondrous entity called *human life* and the processes of its unfoldment and elevation toward that ideal which our reason teaches us was designed for it. The great mysteries and complexities of life and love and destiny are here dealt with in a masterly way. The one great basal fact of the author's philosophy, the foundation of his book, is the truth of a higher spiritualism, which necessarily classes man as a spiritual entity with spiritual powers and attributes of being, and a spiritual destiny resulting therefrom that links him with the Infinite Spirit.

### ZENIA THE VESTAL.

By **MARGARET B. PEEKE.**

*Price in handsome cloth, \$2.00.*

In this work the author tells us upon the title page she has been assisted by the Brotherhood and by order of the Hierophant Egyptian and Alcantra of Granada, under direction of the Algerine. It is certainly a very remarkable volume of ancient and modern lore, skilfully blended in the alembic of a narrative of life that passes from the actual into the supernormal and magical. The vehicle of these strange teachings is a story of contemporary social life, in which the characters are mostly American. The main purpose of the book, however, is the embodying in proper relation of the occult laws of spiritual development.

---

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



# • BOOKS •

*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

---

## A NEW BOOK ON SOCIAL SCIENCE.

JUST PUBLISHED.

### HOW SHALL THE RICH ESCAPE?

By Dr. FRANK S. BILLINGS.

*Price, cloth, \$2 00.*

It is not too much to say that this book from the pen of Dr. Billings, the Founder of the Patho-biological Laboratory of Nebraska, will create a sensation among all those who teach or subscribe to the ethical doctrine of love your neighbor as yourself. It is a review of social economics and philosophic systems of thought, religious, metaphysical, ethical and utilitarian. The principle of the book is self preservation. The author is a man of scientific training, and of very pronounced materialistic views. His especial field in natural science is apparently biology, and it is upon deductions made from the phenomena of this science that his view of the different factors entering into religion and sociology is based. It is absolutely opposed to Altruistic Theories. It treats the vital questions of the day in a forcible and almost unheard-of manner. Natural law is its fundamental principle.

"Dr. Billings has written a very strong book." — B. F. UNDERWOOD.

"Dr. Billings is an audacious writer. The most remarkable book I ever read." —  
HON. JAMES WHITEHEAD, *Nebraska.*

---

JUST PUBLISHED.

### WOMEN IN THE BUSINESS WORLD: or, Hints and Helps to Prosperity.

By ONE OF THEM.

*Cloth, \$1.75; paper, 50 cents.*

This book needs to offer no apology for its existence. It is needed, and it will be of inestimable service to thousands of women. Its object is to help women to help themselves. The word Business is used in this book in its most unlimited sense. It represents all the occupations in which people engage -- agriculture, mechanics, the arts and sciences, and the work within the home. The Business World includes all the industrial affairs of life.

On self-dependence, this writer teaches, hangs woman's sole salvation from poverty and other evils in the Business World. Only by means of self-dependence can she achieve anything. It is disuse of their faculties that has made women a weak and dependent class. But woman is now learning the lesson of self-dependence, and all her faculties being brought into the struggle, she is showing what she can do in every occupation and walk of life -- in commercial life, medicine, authorship, art, journalism, teaching, etc., etc.

---

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*

# BOOKS

*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## THE BELLES LETTRES.

Essays by "A Coming Master."

### MEDITATIONS IN MOTLEY.

By WALTER BLACKBURN HARTE.

*Cloth, \$1.25.*

A volume of delightful humor. Like Artemus Ward's lecture on Snakes in Ireland these gossiping papers touch almost every subject under Heaven that is in the current of contemporary thought. "Meditations in Motley" is a book for the fireside or outdoors; for gray days or sunshine; for solitude or society. It will take its place among those books handy at one's elbow which one instinctively reaches for as one sinks into a cosy armchair in a snug corner by the fire.

The work of an Independent with a bone to pick with all sorts of conventions. One finds Mr. Harte's essays stimulating, suggestive and sometimes brilliant. In all there are wise and witty things said. Mr. Harte's essays are the sort of writing that arouse interest and opposition, but there is no gainsaying the literary touch and the intellectual vivacity of the author. — *The Hartford Courant.*

Extremely bright and original. They are very clever papers, full of observation and saliency in expression. There is an immense amount of naked truth — naked and not ashamed — which confronts us bravely in them. Mr. Harte writes wonderfully well, both forcibly and elegantly, is alive, spirited and sympathy creating. The freshness of the book is extremely grateful. — MARY ABBOTT in *Chicago Herald.*

We regard this writer as one of the ablest and most independent of the younger American essayists — *in some respects the best.* His subtlety and his richness of thought and allusion give hints of a coming master. — *Standard, Syracuse, N. Y.*

The foremost of the younger essayists of the day. His style is sane and unaffected. He thinks broadly and analyzes deeply, and delicious humor creeps out here and there. Mr. Harte surely is a considerable force in contemporary literature and the meditations will be found a satisfactory and permanent companion at any time. — *The Boston Times.*

Stirring Social Studies in Fiction.

### ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS A DAY, and Other Stories.

By ADELINE KNAPP.

*Cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

Of this book the famous poet Joaquin Miller has said, "Great stuff! Capital stuff! Full of good points, well put."

This volume of short, racy stories promises to be one of the most pronounced literary hits which the Arena Publishing Company has made in this line of literature. It will surely make a place for itself and its author in the front rank of contemporary literature.

The writer is a rising young newspaper woman of the Pacific Coast, whose work has been along somewhat different lines from those that usually fall to the lot of the woman in journalism. It has brought her face to face with many of the socio-economic problems that even newspaper workers do not often have to deal with, and these sketches have been the outcome of deep and earnest study of these problems.

Miss Knapp has received for her work a mental equipment which comes in the way of few women, or men either. Her versatile mind has enabled her to fill all departments in newspaper work. — *New York Recorder.*

It seems as though her range knew no limit. Whether discussing economic questions, or writing exquisite sketches, or medical articles that interest *savans* and laymen, or describing the heroes she so much loves, she seems equally at home. — *Southern Magazine.*

One of the most gifted of the young women writers of the West. — *St. Louis Globe-Democrat.*

Miss Knapp is one of the brightest newspaper women on the Coast. A brilliant and conscientious worker whose success is well deserved. — *Boston Journal.*

*sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*





*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## THE BELLES LETTRES.

### SONG BLOSSOMS

By **JULIA ANNA WOLCOTT.**

*Cloth, \$1.25.*

The name of the author of these delicate and dainty poems, Miss Julia Anna Wolcott, is well and favorably known to all readers of the best in current literature. The greater number of the poems have appeared within the past five or six years, and many of them have met with the cordial approval of the best critics in contemporary letters, and have become firmly established in the popular imagination, by having passed into the current quotations of the newspapers. Perhaps no contributor of verse to current literature has achieved such a wide popularity among the readers who enjoy the best and most refined in literature as has Miss Wolcott. To these poems, that have already won a deserved popularity, have been added many new ones of equal charm, that are now printed for the first time, and will possess a fresh allurements and attraction for those who will be glad to get many old favorites in this new and permanent form.

### FOR TO-DAY.

By **FRANCES MARGARET MILNE.**

*Cloth, \$1.00.*

A volume of poems that bring a blaze to the heart, and a quicker beat to the pulse. Mrs. Milne writes with strenuous purpose, as well as with the finest art. The poems thrill with an enthusiasm which marks a soul aflame with redemptive thought.

The noble aim of all Mrs. Milne's writings, combined with their great literary merit, should commend them all. What Whittier was to the anti-slavery movement, Mrs. Milne is to this greater movement of to-day, which is based on "equal rights for all, special privilege to none" — which means freedom not alone for the black man, nor the white man, but for all mankind. — *The Star, San Francisco.*

### SONGS.

By **NEITH BOYCE.**

*In white and gold, \$1.25.*

A beautiful gift book. Illustrated with original drawings by Ethelwyn Wells Conrey.

Show a warm and colorful fancy, power of fresh, vivid, and direct expression, and a sincerity which will not be trammelled by convention. — *Boston Times.*

Has the power of appealing strongly to the emotions. — *The Californian Magazine.*

There are but ten of them, but they are so fresh and bright that one wishes there were a hundred. — *Detroit Free Press.*

### THE FINISHED CREATION, and Other Poems.

By **BENJAMIN HATHAWAY**, author of "The League of the Iroquois," "Art Life," and other poems. Handsomely bound in white parchment vellum, stamped in silver. *Price, postpaid, \$1.25.*

Mr. Hathaway is a master of harmonies, an inventor of rhythms which more famous writers might be glad to borrow. His songs are real songs, always forceful and noble, yet always and intensely lyrical. — *Boston Times.*

### THE LEAGUE OF THE IROQUOIS.

By **BENJAMIN HATHAWAY.** *Price: postpaid, cloth, \$1.00; Red Line edition, \$1.50.*

It is instinct with good taste and poetic feeling, affluent of picturesque description and graceful portraiture, and its versification is fairly melodious. — *Harper's Magazine.*

Has the charm of Longfellow's "Hiawatha." — *Albany Evening Journal.*

Evinces fine qualities of imagination, and is distinguished by remarkable grace and fluency. — *Boston Gazette.*

The publication of this poem alone may well serve as a mile post in marking the pathway of American literature. The work is a marvel of legendary lore, and will be appreciated by every earnest reader. — *Boston Times.*

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## **SOME GOOD SHORT NOVELS**

**To be read at the Fireside in one Sitting.**

### **THE MYSTERY OF EVELIN DELORME.**

**By ALBERT BIGELOW PAINE.**

*Cloth, 75 cents.*

This is a novelette of remarkable strength and brilliance, and it will be read at a sitting, because, once taken up, it cannot be put down until the end is reached. It deals with an entirely unfamiliar situation in hypnotism, which it would be unfair to the author's skill to outline here.

The author's name will be new to many readers, but in our day the public finds no fault with new names in literature so long as they stand for novelty and power and skill. The story will make a hit.

"Evelin Delorme" is the latest edition to the popular "Side Pocket Series."

### **ZAPHRA; a Story of To-day.**

**By JOHN P. STOCKTON, Jr.**

*Cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

A striking story of social conditions in the east side in New York by a new author, John P. Stockton, Jr., who however comes from good literary stock, for his uncle is the famous Frank R. Stockton. The story also touches incidentally on the development and progress of psychic science; and the author says on this interesting subject, "Nevertheless with Professor William James, I find myself also suspecting that thought transference, veridical hallucinations, crystal visions, yea even the ghosts, are sorts of things which with the years will tend to establish themselves."

### **ONE DAY.**

**By ELBERT HUBBARD.**

*Cloth, 75 cents.*

In this little story the reader gets a vivid glimpse of the narrowing penury of dogma without real spiritual life or breadth of rich human love and charity. It is a little book that is soon read, but its impression upon the mind is strong and lasting. The author draws with a very skilful hand some pictures of life as it is to be found upon our western prairies. It is a book that will make thoughtful readers broader and more charitable.

### **Dr. JOHN SAWYER.**

**By Mrs. ELVINA J. BARTLETT.**

*Cloth, 75 cents.*

A well written romance dealing with psychic forces, founded upon facts. Is a romance founded upon facts. The story is simple enough in outline, but the interest centres largely in the way the lives of the characters are interwoven, as inexplicably and naturally as in real life.

### **THE OPEN SECRET.**

**By A PRIEST.**

*Cloth, 75 cents.*

This is a truly wonderful little book, packed full of bold and suggestive thought. "The Open Secret" deals with all those hopes and fears and doubts of the race which have perplexed philosophy and theology from the dawn of human history; but the reader is carried swiftly along on the surface of the writer's compact, concealed logic and does not realize that the beautiful certitudes are the stuff of the most subtle metaphysical conjury. It is rare to find so much really fine thought expressed so skilfully in the form of a fiction, and with such a delicate, artistic reticence that the most idle reader is not repelled by its presence.

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Temple Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## SOME NEW NOVELS.

### ENEMIES IN THE REAR.

**By FRANCIS T. HOOVER.**

*Cloth, \$1.50; paper, 50 cents.*

This story breaks new ground in fiction. It is a story of life in Southeastern Pennsylvania among the Germans in the time of the Civil War. The great battles on land and sea have indeed been described again and again, but these are by no means all its history. In the North there was much opposition to the war, especially during 1862 and 1863, not merely on the part of individuals, but on that of highly organized and widely spread bodies of men.

Prominent among the forces with which the national government had to contend in the rear while the Southern armies were in its front were the Knights of the Golden Circle, otherwise known as the Sons of Liberty. Connected with this organization were some men of commanding influence. It was in full sympathy with the South and aided it in every possible way. It resisted the drafts for soldiers in the North, discouraged volunteering, encouraged desertions from the army, and assisted deserters to hide from the authorities. It returned negroes to slavery. It sought to sow dissensions among Union officers, helped rebel prisoners to escape and gave information to the enemy concerning the movements of the Federal armies. It aided rebel emissaries in their efforts to destroy Northern cities and villages by fire, and contemplated the abduction of President Lincoln.

In "Enemies in the Rear" an effort is made to preserve the history of some of the doings of one of the most dangerous organizations that existed during the war of secession. In the form of a popular story Mr. Hoover presents to the reader an inside view of the workings of the Knights of the Golden Circle. He takes us unto the lodge room at the midnight hour and introduces us to the great Pennsylvania German apostle of Golden Circleism. We likewise form the acquaintance of a famous detective, and are permitted to go with him as he skilfully worms himself into the secrets of the Knights. His adventures and those of his assistants, Sharp Billy and Tom Hartnagel and his sister, are dramatically portrayed.

### BEHOLDING AS IN A GLASS.

**By VIRGINIA D. YOUNG.**

*Cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

This is a clever and vivid story of life in the middle west, which will attract as much attention as Miss Wilkins' New England stories or Will Allen Dromgoole's Tennessee stories, on account of the skill and fidelity with which the provincialisms and peculiar characteristics and customs of the people of that great section are depicted.

The lover of good fiction will admire the heroine for her unselfish devotion to her friends, and her willingness to be of service to all. She is a noble type of character, and one that is not so rare in real life as is sometimes supposed, though strangely enough she is becoming rare in current fiction. The author pictures her unhappy surroundings with a subtle touch that shows perfect familiarity with all phases of life, and a fine discrimination and tact in the discernment of local peculiarities and shades of sentiment. Our sympathies are aroused by her miserable surroundings, and our admiration by her courage and self-sacrifice.

### BROTHER OF THE THIRD DEGREE.

**By W. L. GARVER.**

*Cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

This is a story of occultism, and its events are supposed to have transpired in the twentieth century. The Brothers who give their name to the story constitute a strange society, in which those admitted pass through various spiritual experiences and temptations. The object of the society is the development of the highest morality and the capacity to live in the pure spirit, and its members attain the most wonderful occult and clairvoyant faculties and powers. These powers are developed by close study, denial of the passions, inward contemplation and the severest trials and discipline. Through the agency of this fantastical brotherhood, great social changes are effected, a philosophical religion is established, and liberty, truth, justice and fraternity reign supreme.

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

---

## **YOUNG WEST: A Sequel to "Looking Backward."**

**By Rabbi SOLOMON SCHINDLER.**

*Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

This is not only a supplement to, but the complement of, the famous Nationalistic document, "Looking Backward." The author of "Looking Backward," probably on account of the limited compass of his book, has not given in detail a description of all the social conditions of the time mentioned by him. "Young West" (the son of Julian West) answers indirectly all these questions. Describing his own eventful career from his first awakening to consciousness to his age of threescore and ten, the hero of the book will picture life in its various phases, as it will be acted out by a citizen of the United States of America in the twenty-second century.

## **AI: A Social Vision.**

**By Rev. CHARLES S. DANIEL.**

*Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

One of the most ingenious, unique and thought-provoking stories of the present generation. It is a social vision, and in many respects the most noteworthy of the many remarkable dreams called forth by the general unrest and intellectual activity of the present generation. But unlike most social dreams appearing since the famous "Utopia" of Sir Thomas More, this book has distinctive qualities which will commend it to many readers who take, as yet, little interest in the vital social problems of the hour.

## **CHRIST THE SOCIALIST.**

**By the author of "Philip Meyer's Scheme."**

*Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

A clever story of everyday American life and industrial conditions. It contains two wonderful character sketches that must have been drawn from life. It is the story of the friendship of two earnest, high minded men of strongly-contrasted character, and what came of it. The motive of the story is an original idea, in fiction at least, for the outcome of these sparkling, witty dialogues is the conversion of the minister—and possibly also of many readers—from the conventionalism of the church to the real social gospel of Christ—the founder of Socialism—who preached not mere dogmas of theological opinion, not a mere creed, but a living, vital philosophy of everyday human conduct. The old Scotch schoolmaster converts the Presbyterian divine from grim abstractions to a tender sympathy with the poor and oppressed around and about him, and the preacher of Christianity finally learns to live and speak *as a Christian*—and is requested to resign his pastorate in consequence. Incidentally the labor troubles of a New England mill village afford a realistic background for the development of several interesting types of character, and the author tells a rattling good story, full of wit, humor and pathos.

## **JUST PLAIN FOLKS.**

**By E. STILLMAN DOUBLEDAY.**

*Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

E. Stillman Doubleday shows in this book what a man with his eyes and heart open to the lives about him can find in what some people call our humdrum civilized existence. The novel, "Just Plain Folks," is a faithful presentation of the life of a typical New England farmer; one who was forced to abandon the farm fields for the marts of trade and the factory; forced to migrate from home and the country to the great cities in search of his lost opportunities. The outcome of the story demonstrates the way by which his rightful opportunities have lapsed into the control of other men. The way to regain and to retain equality of personal rights which the story suggests is simple; and because it is so undeniably just, it disarms all criticism but that of ignorance or of selfish dogmatism. The situations, the hero, the many characters, are all modified reproductions from real life, and are for the most part drawn from the author's own observation and personal knowledge—strong characters, such as by the force of their great number in society will determine the advance or the overthrow of our civilization and our institutions.

---

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*

# • BOOKS •

*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## FOUR BOOKS OF SOCIAL THOUGHT.

By B. O. FLOWER.

JUST PUBLISHED.

*Cloth extra, \$1.00.*

### GERALD MASSEY: Poet, Prophet and Mystic.

Mr. Flower in this new book, presents a study of the life and writings of Gerald Massey, an English Poet of the People, who has done great service for the cause of Social Democracy in England, and whose brave words for Freedom and Justice and the Dignity of Labor and Manhood and Womanhood are especially pertinent in the conflict for Social and Political and Legal Justice for all classes and both sexes now beginning in America. Mr. Flower's object is to introduce American readers to a lofty and inspiring spirit in contemporary poetry, who will hearten the struggle of the poor and oppressed for equitable conditions with the highest spiritual aims and hopes. Liberal quotation brings the reader into close touch with the Poet's spirit and purposes, and Mr. Flower's commentary, critical and historical, is interesting and suggestive. The parallels he draws are instructive, and should touch all interested in the new social thought. The book is beautifully gotten up and illustrated by Laura Lee. It also contains a fine portrait of Massey.

*Price, cloth, \$1.00; paper, 25 cents.*

### THE NEW TIME: A Plea for the Union of the Moral Forces for Practical Progress.

This new work which has called forth a volume of criticism, both adverse and favorable, is published to meet the wants of those who wish to apply themselves to, and interest their friends in, the various branches of educational and social effort comprised in the platform of the National Union for Practical Progress; but from its wide sweep of all the factors in the social problem, it will also serve to introduce many readers to a general consideration of the new Renaissance of social thought, and to realize the strength and character of the evolutionary movement for a nobler social science, that is marshalling all the best minds of the day in its ranks. The book deals with practical methods of reform and is not merely a bundle of speculations.

*Price, cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

### CIVILIZATION'S INFERNO: Studies in the Social Cellar.

The economist of the future who expects to receive any serious attention and respect from thinking men, unlike Adam Smith and Ricardo and Malthus, who lived in a credulous and uncritical time, must deal with FACTS; and Mr. Flower's "Civilization's Inferno" contains a bundle of those facts with which the economists and statesmen of our time must deal. This book contains: I. Introductory chapter. II. Society's Exiles. III. Two Hours in the Social Cellar. IV. The Democracy of Darkness. V. Why the Ishmaelites Multiply. VI. The Froth and the Dregs. VII. A Pilgrimage and a Vision. VIII. Some Facts and a Question. IX. What of the Morrow?

*Price, cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

### LESSONS LEARNED FROM OTHER LIVES.

It is especially necessary in this day of moral and spiritual and social conflict that the dignity and worth and possibilities of human character should be insisted upon when Plutus and the gold god dominate our society and politics, and even our religion. There is no sort of reading which is more calculated to shake young men and women out of a mental and moral lethargy of desperation than biographies of the noblest and bravest men and women who have stood for humanity and principle, truth and justice. There are fourteen biographies in this volume, dealing with the lives of Seneca and Epictetus, the great Roman philosophers; Joan of Arc, the warrior maid; Henry Clay, the statesman; Edwin Booth and Joseph Jefferson, the actors; John Howard Payne, William Cullen Bryant, Edgar Allan Poe, Alice and Phœbe Cary, and John G. Whittier, the poets; Alfred Russel Wallace, the scientist; Victor Hugo, the many-sided man of genius.

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## HELEN H. GARDENER'S WORKS.

### AN UNOFFICIAL PATRIOT.

*Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

This is a story of the war, but it is the first story of its kind that has appeared in our literature. It deals with a phase of the war entirely new in fiction. It is an entirely new departure from all Helen Gardener's previous stories, and is, perhaps, the strongest piece of work she has produced.

Is in many ways the most remarkable historical novel of the Civil War which has yet appeared. The story is filled with strong dramatic incidents, and there is a bit of charming romance. Mrs. Gardener has produced a book that will take very high rank in the historical literature of the War of the Rebellion; for although presented in the form of a novel, its historical value cannot be questioned. — *Boston Home Journal*.

"An Unofficial Patriot," by Helen H. Gardener, like all the other works of the same author, is a tale that displays thought that is not hackneyed, and breadth of judgment not common to either sex. — *Commercial Advertiser, New York*.

### IS THIS YOUR SON, MY LORD?

*Price, cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

It is the opinion of some of the best contemporary critics that this is the most powerful American novel written in this generation. It is the fearless protest of a high spiritual nature against the hideous brutality of an unchristian social code. It is a terrible *exposé* of conventional immorality and hypocrisy.

No braver voice was ever raised, no clearer note was ever struck, for woman's honor and childhood's purity. — *The Vanguard, Chicago*.

A novel of power, and one which will stir up a breeze unless certain hypocritical classes are wiser than they usually are. — *Chicago Times*.

It comes very close to any college man who has kept his eyes open. When we finish we may say, not "Is This Your Son, My Lord?" but "Is it I?" — *Nassau Literary Magazine, Princeton*.

### PRAY YOU, SIR, WHOSE DAUGHTER?

*Price, cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

"The civil and canon law," writes Mrs. Elizabeth Cady Stanton, "state and church alike make the mothers of the race a helpless and ostracized class, pariahs of a corrupt civilization. In Helen Gardener's stories I see the promise of such a work of fiction as shall paint the awful facts of woman's position in living colors."

A twentieth-century novel, powerful, intensely interesting, bold, fearless, earnest and inspiring. — *Times-Gazette, Redwood City, Cal.*

Every legislator in every state should read it and ask his conscience whether, if such iniquitous laws are on the statute book of his state, he should not hasten to move their repeal. — *Public Opinion*.

### A THOUGHTLESS YES.

*Price, cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

A collection of short stories, in which field this brilliant writer is especially suggestive and successful. These stories have gone through several editions and they will find new and delighted readers and admirers.

Marked by a quaint philosophy, shrewd, sometimes pungent reflection, each one possesses enough purely literary merit to make its way and hold its own. — *New York Tribune*.

---

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## **SOME INTERESTING RELIGIOUS WORKS.**

### **THE RELIGION OF THE FUTURE.**

**By S. WEIL.**

*Cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

This is a work of great value, written by one of the keenest, most powerful and most truly religious minds of the day. It is particularly a work which should be put into the hands of those who have freed themselves from the dogmas of orthodoxy and from the dogmas of materialistic science, for it will strengthen the conviction of the free mind that mind and senses are not the whole of life.

The chapters reveal a new method in psychic and spiritual research. They show vivid glimpses of a stupendous moral cosmos that will supersede moral confusion; that only verifiable tenets can survive, and the childhood period of faith and fancy will be superseded by knowledge and facts.

### **EVOLUTION AND THE IMMANENT GOD.**

**By Rev. WILLIAM F. ENGLISH.**

*Cloth, \$1.00; paper, 50 cents.*

Evolution is in the air. As the essential nature and real meaning and purport of this philosophy are being more clearly perceived it is being accorded a larger measure of toleration in the religious world. There are many among our foremost theological thinkers who readily accept evolution in one form or another, and some who advocate it with enthusiasm, in the belief that it affords important aid to the apprehension and elucidation of Christian truth.

One of the most fundamental conceptions of modern theology is expressed in the following words: "It is the characteristic thought of God at present that He is immanent in all created things; immanent yet personal; the life of all lives, the power of all powers, the soul of the universe." The doctrine of evolution is found to be in complete harmony with such a conception.

### **THE GOSPEL IN PAGAN RELIGIONS.**

**By An ORTHODOX CHRISTIAN.**

*Cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.*

Some thoughts suggested to an Orthodox Christian by the World's Parliament of Religions.

The author of this book believes that the Gospel is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth, but he does not believe that its saving power is limited by the articles of Christian creeds, or within the boundaries of church organizations. The Godspell — God's word of mercy — is contained, as a saving element, in the pagan creeds of all the great ethnic religions, and thus "The grace of God that bringeth salvation hath appeared unto all men."

It contains much fresh and true thought excellently well expressed. — *Advance, Chicago.*

It will serve as a mental and spiritual stimulus to its readers, and we commend it as a strong antidote to sectarian narrowness, bigotry and intolerance. — *The Lutheran Observer.*

The work is a scholarly one presenting its arguments in a pleasant, convincing way, evidencing at every point the deepest research. — *The Boston Times.*

### **CHRIST THE ORATOR: or Never Man Spake Like this Man.**

**By Rev. THOMAS ALEXANDER HYDE.**

*Cloth, \$1.25.*

This brilliant work, the only one of its kind which has been given to the world, is a monograph upon the third side of Christ's nature — the expressional. "Christ the Orator" has already awakened widespread interest, and received high endorsement from leading editors, preachers, scholars and thoughtful laymen everywhere, representing every phase of Christian thought.

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## New Announcements.

**Rabbi  
Solomon  
Schindler**

A Novel Narrative of  
Intensely Interesting  
Details. It will be a  
valuable Social  
Document

### A SEQUEL TO "LOOKING BACKWARD."

*Price, paper, 50 cents; cloth, \$1.25.*

## Young West.

JUST PUBLISHED.

This is not only a supplement to, but the complement of, the famous nationalistic document, "Looking Backward." The author of "Looking Backward," probably on account of the limited compass of his book, has not given in detail a description of all the social conditions of the time mentioned by him. "Young West," (the son of Julian West) answers indirectly all these questions. Describing his own eventful career from his first awakening to consciousness to his age of threescore and ten, the hero of the book will picture life in its various phases, as it will be acted out by a citizen of the United States of America in the twenty-second century. The reminiscences of "Young West" touches upon every phase of this community life in detail, and shows the possibility of that future state of society of which so many are dreaming and writing and talking at present.

**Dr. John T.  
Codman**

The Brook Farm  
Experiment  
constitutes a chapter  
in American social  
and literary history.  
Its history is the  
history of the  
greatest Era of  
American Ideas

JUST PUBLISHED.

*Price, cloth, \$2.00.*

## The History of Brook Farm.

The wonderful little group of great men whose names are associated in American biography and literary history with the Brook Farm experiment will always make it a fascinating subject for American readers. The real inner, intimate history of the Brook Farm should find a place in the library of every student of American literature and social movements. This is precisely the niche in our literary annals that Dr. Codman's book admirably fills. There has been a good deal of scrappy, reminiscential writing on the Brook Farm, but no adequate and complete history. Dr. Codman's book will be the standard history of the subject. He gives the complete historical record, with the fascinating touches of an intimate knowledge of all the men and methods and aims and daily incidents of the community. Dr. Codman is one of the few living men who were on the Farm, so that his book has an immense value for the new generation about him, and will be the standard authority for the social thinkers and writers of the coming generation.



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## Three Dramas for the Library Corner.

Walter  
Warren

*Price, cloth, \$1.25.*

### Columbus the Discoverer. A Drama.

Printed on beautiful paper, wide margins, and richly bound.

Walter Warren has struck boldly into the most ambitious field of poetical literature, and he has produced three dramas which will obtain a wide reading among people who read and study the best in contemporary literature.

The story of Columbus has been the subject of many a novel during the past two or three years, and now it is brought again before the public in the form of a spirited drama in five acts. Mr. Walter Warren makes a psychologic rather than historic — though not unhistoric — study of the character of Columbus, as manifested and developed in connection with his experiences before, during and after his discovery of America. In dramatizing the story in this fashion, one gains a better insight into the personality of Columbus than is possible from the merely abstract narratives. Its many parts are practically arranged for amateur theatricals. — *The Boston Herald.*



*Price, cloth, \$1.25.*

### Cecil the Seer.

A handsome volume bound in peacock blue and silver, fine heavy paper, wide margins.

Amid the unfoldings of a most romantic plot, this drama presents an epitome of the conflict always raging between spiritual and worldly motives. The first act portrays the external aspects of society, politics and religion, as manifested in the words and deeds of certain of their typical votaries or advocates. The second act, through a situation brought about, very naturally, by a deed of violence, portrays, in the form of a trance, that which has appeared in the first act, turned, as it were, inside out, where we are witnessing the actions, not of the physical world or of men, but of the spiritual world and of souls. The last act shows the influence of the experience and knowledge gained in the second act upon the conceptions and conduct of the drama's principal character.

Incidentally the drama, like the poems of Dante and Milton, but through a method for which there is more scientific justification, may be said to present, concisely yet completely, a system of theology as logical as it is poetical, — a system founded upon scriptural Christianity as ordinarily accepted, yet — strange as this may appear — correlated in every particular, to the teachings of psychical research and theosophy upon the one hand, and of modern science and evolutionary philosophy upon the other.



*Price, cloth, \$1.25.*

### The Aztecs.

Printed uniform with "Columbus the Discoverer," and richly bound. A fine library volume.

Mr. Walter Warren is a man evidently warm in sympathy for his kind. His play is gorgeous with the local color of Mexico in the fifteenth century, and replete with fine thoughts, which, however, he acknowledges might not have come to Aztecs, although again, he alleges they might. Its plot is a noble conception. — *The Commonwealth, Boston.*

61



*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## The Science of Life.

**Sydney  
Barrington  
Elliot, M. D.**

*Price, in cloth, \$1.50.*

### Ædœology.

It is the Right of  
Every Child to  
be Well Born.  
Parents insist much  
upon the Gratitude  
due from Children.  
Few realize the  
Claims of Children  
before they come into  
the World

All persons  
Possessing any  
portion of Power  
Ought to be Strongly  
and Awfully  
Impressed with an  
Idea that they Act in  
Trust. — *Burke*

If Poverty is the  
Mother of Crimes,  
Want of Sense is the  
Father.  
— *La Bruyere*

Better to be Unborn  
than Untaught, for  
Ignorance is the  
Root of Misfortune.  
— *Plato*

A book for every man and woman. This is a valuable treatise on generative life and deals more particularly with one phase of the subject to which little or no attention has been given — the power and possibilities of prenatal influence. The scientific doctrine of heredity, which has in our day shown the full import of the old adage, "Like father, like son," has brought the subject of prenatal influence out of the obscurity in which it has so long been involved, in spite of the fact that it was known to the ancient Greeks and Spartans.

Prenatal influence is the influence, physical, mental or moral, of the parents upon the unborn child. It is generally agreed, now, that crime and stupidity are in a great measure as much organic disorders as consumption and catarrh. Like these they are more easily and effectively prevented than cured. The course of his parents during the twelvemonth before birth is of more importance to a child than all the deeds of all the other years of his progenitors put together. The bent of a child *can be* determined before birth. Changes may be wrought in it after birth, but they will be, as it were, amendments to a bill which might have been right in the first place. Parents have it in their power to create healthful children; to create mentally able children; to direct that ability practically as they wish; to produce moral children, amiable children, brave children and happy children, — all this through regard for most simple natural laws. But disregard for these laws brings, as we can see every day all round us, progeny burdened and burdening the world with ill-health, deformity, stupidity, sensuality, criminality, cowardice, bad temper and unhappiness.

The process by which man is born into this world concerns all. Dr. Elliot's work, "Ædœology, a Treatise on Generative Life, including Prenatal Influences and the Hygiene and Physiology of the Generative System," is the most scientific and authoritative work on prenatal culture ever issued.

The work cannot be offensive to the most sensitive, but it is thoroughly frank and practical.

*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

**George W.  
Holley**



**JUST PUBLISHED.**

*Price, paper, 50 cents ; cloth, \$1.25.*

## **Magnetism : Its Action and Potency ; with Suggestions for a New Cosmography.**

Since Sir Isaac Newton's announcement of the laws of gravitation, and their application to all matter, but more especially to the stars and planets, no subject has occupied the minds of investigators more than the question of the unity of all the forces of nature and the force which binds them together. As Faraday puts it: "I have long held an opinion amounting to conviction, that the various forms under which the forces of matter are made manifest have one common origin, are so directly related and mutually dependent that they are, as it were, convertible into one another and possess equivalents of power in their action."

The author of this book has made a study of this fascinating subject along the lines indicated by Faraday. He sets forth in detail the more important results of observation and experiment reached up to the present time, which furnish the material for the foundation and the superstructure of a new Cosmography, and then proceeds to determine the force that conserves the energy which under different terms all physicists are agreed constitutes the unity of the so-called forces of nature. The author's theory is that this pervasive force is magnetism, which except in its most striking manifestations in connection with electricity electro-magnetism, and animal magnetism, has not been fully investigated.

*Price, paper, 50 cents ; cloth, \$1.25.*

**John O.  
Yeiser**



## **Labor as Money.**

**JUST PUBLISHED.**

The theory of the writer of this book is to demonetize both gold and silver, and all existing money, and to create instead an exchange based upon human labor power as contradistinguished from the product of any particular labor. The exposition of this theory is so plain and simple that all readers, even those who have never made a study of economic questions, will become interested.

After clearly presenting the system, which is truly termed upon the title page, "A practical automatic currency of stationary value, contracting and relaxing according to the demand of the country for exchange," the author shows how the theory might be adopted without the least inconvenience to commerce, without waiting to inform the people of its workings, and without causing even the most ignorant man the least loss on account of such change; how it might be adopted within twenty-four hours and not cause a change of prices upon any commodities of exchange; how easily international relations could be conducted, and how much more beneficial than the present financial system it would be in time of war.

In fact he anticipates and answers every question likely to arise concerning its feasibility. It is presented as in his opinion the only panacea for strikes. It recognizes that the laborers are oppressed, and presents a just remedy which will give to the laborer the exact, full value of his labor—nothing more, nothing less.

A story is introduced to create an interest in a character who presents the author's theory in a speech made before Congress, upon a carefully prepared bill.

*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## Two Novels of Absorbing Interest.

**Elbert  
Hubbard**

*Price, paper, 50 cents ; cloth, \$1.25.*

### Forbes of Harvard.

In "Forbes of Harvard" Mr. Elbert Hubbard has produced a work which has won the unqualified praise of all lovers of clean, wholesome and elevated fiction. Below we give some critical opinions of this most delightful work.

Syracuse Herald

"'Forbes of Harvard.' A delicate and artistic piece of work, full of high-toned sentiment, good-natured and finely shaded character drawing."

Boston Times

"The book has a flavor of Concord, and the influence of Emerson, the Alcotts and Thoreau is felt throughout it. It is philosophical, moral, religious and social in its bearings, but no one of these matters is given undue precedence."

The New York  
Voice

"The author of 'Forbes of Harvard' has succeeded in doing what very few writers have done. He has told a bright, clever story by means of a series of letters. Instead of describing his characters he has let them reveal themselves in their epistles."

Detroit  
News-Tribune

"'Forbes of Harvard' is a series of letters written by different persons, one of whom is at Harvard, and tells in an interestingly vivid way a neat and good story, sure to be read with pleasure."

**Mrs.  
S. M. H.  
Gardner**

*Price, paper, 50 cents ; cloth, \$1.25.*

### The Fortunes of Margaret Weld.

A novel dealing with the relation of the sexes in a bold but delicate manner.

This book tells the story of a good woman who made a grave mistake. It touches our hearts like an old sorrow, and we go with Margaret on her tortuous earth journey; we partake of her ambitions and her joys; we know the bitterness of her portion, and we, too, catch glimpses and feel somewhat at the last of her serene peace. A lofty purpose runs throughout the pages. A just tribute is indirectly paid to the Quakers, the only sect who having power never persecuted; the people who made the only treaty that was never sworn to and yet never broken. The world will be better for this book.

The book is tender with the erring heroine, but it teaches a strong lesson for good in a way that will cause the heart to treasure it. — *Boston Home Journal*.

"The Fortunes of Margaret Weld," by Mrs. S. M. H. Gardner, is in many respects a striking book, and promises to provoke not only discussion but serious thought upon some social questions that a less delicate hand might have made uninviting. — *Lawrence Daily Journal*.

From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.

**E. Stillman  
Doubleday**

The new  
Spirit of Humanity  
in our Literature



Sincerity of Thought  
gives all Literature  
a Value, and  
reconciles all grades  
of Talent or Genius



## Just Plain Folks.

Price, paper, 50 cents ; cloth, \$1.25.

E. Stillman Doubleday shows in this book what a man with his eyes and heart open to the lives about him can find in what some people call our humdrum civilized existence. It is almost within the memory of this generation when a book dealing with just plain folks would be considered inartistic and commonplace. The stuff of comedies and tragedies was only supposed to be found in the lives of those raised above the pinch of poverty ; but our modern novelists, with better vision and more sympathy and humanity than their predecessors, have discovered the beauty and pathos in the lives of everyday, plain folk. Mr. Doubleday is one of those sincere, earnest writers of the new school, who win our hearts at once. But it must not be thought that because he is earnest he lacks humor. That is the old calumny of the merely amusing school of polite scribblers, who sell themselves to Dives and look only for the picturesque in human life.

Mr. Doubleday in an unpretentious way shows the everyday struggles of honest industry, surrounded in city and country by inequalities of opportunity and conventional injustice, masquerading as business tact and thrift and statutory law.

We have given our "plain folks" in literature a patronizing pity, but we have not come into heart-touch with them, known their trials, nor entered into their lives with neighborly love and sympathy. But the world depends entirely upon the common people, they who give their lives to *service*. It was such that Jesus called "greatest among you." The advance of civilization goes only with them, never without them. *Doctrinaires* and philosophers become ridiculous in their attempts to reform society unless they command the respect and win the sympathy of just plain folks.

The novel, "Just Plain Folks," is a faithful presentation of the life of a typical New England farmer ; one who was forced to abandon the farm fields for the marts of trade and the factory ; forced to migrate from home and the country to the great cities in search of his lost opportunities. The outcome of the story demonstrates the way by which his rightful opportunities have lapsed into the control of other men. The way to regain and to retain equality of personal rights which the story suggests is simple ; and because it is so undeniably just, it disarms all criticism but that of ignorance or of selfish dogmatism. The situations, the hero, the many characters, are all modified reproductions from real life, and are for the most part drawn from the author's own observation and personal knowledge — strong characters, such as by the force of their great number in society will determine the advance or the overthrow of our civilization and our institutions. Yet they are very common characters and not at all exaggerated.



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## Stories of the Common Life.

**Hamlin  
Garland**

*Price, paper, 50 cents.*

### Main Travelled Roads.

Six Mississippi Valley Stories. This volume has become one of the standard works of contemporary fiction, and takes its place on the shelves of those who read and appreciate the new masters of pity, with the books of J. M. Barrie and Thomas Hardy.

The sturdy spirit of true democracy runs through this book. — *Review of Reviews.*

Hamlin Garland is a son of the prairie. He delineates its life faithfully, powerfully. — *San Francisco Chronicle.*

"Main Travelled Roads" contains six American pastorals, not eighteenth-century, Dresden-china types, but real idyls of the farmer. Its characters are alive—individuals, not types, that the author's skill has made catholic in their appeal to the reader. — *Review of Reviews, London, Eng.*



*Price, paper, 50 cents; cloth, \$1.00.*

### Jason Edwards: An Average Man.

A powerful and realistic story of to-day. It vividly portrays the agencies in our society, both in the city and the country, which destroy the lives and happiness and hopes of those who do the world's work. It is a little tract which should be put in between the solemnly abstract works on mortgages and usury in those schools where our young men are taught to despise justice and compassion and to juggle with words in the name of law.

Garland is a fresh, vigorous and original writer. He has lately leaped into fame, and promises as much as any contemporary American writer. — *Toronto Globe.*



*Price, paper, 50 cents; cloth, \$1.00.*

### A Spoil of Office.

This is a novel which clears the air a bit, as all Hamlin Garland's work does. It undoubtedly shares the merit of "Main-Travelled Roads," of being the truest picture of western life that we are acquainted with in the whole range of American literature.

Entitled to rank among the greatest of American novels.— *Minneapolis Spectator.*

Radically unconventional, and doubtless the truest picture of western life that has appeared in American fiction.— *Commercial Advertiser, Detroit, Mich.*

A work which possesses a fascinating interest for the superficial reader, and many excellent suggestions for the thoughtful student of the economic questions of the day—a rare combination. — *Books and Notions, Toronto, Can.*

An Instructive  
Commentary for  
American Citizens  
upon the Science  
of what is called  
"Practical Politics"

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*

From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.

A New Series.

THE SIDE POCKET SERIES.

Good Literature in Small Compass.

The Arena's new Side Pocket Series of fiction and general literature is designed to meet old Dr. Johnson's desideratum of book-making, — "Those books are most useful which one can hold and read easily at the fireside." The books in this charming series are as diverse as the tastes of the multitude of readers. They are alike, however, in two essential things. They are all selected for a high degree of literary excellence, and they all slip easily into the side pocket of any garment. They even accommodate themselves without any trouble to a lady's pocket, or, failing its existence, her lightest and most delicate satchel. The additions to this series which will be made from time to time will maintain, and if possible do more than maintain, the literary charm and value of the pretty Bibelots already published. The greatest variety will be aimed at, and we believe this series will become one of the most popular in the book mart.

Price, postpaid, 75 cents.

A Guide to Palmistry.

This is one of the most interesting and charming books of the year. Scientific men like Galton and others have demonstrated that chiromancy is not a mere pleasing delusion of vanity. The experiments of several of the police departments in Europe, notably France, have proved that the character is expressed not only in the lines of the hands, but in almost every line and muscle of the body. It is too late in the day to put aside such studies as palmistry and phrenology as mere guess-work. Modern science, which began as a great sceptic, is verifying one by one the legitimacy of the sciences of mediæval times and of antiquity — that is, of course, the impulse of them, not *all* their deductions. The hand, like the head, most surely indicates character; and this little book gives the key to the great entanglement of our mental and moral characteristics. It is beautifully illustrated with charts and drawings, head and tail pieces. Bound in silver and white — a very delicate, tasteful book

Cloth. Price, postpaid, 75 cents.

The Open Secret.

This is a truly wonderful little book, packed full of bold and suggestive thought. The author is a man who is possessed of great learning. He is intimately versed in literature, philosophy, in modern and ancient science, in the forms of logic of all the schools,

A New Series of  
beautiful Bibelots  
for the  
Train and Hammock



Mrs. Eliza  
Easter  
Henderson

The Popular Science

A Key to Character

A Priest



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

This Priest is more a  
Poet than a Priest.  
Such beautiful spec-  
ulations awaken  
our most clamorous  
hopes

The Spirit World  
about this world of  
Sense

**Mrs. Elvina  
J. Bartlett**



**Elbert  
Hubbard**



10

in astronomy, in the theologies of every age and creed. But all this knowledge is welded with consummate literary skill into a narrative of crystalline simplicity. "The Open Secret" deals with all those hopes and fears and doubts of the race which have perplexed philosophy and theology from the dawn of human history; but the reader is carried swiftly along on the surface of the writer's compact, concealed logic and does not realize that the beautiful certitudes are the stuff of the most subtle metaphysical conjury. It is rare to find so much really fine thought expressed so skilfully in the form of a fiction, and with such a delicate, artistic reticence that the most idle reader is not repelled by its presence. It is rather suggested, an atmosphere but real enough, to the critical reader, than forced upon the general reader. "The Open Secret" should meet with a success that would make its author famous. It is one of those rare books that not only attract interest but expand one's spiritual conceptions of life. "A Priest" is evidently one of the most forceful minds of our time. Illustrated with head and tail pieces and handsomely bound in linen, stamped in photographic brown.

*Price, cloth, 75 cents.*

## **Dr. John Sawyer**

Is a romance founded upon facts. The story is simple enough in outline, but the interest centres largely in the way the lives of the characters are interwoven, as inexplicably and naturally as in real life. Dr. John Sawyer is a very lovable character. Scenes of New England life are intermingled with pictures of fashionable society in New York. It is a very satisfactory little book for the veranda or the train. It is beautifully printed and bound. The fifteen chapters are illustrated with thirty original designs as head and tail pieces.

*Cloth. Price, post-paid, 75 cents.*

## **One Day.**

In this little story the reader gets a vivid glimpse of the narrowing penury of dogma without real spiritual life or breadth of rich human love and charity. It shows the tragic perversion of religion in the minds of those who are lacking in comprehension of the spiritual realities of religion. It is a little book that is soon read, but its impression upon the mind is strong and lasting. The author draws with a very skilful hand some pictures of life as it is to be found upon our western prairies. It is a book that will make thoughtful readers broader and more charitable, even to those who are cruel and hard through a narrow conception of religious faith and duty; and it will also come in for considerable animadversion from those who quarrel over the letter of religion and know nothing of its universal spirit.

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## New Fiction.

*Price, paper, 50 cents; cloth, \$1.25.*

**Flora Helm**

### Between Two Forces.

This is a strange and brilliant book by a new writer who will surely take a high place in the literary world. The author has both poetry and passion at command. She is widely read in philosophy and physics. She feels the mystery of life and its perplexities for the spirit as only rare and fine natures can, and in this fascinating story she shows in one small circle of life the perpetual conflict between passion and aspiration which has always rent the world, and still rends it. In her development of the character of Younod Rencliffe, who has discovered the secret of incorruptible psychic life, even in the flesh, by the complete elimination of human passion and emotion and affection, the author displays a very real literary power, which will have to be reckoned with by those who seek to estimate the progress and quality of our contemporary literature. Rencliffe's extraordinary philosophic system, and Cecelia's conflict between her love and the weird charm of a purely psychic life, are treated with a mastery that will surely hold the imagination of every reader enchained. This is one of the most notable books of the year.

A New Question  
raised upon the  
Limits of Psychic  
Life for  
Old Mortality



*Price, paper, 50 cents; cloth, \$1.25.*

**F. B. Hofman**

### The Trouble of Living Alone.

JUST PUBLISHED.

A strikingly interesting story cast in a novel form.

This book, which will appear in the Arena Library Series, is the work of a new writer, but one who has an abundance of ideas and a keen and suggestive way of presenting them. It is a very strange and unusual story, and is close-packed with brilliant and thought-producing analysis. Mr. Hofman handles some of the most subtle distinctions and problems of our social organization with unerring logic and in a brilliant style. Some of the discussions show a metaphysical talent that is very rare among writers of fiction, but the most subtle questions are treated with a clarity and simplicity that relates their reality at once to the facts of life as they appear to every intelligent, thoughtful reader. Written in a popular vein, with an easy, graceful flow of language, "The Trouble of Living Alone" robs many fundamental truths of their seeming abstruseness. It shows the basis of reality behind those vague doubts so many feel in the presence of the facts of life, unaware that if they followed up their doubts they would discover that what seemed inexplicable in the tangle of human society is clear enough when its relativity is once established.



*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## History.

**W. D.  
McCrackan,  
A. M.**

Some Lessons in  
Democracy for  
American Voters.  
How to keep  
"Americanism"  
synonymous with  
Freedom and  
Democracy

*Price, postpaid, \$2.00.*

## The Rise of the Swiss Republic.

Of all the confederations of history, Switzerland bears the closest resemblance in institutions to the United States, so that the history of the Swiss republic is, or should be, of the greatest interest to the democracy of the United States. The issue constantly at stake, throughout the history of the Swiss confederation, has been one of the noblest and most portentous for good or evil with which human nature has had to grapple—the question of self-government. In these latter days Switzerland has become the standard bearer in all reforms which make for direct democracy and pure politics. Her historical development ought, therefore, to be fully known and appreciated by all Americans who are interested in good citizenship, good government and the averting of those evils which threaten to engulf the republic.

A fine handsome volume, richly bound in cloth, with large colored map and full-page portrait of the author.

The Rt. Hon. James Bryce, M. P., writes to the author: "DUCHY OF LANCASTER OFFICE, London, W. C., Oct. 27, 1892. It seems to me that you have happily blended the picturesque treatment which some parts of Swiss history demand with the object of bringing out the political lesson of the last thirty or fifty years. I trust your book may do much to show our people, as well as yours, how much is to be learned from a study of Swiss affairs."

## A Valuable Political Document for To-Day.

**W. D.  
McCrackan,  
A. M.**



*Price, 25 cents.*

## Swiss Solutions of American Problems.

A little book of immense importance to all intelligent, thoughtful men who realize that our politics, as played in this age and country, as a game in which all scruples and decency and manliness are put aside, is degrading, and gives the lie to our much vaunted progress. It is absurd to talk of civilization and progress when the simple business of government is considered an incurable and necessary corruption.

If we would solve the social problem in peace, let us look to our methods of legislation while there is yet time. The purpose of this admirably clear study of Swiss political methods and institutions is to show the many lessons Americans have yet to learn in the art of self-government—of democracy. Our much-vaunted representative system belies its name—it does not represent. No provision has been made for minorities in our legislatures. At every election—federal, state and local—unsuccessful voters are virtually disfranchised. Then our legislators cannot be called to account until their terms are over and the harm is done. In the vague middle ground between the people and their laws, a permanent source of corruption has arisen—the lobby. It is a Third House, working secretly, unremittingly, and without scruple for evil ends. Switzerland is the only country in the world which supplies a living example of direct democracy, and all who are interested in good government, good citizenship, a pure ballot, real political and social freedom, will find the crystallization of their ideas in this remarkable little document.

*For sale by all booksellers. Sent postpaid on receipt of the price.*

*Copley Square.*

*Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass.*

From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.

## New Fiction.

**Frances  
Campbell  
Sparhawk**

A Fine Picture of the  
Early Times in Old  
New England

Critical Opinions of  
the Leading Journals

A Story of Genuine  
Historical Interest,  
but filled with Wit  
and Wisdom, Love  
and Romance

Price, paper, 50 cents; cloth, \$1.25.

## A Wedding Tangle.

A romance that has the double interest of historical accuracy while retaining all the charms of a delightful story brilliantly told.

This is a brightly told story of Old and New England in the eighteenth century, ending with the capture of Louisburg from the French. An attractive love story is woven into the plot, and the novel possesses many elements of more than ordinary interest. — *Charleston (S. C.) News and Courier*.

The interest grows as the narrative progresses, and the contrasting characters of the two women, who hold such singular relations to the hero, are skilfully developed. — *Worcester Evening Gazette*.

Mrs. Ella Farman Pratt, the well known writer for young people, writes to the author: " ' Wedding Tangle ' has come and a very charming book it is in looks and story. Your plot is symmetrical to the extent of producing the rare effect of unity. — ELLA FARMAN PRATT."

Miss Frances Campbell Sparhawk has just issued through the press of the Arena Publishing Company a new novel, the scene of which is in New England in the early colonial days. Simple in style, the story has a quaint originality which makes it singularly attractive. The characters are rather outlined than painted, but their relations are so skilfully dwelt upon that they are made very life-like. The heroine, Elizabeth, is a beautiful creation, reminding us of Shakespeare's "Rosamond." The book is so pure, so delicate and dainty, that it brings with it a cool and refreshing flavor, especially grateful in this day of overstrained, exotic sentimentality. — *Childhood*.

The story preserves with a good deal of distinctness the atmosphere of the olden time. — *Review of Reviews*.

A novel which we are confident will be exceedingly popular as its merits become known. It is a story of colonial times with an historical background. It is a love story full of incidents, and it is told with a spirit that keeps the attention of the reader unflagging to its close. There are many passages that one turns back to read a second time, they are so full of wit and wisdom and graphic description. — *The Transcript, Portland, Me.*

There is a warm stir of intelligent American patriotism in this book, although the scene is laid in the colonial times of New England, one hundred and fifty years ago. This is perhaps the first time that the siege of Louisburg has been employed as a potency in a love story, but it is well chosen in the present instance. — *Boston Transcript*.

We have in this story a genuine historical romance, true to New England history and yet alive with human interest. The author is capable of making herself a power in imaginative literature. — *The Woman's Journal, Boston, Mass.*

The name of Miss Frances Campbell Sparhawk is not unknown to American readers, and this new volume from her pen will attract hosts of fresh admirers to her already long train of followers. There is a vivid sense of reality throughout "A Wedding Tangle" which carries us back to old colonial times. The effort is, however, to untangle a wedding problem that threatened to mar the happiness of the principal actors in this drama. — *The Boston Herald*.

It is a social study of old colonial life and a character study of two types of women so cleverly hidden in the story itself that the object is not apparent until the book has been laid aside. It shows literary ability of a high order, and painstaking study. — *The World, New York*.

*From the press of the Arena Publishing Company.*

## Religious Works.

*Price, paper, 50 cents; cloth, \$1.50.*

### The World's Congress of Religions.

Containing the addresses as delivered.

It is almost unnecessary to say anything in introducing to the general reader this volume, which marks an epoch in the history of religions, and shows that in the matter of the toleration of opinion the world is growing wiser.

To meet the general demand, the Arena Publishing Company has, with the consent of the Parliament Publishing Company, issued this popular work, which gives the proceedings of the opening and closing sessions of the council *verbatim*, thus giving the reader a perfect picture of one of the most unique spectacles man has ever witnessed—a picture in which the representatives of earth's great religions united in welcome greeting and loving farewell.

These two great gatherings are given *verbatim*, while in twenty-nine interesting chapters are given absolutely *verbatim* reports of the greatest and most representative papers or addresses which were delivered—the papers which most clearly set forth the views, aims and mission of the great faiths, and which are immensely valuable as contributions to the present literature of the world. It is important to remember that these addresses are in full and exactly as given. The work is the ablest and most complete *consensus* of the higher and finer religious thought of the world which has ever been given to humanity in a small compass, or at a price within the reach of people of moderate means.

An impressive introduction has been written for this volume by Rev. Minot J. Savage. In order to give the readers of THE ARENA a better idea of the nature and scope of this volume of over four hundred pages, we give the Table of Contents.

I. The Brotherhood of Man—Addresses of welcome. II. Ancient Religions. III. The Catholic Church. IV. Women's Work. V. The Jewish Church. VI. Science and Religion. VII. Religious Unity of the Race. VIII. The Hindus. IX. The Buddhists. X. The Brahmo-Somaj—New Religion of India. XI. The Universalists. XII. The Presbyterians. XIII. The Baptists. XIV. The Unitarians. XV. The Congregationalists. XVI. Zoroaster. XVII. The Greek Church. XVIII. Synthetic Religion. XIX. Religion and Wealth. XX. The Mohammedans. XXI. Shintoism. XXII. The Confucians. XXIII. The World's Religious Debt to Asia. XXIV. The Armenian Church. XXV. The World's Sacred Books. XXVI. The Jains. XXVII. The Historic Christ. XXVIII. Christianity and the Negro. XXIX. The Swedenborgians. XXX. Comparative Theology. XXXI. Addresses of Farewell: Rev. Alfred W. Momerie, D.D., Rev. P. C. Mozoomdar, Prince Serge Wolkonsky, Kinza Ringe M. Hirai, Honorable Pung Quang Yu, Rt. Rev. Mr. Shibata, H. Dharmapala, Rev. George T. Candlin, Suani Vive Kananda, Vichand Ghandi, Prince Momolu Masaquoi, Rev. Dr. George Dana Boardman, Dr. Emil Hirsch, President Rev. Dr. Frank Bristol, Rev. Jenkin Lloyd Jones, Mrs. Charles Henrotin, Rev. Augusta Chapin, Julia Ward Howe, Bishop Arnett, Rt. Rev. Dr. J. J. Keane, Rev. Dr. John Henry Barrows, President C. C. Bonney; prayer, Rabbi Hirsch; benediction, Bishop Keane.



**\$100<sup>00</sup>** **1895** **\$100<sup>00</sup>**  
**8 BEAUTIFUL MODELS**

# VICTOR BICYCLES

HANDSOME  
CATALOG  
FREE

VICTOR  
PAD CALENDAR  
10¢ IN STAMPS

**\$100<sup>00</sup>**

**OVERMAN WHEEL CO.**  
 Makers of Victor Bicycles and Athletic Goods.  
 Boston. New York. Chicago.  
 Detroit. Denver.  
 PACIFIC COAST:  
 San Francisco. Los Angeles. Portland.

**\$100<sup>00</sup>**

**BEST LINE**  
**CHICAGO AND ST. LOUIS**  
**TO**  
**TEXAS**  
**DAILY THROUGH TRAINS**

**Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Ry.**

Owens and Operates 6,154 miles of  
thoroughly equipped road.

**FIRST-CLASS**  
**IN**  
**EVERY**  
**RESPECT**

It traverses the best sections of the  
States of

**Illinois, Wisconsin, Iowa,**  
**Missouri, Minnesota,**  
**South Dakota,**  
**North Dakota and the Upper**  
**Peninsula of Michigan.**

For further information, address

**GEO. H. HEAFFORD,**  
 General Passenger Agent, CHICAGO, ILL.

## BOOKS OF THE DAY.

---

### THE WORLD BEAUTIFUL.\*

"The World Beautiful," by Lilian Whiting, is a volume of agreeable essays that will doubtless do much to fortify the optimist by predilection in his *credo*. This may seem to some of us rather unnecessary, since the optimist by predilection has all the aggravating complacency of the Calvinist, who will not look beyond his nose in search of evidence for his damnation theory, but is buoyed up with a cheerful faith that includes even those diffusers of optimism, the cooks, with the non-elect in the torments reserved for the unregenerate. But optimism is a philosophy that will always recommend itself to the majority of mankind, for very obvious reasons. We shall only specify the most forcible, since the others would not probably recommend one to the good will of the readers of these lines—for we are all concerned in this conspiracy of vanity, and even the pessimist must worry about the figure he cuts in the eyes of his fellows: Nature has so ordained it for her own purposes. The readers of Renan can find the reasons for the necessity of stoicism, resignation and cheerfulness, more fully and charmingly as well as scientifically stated than it would be possible to state them here in any case; and optimism is there afforded a basis in natural law, and provided with some tempered weapons that should reconcile all alike, pessimists and optimists, to the "immorality of Nature," with a grim sense of the futility of rebellion.

But this is scarcely the ground of the optimism set forth in Miss Lilian Whiting's volume of essays, entitled "The World Beautiful." We dare say the reader who will be delighted with Miss Whiting's gospel of sweetness and light, with its transcendental obliviousness of the realities of life, would scarcely find much spiritual uplift in the grimmer assurances that Renan offers for our consolation. But Miss Whiting makes her appeal almost solely to the traditionary habits of mind of those enjoying the spiritual convictions of orthodoxy, rather than to isolated intellects, and to recall this gammon of optimism in Renan's gospel of stoicism at this moment is scarcely fair to her. But in considering an optimistic faith like this, which sweeps so many ugly realities aside, and is typical of the thinking of a great multitude of comfortable folk, these comparisons will arise in the mind involuntarily. We feel called upon at all times to warn the unsuspecting against an optimism that is essentially blind and cruel, and we mean no unfairness to Miss Whiting in doing so, for it is quite understandable that she is deceived by her own temperament and environment. But faith in these

---

\* "The World Beautiful," by Lilian Whiting. Cloth; price \$1. Roberts Brothers, Boston, Mass.



days will not exorcise the brutal facts of life, and the damaging thing for optimism is that circumstances make the life of the millions, and the spirit is powerless to cope with the grim facts of the blood and of social surroundings.

Miss Whiting, however, writes to please and console the average man and woman, for whom the subtleties of philosophical analysis have little meaning. She deals with the familiar consolations offered to the unexacting by the orthodox religions, and every visionary ascetic from Diogenes to date. These recommendations to abstinence and self denial and spiritual aspiration, which deny the demands of the flesh and the insidious wiles of the Devil, appeal with peculiar force to the multitude of comfortables who dine at six upon all the delicacies of the season. We must say this in frankness, for, as Dr. Johnson has pointed out, even the very greatest poetry in the world is no consolation for an empty belly; and the irony of all this visionary optimism that would console the miserable with aspirations after things of the spirit, is that it can never reach or touch those who are playing the tragedy of Nature.

With this hint of the limited outlook to be found in these papers, we can warmly recommend the book to those who are not greatly weighted with the perplexities of life. To those for whom there is no riddle in free will and the cohesion of social anarchy this book will be the plain solution of the difficulty of creating for one's self a spiritual identity. It will scarcely do for more exacting thinkers. Candor compels us to state our objection to it as a gospel of consolation for those who, living in this real world, under the divine administration of a government of comfortables, are lacking in more material commodities than those of the spirit. Granting a comfortable income and hosts of friends, it would be simply captious to deny the consolations offered by a philosophy of idealism which claims that after all it rests with ourselves as to whether we shall live in a world beautiful or not, independent of all physical conditions and surroundings. Strangely enough, with the advance of physical science, which has demonstrated the interrelation of mind and matter, has come a great revival of the old idea of the possibility of separating mind and body; and those who can bring themselves to believe in this, can find a genuine inspiration for daily conduct in Miss Whiting's book. It is a little unfortunate that the present writer is more attracted to that view of life and the world which is presented in the investigations and deductions of the great leaders of modern science, and those semi-philosophical writers who have imported the accuracy of statement of modern science into literature. Upon such minds, the old-fashioned idealism of asceticism has little hold; such abstractions are too unreal. We are perversely discovering that the greatest urge and impulse to the life of mental and spiritual integrity, to the highest plane of conduct, lies not in any frenzy of transcendental aspiration, but in the reverent study of the eternal realities of the physical world.

## MEDITATIONS IN MOTLEY.\*

Walter Blackburn Harte dedicates his book of essays, "Meditations in Motley," to "the Devil and Dame Chance, the two most potent deities in literary fortunes as in all other sublunary dispensations." The tang of pessimism in his pages is betrayed in these words; but they by no means indicate the ruling flavor. If this were simply a pessimistic book by a young man, it would hardly be worthy of prolonged examination. Pessimism is not a profound creed; and the sort in which young writers indulge, if genuine, usually rests on too narrow an experience to be regarded with alarm. It is because these meditations are sound at heart, and are evidently the reflection of a serious life, that they will command the attention of minds alert to hail an earnest spirit in literature.

The welcome in this case should be the more cordial because the newcomer brings that rare accompaniment of earnestness in the contemporary essay, humor; not humor in the signification of the humorous alone, but also humor in the older meaning—mood, whimsy and changing feeling—the play of many-colored personality, the humor that is the body of many humors.

The several essays composing the collection were written for periodicals, in which all, apparently, have once challenged the public taste. Essays in a double sense, they were experiments intended to decide whether the old-fashioned, personal essay, adapted to modern themes, can arouse a form of sensibility which has long been latent. They are six in number: "On Certain Satisfactions of Prejudice," "Jacobitism in Boston," "About Critics and Criticism," "Some Masks and Faces of Literature," "The Fascination of New Books," and "A Rhapsody on Music." The advantages of prejudices which the essayist discourses about are those that contribute to the variety of life, the pleasures of conversation and the attractions of individuality.

If Mr. Harte's mental view reveal a defect, it is that individuality and wayward feeling, with their natural vehicle, paradox, obtain an exaggerated value. This he perhaps suspects; for he furnishes the following ingenious apology for paradox: "Paradox is the half of truth, and the one half is surely as good as the other. With one half the truth provided for us, surely we can contribute the other half ourselves. It is a chance to test the truth within us. I do not believe, anyhow, that one can have a genuine love of truth, unless one is somewhat susceptible to the beauty of sophistry." Again, in the dissertation on prejudice, one encounters this: "To always prefer logic to a good wholesome prejudice is enough to make one suspect that such a man would not stick at perjury." The writer has been speaking of "a man who does

---

\* "Meditations in Motley: A Bundle of Papers Imbued with the Sobriety of Midnight," by Walter Blackburn Harte. Cloth; \$1.25. Arena Publishing Company, Boston, Mass.

not possess certain well recognized and very dear prejudices." The reviewer must admit his own respect for prejudice, believing it to be a mark of strong natures. The philosophical Newman has made it clear that even religious faith rests on moral prejudices. He has said: "Faith is influenced by previous notices, prepossessions and (in a good sense of the word) prejudices."

It is rare to find anything like the spirit of the essayists and talkers of the first half of the eighteenth century; and to find it fused, as happens here, with a measure of reforming energy. Not that any specific social aims are disclosed. It is the temper only that is in revolt—a revolt saved from bitterness by laughter.

In "Jacobitism in Boston" the voice of denunciation is sternest, not rising to the Carlylean thunder, yet quivering with earnestness. In this essay, the most ambitious, is given a suggestion of the author's creed, about as follows: The want of beauty and reverence is the sin of this age, in which the populace vulgarizes letters and journalism, while these in turn degrade the people. Publishers and authors are alike enslaved, and when they break away from bondage to sensationalism, it is only to receive the chains of a prudery still more vulgar. Jacobites and Jacobins are the idealists who are abstractly and prudently in rebellion against the prose and "drab" of social life. The Jacobites look back to the old order; the Jacobins forward to a new one. If they can cleanse literature, they may initiate a life-giving stir in society itself. The whole discourse teems with satire, sometimes turned against the idealists, sometimes against the satirist.

It is to be hoped that Mr. Harte will produce other books as original and as ethical in purpose as this. The chief blemish of his style, its occasional want of clearness and logical symmetry, will disappear under a more rigid discipline; but it is not often that the principal fault of a new writer can be traced to redundancy of thought, as here occurs. Gradually, too, as the thinker prevails and the jester yields, the element of self will be diminished, giving way to a larger element, humanity. The secret, after all, of such writing as Mr. Harte aspires to do, is renunciation.

"He who hath watched, not shared, the strife  
Knows how the day hath gone.  
He only lives with the world's life,  
Who hath renounced his own."

RICHARD EDWIN DAY.

#### HOW SHALL THE RICH ESCAPE? \*

When, some months ago, I learned that a book by Dr. Billings was in the hands of the publishers I felt eager enough to watch for its appearance. The doctor is favorably known to some of us Nebraskans for his

---

\* "How Shall the Rich Escape?" by Dr. Frank S. Billings. Price, cloth, \$2. Arena Publishing Company, Boston, Mass.

scientific work in the interests of the farmer and stockman. The title of the book is somewhat odd and not in the least suggestive, for if it suggests anything it is likely to be "How shall the rich escape the hell which is supposed to await Dives?" But no one who knows Dr. Billings would for a moment imagine such an interpretation, since he has departed far enough from the ideas of his Puritan ancestry and believes that whatever of heaven or hell a man finds comes to him in *this world*. If I speak of the book by its title I am asked, "Escape from *what*?" or met by such expressions as "I know of no special danger that menaces them. Trust the rich to look out for themselves." What the author really means does not at first sight appear, but when it does the title is seen to be appropriate enough.

That genial naturalist, Burroughs, recently wrote, speaking of books, "In the first place a book must be *real*, and there should be a charm besides that comes from the personality of the writer." I think no one, of whatever shade of opinion he may be in regard to subjects of which this book treats, can read it and not grant its intense reality. We feel that the writer is giving us what to him is *the truth*. We feel that we are in the presence of a man scientifically trained, who is a clear and forcible thinker and skilled to put his thoughts before us in language equally clear and forcible.

There are few who will not be compelled to disagree with Dr. Billings more or less, for the book covers a wide range of subjects, and the opinions expressed in regard to them are, for the most part, unpopular — some of them almost unheard of because rarely held and then mostly in secret, since it takes courage to promulgate unpopular opinions.

In recent years respect for natural law and belief in the truth of evolution have grown apace. We see this even in theological works, so-called, of which one of the best is Drummond's "Natural Law in the Spiritual World." Whereas evolution used to be held to be atheistic or at least quite incompatible with the Bible it is not so considered now. "The Unity of the Truth," by Dr. Max Hark, was written to disclaim this incompatibility. These and other works of a similar character go to show the tendency of the times.

The book before us is an analytical work intended to show the workings of the law of self-protection to which man is subject as an individual, and how that law compels him to curb his crude individualism that it may not hinder the social well-being of the community in which he lives. That this last is so neglected by the powerful, the *rich* — in *ability* and money — is, according to the writer, the cause of many of the evils under which society labors and groans. They are neglecting the *law of self-protection*, and a destructive reaction is bound to come unless they learn *how to escape*. The book aims to get at the bottom of social problems and, to some extent, leaves the reader to make his own applications.

The opening chapter discusses the subject of "God or no God." The

author desires to show that God is a fetich—something assumed to exist but which has no reality; that Christianity is crumbling to pieces; that—shocking as it may seem—Jesus and his early followers were the anarchists and communists of their time; that the brotherhood of man, as we now understand the phrase, was not inculcated by Jesus. His followers were taught mutual love, communism, amongst themselves, but for the rest of the world, notably the rich and prosperous, bitter hatred. Dr. Billings is not a theologian and yet, according to his definition of religion, he is a profoundly religious man. Religion he claims to be inseparable from mankind and defines it as *the recognition of cause*. His description of a materialist will be new to most people, as it is a common enough idea that such an individual is a pitiable, yea, a despicable, object.

“The Evolution of Intelligence” is next traced for us as science has enabled its votaries to read it. The definitions given are always interesting and often strongly original. “Intelligence,” says our author, “is that condition of living matter by which it evidences irritability: that is, it is capable of being acted upon and reacting—nothing more!” This irritability of bioplasm enables animals, from the lowest to the highest, to (1) get nourishment from surroundings; (2) to get more than enough for self, which leads to (3) reproduction.

“Evolution is a series of conditions extending through the ages.” Change in environment produces changes in structure, and there has been a gradual and steady progression from the simple to the complex. It is shown that individualism is a natural characteristic brought about by the “struggle for existence”—that struggle which, in nature, always results in “the survival of the fittest.” Socialism is shown to be more of an artificial result which has more and more to do with man’s life as civilization advances.

How animals may be bred to win, how “brains win,” is shown by a short chapter from the interesting history of the American trotter. The same methods that work so successfully in the breeding of animals are shown to be equally potent in the case of humanity in the few instances where they have been tried. The Jews and the Puritans are mentioned as illustrations. The arrogance of self-made men receives deserved rebuke.

*Socialism*, a term used by Dr. Billings in a different sense from the ordinary one, receives further discussion in the next chapter. The term is used to signify *the mass for itself*, ordering all public affairs for the best interest of the whole, or, as it is elsewhere defined, “the art of modifying the methods of individualism to the uses of the masses.” In this chapter the *equality of man* idea is treated as a most dangerous fetich, the cause of bloodshed and riots. He calls attention to the great inequality, physical and mental, that exists among people and, while admitting that we must continue charity, as a self-protective measure, claims that in many cases not charity but a more summary

treatment is called for. Instead of *pulling down* our successful men we must *build up* humanity so that there will not be such a multitude of ill-bred weaklings as we now have. People must be taught the criminality of sexual relations likely to produce weaklings or children they are unable to support. Criminals and imbeciles must on no account be suffered to reproduce themselves, even if this can only be prevented by unsexing them. Those absolutely worthless and pernicious to society should be mercifully killed.

A long chapter is devoted to the "Evolution of Ethics," *ethics* according to this writer being *the science of self-preservation*. One of the most important ideas in the book is the one elucidated here, namely, that "In the business law of self-protection is to be found the nucleus of morality." Here the writer differs from Huxley, who recently stated that "The ethical progress of society depends upon combatting the ordinary cosmic (or evolutionary) process." Huxley and Dr. Billings evidently agree that there is nothing *altruistic* in the evolutionary process. Those who think altruism essential to the welfare of humanity must, like Huxley, look for it outside of the cosmic process. Dr. Billings is no altruist, having, as he says, "graduated from that school without honors." In fact he goes so far as to claim that there is no such thing as altruism — that it is another fetich. He defines it as *doing for others utterly regardless of life or self-preservation*. The so-called benevolent are influenced, he claims, by varied motives. There are those who desire popular approval, those who expect rewards in heaven, those who desire that their names may be kept alive among men, and a small class of those so constituted that their chief pleasure is found in doing for others.

Morality, in the sense in which it is used by this author, means *right living* in a more complete sense than is usually understood. He states the primary law of morals thus: "Any action on the part of an individual — and the state or society is to be regarded as a complex individual — likely to disturb the self-protective equilibrium, is *immoral*. That law," he assures us, "will apply to every action of the individual in his relations to himself and to society, and to society in its relation to itself as an individual and to every individual member of it."

In this chapter on "Socialism" considerable is said in defence of the rich. While Dr. Billings admits that they are examples of that "vaulting ambition (which) o'erleaps itself," he claims that, inasmuch as they are only exercising their natural individuality, they are not to blame for their large accumulations even though they get more than justice entitles them to. Society is to blame for not using its might to prevent improper gains at the expense of itself. The rich are to blame in the matter only for their blindness to their own danger. He scores severely those who, in divers ways, advocate what he is pleased to regard as the wholesale "robbery" of the rich as by confiscation of land, taxation of heirs and other methods. His statement of the causes of social

misery is worth the study of all who are interested in the advance of humanity.

He makes the claim that "The individual owes nothing to the state and the state owes nothing to the individual." He who is true to himself in a thoroughly intelligent manner will be true to the community. The community which is true to itself must be true to its members.

In the chapter that treats of "Right and Rights," it is claimed that "The uncertainty as to what are human rights is to be sought in the influence of theology which is the ignorance of mankind." It is pointed out that the church has never led in reform movements, but has rather constituted itself a stumbling block in the path of human progress. "The rights of man are founded in and have been the result of socialism." "Crude, natural individualism and justice are as distant as the poles." "All rights are but concessions won from individualism by massed might."

The "ten commandments" are shown to be the result of socialistic experience. Dr. Billings ranks as greater than any of these commandments, this other one, "Man, know thyself." He touches upon suicide, and claims that in certain cases there may be virtue in it. He upholds foeticide and infanticide under many circumstances. That will be a startling idea to many. People are apt to accept current opinions without much study or question. Those who have never thought much about this matter may be surprised if they read, at how much can be said in favor of what are usually classed as crimes. Labor movements and the People's Party receive criticism. The author states his ultimate ideals in these words:—

The battle cry of to-day is, as it has ever been, "Down with the barriers to human progress." Individualistic selfishness has built them; socialistic justice must raze and disperse them. The work of socialism is to keep one constant hammering at every barrier to mutual intercourse between men and nations, as between mother and child, until commerce has become so universal, powerful and beneficial that swords shall be broken into pruning hooks, fortresses demolished, and a custom house officer's only use to be a petrified curiosity in a dime museum.

What are we to think of the writer of such a paragraph? Is this not a picture of universal peace and prosperity? Yet the reader cannot call him an "altruist."

Strange inconsistency of human nature! This man is no altruist, no Christian, but we have in our midst those claiming to be altruists and Christians who say "The Chinese must go!" "America for Americans!" and raise other popular war-cries which, being interpreted, mean, "No quarter" to poor, down-trodden humanity, beaten and battered in unequal combat with the powers that be. We have even, it would appear, "Christian rumsellers!" Verily, things are queerly mixed in this world! Here let Dr. Billings speak for himself again:—

The trouble with the masses is that they do not know where to go or are afraid to trust or make use of the true leaders of humanity, the men of great intelligence, men of extreme intelligent individualism, who are so bred that they can but follow their



natural inclinations and become the practical leaders of all that is best in man. These men are rising out of the universal mass the world over.

Common observation must confirm this.

"The Nationalization of Land" as a panacea for the social evil of poverty, and its grim attendants of filth, degradation and crime, is weighed in the author's balance and found wanting. There is no proof, according to his argument, that everything was made for man. Man has appropriated what he desired and could make his own *by his might*. Dr. Billings does not believe that the question of prosperity or the reverse depends on cheap lands, and he makes a contrast between the people of early New England and the average western farmer of to-day very unfavorable to the latter. But this, it may be presumed, is a view not entirely without patriotic prejudice. The papers on "Land Nationalization" by A. R. Wallace and the views of Henry George receive some attention, and then the author gives his own conclusions. He owns that he was at one time rather inclined to favor the scheme but thinking on the subject he was compelled to modify his views. He is opposed to permitting the holding of vast tracts of land for speculative purposes at nominal taxation. Speculators' land should be taxed as heavily as that which is held for labor.

Prohibition is next considered as illustrating better than any other question the relation of individualism to the rights and wrongs of society. One who dares to call himself "a Christian rum-seller" is "raked over the coals," so to speak, for his boundless audacity. A few sentences in this book put the liquor question in a nutshell:—

The guiding principle of right and rights is this: no person should do or be permitted to do that which is liable to injure himself. If he does not know enough to so act, or refuses to so act, as his act may bring danger to society, society has the right to protect itself in any way it sees fit. That is all there is to the rum question. Till it can be choked at once it must be strangled slowly. . . . The place to fight the rum as well as all other social evils is in the schools, the family, the lecture room and the church. Laws without a united and powerful social force behind them are worse than the evil they are directed at, as they breed and inspire a disrespect for all law. They make law breakers.

The government of the United States next receives consideration. The boast of being an American is shown to be a somewhat empty one. Monarchialism and republicanism are contrasted, and it is shown that if we have not hereditary kings we have kings by the divine right of natural ability, and that we suffer from "evils as great and in reality much the same as those of a hereditary monarchy which puts inability on the throne and debars the nation from the services of its best." The fathers "built as wisely as they knew how," but things should not be stationary; the world ought to be growing wiser, and we must advance and not cling too persistently to the past.

National friendship is shown to be a fetich. When it appears that nations are friendly it is purely a matter of policy. Self interest dictates it.

Dr. Billings classes the people of this country as (1) the ups; (2) the coming-ups; (3) the comfortables; (4) the never-to-get-ups. He says the danger to the country now is from the grasping individualism or "hoggishness" of the first mentioned and the utter depravity and worthlessness of the last. The first need watching and social restraint, and the last class should be gotten rid of.

Our author favors the nationalization of railroads, etc., by fair purchase. While many people have a vague idea that railroads are unjust few realize the extent of the injustice. It would be well if the history of some of the roads and the part they have played in great monopolies could be generally known.

He favors state control of the issuance of bonds and stocks by all legally incorporated institutions, and the compulsory winding up of their affairs on failure to pay interest as agreed. He would put an end to marginal sales of stocks, bonds and products of the country.

He is bitterly opposed to the "spoils" system and believes in retaining a competent man in office whenever possible. He is down on machine politics and the old parties are alike mercilessly pelted for their shortcomings in this direction. He says of politicians that, as a rule, they are an unprincipled class, having strong individualism, who are playing a game in which they use entrenched ability and the people as pawns, favoring one or other of them by turns as best suits their self-interest. One of the shrewdest of politicians acknowledged as much to Dr. Billings and added that he believed he would pull out all right and let the fools fight it out.

While he lashes the two old parties he does not spare the new one, the People's Party. He strongly favors free trade as being best for the country at large. Protection is mainly in the interest of the rich manufacturers and to collect revenue for the pension fraud—so he regards the service pension. In addition to other peculiarities he is a "fiat money fiend." "We should have," he says, "a currency that can't be fooled with and has no intrinsic value."

Has there ever been so much that is heterodox crowded within the covers of one volume? Nor are we done yet. In nothing is this author more peculiar, on no other subject does he write so boldly and so radically, as he does on the subject of woman. It would be difficult to indicate in a few sentences all the aspects of this subject as regarded from the standpoint of Dr. Billings.

As long as things are as they are, it is altogether unreasonable in society to be so down on the outcast woman. Some of them are really more moral than many wives. There is much prostitution within married life; in fact a large proportion of marriages are made for the purpose of having license in this direction.

Dipping into history he shows us that marriage was instituted to fix the responsibility of parentage. While it is the best makeshift possible for society now, it is really, in many respects, a dismal failure. It

crushes out individuality and hinders intellectual progress. Neither does it regard the hereditary health of the offspring, mental or physical. In his opinion there should be laws preventing the marriage of impecunious and diseased people.

Here is a statement in which it is safe to say Dr. Billings will not have a very large following: "The highest possible evolution of man is such a degree of sexual control that there shall be no relation between the sexes, and the production of children shall cease. Who cares," he asks, "an iota whether humanity continues or not? The question is that it lives at as little misery as possible."

It must be evident enough that the writer of this book is a strong advocate of "woman's rights," not the rights, simply, of woman fortunate and carefully guarded, but of woman trampled upon and abused and condemned, by those who have brought her to her low estate, and shunned by her sisters who are often no better than she is.

The closing chapter of the book is devoted to "Socialism and Education." It is a sort of summary of what has already been dwelt upon, and adds some finishing touches. It discusses also parental treatment of children, and points out how they are often wronged by parents who attempt to force them into moulds for which they were never intended. Parents must learn to respect the individuality of their children, and children cannot be expected to honor parents unless they show themselves worthy of honor.

Throughout the book there are occasional allusions to Thomas Paine, for whom Dr. Billings has a great admiration, and whose advice he has largely followed in the makeup of this book, namely, "If human precedents fail thee, go back to nature and think as if thou wast the first man that ever thought."

MRS. A. L. AMOS.

#### THE WORLD'S CONGRESS OF REPRESENTATIVE WOMEN.\*

That this "end of the century" is woman's opportunity, that in the mad rush for wealth and power and the struggle which leaves men no time nor even desire to look into the details of daily life, it is left to women to save the race from utter ruin, has long been the opinion of many far-seeing and wise teachers in our own and in distant lands. "The motherhood must save the race," they say, in all their lessons; and by that they do not mean the mere animal function of the "cow women," as some one calls mothers, even though they might produce a more perfect physical type of children than our foremothers were able to do. They speak of the universal motherhood existent in most women and in a few regenerate men, which yearns to benefit and bless *all* earth's children and lead them into safe and profitable ways.

The book before us is the best possible proof that the teachers are

---

\* "The World's Congress of Representative Women." In two volumes. Edited by May Wright Sewall, president of the National Council of Women of the United States. Published by Rand, McNally & Co., Boston and Chicago.

right. Such a record of the work of women in all directions for universal reform; such a story of the successful efforts of women to fit themselves for work which from their intuitive nature and their soul-culture they are best fitted to perform; such an enumeration of all the varied interests in which women all over the world are concerned, has surely never been seen before in any age of which we have any history. The church, the state, the world, all are ripe for reform, and women, once awakened, are born reformers.

In these two beautifully illustrated volumes, one sees the faces of the highest type of womanhood of many lands. There is in the portraits of all of these representative women, a striking resemblance. The same fine poise of head and neck, the same calm, searching eyes, the same firm yet placid mouth, the same well-balanced, self-controlled look is found in nearly every face, whether young, middle aged or old. Very dainty, gracious and attractive withal are all these exquisite pictures. Here is no trace of the "strong-minded female with the amberill" which we who remember when the "new woman" was a mark for caricature and jeers associated with the thought of her.

One is tempted to linger long over each page filled with these portraits and compare the faces with the speeches made and papers read by their originals. Well worth hearing and reading they are, too. As a memento of the Fair to those who were a part of it; as a record of the noble women who are making history so fast in these hurrying days; as an instructive and entertaining account of the concerted action of all these famous women of this extraordinary epoch in the history of the world, this book, compiled by Mrs. Sewall, will be invaluable.

JULIA A. DAWLEY.

#### LABOR AS MONEY.\*

The theory of the writer of this book is to demonetize both gold and silver, and all existing money, and to create instead an exchange based upon human labor power as contradistinguished from the product of any particular labor. The exposition of this theory is so plain and simple, that all readers, even those who have never made a study of economic questions, will become interested, because they can clearly understand its implications. It is a strong and striking argument for what the author declares is a natural system of exchange.

The author, following in the lines of Karl Marx and other scientific economists, adopts the admission of all standard writers that gold and silver are only obtained by human labor and as a money commodity exist principally through an economical fiction. After plainly showing that gold and silver are used as a measure of value principally because of that great element contained alike in them and other commercial commodities — the trouble, time and toil necessary to obtain them —

---

\* "Labor as Money," by John O. Yeiser. Price, cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents. The Arena Publishing Company, Boston, Mass.

the author ceases to follow but leads to a logical conclusion with a theory and argument that will be understood by the students of any standard school of economics which recognizes labor as a dominant element in metal money.

After clearly presenting the system, which is described upon the title page, as "a practical, automatic currency of stationary value, contracting and relaxing according to the demands of the country for exchange," the author demonstrates that the theory might be adopted without the least inconvenience to commerce, without waiting to inform the people of its workings and without causing even the most ignorant man the least loss on account of such a change; how it might be adopted within twenty-four hours and not cause a change of prices upon any commodities of exchange; how easily international relations could be conducted and how much more beneficial than the present financial system it would be in time of war. Of course all this is granting the reader accepts his premises as correct.

It is presented as the only panacea for strikes. It recognizes that the laborers are oppressed and presents a just remedy which will give to the laborer the exact, full value of his labor — nothing more, nothing less.

A story is introduced to attract the mind of the reader and to create an interest in a character who presents the author's theory in a speech made before Congress, upon a carefully prepared bill. The story does not cloud or mystify any portion of the purpose of this book but is intended by the author to prepare his readers to expect something important from his hero and it is woven about other interesting economic questions. The preliminary story deftly prepares the reader for the subsequent financial theory which is the purpose of the author.

#### LITTLE JOURNEYS.

The above is the title of a serial booklet, published monthly by G. P. Putnam's Sons, New York. Each number treats of a recent pilgrimage made by Mr. Elbert Hubbard to the home and haunts of some eminent literary celebrity. The subjects for the first twelve numbers are as follows: George Eliot, Carlyle, Ruskin, Gladstone, Turner, Swift, Hugo, Wordsworth, Thackeray, Dickens, Shakespeare and Goldsmith.

The second number — Carlyle — is just out and a beautiful bit of book-making it is: printed on hand-made antique linen paper, with title page in two colors. The nominal price of "Little Journeys" (fifty cents for the twelve booklets) has evidently been made in order to secure a wide circulation and show the artistic quality of work turned out by the Knickerbocker Press.

The beauty of the text, however, equals the typography, as the following extract from the January number will show: —

Along the Thames, at Chelsea, opposite the rows of quiet and well-kept houses of Cheyne Walk, is the "Embankment." A parkway it is of narrow green with gravelled walks, bushes and trees, that here and there grow lush and lusty as if to hide the un-

slightly river from the good people who live across the street. Following this pleasant bit of breathing space, with its walks that wind in and out among the bushes, one comes unexpectedly upon a bronze statue. You need not read the inscription: a glance at that shaggy head, the grave, sober, earnest look, and you exclaim under your breath, "Carlyle!"

In this statue the artist has caught with rare skill the look of reverie and repose. One can imagine that on a certain night, as the mists and shadows of evening were gathering along the dark river, the gaunt form, wrapped in its accustomed cloak, came stalking down the little street to the park, just as he did thousands of times, and taking his seat in the big chair fell asleep. In the morning the children that came to play along the river found the form in cold, enduring bronze. At the play we have seen the marble transformed by love into beauteous life. How much easier the reverse — here where souls stay only a day!

Cheyne Row is a little alley-like street, running only a block, with fifteen houses on one side, and twelve on the other. These houses are all brick and built right up to the sidewalk. On the north side they are all in one block, and one at first sees no touch of individuality in any of them. They are old, and solid, and plain — built for revenue only. On closer view I thought that one or two had been painted, and on one there was a cornice that set it off from the rest. As I stood on the opposite side and looked at this row of houses, I observed that Number Five was the dingiest and plainest of them all. For there were dark shutters instead of blinds, and these shutters were closed, all save one rebel that swung and creaked in the breeze. Over the doorway, sparrows had made their nests and were fighting and scolding. Swallows hovered above the chimney; dust, cobwebs, neglect were all about. And as I looked there came to me the words of Ursa Thomas: "Brief, brawling day, with its noisy phantoms, its paper crowns, tinsel gilt, is gone; and divine, everlasting night, with her star diadems, with her silences and her verities, is come."

Here walked Thomas and Jeannie one fair May morning in 1834. Thomas was thirty-nine, tall and swarthy, strong; with set mouth and three wrinkles on his forehead that told of care and dyspepsia. Jeannie was younger; her face winsome, just a trifle anxious, with luminous, gentle eyes, suggestive of patience, truth and loyalty. They looked like country folk, did these two. They examined the surroundings, consulted together — the sixty pounds rent a year seemed very high! But they took the house, and T. Carlyle, son of James Carlyle, stone-mason, paid rent for it every month for half a century, lacking three years.

#### ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS A DAY.

The reading world seems of late to have gone into the study of economics, judging from the number of books upon this subject that have recently appeared. In the little volume of short stories which the Arena Publishing Company has just put out, under the somewhat striking title of "One Thousand Dollars a Day," the writer, Adeline Knapp, has given students of economics some old truths in a form at once interesting and unique.

There are two classes of people who will be greatly surprised by the lessons to be drawn from the first of these stories. Perhaps no better homily on the interdependence of capital and labor could be devised than that to be drawn from the situation so graphically pictured in the initial sketch, "One Thousand Dollars a Day." The author calls it "A Financial Experience." Briefly, it supposes a state of affairs under which every adult citizen, male or female, in the country, receives from the "Anti-poverty" government, then in power, the sum of one thousand dollars daily. In the city where the scene of the story is laid the



business world at once feels an upward impetus, from the plentifulness of money. Then business drops to *nil*. All work ceases; shops, mills, factories, close. The industrial processes are paralyzed. The richest millionaire in the world can no longer command the labor of the poorest man; the laborer soon finds himself unable to buy the barest necessities of life; no one is producing, no one is selling. A day laborer throws his day's stipend into the street, and a toddling baby, attracted by the glitter of the gold, picks up a coin. No one else touches it. "Every man or woman on the street has that day received a thousand dollars, and every individual of the crowd is hungry." Finally, when the industrial midnight seems darkest and most hopeless, a bright young man has a bright idea, and out of the necessity of the hour a labor exchange is established. The scheme works admirably, and subsequent events prove the truth of the writer's assertion that the true basis of a nation's wealth is that nation's productive industry.

"The Sick Man" is another of the sketches that deals with certain popular economic fallacies. The story deals with the adventures of a certain little red corpuscle that, by transfusion of blood, finds itself suddenly transformed from a healthy organism to one where one organ, the liver, is gradually absorbing to itself the resources of the entire body. The bewildering experiences of the little stranger with the rich corpuscles, the poor corpuscles, the worthy and the unworthy, the industrious and the idle, the employed, unemployed and employing corpuscles, are very funny, very suggestive, so altogether pertinent, that one is moved to surprise that the minute analogy between the sick man and the sick nation was not drawn long ago.

Of the other sketches in the book, one, "The Discontented Machine," deals with a phase of the labor question which, as the writer puts it, is certainly novel. A machine, a new, intricate and useful piece of mechanism, goes on a strike. It wants to be paid wages. In vain its employers point out to it that they have expended a large sum for its construction, other large sums to keep it in running order, to provide it with fuel, oil and gearing. The machine still holds out in its demand for wages, even when its attention is called to the fact that the human machines in receipt of wages are not so well off as it; that their wages are merely enough to keep them in food and shelter and clothing, which are labor's coal, oil and gearing, so to speak; and the striking machine is only brought to terms by a threat of the owners to sell it for old junk and get a new machine, of which, as they justly say, there are plenty in the market, quite ready to take the striker's place. The lesson conveyed by this story is very subtle, and well calculated to make both parties to the labor question do a deal of thinking.

The stories are well told. Miss Knapp has a clear, graphic style, and goes directly to her point, in every instance. Whether one agrees with her conclusions or not, one has no difficulty at all in following her, and the stories have a certain brightness and originality of conception and



treatment that makes them entertaining reading even when one does not care particularly for the economic lessons they seek to inculcate.

---

**BOOKS RECEIVED.**

"A STRANGE CAREER," Life and Adventures of John Gladwyn Jebb by His Widow. Cloth; pp. 349; price \$1.25. Published by Roberts Brothers, Boston, Mass.

"LITTLE EYOLF," by Henrik Ibsen. Cloth; pp. 164. Published by Stone & Kimball, Chicago, Ill.

"SOCIAL GROWTH AND STABILITY," by D. Ostrander. Cloth; pp. 191; price \$1. Published by S. C. Griggs & Co., Chicago, Ill.

"THE PILGRIM OF THE INFINITE," by William Davies. Cloth; pp. 155. Published by Macmillan & Co., New York and London.

"POEMS," by Juan Lewis. Cloth; pp. 103. Published by Author, Washington, D. C.

# NATIONAL UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.

## THE ADVISORY BOARD.

REV. GEORGE C. LORIMER, D. D., Boston, Mass.  
REV. M. J. SAVAGE, Boston, Mass.  
HON. CHARLES J. BONAPARTE, Baltimore, Md.  
PROF. GEORGE D. HERRON, D. D., Grinnell, Ia.  
REV. O. P. GIFFORD, Chicago, Ill.  
HAMLIN GARLAND, New York, N. Y.  
REV. MARION D. SHUTTER, D. D., Minneapolis, Minn.  
JAMES A. HERNE, New York, N. Y.  
J. W. BOWDEN, Columbia, S. C.  
SAMUEL GOMPERS, New York, N. Y.  
KATE BUFFINGTON DAVIS, Minneapolis, Minn.  
BISHOP E. R. HENDRIX, D. D., LL. D., Kansas City, Mo.  
REV. JAMES BOYD BRADY, D. D., Ph. D., Boston, Mass.  
PROF. C. R. HENDERSON, Chicago University.  
PRES. ELMER H. CAPEN, D. D., Tufts College.  
PROF. JOHN BASCOM, LL. D., Williams College, Williamstown, Mass.  
CARROLL D. WRIGHT, U. S. Commissioner of Labor, Washington, D. C.  
THOMAS WENTWORTH HIGGINSON, Cambridge, Mass.  
MISS SARAH J. FARMER, Eliot, Me.  
PRES. FRANKLIN CARTER, Ph. D., LL. D., Williams College.

PROF. W. O. ATWATER, Ph. D., Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn.  
MISS FRANCES E. WILLARD, President World's W. C. T. U., Evanston, Ill.  
PRES. W. F. MCDOWALL, University of Denver.  
PRES. CYRUS NORTHRUP, University of Minnesota.  
REV. WILLIAM A. QUALE, Ph. D., D. D., Kansas City, Mo.  
REV. JAMES G. DOUGHERTY, D. D., Kansas City, Kan.  
PROF. J. R. COMMONS, Bloomington, Ind.  
HENRY SMITH WILLIAMS, M. D., New York.  
PRES. WILLIAM H. SCOTT, Ohio State University.  
PRES. DAVID S. JORDAN, Leland Stanford, Jr., University.  
AUSTIN ABBOTT, LL. D., Dean New York University Law School.  
CURTIS M. GEER, Ph. D., Hartford Theological Seminary.  
PRES. CHARLES F. THWING, Western Reserve University, Cleveland, O.  
J. R. SOVEREIGN, G. M. W., K. of L., Des Moines, Ia.  
DEAN ALFRED A. WRIGHT, D. D., Auburndale, Mass.  
ROLAND P. FALKNER, Ph. D., University of Pennsylvania.  
PRES. O. B. CHENEY, Lewiston, Me.

## OFFICERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

PHILIP S. MOXOM, D. D., *Chairman.*

B. O. FLOWER, *Treasurer.*

REV. H. C. VROOMAN, *Secretary.*

OFFICE OF THE COMMITTEE, Room 17, Pierce Building, Boston, Mass.

"The Union for Practical Progress knows no class, creed, nationality or sex. It welcomes as members ALL who desire to help redress this world and make it the happy home of a noble humanity."

## DIRECTORY OF LOCAL UNIONS.

### ARKANSAS.

**Rogers.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
C. W. Stewart, secretary.

### CALIFORNIA.

**Alameda.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
Claire S. Robinson, secretary.

**Los Gatos.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
Caroline Morris, secretary.

**Pomona.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
W. G. B. Pierce, secretary.

**San Francisco.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
C. C. Terrill, president; J. M. Reynolds, vice-president; William J. H. Sexton, treasurer;

J. M. Wallis, recording secretary, 2425 Post St.  
**ARENA CLUB.** George T. C. Gaden, secretary.

**Santa Ana.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
E. R. Watson, secretary.

**Santa Barbara.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
Mrs. C. H. Hurlbut, secretary, 614 De la Vina St.

### CANADA.

**Stratford, Ont.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
Rev. George F. Salton, B. A., Ph. D., secretary.

**Toronto.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS (formerly Social Problems Conference of Toronto).  
Rev. William Galbraith, president; George A. Howell, secretary, 316 Wellesley St.

### COLORADO.

**Denver.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.  
George Richardson, president; Mrs. E. Meredith Stansbury, recording secretary; Mrs. Eva C. Higgins, secretary, 1723 Tremont St.

**SOUTHSIDE ARENA CLUB.** Meets every Saturday at 2 P. M. Miss Ruth Van Warner, president; Mrs. George C. Moore, vice-president; Miss S. C. Jefferson, secretary, 432 South Broadway.

**THE CAPITOL HILL ARENA CLUB.** Dr. Laura L. Liebhardt, president; Mrs. J. Hamilton Patton, secretary, 1663 Race St.

#### DELAWARE.

**Wilmington.** DELAWARE UNION FOR PUBLIC GOOD. Mrs. E. P. Warner, secretary, 1202 Delaware Ave.

#### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

**Washington.** THE CIVIC CENTRE. Mrs. Anna L. Woodbury, chairman; Miss Catherine Hosmer, secretary.

**COMMITTEE ON UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.** Rev. Alexander Kent, chairman; A. L. Diggs, secretary, 107 Eighth St., N. E.

**SOCIOLOGICAL BRANCH.** Meets every Wednesday evening at 1127 Eleventh St., N. W. A. L. Diggs, secretary, 107 Eighth St., N. E.

#### FLORIDA.

**Green Cove Springs.** VILLAGE IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION. Mrs. W. C. Barrows, president; Eliza A. Graves, secretary; P. A. Borden, treasurer.

**YOUNG MEN'S PROGRESS CLUB.** Albert Seite, president.

**UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS CENTRE.** L. Dora Greer, secretary.

**Jacksonville.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. R. B. Archibald, president; James Douglas, treasurer; John F. Rhoads, secretary.

**WOMEN'S RELIEF ASSOCIATION.** Mrs. H. A. L'Engle, president; Mrs. W. H. McKinley, treasurer; Mrs. R. G. Cooley, corresponding secretary.

#### INDIANA.

**Indianapolis.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Anna Taylor, secretary, 51 Vance Block.

#### IOWA.

**Marshalltown.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Rev. C. R. Gale, secretary.

#### KANSAS.

**Enterprise.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. J. H. Mustard, president; John J. Abramson, secretary.

**Manhattan.** STATE ORGANIZER. Prof. Thomas E. Will, State Agricultural College.

**Salina.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Laura M. Johns, secretary.

#### KENTUCKY.

**Lexington.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Miss Laura Clay, secretary, 78 North Broadway.

#### MARYLAND.

**Baltimore.** UNION FOR PUBLIC GOOD. Charles J. Bonaparte, president; Rev. Hiram Vrooman, secretary, 307 E. Biddle St.; Rabbi Tobias Schanfarber, corresponding secretary.

**ARENA CLUB AUXILIARY.** Meets every Saturday night in the First Congregational church. Rev. Hiram Vrooman, chairman; Charles H. Torsch, treasurer; Rev. E. T. Root, secretary, 2329 N. Calvert St.

**PROGRESS CLUB.** Open discussions every Sunday at 4 P. M. in Baer's Hall, Fort Ave. and Light St. Daniel T. Orem, president; Mrs. Margaret Quarles, Secretary.

**Linkwood.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Robert Gulick, secretary.

#### MASSACHUSETTS.

**Boston.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. W. H. S. Pettinger, secretary, Room 17, Pierce Building.

**East Milton.** UNION FOR CONCERTED MORAL EFFORT. Miss Orissa Baxter, secretary.

**Haverhill.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Rev. E. A. Ross, secretary.

**Newburyport.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Rev. R. E. Bisbee, secretary.

#### MICHIGAN.

**Farmington.** ARENA CLUB OF LAKEVILLE. A. C. Carpenter, secretary.

**Springport.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Mrs. R. J. Comstock, secretary, Box 147.

#### MINNESOTA.

**Minneapolis.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Hon. S. M. Owen, president; Rev. S. W. Sample, secretary, 614 Fifteenth Ave., S. E.; Mrs. Ida M. Harris, recording secretary; Mr. J. C. Slafter, treasurer.

#### MISSOURI.

**Raymore.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Ben S. Hanna, secretary.

#### NEW JERSEY.

**Egg Harbor City.** VILLAGE IMPROVEMENT SOCIETY. Miss Annie Meyer, secretary.

**Orange.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. A. M. C. Hallock, secretary.

#### OHIO.

**Ashtabula.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. W. D. Richards, president; Linn Colburn, secretary.

**Cincinnati.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. M. McClellan Brown, secretary, 106 Richmond St.

**Lima.** ARENA CLUB. C. W. Taylor, president; Miss Nannie Baxter, secretary.

**Toledo.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Miss E. S. Taylor, president; Miss G. Lawton, secretary.

#### PENNSYLVANIA.

**Philadelphia.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Meetings are held the third Monday evening of each month, at different places. Rev. F. A. Bisbee, president, 1628 Master St.; Dr. M. V. Ball, treasurer, physician to Eastern Penitentiary; Miss Annie Russel, secretary, 1414 Arch St.

**YOUNG WOMEN'S ARENA CLUB.** Meets every Wednesday evening at 230 Pine St. Miss Diana Hirschler, president; Miss Kathryn Goldsmith, treasurer, 2426 Lombard St.

**Somerton.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Rev. A. H. Shaw, secretary.

#### RHODE ISLAND.

**Providence.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. E. Benjamin Andrews, president; Rev. Henry M. King, vice-president; William P. Goodwin, treasurer; S. A. Sherman, secretary, 249 Doyle St.; William S. Pittenger, assistant secretary.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA.

**Columbia.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Dr. J. W. Babcock, president; E. E. Jackson, treasurer; M. W. Lovell, secretary.

**Florence.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Jessie Sudlow, secretary.

#### TENNESSEE.

**Nashville.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. T. W. Hurst, president; B. H. Enloe, M. D., secretary, Cumberland Presbyterian Building.

#### TEXAS.

**Corpus Christi.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Hannah M. Conklin, secretary.

#### VIRGINIA.

**Culpeper.** UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS. Mrs. Orra Langhorne, secretary.

# UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.

## NEWS NOTES FROM THE FIELD.

*The national secretary would be glad to hear from every town and city in the United States that would arrange for a lecture or a series of lectures on the work of the Union for Practical Progress. The National Union furnishes the lecturer free of charge, only asking that local entertainment be furnished him and that a collection be taken for the national treasury. It is especially desirable to hear immediately from the South Atlantic and Gulf States; also from within three hundred miles of Boston and Baltimore respectively.*

**Detroit.** — One of the most interested workers for the Union for Practical Progress in Detroit is Miss Martha T. Hamilton, who has given considerable thought to the various social problems of the day. She lately addressed the Ladies' Union of the Church of Our Father concerning a plan in connection with the battle against saloon influence. She proposed to establish a coffee house where men can find the attractiveness of the saloon minus the intoxicants. "There are thousands of young men on the east side," said Miss Hamilton, "who have practically no place in which to spend their evenings excepting the saloon. I have a vision of a large, pleasant room, with pictures on the walls. The furniture is attractive, but not expensive. There are tables and chairs, and coffee, bouillon and lunches are sold at nominal prices, just sufficient to cover the cost. The room is a place where men can go to sit and smoke and play chess, checkers and other games. Pool tables and a bowling alley could be run in connection. I understand that the ladies of this church, now that their free kindergarten is so well established, are looking for some other avenue of work, and I have hoped that the coffee house plan might prove acceptable. The entire expense would not have to be borne by the ladies of this church. As soon as it is under way I have no doubt that the business men of the city will rally to its support. A similar place of resort might be established for young ladies." She also called attention to the work already accomplished by the Union for Practical Progress, describing the movement as a wave of altruism now sweeping across the country, and hoped a branch union might soon be started in the city. Her suggestions evidently met with the approval of the ladies, though definite action was deferred. A gentleman who was present announced that the Advance Club of the church, composed of men, had already considered the project of establishing a temperance coffee house, and would support it most heartily.

**New Unions.** — One very encouraging feature of the work for the past month has been the report from various sections of the country of new unions about to be formed and of some already organized. In Dayton, O., a number of men, who are deeply interested in the cause of humanity, are desirous of forming a union and hope to establish one soon with a membership of fifty good sterling men.

A union has just been formed in Toledo, O., with a membership of twenty and with prospects of doubling that number in a short time.

A union has also been organized in Raymore, Mo., starting with thirty-five members. They have adopted one plan which it would be well for unions in small communities to follow. Each member is requested to give one book on the social topics of the day for the use of the members, and a librarian has been appointed to take charge of them. The secretary in writing of the union says: "Since our organization our people have manifested a marked interest in our union and have expressed themselves as having long recognized the need of something of the kind but had no plan of action. We have two churches in our village of four hundred inhabitants; the members of both are taking a live interest and find in the union a common ground of meeting where they can study the living questions of the day."

## CONSTITUTION.

The following constitution, submitted in July, 1894, has been adopted by the Local Unions for Practical Progress throughout the country, without a dissenting vote. Accordingly, it is hereby proclaimed the

### CONSTITUTION OF THE NATIONAL UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.

**I. Name.** — The name of this association shall be "THE UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS."

- II. *Purpose.* — Its purpose is to unite all moral forces, agencies and persons for concerted, methodical and persistent endeavor in behalf of the public good, and especially for the abolition of unjust social conditions.
- III. *Method.* — The Union aims to work, wherever possible, through existing organizations instead of forming new ones. It aims to secure the concerted action of the constructive elements of society once each month in opposition to some present-day evil or in the forwarding of some one positive reform measure, thus raising a definite moral issue every month and designating a common rallying ground for all friends of progress.
- IV. *Membership.* — Three or more persons of good character, if not infringing on the field of any previously organized Union, may form themselves into a local Union, and may become attached to the general organization by sending an application to the National Executive Committee, accompanied by one dollar registration fee.
- V. *Organization.* — The national organization of the Union for Practical Progress shall consist of the National Advisory Board, the National Executive Committee and the General Council. Each of these bodies shall organize in its own way and elect and prescribe the duties of its own officers.
- VI. *National Advisory Board.* — The National Advisory Board shall be composed of moral leaders of national reputation, twenty-five in number, who shall be named by the National Executive Committee. It shall choose the monthly topics for simultaneous discussion, and each member shall offer his best thought and advice to the National Executive Committee concerning all the affairs of the Union.
- VII. *National Executive Committee.* — The National Executive Committee shall consist of seven members, four of whom must reside in a single city. The Executive Committee shall have charge of the business of the Union. Every action of this Committee, however, shall be subject to veto at any time by a majority of the General Council. The Committee as a whole and every member thereof shall be subject to recall at any time by a majority vote of the General Council; otherwise the Committee shall fill its own vacancies and choose its own successors.
- VIII. *General Council.* — The General Council shall be the supreme power of the Union for Practical Progress. It shall consist of the two chief officers of every local union, and the two chief officers of each central conference or local body of delegates representing ten or more *bona fide* organizations. But in cities of more than fifty thousand inhabitants, where more than twenty organizations have delegates in the central body, there shall be four members each from the local union and the central conference.
- IX. *Voting.* — A request signed by the head officers of one fourth of the local unions shall necessitate a vote of the General Council upon any subject whatever, including an amendment to this constitution; and a majority vote of the General Council shall be decisive until reversed by another vote. Any local union, by making application to the National Executive Committee and paying costs, shall be entitled to receive a list of all the local unions attached to the general body.

---

## THE PEOPLE'S UNIVERSITY.

THE EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF THE UNION FOR PRACTICAL PROGRESS.

---

### CORRESPONDENCE COURSES.

Correspondence courses will be given in any department of study. Especial attention is given to the following valuable courses bearing on the higher ethics: 1, The New Political Economy; 2, The Social Ideals of the Hebrews; 3, The Social Ideals of Christianity; 4, The Evolution of the Modern Social Question; 5, Social Prophets, Past and Present, critically studied and compared; 6, Christian Socialism; 7, The Land Question — historical and theoretical; 8, The Evolution of the Family; 9, Money, and Mechanism of Exchange — historical and theoretical; 10, Money (brief course — three months). Each course is arranged to cover nine months' consecutive study, requiring about nine hours a week. In addition to all special communications the student will receive a monthly outline of work with a question to be answered in review of the previous month's work. The tuition fee for each course is uniformly ten dollars. If desired the secretary can secure the text books at wholesale prices. An extended outline of each course may be secured by application to the secretary.

People not able to undertake the heavy work of systematized study, can avail themselves of our reading courses. Any person registering with us and paying a fee of two dollars will be guided in their selection of books for one year's reading course. Classes or circles reading the same topics and dealing with us through their secretary, as a single individual, can take a course for the same fee.

All communications should be addressed to H. C. Vrooman, Secretary.

### LECTURE COURSES.

To facilitate speedy and systematic arrangements for a lecture course, please indicate under the months and evenings of the week, your first and second choice. The figure 1 under one or more months would indicate when the course might begin. Figure 2 would show the next choice and 3 the next. Your preference as to the evenings would be shown in the same way. Example:—

SEPT.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.
3	1	1	2		1	4	
	MON.	TUES.	WED.	THURS.	FRI.	SAT.	
	2	1	1		2	3.	

Next, go through each of the divisions of the following list and place the figure 1 against all lectures and entertainments which have your preference. Then go through once more, and place the figure 2 against those you would select in case your programme can not be filled out from those marked 1. When these reports come in, everything will be mapped out before the manager and he will know your wishes and to what extent they can be met. He will then report for your acceptance or rejection, a programme and terms. In case it is desired to keep this list for future reference, please copy the list so marked and send instead.

SEPT.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY.
MON.	TUES.	WED.	THURS.	FRI.	SAT.	SUN.		

Do not fail to state in your note how many and what kind of entertainments you desire, and about what sum you can raise.

A course of five monthly lectures may be given at a minimum of about sixty dollars, for five consecutive nights for much less money; while others, including stereopticon, vary from thirty to seventy-five dollars a single lecture, but these last figures are the exception.

We have no inferior talent on our list, but our workers' prices, owing to their interest in the work, are but a trifle above their travelling expenses.

1. We can give you *terms far more favorable than could otherwise be secured*. Appreciating and approving the purpose of the Union for Practical Progress, those whose names appear on the list have generally reduced their terms appreciably, thereby bringing within reach of those who desire, lecture courses of marked ability, and which cannot fail to give satisfaction.

2. By means of the People's University there is brought to your notice such an array and variety of talent to select from, and such an opportunity to fix upon convenient dates, as to save you a vast amount of trouble and correspondence and enable you to get more nearly what you want than would otherwise be possible.

3. While securing your own advantage you are at the same time assisting others to make use of like advantage. Because you have a lecture course, entertaining, instructive and elevating, it is made easier, not to say possible, for others to have one. The good you thus do extends far beyond your own community.

The necessity of prompt action is obvious. It is hoped that immediately on receipt of this, the attention of the Union for Practical Progress, the church, or other society with which you are associated, will be called to the plan set forth, and, if approved, a committee be appointed to arrange with the University for a lecture course.

That course can be as brief or extended as you may desire, and of suitable variety. We have in reserve talent adequate to all demands of large cities and colleges.

REV. H. C. VROOMAN,  
Secretary People's University.

17 Pierce Building, Boston, Mass.

### LECTURERS.

I. REV. JOHN B. KOEHNE. — Rev. S. W. McCorkle, moderator of Northwestern Pennsylvania Association of Congregational Churches, says: "The lecture on 'The New Aristocracy' is one of the most entertaining and thought-inspiring I ever heard.



I have listened with delight to Beecher, and many others, and I do not hesitate to say that Mr. Koehne has the elements of great success. Among the younger men on the American platform he stands well to the front."

A. McLean, Acting President Bethany College, West Virginia: "Mr. Koehne's lecture gave great and universal satisfaction. The audience listened with increasing interest for two full hours. Professors and students regard this lecture as one of the best ever heard in Bethany."

A. B. Miller, LL. D., President Waynesburg College, Pennsylvania: "One of the most original and impressive men on the platform of to-day."

Howard M. Ticknor, the well known Boston dramatic critic: "Mr. Koehne's diction is to be marked for its variety of illustration, its picturesque imagery, its native force and directness, these qualities uniting in the production of individual and striking addresses."

*Subjects:* 1, The Genius of Christ; 2, Christ and Reform; 3, Christ and Civilization; 4, Strikes and Progress (Labor); 5, The New Aristocracy. These lectures form a series for five successive nights; they are also given singly. 6, A Reply to Ingersoll.

II. HAMLIN GARLAND, author, poet, reformer. His lectures deal especially with economics and the cause of poverty. *Subjects:* 1, Poets and Reformers; 2, Living Issues; 3, Present-Day Reforms; 4, The Ethics of Modern Fiction.

III. PROF. D. S. HOLMAN, the celebrated microscopist of the Philadelphia Academy of Natural Science. His lectures on physical and biological science are illustrated by the tele-microscope, which projects upon a screen nearly all conceivable experiments. His wonderful instrument reveals the wonders of science on canvas, so that they can be understood by children. He explains all in a fascinating and scholarly manner. He can either give his feast in the wonderland of science, and present the objects of the new movement the same evening, or he can follow his scientific lecture by a social reform mass meeting the next night. *Subjects:* 1, Musical Tones made visible, and the Nature of Color; 2, Motion in Living Matter; 3, Motion in Not Living Matter; 4, The Circulation of the Blood; 5, Persistence of Vision; 6, The Constant Facial Angle in the Skulls of Animals.

IV. PROF. FRANK PARSONS, of the Boston Law School, author of "Our Country's Need," etc. *Subjects:* 1, Public Ownership of Monopolies; 2, What shall we do with the Slums?; 3, Poverty's Causes and its Cure; 4, The Liquor Traffic and the Gothenburg System; 5, The Initiative and Referendum; 6, Woman Suffrage; 7, Proportional Representation and Multiple Voting; 8, Sound Finance; 9, The Gospel of Industrial Redemption; 10, The Philosophy of Mutualism.

V. JOHN MITCHELL, a man of wide experience in the reform lecture work. All with stereopticon views. *Subjects:* 1, Heredity and Environment; 2, New Social Ideals; 3, Vampirism, or Man's Inhumanity to Man; 4, Woman's Rights and Privileges; 5, The Struggle for Bread and Shelter; 6, Poverty, its Cause and Cure; 7, Civic Duty; 8, The Aim and Method of the Union for Practical Progress; 9, The Nation's Shame (Temperance lecture); 10, The Commercial Phase (Temperance); 11, The Thermopylae of Reform (Temperance).

VI. REV. ALEXANDER KENT, pastor of the People's Church, Washington, D. C., is a strong, logical speaker, and an earnest worker in the radical social reform movement. He is conversant with all phases of the social problem.

VII. W. D. MCCrackan, M. A., author, Boston. Especially familiar with everything that relates to the Swiss methods of government, such as the referendum, the initiative and proportional representation. *Subjects:* 1, The Referendum and Initiative; 2, Proportional Representation; 3, The Land Question (Single Tax, with stereopticon); 4, The Life of the Swiss Peasantry; 5, Three Romantic Heroes: William Tell, Arnold Von Winkelried and Francois Bonivard.

VIII. REV. FLAVIUS J. BROBST. He has but recently stepped upon the American platform, but is winning his way to popularity and esteem. He invariably speaks without notes. *Subjects:* 1, The Summit of the Nineteenth Century; 2, The Power of the People, etc.

IX. WILLIAM JACKSON ARMSTRONG. General Miles, of the United States Army, says: "I have heard all the great speakers of the United States, and I place William Jackson Armstrong among the foremost. *Subjects:* 1, The Russian Nihilist; 2, The Industrial Question; 3, The Masses and the Millionaire; 4, Hard Times; 5, Gambetta and France; 6, Capital and Labor; 7, London Society.

X. MISS JOSEPHINE RAND, journalist and poet, is a good platform speaker. She presents the questions of the day in a masterly way. *Subjects:* 1, Wanted, Volunteers!—a Plea for Patriotism. A call to young men and women to enlist in the



cause of human rights; helpful suggestions as to how to set to work; existing conditions and the vital phases of the social problem. 2, Signs of the Times, or Present Conditions. Living facts and general statements concerning the dangers of the present and future; monopoly and its strong hold; to what it all tends. 3, Nationalism, or Possible Conditions. Coöperation and its beneficent results; lessons drawn from the "trusts" and "combines"; a nation's prosperity dependent upon the prosperity of its individual members; each for all, and all for each. 4, Ethical Side of the Labor Question. 5, The Problem of the Unemployed. Dealing with the land question and the money question, as being the underlying factors in the problem of the unemployed; also with state management of industry; shows the needlessness of present appalling distress. 6, The relation of the Church to Social Problems. Every social problem at bottom a religious problem; Christians bound to heed Christ's teachings; the pulpit the place to plead for a just and humane system of living; Christ's denunciation of the oppressor of the poor; His command to break every yoke. 7, Union for Practical Progress. A plea for the new movement.

XI. MRS. HARRIETTE C. KEATINGE, M. D., Sci. D. *Subjects*: 1, Physiological and Psychological Heredity; 2, The Great Predisposing Causes of Crime, and Some of the Remedies; 3, The Ethics of Suffrage; 4, Womanhood; 5, Law, Justice and Morals; 6, Intemperance; 7, Health, and How to Keep It.

XII. REV. HARRY C. VROOMAN, a man with a thorough grasp of social and economic literature and of wide experience in reform work. He is pastor of the Congregational Church at East Milton, Mass., and general secretary of National Executive Committee of the Union for Practical Progress. *Some subjects*: 1, Social Ideals of Christianity; 2, The Evolution of the Social Problem; 3, Present-Day Phases of Reform; 4, Christian Socialism. Given in a series or singly.

XIII. DIANA HIRSCHLER, secretary Union for Practical Progress at Philadelphia, Penn. *Subjects*: 1, The Union for Practical Progress; 2, Social Problems.

XIV. REV. J. H. LARRY, pastor of Richmond Street Church, Providence, R. I., active in Christian sociology. *Subjects*: 1, The Mission of Machinery; 2, Money; 3, The Slavery of To-day. Illustrated Lectures: 1, From Nile to Nazareth; 2, Land of Scott.

XV. REV. EDWARD T. ROOT, pastor of Congregational Church, Baltimore. *Subjects*: 1, The Cause of Poverty; 2, Christian Citizenship; 3, Christian Socialism; 4, Union of Moral Forces; 5, Men in the Churches — a discussion of the causes for the small proportion of men in the churches.

XVI. PERCY M. REESE. — "There is but one opinion at Chautauqua concerning these lectures and that is that they have never been surpassed by any illustrated lectures given here. Mr. Reese possesses all the requisites of a successful lecturer — a voice of great clearness and carrying power, which was heard without difficulty in remotest corners of the new amphitheatre; a clear, incisive, deliberate style and an almost faultless enunciation, making it a pleasure to listen to him. He is a careful student of art history, a discriminating critic, a cultivated and interesting lecturer on art topics, and an enthusiast and an authority upon the whole subject of Roman and Italian history, ancient, mediæval and modern, to the investigation of which he has devoted years of study and travel." — *Editorial Chautauqua Assembly Herald, Chautauqua, N. Y., the official organ of the Chautauqua Assembly.*

*Subjects*: (With Stereopticon Views.) 1, Ancient Rome and the Cæsars. The great size and population of Imperial Rome. Views and maps of Ancient Rome. Matchless records left and late additions to them. 2, Early Christian Rome and the Catacombs. Everlasting attraction of Rome. We must go outside the walls and *underground*, for traces of the first three hundred years of Christianity in Rome. The Campagna and its wonderful, solemn beauty. The Appian Way and its tombs. Catacombs. First Christian arrivals in Rome. Paul's school and converts in light of Lanciani's late excavations. The earliest picture (caricature) of the Crucifixion. Comparison of earliest Christian with Pagan epitaphs. The Symbols. Nero and the persecutions. Marvellous growth. *Seven million graves, and six hundred miles of galleries.* Views of chapels, altars and slabs. The Sarcophagi and their sculptured scenes from Holy Writ. 3, Mediæval Rome and St. Peter's. 4, A Glimpse of Rome as She is To-day. 5, A Roman Mosaic. A few items of the world's debt to Rome in the fine arts. 6, Social Economics in Rome and in America (without Stereopticon Views). An address (without pictures) on the lessons furnished us, in the causes that led to the decline and fall of the all-powerful Roman Empire; showing that very many of the evils and problems which are perplexing nineteenth century civilization had their counterparts, and proceeded to their natural consequences in Imperial Rome; and that in study and profit by the warnings of history, lies a strong defence against the almost inexorable tendency of history to repeat itself.

**XVII. PROF. THOMAS E. WILL, A. M.**, professor of political economy at Kansas State Agricultural College, formerly secretary of Boston U. P. P. *Subjects*: The Union for Practical Progress, and all phases of scientific economic problems. Singly or in courses.

**XVIII. REV. WALTER VROOMAN** speaks extemporaneously on every subject relating to social reform. Is accustomed to out of door meetings and large assemblages. He has had considerable experience as an organizer.

**XIX. REV. R. M. WEBSTER** of Los Angeles, Cal., is a man imbued with the higher ethics of our time, a clear thinker and a good speaker. He treats all phases of practical social reform.

**XX. COL. RICHARD J. HINTON**, soldier, author and orator; is one of the most eloquent of our reformers. *Subjects*: 1, The Nazarene and the Laborer; 2, Walt Whitman; 3, Money and Misery; 4, Public Functions and Public Ownership; 5, Karl Marx's Place in History; 6, Labor as a Commodity; 7, Opportunity and Unity — A Policy for True Democracy.

**XXI. REV. PERRY MARSHALL**, a man thoroughly conversant with all the ethical phases of the social question. *Subjects*: 1, The Problem of the Unemployed — Public Ownership; 2, Temperance and Monopolies; 3, Travels in Britain; 4, Travels in the Low Countries; 5, Travels in Italy.

---

## THE NATIONAL TREASURER'S APPEAL FOR ONE DOLLAR PLEDGES.

The National Union for Practical Progress has accomplished an encouraging amount of substantial work during the past year, and it is steadily attracting to its ranks the reform elements in the different cities of the Union, and is growing both numerically and in its hold upon the interests of the people.

Among the measures which the Union for Practical Progress has agitated and brought before the people and the different legislatures, are the sweating system, measures for the relief and employment in public works of the unemployed, and parks and playgrounds for children.

We are glad to learn that the president of the Baltimore Union for Public Good, Mr. Charles J. Bonaparte, who is also a member of the executive committee of the National Union for Practical Progress, has drafted a bill against the sweating evil, and with the aid of the Union and Arena Auxiliary Club the measure has become a law. The Union and Auxiliary were also instrumental in securing a law against child labor.

The agitation of the sweating system in Philadelphia has been carried on so vigorously by our Philadelphia Union under the splendid and effective direction of Miss Diana Hirschler, that we entertain strong hopes that a bill will pass at the next session. Many columns have been given to this work in the Philadelphia papers, and a strong public sentiment has been worked up.

From all parts of the land come calls for organizers and literature. The harvest is white, but the money required to put the earnest and willing workers into the vineyard is wanting. In view of what has been done, and keeping in mind the gravity of social and economic conditions to-day, we feel that this cause should appeal with especial pertinency to the minds of all who are concerned for the welfare of civilization and the progress of moral ideas. We feel it our duty to put the matter with considerable urgency before the reform and social and Christian elements in our community, because there are so many conditions in our social state that demand immediate remedial measures, and threaten grave social developments if too long neglected.

We do not ask anything unreasonable, but wish to submit a plan with which almost every reader of these lines can comply, and which will enable us to put lecturers and organizers in the field and supply various cities and towns with literature, so that within a year we shall have a union of the moral forces in every town and village from the Atlantic to the Pacific. We earnestly desire the reader to bear in mind that these lines are addressed

### TO YOU.

The plan is as follows: We desire you to send in at once your pledge to pay one dollar to the National Educational Fund, to enable us to put organizers and lecturers in the field immediately and to keep them there, and to distribute literature giving

directions for the formation of unions and outlining work. We earnestly urge *you* to fill out the following blank. You will not be called on for the money until *one thousand* pledges have been received. If you desire to pledge more than one dollar we believe it will be the best disbursement of money you will ever make, because we believe it will go farther toward hastening the New Day than if expended in any other way.

### The Subscription Pledge.

I hereby subscribe one dollar to the Fund for the National Lectureship of the Union for Practical Progress, and will pay the same on demand when the National Treasurer shall have received one thousand similar subscriptions.

I also hereby agree to pay one dollar annually to the same subscription fund.

*Signed* .....

*City* .....

*Street Number* .....

*County* .....

*State* .....

When you have filled out your pledge and forwarded it to us, see if you cannot get some friend to follow your example.\* If they know you have signed and forwarded your pledge, it will have a good influence on them. There is nothing like showing faith by works. The Arena office has opened this subscription by signing for twenty one-dollar pledges.

Now friends, in the name of the great republic, in the name of peace and a higher civilization, in the name of human brotherhood and for the cause of justice and progress, will you not help us to the extent of at least one dollar?

---

\* We will send as many blanks as you desire.

## NOTES ON CURRENT EVENTS.

---

### **The Amazing Decision against Mr. Debs.**

THE action of Judge Woods in condemning Mr. Debs is one of the most serious blows which the republic has received in years. It will make tens of thousands of justice-loving men and women feel unutterable contempt for our courts. It has established a precedent as fatal to liberty and justice as the ascendancy of the despots in the communes of Italy was fatal to the republics they subjected. It is an outrage the proportions of which cannot at present be measured.

The conservative Springfield (Mass.) *Republican* is more far-sighted than most of the capitalistic journals of this country. It trembles for its plutocratic friends, when the people shall elect governors, presidents and judges; for it sees how this precedent may be used with telling effect on the arrogant capitalists when outraged industry comes into power.

A very important thing concerning this decision is the attitude taken by Republican and Democratic papers. With the exception of the Springfield *Republican* (Independent Democratic), the old party organs have upheld the extraordinary decision of this judge. Never again can these parties go before the industrial millions of America with the hypocritical cry that they are the friends of labor. Their attitude since the opening of the Chicago strike, expressed through their organs, brands them as the vassals of plutocracy in its murderous assault upon the rights of the poor man.

There is nothing to choose between the Democratic administration — with its erstwhile trust and corporation attorney filling the seat of attorney general and refusing to prosecute the criminals who are heads of trusts and who are also millionnaires, while during the labor troubles he promptly follows the course which the railroad monopolists would have dictated if they and not President

Cleveland had selected the attorney-general — and the party of John Sherman, whose press has proved, if possible, more subservient to plutocracy than that of the Democratic party.

The workingman who ever again votes for either of these parties, which during recent years have vied with each other in registering the decrees of plutocracy or parroting whatever cause is put into their mouths by the capitalistic class, richly deserves to be forever a bond slave. These are strong words, but not one whit too strong in the presence of a republic tricked and betrayed by demagogues, and now the fettered slave of a soulless plutocracy.

The liberty-loving people of America should give Mr. Debs, when he comes out of prison, such an ovation as no man has had in the history of the nation, for the cause of human rights and the honor of the republic if for no other reason. So fundamental and important to the cause of organized labor is the Debs case, that I give below, as a matter of record, the protests of the Springfield *Republican* (Independent Democratic), the *Rocky Mountain Daily News* (People's Party), the *Voice* (Prohibition) and the *Twentieth Century* (People's Party), as given by the *Literary Digest* : —

*A Grave Abuse of Power.* — Now men either have a right to organize such a strike as that of last summer or they have not. If they have no such right — if the law concedes no such right — then ample provision was at hand in existing law to meet the emergency and punish the leaders in the regular way. What business, then, had a court of equity in the field with a restraining order? But such acts of labor unions have been common for years; therefore, if the law makes no provision for the case, it is tantamount to saying the law would not interfere, and so a court of equity, again, has no business to set up its restraining authority. Either on one or the other of these alternative propositions Judge Woods' court must plant itself. He admits as much, and chooses the first. There was law enough in the case, and that law was the anti-trust act. But is this the way to enforce law? Do any one of the thousands of statutes of the land offer cause for injunctions for whose violation men are to be imprisoned? Is this interstate

commerce law to be enforced in this way and railroad magnates jailed for contempt without a trial?

This is as grave an infringement of constitutional rights as the ship money of Charles I. or the taxation without representation of George III. Both these abuses sheltered themselves under a plea of precedent—so does this one. By implication, says Judge Woods, the Constitution gives to courts the right to punish for contempt. By implication! *Fatal phrase, through which countless abuses have stolen authority in church and state.* . . .

Such a measure as this sentence is not only a wrong, but is full of danger. It puts a weapon in the hands of the judiciary which can and will be turned with deadly effect against the capitalist whenever a party of labor shall elect governors and presidents and judges. *It shakes the popular confidence in the justice of our institutions which is our sheet anchor in times of excitement.* Just as the good sense and firmness of the American people put down the revolt at Chicago, so, though by a different method, must this assault on liberty by judicial action be condemned and reversed. — *The Republican (Ind.), Springfield.*

*Judicial Encroachment on Law-Making Power.*—Whatever judges may think of their right to apply the law of 1890 to strikers on railways, there is not a school boy who does not know that Congress in enacting it never contemplated such a result. The clear-cut purpose of the framers, disclosed in the speeches of senators and representatives, and in every word and line of the act, was to prohibit combinations of capital from obstructing and impairing the commerce of the country. The judge practically acknowledged this in his decision; but hangs his distortion of the law upon a thread so slender that at a glance the profession must see that he violates every canon of construction familiar to it in doing so.

Debs' council contended that the law of 1890 was directed at capital and to prevent the dangers resulting from vast aggregations of capital used in restraint of trade, and was not intended to operate against any organizations of labor in any form. The judge admits that this is true, so far as the definite language of the act is concerned, but concluding the sentence are the words, "or otherwise," and these he contends makes the act a blanket to cover strikes as well as capitalistic combines, as intended to take the common wage-earners of the land by the throat when they attempt a strike, no matter what the oppression may be which drove them to it.

The truth is, to meet what they conceive to be the necessities developed by the late strike, some courts have legislated more than Congress. Under the pretense of construing laws, they have enacted them; they have made common laws and acts of Congress Procrustean beds upon which labor has been stretched, and when it proved too short to suit their measure, they have stretched it with the torture, and when too long they have cut it off, head, legs and all, to make it fit.

If serious trouble shall grow out of the disputes between capital and labor, the courts must be

held responsible for a great share of it. The settlement of such controversies should be left to the people, who will speak through the legislative bodies. The encroachment of the judiciary upon the rights of the law-making power neither adds to the dignity of courts nor to securing permanent and lasting peace.—*The News (Populist), Denver.*

*A Fundamental Right Threatened.*—The conviction of Debs and his associate leaders in the Chicago railroad strike and their sentence to six months' imprisonment are to us unexpected and ominous events. A somewhat careful reading of Judge Woods' decision leaves us with the impression that what it amounts to is just this: It is henceforth a crime to conduct a strike, if disturbance and disorder grow out of it in any manner whatever. . . . The question whether men have a right, by concerted arrangement, to quit work, is entirely different from the question whether they can rob, steal, burn, pillage or assault. The men have the same right to quit work that their employer has to hire other men. If the employer exercises his right, it may result in violence; but the men guilty of the violence, and not the employer, are the ones to be punished. Just so of the right to quit work. It may result in disturbance; but the disturbers, and not those who quit work and who were in so doing clearly within their rights as free citizens, are the ones to be punished. Mr. Debs and his associates did not, so far as we can discern, commit or counsel violence. They were exercising their rights; and it was not their business, but the business of the constituted authorities, to see that their exercise of those rights should not be made by others the occasion for disorder. The decision, if not reversed by a higher court, marks an important change in the fundamental rights of American citizenship.—*The Voice (Prohibition), New York.*

Though the strike commissioners have exonerated the Railroad Union for any participation in the riot and bloodshed at Chicago, the railway magnates have their revenge in the sentencing of Debs to six months' imprisonment, and the rest of the officers of the Railway Union to three months. Having their own tools on the bench, justice had no part in the sentencing of Debs and his companions, and the petty spite, which their sentencing gratifies, may be dearly bought.—*The Twentieth Century (People's Party), New York.*

I would suggest that our readers preserve these editorial protests for future reference.

B. O. F.

### Let the People Demand the Election of United States Senators by Direct Vote.

It is idle to say, when men like Addicks and Elkins are attempting to buy United States senatorships, that they are as able and as honorable as the average politician. Even if that were true it would only strengthen the case against a sys-



tem under which the average politician with money behind him can buy a senatorship by buying a few corrupt votes constituting a balance of power in an irresponsible caucus. — *Editorial, New York World, Dec. 31, 1894.*

The *World* is right, and the proper way to check this grave evil is to elect United States senators by the direct vote of the people. But we call the special attention of our readers to the kind of laws which are likely to emanate from such men as Addicks and Elkins. Plutocracy is as much in the saddle in the Republican party as it is in the trust-governed cabinet of our Democratic administration.

B. O. F.

### A Prominent Banker on the Capitalistic Press.

I wish to invite the careful attention of those who question the accuracy of the statement that the gold power has acquired a hold upon the press of the East which is under the domination of both the old parties, to the following thoughtful words of Mr. William T. St. John, president of the Mercantile National Bank of New York, delivered before the banking committee on the currency question, in the House of Representatives, on Dec. 15, 1894.

In the course of his remarks Mr. St. John said: "There is a very widespread unrest of opinion on this topic and the allied topic called the silver question. Even in New York and New England public opinion is under a newspaper terrorism. In New York men who agree with me fully, and I know many of them of considerable worth, prefer to keep silent for the present. *Any nobody who will write a lot of nothings adverse to silver money will be accorded certain newspaper space and be dignified into a great authority.* Any rejoinder if complete, and the more complete the more certainly, is denied a limited space. Then, too, certain newspapers shield their readers against intelligence and cow them out of any determined convictions they might indulge. As an instance, Mr. Horace White in the *Evening Post*, a few weeks ago, quoted at length from the London *Economist* one Rawlinson's criticism of Manchester's complaint

of England's gold monometallism as relating Manchester to India. The complete rejoinder of two weeks later in the *Economist* — a compilation of facts that refuted Rawlinson totally — has never been mentioned by the *Evening Post*."

The above arraignment does not come from the region which the *capitalistic press* is pleased to denominate "the wild and woolly West," or from that region of the country which with the same contemptuous arrogance the slaves of the Rothschilds characterize as "the unreconstructed South." These are the words of *the president of one of the most influential banks in New York*, the words of the man who began an extensive investigation of the money question for the purpose of being able to refute the alleged fallacy of the position taken by the greenbackers and the advocates of silver, but who after investigation came to see that the policy of the usurer class was wrecking the prosperity of the republic. And although selfish motives would have prompted him to act with his brother bankers in their conspiracy against the industrial millions, Mr. St. John proved himself to be a patriot rather than one who would profit by the misery of millions. His testimony is entitled to weight. It is merely another confirmation of a fact, which we have more than once pointed out, that the capitalistic press throughout the country, is the tool of the Bank of England, the money lenders and the Wall Street gamblers.

B. O. F.

### The Infamous Financial Legislation of the Past Thirty Years.

The New York *Recorder* of Jan. 2, 1895, says editorially: "What a fearful price Mr. Cleveland has compelled the country to pay in order to prove that he knows nothing about political economy or finance."

Right, Mr. *Recorder*! But you have overlooked the fact that, up to the time of the presentation of the Carlisle monstrosity, Mr. Cleveland had faithfully followed in the footsteps of his predecessor, Mr. Harrison; each of these presidents proving alike faithful in obeying

ites of Wall Street and taking  
 lers from the banking oligarchy  
 ca. It was under Mr. Harrison's  
 ration and not under Mr. Cleve-  
 at the infamous decision was  
 at in the treasury whereby the  
 mitting the secretary of the  
 to redeem treasury notes in  
 silver was constructed in the  
 of the Shylocks to mean gold  
 and Mr. Cleveland has merely fol-  
 ithfully along the beaten path  
 by Mr. Harrison. Let no man  
 the fact that President Har-  
 st secretary of the treasury made  
 ions to issue bonds before Presi-  
 rison's term ended. The infamy  
 and issue rests with both parties.  
 ver, the *Recorder* should remem-  
 it was under the Republican and  
 er the Democratic party that  
 as demonetized. The crime of  
 the government upon an abso-  
 old basis, it is true, was perpe-  
 / Mr. Cleveland and his secretary  
 reasury, J. G. Carlisle; but the  
 eps were taken in the heyday of  
 an power. The financial crimes  
 ed in the days of prosperity,  
 e usurer class gained ascendancy  
 nbacks were destroyed, and later  
 ver was demonetized, have been  
 ed by Republicans and Demo-  
 ce. But as the Republican party  
 in power the greater length of  
 as been more culpable than the  
 tic party in the carrying out of  
 strous financial legislation which  
 aged the condition of millions of  
 om that of prosperity, hope and  
 s to poverty, misery and despair;  
 has enabled a special class to  
 immensely rich and favored indi-  
 to become multi-millionnaires.  
 portant fact the people are be-  
 to recognize, and the day of  
 it for both the recreant parties  
 d.

B. O. F.

ton Herald and Plutocracy's  
 s.

received the following letter,  
 a justice to the writer, no less  
 an illustration to the methods of

the Boston *Herald*, I publish in full, with  
 comments upon the same: —

DEAR SIR: Your comments upon a Boston  
*Herald* editorial of November 3 (pages 34-5 of  
 your December issue), do that paper an injustice.  
 I am the correspondent referred to. I got the  
 impression, from a perhaps somewhat hasty  
 reading of your article, that the armories of this  
 and other states have been erected largely, or  
 entirely, at private expense. Upon looking over  
 the article again I saw that my impression was  
 not correct, but I assure you that I did not in-  
 tend to deceive anybody. I thought that the first  
 editorial of the *Herald* had omitted a fact of  
 important bearing, and I wished to call attention  
 to that fact.

At any rate, however much I may be to blame  
 the *Herald* certainly made no attempt to mis-  
 lead its readers, and you ought to take back what  
 you have said in that connection.

A reformer, of all persons in the world, should  
 confine himself to statements he can prove;  
 if possible to statements that are self-evi-  
 dent. It may be that all the leading newspapers  
 in the country are under "capitalistic" influ-  
 ences entirely; but I think the fact is about as  
 well established as the (A. P. A.) allegation, that  
 their editorial utterances are revised by Jesuits.

I was impressed by your article, but, upon re-  
 flection, I am inclined to think you have done  
 more harm than good by its publication.

P. S. I am sure, after reading this month's  
 remarks, that you are doing more harm than  
 good.

Our readers will, I think, agree with  
 me in regarding the above letter as a  
 most astonishing document. But there  
 are some points to which I wish to call  
 special attention.

First, in regard to our correspondent —  
 who, it will be noticed, on mature deliber-  
 ation, concludes that my publishing  
*facts* regarding the relation of certain  
 plutocratic bastiles to the people, and the  
 real menace to the republic found in  
 rapidly growing militia, under present  
 conditions, concludes that I am doing  
 more harm than good. Our correspond-  
 ent reads the article about which he is  
 to write to a daily which has already  
 shown its disposition to treat the article  
 unfairly by deliberately avoiding men-  
 tion of the *facts adduced* upon which  
 the conclusions were based, and yet is so  
 careless in his reading that he represents  
 THE ARENA as saying that "The armor-  
 ies of the state were erected mostly, or  
 entirely, at private expense," when in  
 reality, I had laid such special emphasis  
 on the fact that it was particular armor-



ies in certain states on which plutocracy seemed to be concentrating its power, and apparently for a special purpose, that one would surely think the most cursory reading would not give the impression that I stated as a fact something which was not true, and which in reality was exactly the reverse of what I had emphasized. I was careful to show that it was a certain armory in Massachusetts and another in New York which were erected by individuals for crack regiments. It is certainly difficult to excuse any one for the culpable carelessness shown in making a writer responsible for a statement which was untrue and calculated to discredit both the editor and THE ARENA with those who were not acquainted with the magazine, especially when the writer in question is communicating with a journal which had proved itself manifestly unfair in its treatment of the article in question.

But the astounding part of the letter is found in the writer's statement that "The *Herald* made no attempt to mislead its readers, and you ought to take back what you have said in that connection."

Let us look at this case a moment. The *Herald* had previously devoted almost a column to an attack on the article, in which it had very carefully avoided giving its readers anything like a just, fair or intelligent idea of my paper, but had instead, in my judgment, conveyed a thoroughly false impression of its character. Some days later it published in the first editorial column this editorial:—

A correspondent complains that in commenting upon an article entitled "Bastiles of the Plutocracy" some time since the *Herald* failed to make mention that this article stated that the armories of the State were "erected mostly, or entirely, at private expense, the contributions, of course, coming from wealthy people," and he asks us what significance we attach to this fact. We do not know to what extent this statement is true, but, if such is the case, we are free to say that in aiding the citizen soldiery of the state the wealthy people, who are part of its membership, made an excellent use of their money.

It will be seen that the above editorial incorporates the absolutely false statement of the contributor, republished as though it had actually been made in the article on "Plutocracy's Bastiles," which

the *Herald* had previously assailed so savagely. The readers of the *Herald* who knew nothing of THE ARENA would naturally infer that the reliability of the correspondent was known to the editor or he would not have incorporated it in an editorial about an article which his paper had elaborately noticed a few days previous; and therefore the editorial could not fail to cast discredit upon THE ARENA and its editor among readers of the *Herald* who had any confidence in that journal, if they were unacquainted with THE ARENA, and if they were not intelligent enough to know that the statement attributed to me was false. Now, when the *Herald* will show that it did not intentionally attempt to injure me and my review, by giving editorial prominence to a correction of this false statement in as marked a manner as it gave the statement which discredited THE ARENA, I shall be delighted to publish its apology.

I do not say that all the papers in the land are capitalistic, but there are certain papers about which there can be no doubt when it comes to the question of their fidelity to plutocracy, and in this connection I urge all readers to peruse the striking language of Mr. St. John, the New York banker, given elsewhere.

B. O. F.

### It is Anarchy!

In spite of the efforts of the plutocratic conspiracy which controls the press of the country, and distorts every fact to suit their own purposes, or else entirely suppresses any reports whose publicity would cause public indignation and outcry against their machinations for the creation of a class in this country, which shall rule by money and force, we are making too much history, and making it too rapidly to-day, for all of it to be entirely suppressed; and the thinking few in all classes are beginning to understand the significance of certain factors in our contemporary social and political life. Every now and again, too, something slips into one of the papers which shows that even the plutocrats are not Argus-eyed and cannot always control their

minions. Then, too, ambition often causes dissension among the plutocrats themselves; and as one of the great powers which is used to govern our modern democracy in these days is the press, we occasionally find that some ambitious man, who controls a great newspaper, will use it to frighten the plutocrats themselves if the whim so takes him. There could be no greater agency than a free press — a press which would stand for all that is highest and best in civilized life, for the purity of the ballot, for the dignity of politics, for law, order and the best social state possible with the resources of civilized man; and a dozen such papers in contemporary America would precipitate a revolution that would completely overturn the present degraded state of politics and public life, of injustice in the courts, of a degraded senate and a debauched judiciary, the creature of plutocracy. But it would be a quiet and bloodless revolution, for a public indignation so strong would be created, that the plutocracy would shrink into the insignificance that mere money always holds when it comes to a conflict between the rights of a great people and the mere schemes of gold bugs and usurers.

The New York *World* is the nearest approach in contemporary journalism to a powerful paper that exercises a measure of freedom and independence in its expression of opinions upon passing events. But the *World* is more erratic than independent, and has unfortunately no consistent policy of reform. It would be very difficult for anybody to construct a theory which would with any certainty indicate what the *World's* opinions might be upon any given question, say the conflict between capital and labor, six months hence. But even this vacillation is instructive and educative to those readers who are intelligent enough to sift facts out of newspapers and form their own opinions.

In its issue of Dec. 25, 1894, the *World*, in commenting upon the extraordinary action of the New York Central Railroad in barricading and garrisoning its tracks, has the following significant words:—

In barricading its tracks near Caledonia and garrisoning the barricade with an armed force, the New York Central Railroad is setting a very bad example to some who are already sufficiently prone to violence. No corporation ought to be allowed either to begin or to threaten private war in the state of New York. IT IS ANARCHY.

There is very little need for us to emphasize the significance of this paragraph on the editorial page of the *World*, which we must presume was duly and properly considered by the Editor of the *World* before it was printed in a prominent position in such a place as the editorial page. The *World* sums up the whole situation in that last pertinent, piquant, forceful and epigrammatic sentence, "It is anarchy."

We have quoted this statement of the *World* here, because we wish to make a permanent record of what is a startling and novel opinion — as plutocratic journalism is at present conducted. Besides there may be trouble at some future time between the railroads and the labor unions, and we may again see the New York Central, or some other railroad, or combination of railroads, calling out Pinkerton thugs, or enrolling private troops of their own, when it may be necessary to remind the labor unions and the capitalistic press, and perhaps even the New York *World* itself, that such action is ANARCHY!

Of course the *World* is not at all beside the mark in this opinion; for if there is one thing under our form of government which it is not permissible to do, it is this employing private troops, or private armed constables, owing no allegiance to city or state, to levy private war either upon citizens or upon the state. It would be well if railroad managers' associations, in calling upon the Attorney General and the United States courts for consultations and injunctions to put a stop to conventions of striking labor unions — which simply convened to call men out on strike — would remember that it is vastly more illegal to arm men to carry on private war in any state in the union than it is to burn every railroad depot in the country. Of course the latter is criminal, and should be punished; but the arming of private troops is levying

war against the state—it is treason, ANARCHY! It transcends the provisions and prescriptions of the Criminal Code, and becomes that more heinous offence of treason, civil war.

In the late strike in Chicago, the railway managers were devoured with a patriotic horror of treason; and it is eminently fitting that the public should contrast their professed love of peace when using all the engines of the United States government then, and the readiness with which they show their disposition to prepare to rush into baronial warfare only a few months later. This, as the *New York World* says, is anarchy; and we leave this phrase to tingle in the minds of all intelligent readers.

W. B. H.

### Labor and Politics.

It seems that there is already upon the statute book of the state of New York, an arbitration law which would, had it been resorted to, doubtless have obviated much of the misery suffered by the operatives in the cloakmakers' strike. But the manufacturers refused to make any concessions to the New York arbitration law, and in fact, would not hear of arriving at an amicable arrangement with their help through any such resort. As a consequence, it was a very bitter Christmas for a great many starving families of the locked-out cloakmakers.

If the labor unions only act wisely, however, the future is with them. But there can never be any future in America for labor, until such ridiculous dissensions as were witnessed at the convention of federated labor in Denver are outgrown and done away with. A conven-

tion of federated labor, which decides that labor shall not enter politics as an independent factor, is first and last for the rights of labor for the laborer's rights alone, cannot achieve any lasting or permanent benefit for the mass of working men.

The trouble with the industrial classes has always been that they have been exploited by the legal and capital classes in politics; and until labor politics independent of all present affiliations, capital, with its superorganization, standing always first for the interests of capital and second for the interests of labor, can always afford to laugh at the efforts of labor. The only remedy for labor can come from the hearty and intelligent undivided support of leaders of labor and intelligence in its own ranks can never be a most effective organization of labor for the procuring of permanent benefit, while there is so much dissension in the ranks of organized labor and so many petty jealousies among the leaders.

It would be well for labor men to consider this. Capital does not knife laborers to please some petty cabal. The reason why capital seduces so many laborers who begin life as reformers, is that capital is grateful for services rendered. Labor leaders, who aspire to rise upon the shoulders of their fellows, and labor leaders who betray the cause of labor for the gratification of capital, only play into the hands of capital, and eventually contribute not only their own ruin, but the ruin of the cause of labor.

W.

# NOTES AND ANNOUNCEMENTS.

---

## A NEW VOLUME OF THE ARENA.

THE ARENA since its enlargement and the addition of numerous pictures printed on heavy plate paper and used as inserts, makes too unwieldy a volume when six issues are bound together. We have therefore decided to make four volumes instead of two each year. The March ARENA will therefore open the twelfth volume and will be specially strong. We have several important and attractive features in contemplation which will prove as popular as they are unique. The number of subscriptions for THE ARENA received during the past three months have been much greater than at any corresponding period during the history of the magazine, proving the hold this review has obtained upon thinking people throughout the length and breadth of the republic. A literary lady living in California writes enthusiastically of THE ARENA. "It is," she says, "the only unmuzzled magazine of our time." THE ARENA is the largest review published in the English-speaking world. It is the only free lance among the great reviews, and it is our determination to increase its excellence with each succeeding issue.

### The Arena's Art Gallery of Thinkers.

We would like to call the attention of our readers to the advertisement of the Art Gallery of eminent thinkers in another part of this magazine, which we are sure will interest all readers of the best in contemporary literature. It is natural for readers to like to know something of the personality and individual characteristics of the writers and thinkers who contribute to their entertainment and instruction, and who have a hold upon their affections and sympathy. This Gallery includes many portraits which are not obtainable from any other source in this country. A great deal of time and trouble and money has been expended in getting together a thoroughly representative collection of persons whose thought and work means the most to the thinking men and women of our day. The pictures are all new, and specially taken for this Gallery, and many of them are of eminent persons, such as Prof. Max Müller and Count Leo Tolstoi, whose portraits are not for sale anywhere in America, and which have been obtained through the editorial department of THE ARENA, which has at different times commanded the pens of the greatest and most preëminent thinkers in contemporary science, literature, criticism and speculative thought.

This desire to possess a counterfeit presentment of men and women whose thought means much to one, is not by

any means due to an instinct of mere curiosity, but has its root impulse among the highest and finest and most human of our emotions. We often hear it said that the modern desire for biographical details and the possession of pictures of eminent people, is in some way derogatory to our modern life and that it has degenerated into a low species of curiosity. There is, of course, much that is reprehensible in the press; but the desire for portraits is human and humanizing and uplifting. It supplies ideals in many lives needing some such impulse to the formation of character. And this desire is not peculiar to modern life, for the best portraits that were ever made in the world were made in marble and granite in ancient Egypt and ancient Greece and Rome; and there is no reason why, with the modern inventions in photography and photogravure and engraving, every person should not have a gallery full of beautiful and inspiring and thoughtful human beings about him, which will form an ideal of human character for his own continual contemplation and that of his children. It is, in fact, true that there are some great books we can never thoroughly understand and grasp their deeper and more subtle meanings until we have looked long and sympathetically upon the portrait and read the character and life of the writer in the lines of his face.

The Arena Gallery comprises forty of the most eminent and most interesting

figures in the contemporary world of thought and reform and progression: and we can think of nothing which would more admirably furnish either a private or public reading room or library than some of these beautiful photogravures framed on the walls. Every young man forming a library, no matter how small it may be, should have a few artistically framed portraits of men and women connected with literature and art and all that is greatest and noblest in human thought, hanging among the books. Librarians in small towns, who are in the position to know how to make the most of their resources and to make their libraries cosy and attractive, will do well to look over the list of names in THE ARENA's Art Gallery. Here are forty fine pictures, every one of them worth framing, and many of them are pictures of the men whose work means most to the young men and women of the coming generation, who, considering the vast amount of trashy literature published, need to be kept in touch with all that is highest and truest in contemporary literature. In this connection, it is well to say that only men and women whose work has a distinct ethical purpose and who are striving, in higher literature or religious thought, or reform thought or science, to aid humanity, are included in this Gallery. Mere dilettante writers who turn out the familiar trash about mediæval castles and gory romances have no place in this Gallery.

The names include such men and women as Max Müller, Count Leo Tolstoi, Ralph Waldo Emerson, Robert Browning, John Greenleaf Whittier, Walt Whitman, Oliver Wendell Holmes, James Russell Lowell, Charles Darwin, Victor Hugo, Gerald Massey, Rev. Dr. Lyman Abbott, Alfred Tennyson, Alfred Russel Wallace, Richard A. Proctor, Camille Flammarion, Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Helen H. Gardener, Helen Campbell, Frances E. Willard, Countess of Aberdeen, Lady Henry Somerset, Mrs. Louise Chandler Moulton, Hamlin Garland, William Ordway Partridge, Will Allen Dromgoole, and others.

### The Campaign for Equal Rights.

The Kentucky Equal Rights annual convention held recently at Lexington, Ky., was very successful. Many excellent papers were read and discussed. The plan of work laid out for the coming year looks as if the members would have ample time in preparing for the general assembly in 1896.

A new plan was suggested to interest men and women on the "age of protection" for girls. A certain amount of money was subscribed by the auxiliary associations to carry on this work, and it was decided to place in the hands of each of the one hundred thirty-eight members of the general assembly a copy of Helen Gardener's book, "Pray You, Sir, Whose Daughter?"

Mrs. S. G. Humphreys had previously been commissioned to communicate with the author on the subject, and much enthusiasm was aroused by her report. Helen Gardener notified her that she had instructed her publishers to furnish "Pray You, Sir, Whose Daughter?" *for that purpose* to Suffrage or White-Ribbon or other reform organizations, minus all royalty to her. The Arena Publishing Company then did what it could to help along the campaign of education by declining any profit to itself where the book was to be used in such a way and for such work, and so it was furnished to the organization at the exact cost of its making. The Kentucky women and those of the other states where this has been done recognize in THE ARENA a friend indeed. They sent a bunch of flowers all the way to Boston to Helen Gardener with a card inscribed "To Helen H. Gardener, the grandest woman the South has produced," and to the editor of THE ARENA they wrote, "You are woman's friend always, and therefore humanity's champion."

### Congressman Bryan on the Currency Plan.

Mr. William Jennings Bryan, whose trenchant criticism and analysis of the president's currency plan appears in this issue and will create widespread discussion throughout the country, is one of



the younger men in Congress, about whose future all sorts of predictions and rumors are rife. That he is an able opponent, friends and foes alike reading this article must admit; and since politics is like racing — a perilous field for the prophet, unless he knows for certain that any given horse or man has been “nobbled” — we will not indulge in any prophecy about Mr. Bryan’s future. We do not believe in the superstition that merit will win in any vocation, under the existing conditions of society.

It may interest the reader, however, to have an outline of Mr. Bryan’s career. Mr. Bryan’s father was a lawyer of standing in Salem, Ill., who, believing that country life was best for his children, removed to a farm just outside Salem, where young Bryan spent his boyhood days. He was educated at the public school until he was fifteen, and then attended the Whipple Academy preparatory to entering the Illinois College at Jacksonville, where he was admitted as a freshman in 1877. He was graduated in June, 1881, with highest honors, having also been selected by his classmates as the class orator. In 1884, on the invitation of the faculty, he delivered the master oration, and had conferred upon him the degree of Master of Arts. In 1881, Mr. Bryan entered the Union College at Chicago and took the full course of two years while he was in the law office of ex-Senator Lyman Trumbull. In 1883 Mr. Bryan began the practice of law for himself at Jacksonville, and in 1887, being called to Nebraska on business, he decided to remove there, and settled at Lincoln, Neb. He very soon enjoyed a large practice in all the courts, and has long had an active interest in political affairs, having stumped the state for Hancock before he was able to vote, and he has taken an active interest in every campaign since.

Mr. Bryan is an ardent advocate of the free coinage of silver, and during the special session last fall he was one of the ablest advocates for free coinage in opposition to the repeal of the purchasing clause of the Sherman Act. It is believed in his own state that a brilliant future

lies before him. His article in this issue of *THE ARENA* will speak for itself with all those readers who have intelligently studied this momentous question of the currency.

The only great issue in American politics to-day is the money question, and it is slowly forcing itself to the front, not only in practical politics, but, largely on account of the increasing uncertainty of commercial and industrial life, upon the minds of the intelligent masses of the people. The machinations of plutocracy in adding perpetually to the burdens of the people by the issuance of bonds and more bonds whenever the scarcity of money becomes more critical and pressing, must be met by a demand for the currency of the Constitution — gold, silver and paper; and such articles as Mr. Bryan’s will do much to expose the fallacies and the atrocious conspiracy of the money kings, who alone thrive upon a contraction of the currency through the adoption of the single gold standard.



### In Defence of Mrs. Waisbrooker.

Below we give a copy of resolutions passed by the Woman’s Council of San Francisco, Cal., at a meeting held Dec. 17, 1894: —

WHEREAS, We learn through the public press and *THE ARENA* magazine that Mrs. Lois Waisbrooker is being prosecuted at Topeka, Kan., for the alleged publication and distribution of obscene literature; therefore be it

*Resolved*, That, judging the matter by the articles mentioned and by the books written by Mrs. Waisbrooker, it is the opinion of this club that the prosecution of Mrs. Waisbrooker is unwise and unjust.

*Resolved*, That we consider the work undertaken and so ably carried on by her to be of a very beneficial character.

*Resolved*, That we do hereby extend our sympathy to Mrs. Waisbrooker and as an evidence of our esteem and appreciation of her work we hereby protest against the unjust and unwarranted proceedings inaugurated against her.

*Resolved*, That as an earnest of our sincerity we hereby authorize the secretary of this club to send six dollars to Mrs. Waisbrooker to aid her in making her defence.

*Resolved*, That a copy of these resolutions be sent to Mrs. Waisbrooker, and a copy to *THE ARENA* magazine for publication.

RUBY CARMAN, *secretary*.

L. M. PRATT, *president*.

### The Amusing Private Morality of the Functionaries of Public Morality.

The last day of the Lexow investigation was the most sensational of the whole series of sensations disclosed by this inquiry into the methods of the police government of the city of New York. There is an old poser dating back to the Romans, at least, which asks, "Who shall have custody of the custodians of public morality?" This shows that the too intimate acquaintance with the stringent demands of morality was recognized in the earliest civic states, long before the advent of the Policeman in civilization, as being dangerous to the moral identity of the custodians themselves. The modern Policeman is regarded by some economists and philosophers as the most significant assurance of the progress of civilization; by others, with less certitude, as a rather dubious factor in progress and morals, indeed as one of the discouraging signs of the moral deterioration of mankind under civilization. In the minds of ordinary folk, who are not much given to philosophizing about the sociological phenomena to be met in the streets around them, the Policeman is merely the worst kind of a bad necessity, and the line of demarcation between the guardians of public morality and the criminal classes is vague and hazy.

The writer of these paragraphs is bound to confess that he is a confirmed pessimist as to the real significance of the Policeman in our civil state and our moral progress; and this whether he is studied in the abstract philosophically, as a depressing symptom of the psychological perversion of human nature under modern civilization, or simply in the concrete as one of the potent forces of unrighteousness tangling the skein of the race's painful progress toward justice and freedom. Optimism in all things is the fashion nowadays; but since all people who are lacking in imagination and mental and moral perception hold desperately to facts, we must submit that there are some facts which cling persistently about a civilization that is successfully Policemanized which should

the most optimistic souls to hesi-

tate; and peering into history, only so far back as December, 1894, we find that modest Muse of Truth scattering *facts*, facts and facts about us that strongly support the most confirmed pessimism in regard to the institution of the Policeman.

There has been an examination into the morals of the guardians of public morality, and it really looks as if public morality had suffered badly from the contamination of the functionaries appointed to keep watch and ward over it amid the temptations of the flesh and the Devil. The history of the Lexow Committee is a record of hard-pushed innocence reduced to the dire necessity of perjury and all sorts of shifty tricks in order to escape the toils that envious malice would throw about it to bring it to dishonor at the bar of public opinion. This conspiracy of envy endeavored to destroy the odor of sanctity in which the police of New York and all other American cities have enjoyed a remarkable measure of prosperity, by asking inconvenient questions involving the exactness and veracity of that oldest of sciences, Arithmetic, as to how a public functionary, a constable or police captain or inspector on a small but adequate salary, could in a few years amass a large fortune in real estate, bonds, stocks and cash amounting to sums touching a quarter and nearly half a million dollars. Public curiosity on this momentous question is at length satisfied, although almost all the most notable financiers in the Police force of New York are honorably exculpated, and the public is now threatened with the resignation of its chief ornament, unless he can be prevailed upon to keep the helm in the interests of a city of helpless citizens.

The evidence of Inspector Byrnes, however, makes that of his fellow financiers appear trivial and insignificant. This chief guardian of public morality, this head of the whole machinery of police morality, has been, it appears, one of those "in the know," one of those let in "on the ground floor" in Wall Street, in the confidence and friendship of the gods of that domain of Fate.

It is the opinion of some severe moral-



ists, among whom, despite our laxity in regard to the respectable virtues, we must, perforce, include ourselves, that that form of gambling which we recognize under the euphemism of speculation and manipulation of stocks, is merely, in plain English, a form of theft by indirection. It may be that this view is whimsical in the opinion of those good souls who delight to accept the world and its morals as they are, because they would feel so miserably out of place in a world in which such euphemisms were not needed; and we may be sure that all those whose stake in society induces optimism would condemn such a wanton lack of charity for the temptations of our fellow creatures. But nevertheless we may safely say that quite an important and growing minority of cranks and pessimists of the blackest dye affirm that there is not the slightest distinction in fact, though much in law, between highway robbery and the game of speculation. It is worthy of remark in passing that this whimsical view is not entirely peculiar to those who have scruples against overreaching their fellows with loaded dice and all the currish and cowardly trickery of Wall Street but is also shared by those unfortunates converted from the "common-sense" view of these moral questions, by having been fleeced there.

The significance of Inspector Byrnes' testimony in the Lexow Committee on December 29 cannot well be overestimated by those whimsical, weak-minded people who share the present writer's pathetically old-fashioned delusion that, since the Policeman is a social phenomenon rendered necessary by the exigencies of society (and we are so little Utopian in our views as to grant so much), then the Police System should be so organized as to make not only corruption, but the confusion of official duties with social and financial obligations or considerations, a peril so surrounded with heavy penalties, dismissal and public disgrace and dishonor, that few would care to incur them.

It seems from Inspector Byrnes' testimony that he owes his present fortune of \$350,000 or more to the interest and

friendship of the Goulds, and particularly of Jay Gould, in whom in the course of his official duties as a Policeman he had excited an extraordinary sense of gratitude; but it will be remembered that Sir Robert Walpole, who ruled for twenty years through wholesale bribery, defined gratitude as a "lively sense of favors to come."

In answer to the question as to how he had managed as a police officer, engaged in no other business, to accumulate real property alone to the value of nearly \$300,000, the Inspector modestly explained that as a member of the detective bureau he had considerable business with Wall Street operators and especially the Goulds. Some of it was private business and some public business, and these gentlemen helped him to make a fortune. He made through Jay Gould about \$185,000, beginning with a modest margin of \$10,000, which he could not account for. This extraordinary intimacy began through Byrnes' work as a public functionary, a detective of the New York police force, paid out of the city taxes for his services, on the Howard Wells threatening letters case. Jay Gould was so grateful for the detection and arrest of this man that he went to Byrnes' house and offered him a large sum of money; but the retiring and judicious Byrnes preferred to put up a margin and gave him \$10,000 to invest for him. He was then let into Gould's peculiar business schemes "on the ground floor," and the happy consequence was that his paltry \$10,000 grew to \$185,000 in profits through Jay Gould alone, exclusive of what he made through George Gould.

Here is a bit of the evidence taken from the New York *Sun* report:—

Q. Have you made any money through any one else? A. Yes.

Q. Who? A. Well, is that necessary? Let me tell you that I have had dealings, some of a private and confidential nature, some of a more general nature, with men who are large investors, who have received blackmailing letters. At times the ends of justice were best served by their not making complaints.

Q. In other words, you have, as chief of the detective service, been able to gain the confidence of people who have then advised you? A. Yes.

Q. And would you have gained that confidence without your office? A. No.

Q. (by Mr. Lexow). Then your position as head of the department has led to acquaintances which ultimately became friendships? A. Yes.

Q. (by Mr. Goff). Was your office placed at their disposal? A. No.

Q. Was it not your duty to place your office at the disposal of all citizens? A. Yes; well, I always did that—I always did my duty in that way.

Q. How much are you worth altogether? A. That real estate I mentioned is worth more than I paid for it. I have some stocks, too. I have not been out of the market a minute, I think, in five years. I have now 5,400 shares of stock. Every turn of the wheel means \$5,400 up or down for me. I haven't time to look after this myself, but I have some awfully good friends, nice people, who do it for me.

Q. Were these offences, blackmail, etc., stifled or compounded? A. No.

Q. Or prosecuted? A. No.

Q. What, then, was done with them? A. As soon as the criminals found their victims were ready to prosecute the annoyances ceased.

Q. Isn't the fact that as soon as they found that Superintendent Byrnes was interested—I use the term in its broadest sense—they were ready to stop? A. Yes.

\* \* \* \* \*

Q. Now Superintendent, I feel it my duty to ask you some questions suggested in this examination, outside of what might be asked on general policy. Have any of these persons who have aided you had the benefit of your services in getting rid of annoying persons, particularly females? A. I think not.

This evasive evidence needs no comment for any intelligent person who has any knowledge of that interesting social and political phenomenon, the Policeman, of human nature itself, and the social and economic conditions of modern society, and especially of society in a great city like New York. There is no necessity for dangerous affirmations, since all those who are not wilfully blind can pick out for themselves the precious kernel of these sweet and simple annals of the Police.

There is one point, however, can be safely emphasized. Here is the chief of the Police department of New York, under the heaviest of financial obligations to men who have been openly condemned by both the press and by public opinion as men whose methods were something less than scrupulous, and who might in the course of their vast schemes at any

time be held amenable to some criminal law. But these men are the intimate friends and financial allies of the very man who should, in the prosecution of his public functions as the Chief of Police, set in motion the forces that would bring them to justice in such a case, utterly regardless of his private affiliations. But it is noticeable and significant that these private obligations and affiliations and financial relations grew out of the regular (we of course accept the statement that it was simply regular and nothing more, nothing irregular being mentioned) prosecution of the functions of the Police department. We accept the inference of this candid and simple narrative that the machinery of the Police department was never secretly used to put aside people who were obnoxious to powerful money magnates, but that all these affiliations and friendships grew up spontaneously and simply out of sheer, bubbling gratitude on the part of the Wall Street manipulators, for the plain performance of duties that arose naturally in the Police department,—and which, by the way, were adequately remunerated by the public out of the public taxes. Then we must say that such obligations and friendships are anomalous. The natural inference is that, human nature being human nature, such obligations pervert and destroy the functions for which a Police department exists, and cannot be wholly compatible with the integrity and impartiality in the performance of the duties of public office, that the public paying for such services and protection has a right to demand.

And this evidence, of which we have extracted the kernel, is characterized by the *New York Recorder* as “the manly utterance of Byrnes.” This shows, if anything were needed to point out such a trite and obvious fact, that the nature of the morality of the plutocratic press defies the analysis of common sense and the common perceptions of right and wrong, in a world governed as much by human nature as by human laws.

W. B. H.

# Scott's Emulsion

## Of Cod-liver Oil

### With Hypophosphites of Lime and Soda

has been before the public for twenty years, but it is still doubtful if its great merits are understood. Its range of usefulness is very extensive on account of its flesh-forming and strength-giving properties. It is the easiest form of Cod-liver Oil, presenting this powerful nutrient in a form that is palatable and easy on the most delicate stomach. The Hypophosphites of Lime and Soda are themselves an aid to digestion and an effective tonic for the nervous system. An ideal combination, therefore, of two of the most essential elements for building up the system *must* bring good results.

Scott's Emulsion arrests the wasting of flesh and nervous energy. It builds up the system by supplying, in an easy form for digestion and assimilation, the food properties absolutely necessary to a healthy, vigorous body. There are no hidden mysteries thrown about the preparation. Your doctor knows all about it. If you ask him he will tell you why it is so effective in Consumption and other diseases indicated by wasting.

*Don't be persuaded to take something said to be "just as good."*  
*Scott's Emulsion has had the endorsement of physicians for twenty years. It cannot be duplicated.*

**SCOTT & BOWNE, New-York City. All Druggists. 50c. and \$1.**

# DROPSY TREATED FREE

Positively CURED with Vegetable Remedies. Have cured many thousand cases called hopeless. From first dose symptoms rapidly disappear, and in ten days at least two-thirds of all symptoms are removed. **BOOK** of testimonials of miraculous cures sent **FREE**. **10 DAYS TREATMENT FREE** by mail. DR. H. H. GREEN & SONS, Specialists, ATLANTA, GA.

## OPIUM OR MORPHINE HABIT PAINLESSLY & PERMANENTLY CURED DR. S. B. COLLINS PAINLESS OPIUM ANTIDOTE

### ORIGINAL AND ONLY GENUINE REMEDY

Discovered in 1868. "THERIAKI" Book Free.  
Office #7, 78 Monroe Street, CHICAGO, IL  
P. O. Drawer 691.

## MAKE HOME HAPPY

by securing health and comfort. *TOKOLOGY* is a complete ladies' guide in health and disease—a book for every woman. The demand has been very great, but it is needed in every new home. It is already printed in English, German, Swedish and Russian. Everywhere it is followed by benedictions. Prepaid, \$2.75. Sample pages free. Best terms to agents.

ALICE B. STOCKHAM & CO., 277 MADISON ST., CHICAGO.

### HOW TO SUCCEED.

A well-written treatise on Personal Magnetism and its development, to assure improvement in life, can be had by mentioning name and date of this paper and enclosing 10c, to Prof. Anderson, Masonic Temple, Chicago. This book should be read by everyone as it means the betterment of moral, mental and physical manhood and womanhood. 100 pp. book on HYPNOTISM, 10c. Large book \$2.

### JUST PUBLISHED.

## How to Get Well

— AND —

## How to Keep Well.

By T. A. BLAND, M. D.

A good book for the home. A family physician and guide to health. The author has had large experience as a physician, and is a writer of ability.

The directions for the treatment of disease are correct and sensible, and the part "How to Keep Well" is full of excellent suggestions, and will be eagerly read. — *Boston Herald*.

I have read it with pleasure and profit. It is a most valuable family physician and guide to health. If it could find its way into every house, the health of the people would soon be greatly improved. — AUG. P. LIGHTHILL, M. D., *Washington, D. C.*

It is packed full of sensible ideas. — *Golden Rule*.

DEAR DR. BLAND:—I like your new book. If only everybody would read it, and be guided by it, there would be less illness charged against Divine Providence. Sincerely,

M. J. SAVAGE, *Church of the Unity, Boston.*

I have glanced through your book and find it excellent. — A. J. DAVIS, M. D., *Boston.*

Fine paper, beautiful print, elegant and substantial binding.  
202 pages, 12mo. Price \$1.00.

FOR SALE BY THE

**ARENA PUBLISHING COMPANY,**  
*Copley Square, Boston, Mass.*

## ARENA PHYSICIANS' DIRECTORY.

Each member of the following list of physicians has been recommended as thoroughly reliable and of good standing in his profession.

### ALABAMA.

GADSDEN. E. T. Camp, M. D., 428½ Broad St.

### CONNECTICUT.

BRIDGEPORT. S. J. Damon, 59 Harriet Street.  
Broad and Gilbert Streets.

### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

WASHINGTON. Irving C. Rosse, 1701 H. St., N. W.

### FLORIDA.

MICANOPY. L. Montgomery, M. D.

### INDIANA.

INDIANAPOLIS. C. T. Bedford, M. D., 290 Massachusetts Ave.

### MASSACHUSETTS.

BOSTON. J. P. Chamberlain, M. D., Hoffman House.  
212 Columbus Ave., Suite 3.

" M. White Tilton, M. D., Hotel Oxford,  
Huntington Avenue, Electricity and Diseases of  
women.

FRANKLIN. J. Cushing Gallison, M. D.

### MICHIGAN.

GRAND RAPIDS. W. H. Ross, M. D., Room 45, Widdi-  
comb Block.

### NEW YORK.

HORNELLVILLE. John S. Jamison, M. D., 8 Center  
Street.

### OHIO.

AKRON. Kent O. Foltz, M. D., 181 So. Howard St.

CINCINNATI. W. A. R. Tenney, M. D., Nose and  
Throat Specialist, 261 W. Eighth St.

LORAIN. Frank Ernest Stoaks, M. D.

### PENNSYLVANIA.

LEBANON. D. P. Gerberich, M. D.

PITTSBURG. Frederick Gaertner, M. D.

### RHODE ISLAND.

BRISTOL. Gertrude Gooding, M. D.

### TENNESSEE.

NASHVILLE. Henry Sheffield, M. D., 141 No. Vine St.

ELECTRICAL APPLIANCES. Address, The Thomas Bat-  
tery Co., Cardington, O.

**Physicians** of Good Standing, when  
subscribing to THE ARENA,  
are requested to send their professional cards —  
not exceeding two (2) lines — to the advertising  
department for insertion in the above directory,  
for the accommodation of our subscribers.

**TERMS** for subscription and card for one year  
\$5.00 with order. **ADDITIONAL SPACE** over two  
lines, 40 cents per line extra for each insertion,  
less 5 per cent for three insertions, 10 per cent for  
six, and 20 per cent for twelve insertions.

# THE ARENA ELITE HOTEL DIRECTORY.

Realizing that many thousands of the regular readers of THE ARENA are persons accustomed to travel, we have arranged the following directory, which our friends will find very useful, as no hotel will be mentioned in this department which is not *strictly first-class*, or the *best in the city or town mentioned*. Our readers will confer a favor on us in patronizing hotels mentioned in this directory when travelling. Numbers of people, when travelling, are at a loss where to go when they reach their destination; and we believe that to such this directory will prove a great convenience.

## FLORIDA.

### JACKSONVILLE.

Tremont House, Corner Main and Forsyth  
Sts., Dodge & Cullens, Proprietors.

### THE ALMERIA HOTEL,

E. S. Douglas, Proprietor . . . TAMPA, FLA

### THE TROPICAL HOTEL,

KISSIMMEE, FLA.

## ILLINOIS.

### CENTRALIA.

Centralia House, F. D. Rexford, Proprietor.  
Rates, \$2 per day.

## IOWA.

### HOTEL LINDERMAN.

NEWT. C. RIDENOUR . . . Proprietor,  
CLARINDA, IOWA.

## MASSACHUSETTS.

### BOSTON.

### THE BRUNSWICK, THE VICTORIA.

American and European. European.

BARNES & DUNKLEE.

Adams House, 553 Washington Street.  
Vendome, The, Commonwealth Avenue.  
Young's Hotel, Washington Court.

## THE SURF SIDE HOTEL.

Open July 1, 1892.

THE SHERBURNE and BAY VIEW HOUSES,  
Open June 17, 1892.

NANTUCKET,  
MASS.

J. PATTERSON & SON,  
Proprietors.

## PENNSYLVANIA.

### SWIFTWATER.

"Swiftwater," a Summer Resort on the  
Pocono Mountains, Monroe County, Pa.  
Arthur Maginnis, Proprietor.

## NEBRASKA.

### TEKAMAH.

Astor House, H. N. Wheeler, Proprietor.

## NEW YORK.

CATSKILL MOUNTAINS—O'HARA HOUSE.  
LEXINGTON, GREENE COUNTY, N. Y.

Situated in the very centre of all principal points  
of attraction among these famous mountains.  
Open June to November. Write for Circular.

B. O'HARA, Proprietor.

### HOTEL, Overlooking Central Park,

Fifth Avenue,  
58th and 59th  
Streets, N. Y.  
The Highest  
Class.

**Plaza**

ABSOLUTELY  
FIRE-PROOF.  
American  
and Europe-  
an Plans.

The water and ice used are vaporized and frozen  
on the premises, and certified to as to purity by  
Prof. Chas. F. Chandler.

## The Huntington.

Boston's Fashionable Winter  
Home for Transient or  
Permanent Guests, on the  
European Plan.

C. A. JONES & CO., Proprietors,  
Copley Square, Boston, Mass.

Send your full name and address to Dobbins'  
Soap Mfg. Co., Philada., Pa., by return mail, and  
get free of all cost, a coupon worth several dollars,  
if used by you to its full advantage. Don't delay.  
This is worthy attention.

## First Class Hotels,

When subscribing for THE ARENA, are requested  
to send us their business card, not exceeding  
three lines (about 20 words), for insertion in the  
above directory, for the accommodation of our  
subscribers and readers.

Terms for subscription and card, for one year  
\$5.00 with order, for six months \$3.00. Additional  
space may be had, if desired, at 40 cents per line  
per insertion. A discount of 10 per cent for six  
insertions, 20 per cent for twelve insertions.

None but hotels which we can recommend as  
*strictly first class* are desired.

Address the Advertising Department, ARENA,  
Boston, Mass., U. S. A.

# WALL

goods you de-  
will mail you  
lection of the  
and beautiful  
with our guide  
and economy  
orations. We are the largest dealers of  
Wall Papers in the U.S. One good agent  
or paper hanger wanted in every town  
to sell from large sample books, price \$1.

# PAPER

If you are going to paper your  
House or any part of it, you  
can save money—at least 80  
per cent—by sending us de-  
scriptions of rooms you wish  
to paper and about what price

aire, and we  
the largest se-  
most suitable  
new samples,  
how to paper,  
in home dec-

# FREE

**CHAS. M. N. KILLEN,**  
1223 Filbert Street, PHILADELPHIA, PA.

## WE PAY POST- AGE

All you have guessed about life  
insurance may be wrong. If  
you wish to know the truth,  
send for "How and Why,"  
issued by the PENN MUTUAL  
LIFE, 921-3-5 Chestnut Street,  
Philadelphia.

## SEND FOR "TO-DAY" TO-DAY.

The new *Magazine-Review*,  
devoted to the

**Religion of Good Sense,  
Practical Reform  
and Current Literature.**

Only \$1.00 per year.

Two months on trial for 10 cents.

**FREDERICK A. BISBEE,** Publisher,  
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

## PERFUMES FREE

A bottle of "Cupid's Perfume," and  
samples of 20 other perfumes, free to  
introduce. Send 10c. for mailing.

**ALONZO K. FERRIS, PARFUMEUR,**  
NEW YORK CITY, N. Y.

## HAIR REMOVED

Permanently, root and branch, in 5 minutes without pain,  
discoloration or injury with "Pills Solvane." Sealed  
particulars, 6c. Wilcox Specific Co., Phila. Pa.

## MONEY FOR EVERYONE!

I can't understand why people complain of  
hard times, when any woman or man can make  
from \$5 to \$10 a day easily. All have heard of  
the wonderful success of the Climax Dish  
Washer; yet we are apt to think we can't make  
money selling it; but any one can make money,  
because every family wants one. I made \$475.35  
in the last three months, after paying all ex-  
penses, and attended to my regular business  
besides. You don't have to canvass; as soon as  
people know you have it for sale they send for a  
Dish Washer. Address the Climax Mfg. Co.,  
Columbus, Ohio, for particulars. Go to work at  
once, and you will very soon have a full pocket  
book and a light heart. I think it a duty to in-  
form each other of such opportunities, and I  
also think it a duty to improve them while we  
may. Try it at once, and publish your experi-  
ence so others may be benefited.

## REPORTERS!

We want a responsible LADY or GENTLEMAN in every town to act  
as newspaper correspondent, report the happenings in their local-  
ity and write articles for publication. Experience not required or  
necessary. Big remuneration for good writers. Enclose stamp  
for full particulars. MONARCH PAPER ASSOCIATION, Chicago, Ill.

WEEK  
IT HOME,  
ACTICAL  
), Themed-  
II Statistics  
lates gold,  
I watches,  
nyones and  
get this for  
e, always  
e toy; no  
to placing  
ry money.  
ss, Ohio.

## DETECTIVES!

Bright, young and middle-aged men wanted in every locality  
to act as PRIVATE DETECTIVES under instructions.  
Previous experience not required or necessary. Send stamp  
for full particulars and get sample copy of the best illustrated  
criminal paper published. NATIONAL DETECTIVE BUREAU,  
EATON, INDIANAPOLIS, IND. \* \* \* \* \*

**HOLLOWAY READING STAND** Holds reading  
book, dictio-  
ary and lamp, just where wanted for restful,  
reading. Side racks for more books, writing table.

No tired back, weary arms nor strained eyes.

Also make cases for holding *Century Dictionary*; vols.  
consulted quickly and easily without lifting.

... Illustrated Catalogue ...

**HOLLOWAY CO., CUYAHOGA FALLS, OHIO.**

Mention the Arena.



# LAWYERS' DIRECTORY.

Each member of the following list of attorneys has been recommended as thoroughly reliable and of good standing in his profession.

## ALABAMA.

BIRMINGHAM. John D. Watson, 2018½ Second Ave.  
BRIDGEPORT. Nelson MacReynolds.  
HUNTSVILLE. David D. Shelby, 3½ Bank Row.  
JASPER. E. W. Coleman.

## ARIZONA.

TOMBSTONE. James Reilly.  
WILCOX. G. W. Baker.

## ARKANSAS.

DE WITT. E. L. Johnson.  
FORREST CITY. Norton & Prewett.  
FORT SMITH. J. B. McDonough.  
HARRISON. Crump & Watkins.  
HOT SPRINGS. Charles D. Greaves, Attorney and  
Abstracter of Land Titles.  
LAVACA. Neal & Rhea.  
LITTLE ROCK. Samuel R. Allen.  
MARIANNA. C. A. Otey.  
NEWPORT. John W. & Jos. M. Stayton.  
PINE BLUFF. White & Stephens.

## CALIFORNIA.

ALAMEDA. Edward K. Taylor, Artesian Block.  
FRESNO. Geo. E. Church, Rooms 4, 5, and 6, First  
National Bank Building.  
HANFORD. Benjamin C. Mickle.  
LOS ANGELES. Henry C. Dillon.  
" " J. Marion Brooks.  
RIVERSIDE. Wm. J. McIntyre (City Attorney).  
SAN DIEGO. Sam F. Smith, cor. 5th and F Sts.  
SAN FRANCISCO. E. A. Belcher, 234 Montgomery St.  
" " W. R. Daingerfield, 508 California St.  
" " F. M. Husted, 528 California St.  
SANTA ANA. Ray Billingsley.  
SAN JOSE. J. H. Campbell.

## COLORADO.

DENVER. George C. Norris, Ernest & Cramner Bldg.  
" Betts & Rinkle, 807-811 Cooper Bldg.  
MONTROSE. Goudy & Sherman.  
OURAY. Robt. H. Wilson; Mines and Mining Law a  
specialty.  
" John Kinkaid.

## CONNECTICUT.

HARTFORD. Lewis Sperry, 345 Main St.  
" Sidney E. Clarke.  
NAUGATUCK. Henry C. Baldwin.  
STAMFORD. James H. Olmstead, 14 Town Hall Bldg.  
WILLIMANTIC. John L. Hunter.

## DELAWARE.

DOVER. Fulton & Van Dyke.

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

WASHINGTON. Ferdinand Schmidt, 511 Seventh St.,  
N. W. Titles examined.

## FLORIDA.

ARCADIA. Nelson MacReynolds.  
BARTOW. J. W. Brady.  
TAMPA. Sparkman & Sparkman.  
" Macfarlane & Pettingill.  
" Wall & Knight.

## IDAHO.

BOISE CITY. J. Brumback.  
" " Richard Z. Johnson.  
KETCHUM. Wm. Hyndman.  
LEWISTON. Jas. W. Reid.  
MONTPELIER. { Robert S. Spence.  
PARIS. {  
" Hart & Sons.

## ILLINOIS.

CARROLLTON. Withers & Rainey.  
CHAMPAIGN. J. L. Ray.

CHICAGO. Browne, Ayers & Ayers, suite 616, Chamber  
of Commerce Building.  
" Kate Kane, 116 East Monroe St.  
" Norris Sprigg, 218 La Salle St., Room 617.  
" Thornton & Chancellor, Rooms 54-60, 143  
La Salle St.  
" Ashcraft & Gordon, First Nat. Bnk. Bldg.  
" Ball & Barrett, 78 La Salle St., Room H.  
" Cratty Bros., Tacoma Building.  
" Thos. J. Holmes, Room 54, 94 La Salle St.  
" Smith's Collection Agency, 80 Metropolitan  
Block.

EFFINGHAM. Sylvester F. Gilmore.

" Henry B. Kepley.

ELGIN. Edw. C. Lovell, Rooms 7 to 10, De Bois Bldg.

GALESBURG. Z. Cooley, 232 Cedar St.

GENESEO. Dunham & Foster.

JACKSONVILLE. John A. Bellatti, 224 South Main St.

JOLIET. C. B. Garnsey, 329 Jefferson St.

KANKAKEE. C. A. Lake.

KEWANEE. Chas. K. Ladd.

MT. VERNON. Chas. H. Patton.

OTTAWA. Rector C. Hitt.

" Silas H. Strawn.

PEORIA. Rice & Rice, 311 Main St.

PONTIAC. A. C. Ball.

RANTOUL. Thomas J. Roth.

SPRINGFIELD. R. L. McGuire, 123 West Side Square.

TOLEDO. W. S. Everhart.

VIENNA. P. T. Chapman.

VIRGINIA. J. N. Gridley.

WINCHESTER. J. M. Riggs.

## INDIANA.

BLUFFTON. Levi Mock.

BOONVILLE. Handy & Armstrong.

COVINGTON. Nebeker & Simms.

EVANSVILLE. J. S. & C. Buchanan, Rooms 1 and 2,  
Business Men Block.

FRANKFORT. Joseph C. Suit.

FORT WAYNE. James E. Graham & Son, Room 26,  
Bank Block.

GOSHEN. John H. Baker.

INDIANAPOLIS. Chas. E. Barrett.

" Josh. E. Florea, 29½ No. Penn. St.

" Mitchell & Mitchell, Room 22, Vance  
Block.

JASPER. Fraylor & Hunter.

LA PORTE. C. H. Truesdell.

" Frank E. Osborn.

LEBANON. Charles M. Zion.

MARTINSVILLE. A. M. Bain.

MONTICELLO. Guthrie & Bushnell.

PLYMOUTH. R. B. Oglesbee, 113 Michigan St.

PRINCETON. Land & Gamble.

UNION CITY. Theo. Shockney.

VALPARAISO. A. D. Bartholomew.

WINAMAC. Nye & Nye.

WINCHESTER. Watson & Watson.

## INDIAN TERRITORY.

MUSKOGEE. Shepard & Shepard.

## IOWA.

ANAMOSA. Sheean & McCarn.

" C. M. Brown.

ATLANTIC. Willard & Willard.

" L. L. Delano.

CEDAR RAPIDS. W. L. Crissman, Rooms 1 and 2,  
Oriel Block.

" " Rickel & Crocker.

CHARLES CITY. T. A. Hand.

CLINTON. Robert R. Baldwin.

DANBURY. J. H. & E. R. Ostrom.

DES MOINES. J. R. Barcroft.

DUBUQUE. T. J. Paisley, Cor. 7th and Main Sts.

MARSHALLTOWN. J. L. Carney, 2d Floor Court  
House

MORNING SUN. Fred. Courts, Jr.

MT. Ayr. M. L. Bevis.

NEW HAMPTON. J. R. Bane.

OSAGE. Eaton & Clyde.

SIoux CITY. T. P. Murphy.

WEBSTER CITY. Wesley Martin.



# Lay The Foundation

OF A

## Southern Home,

AND SECURE A

### 10% Investment,

Secured by Real Estate.

Address

## Roseland Improvement Co.,

178 Devonshire St.,

Room 521.

Boston, Mass.

**GO SOUTH.** Nature's most Favored Land  
**GAIN HEALTH.** "Creation's Garden Spot." No  
**GET RICHES.** blizzards, nor long Droughts.  
Healthiest climate on Earth.  
Cheap lands and abundant Crops.

Fine Markets and First Prices. The Poor Man's  
Paradise. Buy a Home, Fruit and Truck Farm,  
on Easy Terms. Send 25 cents to pay postage on  
"The Road to Wealth Leads through the South,"  
a most valuable book of 200 pages.

E. C. ROBE T ON & CO.,

Mention **ARENA**. Neave Bldg., Cincinnati, O.

# ARE YOU LOOKING

## FOR A CHANGE IN LOCATION?

If you are not satisfied with your present site,  
or if you are not doing quite as well as you would  
like to, why not consider the advantages of a  
location on the Illinois Central R. R. or the Yazoo  
& Mississippi Valley R. R.? These roads run  
through South Dakota, Minnesota, Iowa, Wis-  
consin, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Tennessee,  
Mississippi and Louisiana, and possess

**FINE SITES FOR NEW MILLS**  
**BEST OF FREIGHT FACILITIES**

CLOSE PROXIMITY TO

**COAL FIELDS and DISTRIBUTING CENTRES**

AND

**INTELLIGENT HELP OF ALL KINDS**  
**MANY KINDS OF RAW MATERIAL**

For full information write the undersigned for  
a copy of the pamphlet entitled

**100 Cities and Towns WANTING INDUSTRIES**

This will give you the population, city and  
county debt, death rate, assessed valuation of  
property, tax rate, annual shipments, raw materi-  
als, industries desired, etc.

To sound industries, which will bear investiga-  
tion, substantial inducements will be given by  
many of the places on the lines of the Illinois  
Central R. R., which is the only road under one  
management running through from the North-  
Western States to the Gulf of Mexico. GEO. C.  
POWER, Industrial Commissioner I. C. R. R. Co.,  
506 Central Station, Chicago.

24.

## LAWYERS' DIRECTORY — Continued.

### KANSAS.

ANTHONY. J. P. Grove.  
GARDEN CITY. Brown, Bierer & Cotteral.  
GREAT BEND. Clarke & Russell.  
HARPER. Sam. S. Sisson.  
HUTCHINSON. Johns, Winnie & Fairchild.  
KANSAS CITY. Clogston, Hamilton, Fuller & Cabb-  
son, Husted Building.  
OBERLIN. S. M. McElroy.  
OSBORNE. E. F. Robinson.  
OSKALOOSA. Marshall Gephart.  
OSWEGO. Case & Glasse.  
SCOTT CITY. L. V. Craveres.  
STOCKTON. W. B. Ham.  
TOPEKA. Douthitt, Jones & Mason, Bank of Topeka  
Building.  
WICHITA. Sankey & Campbell, corner Douglas and  
Market Sts.

### KENTUCKY.

BARBOURVILLE. James D. Black.  
HARRODSBURG. O. S. Poston.  
HENDERSON. Montgomery Merritt.  
LOUISVILLE. Rowan Buchanan, 418 Centre St.  
MORGANFIELD. H. D. Allen.  
MT. STERLING. Wood & Day.  
" O'Rear & Bigstaff, Maysville St.  
PADUCAH. Thomas E. Moss.

### LOUISIANA.

HOUMA. L. F. Suthon.  
MONROE. Franklin Garrett, cor. Wood and St. John Sts.  
NATCHITOCHES. D. C. Scarborough.  
NEW IBERIA. Andrew Thorpe.  
NEW ORLEANS. Harry H. Hall, 173 Common St.  
" Moise & Cahn, 21 Commercial Pl.  
ST. JOSEPH, TENSAS PARISH. Clinton & Garrett.

### MAINE.

PORTLAND. Strout, Gage & Strout, 52 Exchange St.  
" Clarence Hale, 39 Exchange St.

### MARYLAND.

BEL AIR. Septimus Davis.  
HAGERSTOWN. Frank W. Mish.  
ROCKVILLE. William Veirs Bouic, Jr.

### MASSACHUSETTS.

ATHOL. George W. Horr.  
BOSTON. Walter Church, 65 Albion Bldg., Beacon  
and Tremont Sts. Special attention to  
recovering estates and finding heirs.  
" Southard & Baker, 27 School St.  
GREAT BARRINGTON. O. C. Bidwell.  
NEWBURYPORT. Charles C. Dame, 59½ State St.  
PEABODY. Chas. E. Hoag.  
PITTSFIELD. E. M. Wood, 9 Bank Row.  
ROCKLAND. Geo. W. Kelley.  
SALEM. William H. Gove, Post Office Building.  
SPRINGFIELD. Edward H. Lathrop, Room 18, Fuller  
Block.  
WORCESTER. Rice, King & Rice, 6 P. O. Block.

### MICHIGAN.

COLDWATER. John S. Evans.  
EAST SAGINAW. Herbert A. Forrest.  
GRAND RAPIDS. Clark H. Gleason, 53 Pearl St.  
HASTINGS. James A. Swezey.  
KALAMAZOO. Wm. Shakespeare, 130 W. Main St.  
MUSKEGON. F. A. Nims.  
SAND BEACH. Chas. L. Hall.  
SAULT STE. MARIE. Jno. A. Colwell.

### MINNESOTA.

BLUE EARTH CITY. Geo. W. Buswell.  
DULUTH. R. R. Briggs, 501 to 503 Chamber of Com-  
merce.  
" Alfred Jaques.  
JACKSON. T. J. Knox.  
MINNEAPOLIS. Dwinell & Prior.  
" James O. Pierce, 21 4th St., So.  
ST. PAUL. Ewing & Ewing, 716 Pioneer Press Bldg.

### MISSISSIPPI.

BATESVILLE. L. L. Pearson.  
BROOKHAVEN. R. H. Thompson.  
CANTON. F. B. Pratt.  
FRIARS POINT. D. A. Scott.  
GREENWOOD. Jas. K. Vardaman.  
JACKSON. Frank Johnston.  
MERIDIAN. Cochran & Bozeman, formerly R. F.  
Cochran.  
" McIntosh & Williams.  
ROSEDALE. Chas. & A. Y. Scott.

# To Keep Abreast with the Times

**You want a No. 4 Yost.**

It is the best of all writing machines.

The most simple, practical and durable.

An examination will show its merit, and

A trial will convince you of its superiority.

Write for Catalogue.

**Yost Writing Machine Company,**

61 Chambers St., New York,

40 Holborn Viaduct, London, England.



**DIXON'S** AMERICAN GRAPHITE **PENCILS**

Are unequalled for smooth, tough points.  
Samples worth double the money for 10c.  
Joa. Dixon Crucible Co., Jersey City, N. J.  
Mention *The Arena*.

**1 1/2** **SAVED**

**ON ANY TYPEWRITER**

We have machines of every make. Guaranteed in perfect order or money refunded. Rent anywhere with privilege of examination.

**TYPEWRITERS**  
SOLD, RENTED, EXCHANGED.  
Write as before buying. Send for illustrated catalog of new and old machines.

**NATIONAL TYPEWRITER EXCHANGE, 214 La Salle Street, CHICAGO.**

**Have you seen the New Hammond?**

It possesses all old and many new advantages.

THE HAMMOND TYPEWRITER CO., 403-405 East 52d St., New York.

## EVERYBODY

In this Busy World  
should have an Eye open  
for an Investment. Here  
is one of the best in the  
market. Invest in a Postal  
Card and send for

**PARTICULARS**

OF OUR

**Great Star ★ ★**

**★ Premium List**

FOR

**-1895-**

**ARENA PUBLISHING COMPANY,**  
COPLEY SQUARE. BOSTON MASS

A harvest of improvements—  
Platen Finger Wheel, Front Re-  
lease Key, Hinged Ribbon Sup-  
ports, and other features.

**You must  
use the  
New No. 4  
Caligraph  
Typewriter  
for best work.**

A special circular about this lat-  
est success in typewriters is free.

**American Writing Machine Co.,**  
237 Broadway, New York.

**WHO ARE THE LEADING  
OFFICE FURNITURE MANUFACTURERS  
OF THE WORLD?**

**DERBY, KILMER & POND  
DESK CO.**

Stand at the head for standard excellent quality, great variety in styles and prices, and world wide reputation based on absolute satisfaction given to all buyers of **DERBY DESKS.**

Roll top Desks, all sizes, Library or Flat top Desks, Office and Directors' Tables, Chairs (adjustable to fixed height) High Desks, etc.

**DERBY, KILMER & POND DESK CO.**  
**93 CAUSEWAY ST., OPP. UNION STATION, BOSTON.**  
19 Beekman Street, New York.  
Write for cuts and prices. Mention "Arena."

## **FITCHBURG RAILROAD**

**SUPERB EQUIPMENT, EXCELLENT TRAIN SERVICE, FAST  
TIME AND COURTEOUS EMPLOYEES MAKE THE**

### **HOOSAC TUNNEL ROUTE**

**THE FAVORITE LINE** from Boston To Troy, Albany, Saratoga, Lake George, Adirondack and Catskill Mountains, Syracuse, Rochester, Buffalo, Niagara Falls, Hamilton, Toronto, Cleveland, Detroit, Cincinnati, Chicago, St. Louis, and all points West, Southwest and Northwest.

### **FAST EXPRESS TRAINS**

With elegant **PALACE PARLOR** and **SLEEPING CARS** to and from

**BOSTON AND CHICAGO,  
BOSTON AND ST. LOUIS**

**WITHOUT CHANGE.**

### **LAKE CHAMPLAIN ROUTE**

For all points in Northern New York, Vermont and Canada.

**THE ONLY LINE** running through cars, without change, from Boston to Rutland, Brandon, Middlebury, Vergennes, Burlington, St. Albans, St. Johns, and Montreal.

Elegant Palace Parlor Cars to and from

**BOSTON AND MONTREAL**  
**WITHOUT CHANGE.**

For Time-Table, Parlor and Sleeping Car accommodations, or further information, apply to any Agent of the Fitchburg Railroad or at

**260 WASHINGTON STREET,**

—OR—

**FITCHBURG R. R. PASSENGER STATION, BOSTON.**  
**J. R. WATSON, GEN. PASS. AGENT.**



**DO YOU BUY PRINTING? CORRESPOND WITH THE PRINTERS OF THIS MAGAZINE, C. A. PINKHAM & CO., 289 CONGRESS ST., BOSTON, MASS.**

**TELEPHONE 1044.**



## **JOSEPH GILLOTT'S STEEL PENS.**

**THE MOST PERFECT OF PENS.**

**Gold Medal, Paris Exposition, 1889,**

**AND THE AWARD AT THE WORLD'S COLUMBIAN EXPOSITION, CHICAGO.**

## LAWYERS' DIRECTORY — Continued.

### MISSOURI.

APPLETON CITY. W. W. Chapel.  
BETHANY. J. C. Wilson.  
KANSAS CITY. Brown, Chapman & Brown, 424 Main St.  
" " W. W. Davis, 515 Main St.  
LOCKWOOD. W. S. Wheeler.  
PARIS. Temple B. Robinson.  
ST. LOUIS. Henry C. Withers, 711 Odd Fellows Bldg.  
" Illinois Business.  
" Chas. Claflin Allen, Laclede Building.  
" Edwin S. Fuller, Com'l Probate and R. E.  
Law, 320 Commercial Bldg.  
" Seneca N. Taylor, Rooms 408 and 410  
American Central Bldg., Locust and Broadway.

### MONTANA.

BOZEMAN. Luce & Luce.  
HELENA. Massena Bullard, Room 8, Gold Block,  
Main St.

### NEBRASKA.

ARAPAHOE. J. A. Dudgeon.  
KEARNEY. John E. Decker.  
NORTH PLATTE. William Neville.  
PLATTSMOUTH. H. D. Travis.  
YORK. George B. France.

### NEVADA.

VIRGINIA CITY. W. E. F. Deal.

### NEW HAMPSHIRE.

EXETER. Charles H. Knight, Ranlet's Block.  
GREAT FALLS. Wm. F. Russell.  
PETERBOROUGH. R. B. Hatch.

### NEW JERSEY.

BELVIDERE. John H. Dahlke.  
CAMDEN. Herbert A. Drake, 127 Market St.  
JERSEY CITY. Wm. C. Gebhardt, Fuller Building.  
NEWARK. W. C. Dameron.  
NEW BRUNSWICK. James H. Van Cleef, 391 George St.

### NEW MEXICO.

ALBUQUERQUE. Bernard S. Rodey.  
LAS VEGAS. A. A. Jones.

### NEW YORK.

ELMIRA. Denton & McDowell, 335 E. Water St.  
ELLENVILLE. John G. Gray.  
FULTON. C. H. David.  
ITHACA. David M. Dean.  
KINGSTON. John E. Van Etten, 49 John St.  
MALONE. J. C. Saunders.  
MIDDLETOWN. Dill & Cox. Formerly Charles G. Dill.  
NEWBURGH. John M. Gardner.  
NEW YORK CITY. Theodore R. Shear, Drexel Bldg.,  
corner Wall and Broad Sts.  
PORT JERVIS. Wilton Bennett, St. John's Block.  
POUGHKEEPSIE. John H. Millard, 52 Market St.  
PRATTSBURG. Jay K. Smith.  
SYRACUSE. Smith, Kellogg & Wells.  
THERESA. D. Bearup.  
WATERTOWN. James Brown, Counselor at Law, 27  
Flower Building. Reference, Jeff Co. Nat'l Bank.  
WHITEHALL. O. F. & R. R. Davis.

### NORTH CAROLINA.

LOUISBURG. F. S. Spruill.

### NORTH DAKOTA.

FARGO. Newman, Spalding & Phelps.  
Fred B. Morrill.  
VALLEY CITY. Frank J. Young.

### OHIO.

BATAVIA. A. T. Cowen (Late Common Pleas Judge).  
CANAL DOVER. John A. Hostetler.  
CLARION. Reed & Wilson.  
CLEVELAND. Harvey Keeler, 236 Superior St.  
" John O. Winship, Room 10, Blackstone  
Building.  
" W. E. Ambler, 263 The Arcade.  
CINCINNATI. Wm. Houk, N. E. corner Walnut and  
Canal Sts.  
" Orris P. Cobb, S. E. corner Main and  
9th Sts.  
" Pogue, Pottenger & Pogue, United Bank  
Bldg.  
FINDLAY. J. Frank Axline, 222½ South Main St.  
GALION. J. W. Coulter.  
LIMA. Josiah Pillars.  
MANSFIELD. Donnell & Marriott, 434 No. Main St.  
PAULDING. Seiders & Seiders.  
SANDUSKY. Hewson L. Pecke.  
TIFFIN. Lutes & Lutes.

### OKLAHOMA TERRITORY.

ALVA. Jesse J. Dunn.

# THE ARENA LAWYERS' DIRECTORY — CONTINUED.

## OREGON.

PORTLAND. Woodward & Woodward, Abington Building.  
SALEM. Seth R. Hammer.

## PENNSYLVANIA.

CLARION. Reed & Wilson.  
INDIANA. W. L. Stewart.  
NEW BLOOMFIELD. W. N. Seibert.  
NEWCASTLE. E. T. Kurtz, 81 Pittsburg St.  
PITTSBURG. Marshall Brown, 157 Fourth Ave.  
SCRANTON. Edward Miles, 225 Washington Ave.  
SHAMOKIN. Addison G. Marr.  
TAMAQUA. J. O. Ulrich.  
WARREN. W. M. Lindsay.  
WILKES BARRE. W. L. Raeder.  
WILLIAMSPORT. T. M. B. Hicks, Cor. Fourth and Williams Sts.

## SOUTH CAROLINA.

DARLINGTON. E. Keith Dargan.

## SOUTH DAKOTA.

ABERDEEN. H. H. Potter.  
PIERRE. D. F. Sweetland, Law and Real Estate.  
Coe I. Crawford.  
Albert Gunderson.  
RAPID CITY. Edmund Smith.  
SIOUX FALLS. U. S. G. Cherry, Com'l and Divorce Law.  
" J. M. Donovan, Com'l and Divorce Law.

## TENNESSEE.

CHATTANOOGA. Clark & Brown.  
NASHVILLE. Sumner A. Wilson, Room 20, Vanderbilt Building.

## TEXAS.

ALBANY. A. A. Clarke.  
AUSTIN. Z. T. Fulmore.  
CAMERON. Henderson & Streetman.  
" W. T. Hefley.  
CLARKESVILLE. H. B. Wright.  
CLEBURNE. S. C. Padelford.  
COLORADO. Ball & Burney.  
DALLAS. W. B. Merchant.  
FORT WORTH. Oliver S. Kennedy.  
" Newton H. Lassiter.  
LA GRANGE. R. H. Phelps, Masonic Building.  
LAREDO. Dodd & Mulally.  
LONGVIEW. Edward O. Griffin.  
PEARSALL. R. W. Hudson.  
MASON. Holmes & Bierschwale.  
SAN ANTONIO. Cassius K. Breneman.  
WACO. Edward A. Marshall, *Land Lawyer and Notary Public.*

## WM. G. HENDERSON,

Counsellor at Law

### in Patent and Trademark Causes.

Offices 21 to 23 Norris Building,

Cor. F and 5th Streets,

WASHINGTON, D. C.

American and Foreign Patents and Trademarks procured. Opinions given as to scope and validity of patents. Infringement suits prosecuted and defended. Twenty years' experience. Correspondence invited.

## CENTRAL MINNESOTA.

Mortgages and Investments.

**6% Semi-Annual Interest 7%**

**5%—Interest on Time Deposits—6%**

Correspondence Solicited.

**COMMERCIAL BANK, EXCELSIOR, MINN.**

## UTAH.

SALT LAKE CITY. Maurice M. Kaighm, Rooms 4, 5, & 6, Old Tribune Building.  
SALT LAKE CITY. Cherry & Cherry.  
" " Richard B. Shepard, Rooms 37-40, Commercial Block.

## VIRGINIA.

CHARLOTTESVILLE. Micajah Woods.  
HILLSVILLE. D. W. Bolen.  
LAWRENCEVILLE. N. S. Turnbull.  
LEXINGTON. Letcher & Letcher.  
LYNCHBURG. J. E. Edmunds, 807 Main St.  
PEARISBURG. J. D. Johnston.  
PORTSMOUTH. Wm. H. Stewart, "Land Specialty."  
STAUNTON. Braxton & Braxton.  
TAZEWELL COURT HOUSE. A. J. & S. D. May.

## WEST VIRGINIA.

CHARLESTON. Brown & Jackson.  
PARKERSBURG. J. G. McCluer.  
WHEELING. George W. Shinn, Room 10, City Bank Building.

## WISCONSIN.

BARRON. Fred B. Kinsley.  
DARLINGTON. Orton & Osborn.  
GREEN BAY. Wigman & Martin.  
LA CROSSE. E. C. Higbee, Rooms 3 & 4, 305 Main St.  
MILWAUKEE. Winkler, Flanders, Smith, Bottum & Vilas, 37 Mitchell Building.  
NEW LONDON. G. T. Thorn.  
NEILLSVILLE. O'Neill & Marsh.  
OSHKOSH. Charles W. Felker.  
Hooper & Hooper, Room 9, Algoma Building.  
RACINE. John T. Wentworth.  
STOUGHTON. Luse & Wait.  
SUPERIOR. D. E. Roberts.  
" Carl C. Pope.  
" E. B. Manwaring.  
WEST SUPERIOR. Reed, Grace & Rock, Rooms 9 to 14, First National Bank.

## CANADA.

### QUEBEC.

MONTREAL. Burroughs & Burroughs, Nos. 613 and 614 New York Life Building, Place d'Armes Sq.

### ONTARIO.

PEMBROKE. James H. Burritt.

## PATENTS

Thomas P. Simpson, Washington, D. C.  
No attorney's fee until patent obtained. Write for Inventor's Guide.

## DENVER MORTGAGES.

6% to 10%. Only promising city with vast, rich tributary territory yet to develop. Great gold mining expansion. Safely made Far-Western loans are, after all, the best investment. Low valuations rule now. Abundant References. Free Circulars.  
JOHN E. LEET, 1515 Tremont Street, Denver.

## Lawyers,

## Attorneys at Law,

## AND Counsellors at Law

OF GOOD STANDING,

When subscribing for THE ARENA, are requested to send their professional cards (not exceeding two lines) for insertion in above directory, for the accommodation of our subscribers.

Terms for subscription and card one year, \$5.00 with order. Additional space, if desired, may be had at 40 cents net per line per insertion. For twelve insertions 20 per cent discount is allowed, making the net cost of each additional line \$3.84 per year. Address the Advertising Department, ARENA, Boston, Mass., U. S. A.

## **LAWYERS, LOOK!**

## **The Coming Carnegies.**

According to Government Reports there are, in round numbers, 175,000 miles of railroad track in the United States. There are 2,600 ties per mile of track. The total number of wooden ties in use in the United States is 455 millions. The average life of a wooden tie is about 6 years. The number of new ties needed every year for renewals and extension of track is between 80 and 100 millions per year.

The Secretary of Agriculture announced three years ago, that this drain upon our tie timber resources, together with the necessities of bridges, trestles, etc., would entirely exhaust our visible supply within fifteen years from that time. We will then have to use steel ties.

Steel ties have been used for a generation past in nearly every civilized country except the United States. In 1890 one-thirteenth of the railroads of Europe, one-fifth of those in Mexico, South and Central America, one-quarter of those in Af-

OUR FORESTS ARE THE HAY FIELDS OF THE IRON HORSE.

rica and one-half of those in Asia were laid on metal ties, while in the United States in 1890 there was reported just two miles of metal ties in a total of 174,165 miles.

The 455 millions of ties mentioned are only those of steam railroads and do not include those of street car and electric roads. Nobody knows how many more millions are used by them for they are building and extending so fast that it is difficult to keep account of them, but every one knows that they prefer steel ties for the reason that, being in more constant use than the steam roads, wooden ties are even shorter lived upon them and cities are beginning to insist that their tracks shall be so constructed as to remain in good order undisturbed for 10 to 25 years. The city of Cleveland insists in a charter giving right of way along Euclid Avenue that the track shall be laid to remain undisturbed 25 years. Other cities are following her example. No wooden tie has ever been known to last 25 years; the iron-wood of Borneo might but it costs more than steel. A creosoted tie will last half that long. A steel tie will last twice that long.

Elevated railroads want steel ties because they are fire proof. The general manager of a New York L road offered \$2.00 each for steel ties. The two miles of steel ties in the United States in 1890 have greatly increased since then and hardly a trunk line but has some metal tie track to-day. If serviceable steel ties were offered for \$1.25 or even \$1.50 each, nearly all roads would begin to gradually substitute them for their worn out wooden ties, not all at once, for that would be too costly, but three to a rail the first year and two to a rail each year thereafter, for though they would cost nearly twice as much as new wooden ties they last from 5 to 10 times as long, and every roadmaster knows that with steel ties he can use cheaper ballast, for in ballasting a road anything but clean, dry and drained ballast rots a wooden tie while nothing can rot a steel tie.

THE HIGH V.

It has been said that if one could produce and sell a satisfactory steel tie for \$1.37½ he could sell in the next 12 years fully six hundred million of them to save

motor railroads alone, with the street and electric and elevated lines to hear from, besides supplying the fast-growing demands for structural steel for buildings, L roads, electric light and wire poles, etc., which could be made of the same quality of metal. All of which shows that there is a vast, fast-growing and very wide demand for cheap steel.

The great blows of an engine's drive wheel strike directly upon the rail, by which its force is received, resisted and distributed to the rail chair, by which it is in turn received, resisted and distributed before it at last reaches, third hand, the steel tie. As a consequence of this the tie does not need to be of as high a grade and tough a steel as the railroad rail. Among the 491 U. S. patents for railroad ties is one for a tie of *glass*. It has been severely tested in actual use, and the only objection found with it is *not* its brittleness but its *cost*. It is also plain that the steel used in high buildings, electric wire posts and elevated road supports is never subjected to such sudden, ponderous blows as is the steel rail, yet all these are now made of the same high-priced iron ore, costing \$4.50 to \$9.00 per ton, and manganese ore, costing \$12.00 to \$22.50 per ton.

A serviceable steel for all these articles can be produced of manganese ore which we can furnish for \$5.00 per ton and iron ore costing us *just* \$1.50 *per ton*.

The cost of other ingredients and rolling, smelting, etc., need be no more, and in

some items could be less than by present methods. We can produce a perfectly serviceable steel tie for 87½ cents per tie. If it is true that 600 millions could be sold in twelve years for \$1.37½, the profits should average \$25,000,000 per year. The average weight of ties and rails per yard of metal track should be about equal, and to substitute steel ties for wooden ties in the next twelve years we will have to manufacture as

#### A GOOD OPENING FOR A STEADY JOB.

much steel into ties as we now have in rails (that is, about 30 million tons or the weight of about 600 million steel ties), besides the demands for such new track as may be built in the next dozen years, which we leave out of this estimate of future demand for a conservative margin.

The Carnegies of the next generation will develop along this line of cheaper steel just as surely as he of the past generation made his fortune in the Bessemer process, the cheapest and lowest grade steel of his day

In the Bessemer process of smelting, from one to two per cent of the smelter's batch is manganese. Its effect all can see but no scientist can explain. It is supposed to be consumed in the burning. Its importance in steel making is similar to that of yeast in bread making. Without it the result is a redshort product of no use or value. With it steel is produced and a steel rail made more cheaply than an iron rail to which it is far superior in every way; in strength, hardness, rigidity, non-corrosion and length of life.

Manganese occurs in the form of an ore resembling iron ore so closely that it is often mistaken for it. It is found in small quantities in perhaps a score of places in the United States. It is now found in vast quantity in two great deposits on the American continent. *Of these two we own one and control the other.* The illustrations herewith are from photographs taken on the properties we own. They show about one-



tenth of the substantial improvements on them, aside from the great land values. The larger building shown alone contains improved modern machinery for washing ore which cost in place more than \$60,000, a pumping outfit sufficient for a town of 8,000 people and a crushing capacity of 600,000 pounds per day. Besides these all necessary shops, stores, stables, houses, hotel, track, trestle, cars, etc., are there. It is the

most extensive, complete, modern and perfect plant of its kind, and by its facilities for cheap working in large quantity gives us control of all mines which we do not already own for an area of 6 miles square of the great deposit in the centre of which it stands.

To this should be added a new smelting furnace which has cost \$170,000 with all appurtenances for

an output of 100 tons per day, located with peculiar fitness for the receipt of all supplies by water or by rail. Its iron ore and limestone deposits are practically exhaustless in extent. I am offered in combination with these great properties numerous other iron mines, coal mines, coke ovens, furnaces, mills, etc., on terms which only the hard times of the past few years and the immense profits of such a combination could induce. With some of them bonuses are offered by neighboring towns. From these selection and purchase could be made later if desired by the fully organized company which is now being brought together with a capital stock of \$1,800,000 of which one-third is already pledged, one-third will be reserved and one-third is now offered for sale, namely: \$300,000.00 preferred stock with 6 per cent cumulative dividends annually and \$300,000.00 common stock, both in shares of \$100.00 each and offered on the following liberal terms, viz.: with every \$100.00 share of the \$300,000.00 preferred stock sold there will be given to the purchaser one share of common stock (par value of the two shares \$200.00) for \$100.00, payable \$10.00 at time of subscription and \$10.00 per month for 9 months thereafter.

Concerning statements and statistics quoted herein reference is made to the United States Agricultural Department, Hon. J. Sterling Morton, Secretary, B. E. Fernow, Chief of the Forestry Division and C. E. Tratman, C. E., authors of "A Report on the Substitution of Metal for Wood in Railroad Ties, and Practical Economies in Wood for Railway Purposes," the most complete work on the subject ever issued, now quite rare. Thomas Deegan, Secretary Stirling Boiler Works, Baltimore, Md., builder of half the iron furnaces of the South.

Also to Dr. R. A. F. Penrose, Jr., Ph. D., Lecturer on Geology and Chemistry of Mining, University of Chicago, and author of an exhaustive work on "Manganese, Its Uses, Ores and Deposits," of Penrose & Co., Mining Engineers, Phila.; Elmer J. Rambo, Thomas Lackland President of the Boatman's National Bank, or Thomas J. Scott, St. Louis, Mo. And Hon. Robert Neill, M. C., Washington, D. C.; Dr. J. C. Branner, State Geologist, Little Rock, Ark.; John B. Skinner, Chicago, Ill., President of the Hercules Iron Works, Aurora, Ill.; W. J. Rattle, of Rattle, Nye & Hollis, Chemists and Assayers, Cleveland, Ohio; and the Advertising Manager of the Arena Publishing Co., Boston, Mass., who have examined the property mentioned; or for further information regarding the stock to

THE OFFICE.

WARREN C. GREENE, Suite 16, Blackstone Block, opp. P. O., Providence, R. I., U. S.

**LAWYERS**—A good investment to recommend to clients.

---

## **TELEGRAM FROM LIVADIA.**

LIVADIA

SEND IMMEDIATELY TO ANITCHKOFF PALACE ST PETERS  
BURG ONE DOZEN BOTTLES VIN MARIANI  
FOR HER IMPERIAL MAJESTY THE EMPRESS OF RUSSIA

**TO MARIANI & CO. PARIS**

FRANCKL

For 30 years  
most popularly  
used tonic-stimu-  
lant in Hospitals,  
Public and Relig-  
ious Institutions  
everywhere.

FOR - BODY - AND - BRAIN

**VIN MARIANI**

NOURISHES - FORTIFIES - REFRESHES  
STRENGTHENS ENTIRE SYSTEM

Over 7,00  
written endor-  
ments from prom-  
inent Physicians  
in Europe and  
America.

**The most Agreeable, Effective and Lasting Tonic.**

Ask for Vin Mariani at  
Druggists and Fancy  
Grocers.

EVERY TEST, STRICTLY ON ITS OWN MERITS,  
PROVES ITS EXCEPTIONAL REPUTATION

To avoid disappoint-  
ments accept no su-  
stitutions.

**Free Offer.** We will mail, gratis, 75 Portraits, Sketches, Biographical  
Notes and Autographs of Celebrities, testifying to excellence  
of "VIN MARIANI."

PARIS: 41 BOULEVARD HAUSMANN.  
LONDON: 239 OXFORD STREET.

MARIANI & CO., 52 W. 15th St., New York.

## KNOWLEDGE

brings comfort and improvement, and tends to personal enjoyment, when rightly used. The many, who live better than others and enjoy life more, with less expenditure, by more promptly adapting the world's best products to the needs of physical being, will attest the value to health of the pure liquid laxative principles embraced in the remedy

## SYRUP OF FIGS

Its excellence is due to its presenting, in the form most acceptable and pleasant to the taste, the refreshing and truly beneficial properties of a perfect laxative, effectually cleansing the system, dispelling Colds, Headaches and Fevers, and permanently curing Constipation. It has given satisfaction to millions, and met with the approval of the medical profession, because it acts on the Kidneys, Liver and Bowels

without weakening them, and it is perfectly free from every objectionable substance. Syrup of Figs is for sale by all druggists in 50 cent and \$1.00 bottles, but it is manufactured by the **CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO.** only, whose name is printed on every package, also the name **Syrup of Figs**; and, being well informed, you will not accept any substitute if offered.

**CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO.,**

San Francisco, Cal.  
Louisville, Ky.  
New York, N. Y.

## *Genuine* **Pond's** **Extract**

cures Cuts, Burns,  
Catarrh, Sore Throat  
and **ALL PAIN.**

*A good thing is always imitated; poor ones never. There are many worthless imitations of POND'S EXTRACT. If you want to be CURED*

**BEWARE OF SUBSTITUTES  
WHICH DO NOT CURE.**

### To Thinkers.

Any one interested in spiritual subjects can procure from the Swedenborg Publishing Association, Germantown, Pa.,

### Free Literature

in regard to works of priceless value, for 2 cents postage.  
Mention this paper.

